


# Diastereochemical Diversity of Imidazoline Scaffolds via Substrate Controlled TMSCl Mediated Cycloaddition of Azlactones

Vasudha Sharma and Jetze J. Tepe\*

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823  
tepe@chemistry.msu.edu

Received September 2, 2005

## ABSTRACT



We report herein a trimethylsilyl chloride mediated substrate controlled 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition for the diastereoselective synthesis of either *syn*- or *anti*-imidazolines. This method provides scaffolds with four points of diversity and control over two stereocenters.

Small-molecule scaffolds offer a handle to dissect biological pathways, identify targets, and modulate their functions for potential therapeutic use.<sup>1</sup> Diversity-oriented synthesis is a strategy for the construction of libraries with stereochemical, skeletal, and functional group diversity with the aim to create a broad distribution of compounds in chemical space.<sup>2</sup> Control over stereochemical diversity in particular increases the number of relative orientations of potential macromolecule-interacting elements in small molecules.

1,3-Dipolar cycloadditions of *N*-alkylated azlactones (münchnones) with various dipolarophiles provide a general method for the synthesis of aromatic heterocycles such as pyrroles, imidazoles, oxazoles, etc.<sup>3</sup> However, due to the ease of aromatization under standard conditions, the stereochem-

ical information generated in the cycloaddition reaction is lost. Efficient stereoselective syntheses of imidazolines have been very limited with respect to control of diastereochemical diversity and has primarily provided the anti products.<sup>4</sup> As part of our program focused on generating structurally and stereochemically diverse heterocyclic scaffolds, we have reported the use of a Lewis acid mediated generation of münchnones as a mild and stereoselective process to access highly substituted dihydroheterocyclic scaffolds.<sup>5–7</sup>

The stereochemical diversity of these small dihydroheterocyclic scaffolds presents novel territory in terms of both structure and biological activity exemplified by the unique

(1) (a) Schreiber, S. L.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Davies, K. *Chem. Biol.* **2002**, 9, 1–2. (b) Webb, T. R. *Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev.* **2005**, 8, 303–308.

(2) (a) Arya, P.; Joseph, R.; Gan, Z.; Rakic, B. *Chem. Biol.* **2005**, 12, 163–180. (b) Burke, M. D.; Berger, E. M.; Schreiber, S. L. *Science* **2003**, 302, 613–618. (c) Burke, M. D.; Schreiber, S. L. A planning strategy for diversity-oriented synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 46–58. (d) Kuruvilla, F. G.; Shamji, A. F.; Sternson, S. M.; Hergenrother, P. J.; Schreiber, S. L. *Nature* **2002**, 416, 653–657.

(3) (a) Padwa, A. *1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry*; J. Wiley and Sons: New York, 1984. (b) Padwa, A.; Pearson, W. *Synthetic applications of dipolar cycloaddition chemistry towards heterocyclic and natural products*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2002.


(4) (a) Boland, N. A.; Casey, M.; Hynes, S. J.; Matthews, J. W.; Smyth, M. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 3919–3922. (b) Dhawan, R.; Dghaym, R. D.; Arndtsen, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 1474–1475. (c) Dghaym, R. D.; Dhawan, R.; Arndtsen, B. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 3228–3230. (d) Pei, W.; Wei, H. X.; Chen, D.; Headley, A. D.; Li, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 68, 8404–8408. (e) Bon, R. S.; van Vliet, B.; Sprengels, N. E.; Schmitz, R. F.; de Kanter, F. J.; Stevens, C. V.; Swart, M.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Groen, M. B.; Orru, R. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 70, 3542–3553. (f) Bon, R. S.; Hong, C.; Bouma, M. J.; Schmitz, R. F.; de Kanter, F. J.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; Orru, R. V. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 3759–3762. (g) Timmons, C.; Chen, D.; Xu, X.; Li, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 3850–3854.

(5) Peddibhotla, S.; Jayakumar, S.; Tepe, J. J. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 3533–3535.

(6) Peddibhotla, S.; Tepe, J. J. *Synthesis* **2003**, 9, 1433–1440.

(7) Peddibhotla, S.; Tepe, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 12776–12777.

anticancer properties of *syn*-imidazolines (nutlins)<sup>8</sup> and *anti*-imidazolines (SP-4-84).<sup>9</sup> The *syn*-imidazolines were found to be inhibitors of MDM2, a protein that negatively regulates the activity of the pro-apoptotic transcription factor p53 (Figure 1).<sup>8</sup> The *anti*-imidazoline SP-4-84, on the other hand,




**Figure 1.** Structure of nutlin (*syn*-imidazoline), SP-4-84, and *anti*-imidazoline (4).

was found to be a drastic enhancer of the chemotherapeutic efficacy of anticancer agents and modulator of the *anti*-apoptotic NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathway.<sup>9</sup> In addition to their diverse biological activities,<sup>10</sup> imidazolines have been utilized as building blocks for biologically interesting scaffolds<sup>11</sup> and recently attracted considerable interest as ligands for asymmetric catalysis.<sup>12</sup>

We previously reported the preparation of *anti*-imidazolines using a trimethylsilyl chloride mediated 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition on the azlactone template in good yields and high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1).<sup>5,6</sup> In view of a potential

**Scheme 1.** Proposed Transition States for Diastereoselective Synthesis of *anti*-Imidazolines



diverse biological response resulting from the stereochemical diversity of these imidazoline scaffolds, we report herein a trimethylsilyl chloride mediated substrate controlled 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition for the diastereoselective formation of *syn*- or *anti*-imidazolines.

In the case of 2-phenylimidazolines, high diastereoselectivity was attributed to A (1,3) strain, in the azlactone dipole, which prevents coplanarity of Ph, TMS, and R<sub>2</sub> groups. To

prevent steric interaction between the bulky silyl group of the azlactone and R<sub>3</sub> group of imine, the endo approach of the imine was favored resulting in the anti isomer as the major or sole product (Scheme 1, path a).<sup>6</sup>

However, by reducing the resonance stabilization of the carbocation in the dipole by changing the electronic nature of the R<sub>1</sub> substituent, a significant change in diastereoselectivity was observed (entries 1–4, Table 1). Replacement of

**Table 1.** Influence of R<sub>1</sub> Group on Diastereoselectivity

| entry | R <sub>1</sub> | R <sub>2</sub> | R <sub>3</sub> | R <sub>4</sub> | yield           | syn:anti |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| 1     | Ph             | Me             | Ph             | Bn             | 75              | > 5:95   |
| 2     | Bn             | Me             | Ph             | Bn             | 76              | 33:67    |
| 3     | Me             | Me             | Ph             | Bn             | 12 <sup>a</sup> | 50:50    |
| 4     | Me             | Ph             | Ph             | Bn             | 72              | 90:10    |

<sup>a</sup> The azlactone for entry 2 is volatile resulting in low isolated yields

the phenyl group by a benzyl or a methyl moiety significantly eroded the stereoselectivity (entries 1–3, Table 1). Further, switching the Ph and Me groups at R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> positions favored the formation of *syn*-imidazoline (with respect to R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub>) as the major product in 90:10 ratio (compare entries 1 and 4, Table 1).

Intrigued by the clear reversal of diastereoselectivity, we investigated the role of the R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> substituents on the azlactone template. The azlactones were prepared from the *N*-acetyl amino acids via an EDCI mediated dehydration process as previously reported.<sup>6,13</sup> The *N*-acetyl *p*-methoxy phenylglycine (amino acids for entries 10 and 11, Table 2) was synthesized by employing a modified procedure of Wasserman et al. (see the Supporting Information).<sup>14</sup>

(10) (a) Dardonville, C.; Rozas, I. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2004**, *24*, 639–661. (b) Ueno, M.; Imaizumi, K.; Sugita, T.; Takata, I.; Takeshita, M. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.* **1995**, *17*, 597–603. (c) Furukawa, O.; Murakami, T.; Sugita, T.; Ueno, M.; Takata, I.; Tosa, T. *Int. J. Immunopharmacol.* **1993**, *15*, 515–519. (d) Schafer, S. G.; Kaan, E. C.; Christen, M. O.; Low-Kroger, A.; Mest, H. J.; Molderings, G. J. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **1995**, *763*, 659–672. (e) Bousquet, P.; Bruban, V.; Schann, S.; Feldman, J. *Pharm. Acta Helv.* **2000**, *74*, 205–209. (f) Bousquet, P.; Feldman, J. *Drugs* **1999**, *58*, 799–812.

(11) (a) Hsiao, Y.; Hegedus, L. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 3586–3591. (b) Puntener, K.; Hellman, M. D.; Kuester, E.; Hegedus, L. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 8301–8306.

(12) (a) Boland, N. A.; Casey, M.; Hynes, S. J.; Matthews, J. W.; Muller-Bunz, H.; Wilkes, P. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2004**, *2*, 1995–2002. (b) Casey, M.; Smyth, M. P. *Synlett.* **2003**, *1*, 102–106. (c) Guiu, E.; Claver, C.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Castillon, S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 3365–3373. (d) Busacca, C. A.; Grossbach, D.; Campbell, S. J.; Dong, Y.; Eriksson, M. C.; Harris, R. E.; Jones, P. J.; Kim, J. Y.; Lorenz, J. C.; McKellop, K. B.; O'Brien, E. M.; Qiu, F.; Simpson, R. D.; Smith, L.; So, R. C.; Spinelli, E. M.; Vitous, J.; Zavattaro, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 5187–5195. (e) Busacca, C. A.; Grossbach, D.; So, R. C.; O'Brien, E. M.; Spinelli, E. M. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 595–598.


(13) (a) Chen, F. M. F.; Kuroda, K.; Benoiton, N. L. *Synthesis* **1979**, 230–232. (b) Mukerjee, A. K. *Heterocycles* **1987**, *26*, 1077–1097. (c) Ivanova, G. G. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 177–186.

(14) Wasserman, H. H.; Hlasta, D. J.; Tremper, A. W.; Wu, J. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 2999.

(8) Vassilev, L. T.; Vu, B. T.; Graves, B.; Carvajal, D.; Podlaski, F.; Filipovic, Z.; Kong, N.; Kammlott, U.; Lukacs, C.; Klein, C.; Fotouhi, N.; Liu, E. A. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 844–848.

(9) Sharma, V.; Lansdell, T. A.; Peddibhotla, S.; Tepe, J. J. *Chem. Biol.* **2004**, *11*, 1689–1699.

The cycloaddition reactions were conducted under mild reflux conditions in dichloromethane or THF in the presence of 1.3 equiv of TMSCl. The imidazoline products were isolated by precipitation. *syn*-Imidazolines were reprecipitated from the mixture in modest to good yields and the *syn* configuration (relative to R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub>) was confirmed unambiguously by X-ray crystallography (see Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** X-ray crystal structure of compound **4**.

To understand the role of the R<sub>2</sub> group in the diastereochemical outcome of the reaction, the R<sub>2</sub> group was varied while R<sub>1</sub> was maintained as methyl or phenyl.

The anti diastereomer was obtained with R<sub>1</sub> as a phenyl group and R<sub>2</sub> an alkyl or aryl group (entries 6, 8, and 9, Table 2). However, when R<sub>1</sub> is methyl and R<sub>2</sub> is methyl, benzyl, or isopropyl (entry 3, Table 1; entries 5 and 7, Table 2) a mixture of diastereomers was obtained. *syn*-Imidazolines were obtained as the major or sole products with the R<sub>2</sub> substituent as an aryl group (entry 4, Table 1; entry 10, Table 2). These results suggest a possible role of  $\pi$ -stacking interactions between neighboring  $\pi$ -donor R<sub>2</sub> and  $\pi$ -acceptor R<sub>3</sub> (the centroid–centroid distance between R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> in **4** was found to be 3.98 Å, corresponding to a van der Waals contact) (Scheme 2).<sup>15</sup>

**Table 2.** Influence of R<sub>2</sub> Favoring *syn*-Imidazolines

| entry     | R <sub>1</sub> | R <sub>2</sub>  | R <sub>3</sub>               | R <sub>4</sub> | yield | syn:anti |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------|
| <b>5</b>  | Me             | Bn              | Ph                           | Bn             | 55    | 75:25    |
| <b>6</b>  | Ph             | Bn              | Ph                           | Bn             | 45    | >5:95    |
| <b>7</b>  | Me             | <i>i</i> Pr     | Ph                           | Bn             | 79    | 50:50    |
| <b>8</b>  | Ph             | <i>i</i> Pr     | Ph                           | Bn             | 71    | >5:95    |
| <b>10</b> | Me             | <i>p</i> -OMePh | <i>p</i> -NO <sub>2</sub> Ph | Bn             | 66    | >95:5    |
| <b>11</b> | Ph             | <i>p</i> -OMePh | <i>p</i> -NO <sub>2</sub> Ph | Bn             | 53    | >5:95    |

Some control on the diastereoselectivity was observed based on the electronic nature of the incoming dipolarophile. Electron-donating groups at R<sub>3</sub> resulted in reduced *syn*-diastereoselectivity (entry 14, Table 3), while electron-

**Table 3.** Variation of R<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>4</sub>


| entry     | R <sub>1</sub> | R <sub>2</sub> | R <sub>3</sub>  | R <sub>4</sub>         | yield          | syn:anti |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|----------|
| <b>12</b> | Me             | Ph             | <i>i</i> Pr     | Bn                     | 0 <sup>a</sup> |          |
| <b>13</b> | Ph             | Me             | 4-methoxyphenyl | Bn                     | 78             | >5:95    |
| <b>14</b> | Me             | Ph             | 4-methoxyphenyl | Bn                     | 60             | 60:40    |
| <b>15</b> | Ph             | Me             | 4-nitrophenyl   | Bn                     | 51             | >9:95    |
| <b>16</b> | Me             | Ph             | 4-nitrophenyl   | Bn                     | 71             | >95:5    |
| <b>17</b> | Ph             | Me             | –COOEt          | Bn                     | 65             | >5:95    |
| <b>18</b> | Me             | Ph             | –COOEt          | Bn                     | 32             | >95:5    |
| <b>19</b> | Ph             | Me             | Ph              | –CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe | 70             | >5:95    |
| <b>20</b> | Me             | Ph             | Ph              | –CH <sub>2</sub> COOMe | 44             | >95:5    |
| <b>21</b> | Ph             | Me             | Ph              | 4-fluorophenyl         | 74             | >5:95    |
| <b>22</b> | Me             | Ph             | Ph              | 4-fluorophenyl         | 20             | >95:5    |
| <b>23</b> | Ph             | Me             | Ph              | <i>n</i> -Bu           | 5 <sup>a</sup> | >95:5    |
| <b>24</b> | Me             | Ph             | Ph              | <i>n</i> -Bu           | 43             | >5:95    |

<sup>a</sup> Main product is  $\beta$ -lactam

withdrawing groups enhanced *syn*-diastereoselectivity (entry 16, Table 3).

Not surprisingly, when R<sub>1</sub> is phenyl, the nature of R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, or R<sub>4</sub> did not compromise the anti-diastereoselectivity (entries 6, 8, 9, and 11, Table 2; entries 13, 15, 17, 19, and 21, Table 3). A bulky group such as isopropyl at the R<sub>3</sub> position did not afford any imidazoline and only yielded  $\beta$ -lactam, presumably via a traditional [2+2] cycloaddition reaction (entry 12, Table 3). Both aryl as well as substituted alkyl groups are tolerated at the R<sub>4</sub> position (entry 4, Table 1; entries 20 and 22, Table 3).

**Scheme 2.** Proposed Rationale for the Reversal in Diastereoselectivity



The above observations indicate that R<sub>1</sub> controls the branch point of the stereochemical diversity. When R<sub>1</sub> is aryl, the anti diastereomer is exclusively formed via the endo approach. However, when R<sub>1</sub> is alkyl, R<sub>2</sub> needs to be aryl to obtain *syn*-imidazolines with high diastereoselectivity. A possible explanation for this switch in diastereoselectivity

(15) (a) Blondeau, P.; van der Lee, A.; Barboiu, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 5649–5653. (b) Shan, S.; Hu, W. X.; Xu, D. *J. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online* **2004**, *E60* (11), o2059–o2061. (c) Haneline, M. R.; Tsunoda, M.; Gabbaie, F. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 3737–3742. (d) Coates, G. W.; Dunn, A. R.; Henling, L. M.; Ziller, J. W.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3641–3649. (e) Corey, E. J.; Noe, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 319–329.

is the stabilization of the *exo* approach due to favorable  $\pi$ -stacking interactions between R<sub>2</sub> and R<sub>3</sub> (Scheme 2). In conclusion, we have identified an efficient branch point for enhancing the stereochemical diversity of imidazoline scaffolds by manipulation of the substituents on the general azlactone template. The previously reported high anti diastereoselectivity could be completely reversed favoring the *syn*-imidazolines in synthetically useful yields. The potential biological applications of this class of compounds are currently under investigation and will be reported in the near future.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors greatly acknowledge Dr. Rui Huang for carrying out the X-ray crystallography. We would like to thank Dr. S. Peddibhotla for helpful discussions. The authors gratefully acknowledge funds from American Cancer Society (RSG CDD-106972)

**Supporting Information Available:** The experimental procedures and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C data for all new compounds and X-ray structures for **4**, **5**, and **18**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL052118W