

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 15 (2005) 4363-4366

Synthesis of aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones as antagonists of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor

Joseph Pontillo,^a Zhiqiang Guo,^a Dongpei Wu,^a R. Scott Struthers^b and Chen Chen^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc., 12790 El Camino Real, San Diego, CA 92130, USA ^bDepartment of Endocrinology, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc., 12790 El Camino Real, San Diego, CA 92130, USA

> Received 15 April 2005; revised 8 June 2005; accepted 10 June 2005 Available online 19 July 2005

Abstract—Several efficient synthetic routes for 2-, 4-, and 6-aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones were developed. Derivatives were synthesized and studied as gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonists in an effort to understand structure–activity relationships of the monocyclic compounds.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In our efforts to identify orally active antagonists of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor (GnRH-R) for possible treatment of sex hormone related diseases, such as endometriosis, uterine fibroids, and prostate cancer,¹ we have discovered a series of 6-methyluracils (6-methylpyrimidin-2,4-diones) exemplified by 1d having low-nanomolar binding affinity.² We have also concluded that the 4-oxo moiety of the uracil ring is critical for high-affinity binding based on a large difference in potency between two regioisomers.³ Based on an internal receptor modeling study, the oxo functionality is speculated to have a hydrogen-bonding interaction with the GnRH receptor. Another important feature of 1 is the 6-methyl group, which is optimal at this position for receptor binding. Thus, the non-methyl uracil 3a $(K_i = 5.3 \text{ nM}; \text{ Fig. 1})^4$ is about 10-fold less potent than 1d $(K_i = 0.56 \text{ nM})$, and its 6-ethyl analogue 3b $(K_i = 50 \text{ nM})$ is almost 100-fold less active than 1d. Although the 5-(2-fluoro-3-methoxyphenyl) group in both 1d and 3a has an orthogonal conformation relative to the uracil core in their crystal structures, 1d can exist as two atropisomers, as evidenced by two sets of signals in NMR spectra, while 3a does not. This suggests that the rotation about the carbon-carbon bond connecting the 5-phenyl ring of 1d is slower than that in 3a. The different rotational barrier could contribute to the discrepancy in potency between 1d and 3a, and the bulky ethyl

Keywords: Synthesis; Triazinedione; Azauracil; GnRH; Antagonist.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 858 617 7600; fax: +1 858 617 7967; e-mail: cchen@neurocrine.com

0960-894X/\$ - see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.06.057

group could be the cause of the large loss of potency in **3b**. To further understand the role of the 6-methyl group, as well as the 2-oxo moiety of **1**, we synthesized several phenyl substituted 1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones (6-azauracils) and studied them in the GnRH binding assay. Herein, we report the development of synthetic routes and structure-activity relationships of these compounds.

The synthesis of 2-aryl-6-methyl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5dione, described in a patent publication, involves cyclization of 5,5-dimethyl-2-phenyl-[1,2,4]triazolidin-3-one with pyruvic acid.⁵ To apply this reaction to the synthesis of the 6-benzyl analogue (Scheme 1), 2,6-difluorophenylpyruvic acid 9 was synthesized from the corresponding benzaldehyde 8 according to a known protocol.⁶ Cyclization of 9 with 2-aryl-5,5dimethyltriazolidinone under acidic conditions (concd H₂SO₄/dioxane/reflux) afforded the 6-(2,6-difluorobenzyl)-2-aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione 10 in 32% yield. Alkylation of triazinedione 10 by the Mitsunobu reaction⁷ with N-Boc protected phenylalaninol (11, $PPh_3/$ DEAD/THF/rt), followed by N-Boc deprotection with trifluoroacetic acid, gave the final products 4 (43% for 4a, 42% for 4b). The preparation of 4-aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones 5 started from the benzylpyruvic acid 9 (Scheme 2). Cyclization of 9 with N-aryl thiosemicarbazide⁸ (prepared from aryl isothiocyanate and hydrazine) in refluxing hydrochloric acid afforded the 5-thioxo-1,2,4-triazin-3-one 12 in 93% yield, which was converted to the corresponding triazinedione 13 under basic conditions (KOH/MeOH/rt, then aq HCl, 86%). Mitsunobu reaction of 13 with phenylalaninol 11



Figure 1. Some monocyclic GnRH antagonists.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) i. AcNHCH₂COOH/NaOAc/Ac₂O; ii. NaOH, then aq HCl, 59%; (b) H₂SO₄ (cat.)/dioxane/reflux, 16 h, 32%; (c) i. 11/PPh₃/DEAD/THF/rt, 11 h; ii. TFA/CH₂Cl₂/rt, 0.5 h.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $Ar^2NHC(=S)NHNH_2/aq$ HCl/reflux, 16 h, 93%; (b) aq KOH/MeOH/rt, 0.5 h, then aq HCl, 86%; (c) i. 11/PPh₃/DEAD/THF/rt, 16 h; ii. TFA/CH₂Cl₂/rt, 0.5 h.

successfully installed the side chain at the 2-position of the core, and the final products **5** were obtained after N-Boc deprotection with TFA (65% for **5a**, 52% for **5b**).

The 6-phenyl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione (5-phenyl-6-azauracil) **15** was obtained from reaction of benzoylformic acid, which can be readily prepared by oxidation of acetophenone,⁹ with semicarbazide to form a hydrazone, which was then cyclized under basic conditions at elevated temperature (NaOEt/HOCH₂CH₂OH/reflux, 75%).¹⁰ Alkylation of **15** at the 2-position was accomplished using a known protocol with 2,6-difluorobenzyl bromide promoted by *N*,*O*-bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (MeCN/ 80 °C) to give **16** in 88% yield.¹¹ The aminoalkyl side chain was introduced via a Mitsunobu reaction (**11**/ PPh₃/DEAD/THF/rt) to provide the products **6** after TFA deprotection (Scheme 3).

Alternatively, benzylation (ArCH₂Br/BSA/MeCN/ $80 \,^{\circ}$ C) of the 6-bromo-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione **17**¹² afforded **18a,b**, which were alkylated with phenylalani-

nol under Mitsunobu conditions to provide the bromo compounds **19a,b**. Suzuki reaction¹³ of **19a,b** with a variety of arylboronic acids $[Pd(PPh_3)_4/Ba(OH)_2/DME/C_6H_6/EtOH/reflux]$ gave the final products **6** after deprotection. Replacement of the 6-bromo group of **19a** with a nucleophile such as phenol or alkylamine¹⁴ (Py/ EtOH/reflux) provided compounds **7** after *N*-Boc removal. All final compounds were purified and characterized by an HPLC–MS system.¹⁵

All of the synthesized compounds were tested in the GnRH binding assay as previously described.² In comparison with the *s*-triazinetriones **2**,³ and uracils **3**,⁴ or 6-methyluracils **1**,² the 2-phenyl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione **4a** had a K_i of 2.3 μ M, which was slightly less potent than the triazine analogue **2a** ($K_i = 1.1 \mu$ M), but much less active than the 6-methyluracil **1a** ($K_i = 15 n$ M). The 2-fluoro analogue **4b** ($K_i = 580 n$ M), however, was much less potent than the corresponding triazine **2b** ($K_i = 89 n$ M) and 6-methyluracil **1b** ($K_i = 5.6 n$ M), suggesting the core structure of **4** possesses an unfavored



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) i. $NH_2C(=O)NHNH_2/EtOH/H_2O/rt$, 16 h, 88%; ii. $NaOEt/(CH_2OH)_2/reflux$, 15 h, 85%; (b) BSA/MeCN/ 80 °C, 3 h; then (2,6-F)C₆H₃CH₂Br/80 °C, 15 h, 74–88%; (c) 11/PPh₃/DEAD/THF/rt, 11 h; (d) TFA/CH₂Cl₂/rt, 0.5 h; (e) Ar³B(OH)₂/Pd(PPh₃)₄/aq Ba(OH)₂/DME/C₆H₆/EtOH/90 °C, 5 h, 52% for 6s; (f) RH/Py/EtOH/reflux, 15 h.

conformation for receptor binding. The binding affinity of the 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione **5a** ($K_i = 450 \text{ nM}$) was slightly better than that of the triazine **2a** and 2-phenyltriazinedione **4a**. Similarly, the 4-(3-methoxylphenyl)triazinedione **5b** ($K_i = 110 \text{ nM}$) was only about 3-fold less potent than the triazine **2c** ($K_i = 37 \text{ nM}$), although it was still much less active than the 6-methyluracil **1c**. The data summarized in Table 1 suggest that the 6-oxo moiety is more important for receptor binding than the 2-oxo moiety of the triazine-trione **2**. Apparently, both 2- and 4-aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones are less desirable templates than either the triazine-triox **2** or 6-methyluracils **1** as GnRH antagonists.

Next, we conducted a more detailed study on a series of 1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones with a 6-aryl ring (**6**, Table 2), since this template (5-aryl-6-azauracils) is structurally closest to the 5-aryluracils **3**. Further, the described synthesis allowed a rapid survey of substitution effects at the 6-position. The 6-phenyl triazinedione **6a** ($K_i = 1.6 \mu$ M) possessed binding affinity similar to the 2-phenyl analogue **4a**. As an orthogonal relation between the 6-phenyl ring and the 1,2,4-triazine-3,5-dione core is expected to be critical for high affinity, we surveyed a series of ortho-substituted phenyl and bicyclic aromatic analogues. 2-Chloro (**6b**) or 2,4-dichloro (**6c**) substitution had minimal effect on potency, despite the fact that incorporation of a 2-chloro in the 5-phenyl of uracil **3** increases potency 6-fold.⁴ The 2-methyl substi-

Table 1. Binding affinity of 2- and 4-aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones 4and 5

Compound	Ar ¹ or Ar ²	$K_{\rm i}$ (nM)
4a	Ph–	2300
4b	$2-FC_6H_4-$	580
5a	Ph–	450
5b	$3-MeOC_6H_4-$	110

Table 2. SAR of 6-substituted 1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones 6 and 7 at GnRH-R

Compound	Х	Ar ³ or R	K _i (nM)
6a	F	Ph-	1600
6b	F	$2-ClC_6H_4-$	850
6c	F	2,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -	2600
6d	F	$2-MeC_6H_4-$	1200
6e	F	2,3-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -	1000
6f	F	2,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -	4700
6g	F	2,4,6-Me ₃ C ₆ H ₂ -	11,000
6h	F	$2-EtC_6H_4-$	8400
6i	F	$2-(Me_2NCH_2)C_6H_4-$	>10,000
6j	F	$2-CF_3C_6H_4-$	1300
6k	F	2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ -	8700
61	F	2,4-MeO ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -	5200
6m	F	2-MeO-5-FC ₆ H ₃ -	>10,000
6n	F	2-MeO-5-ClC ₆ H ₃ -	>10,000
60	F	2-MeO-5-MeC ₆ H ₃ -	>10,000
6p	F	$2,5-MeO_2C_6H_3-$	>10,000
6q	F	2-MeO-5-iPrC ₆ H ₃ -	>10,000
6r	F	$2-EtOC_6H_4-$	>10,000
6s	F	2-F-3-MeOC ₆ H ₃ -	92
6t	F	8-Quinolinyl–	>10,000
6u	F	1-Naphthyl–	4300
6v	F	3-Benzothienyl-	>10,000
6w	CF_3	2-F-3-MeOC ₆ H ₃ -	13
6x	CF_3	2-Cl-3-MeOC ₆ H ₃ -	2.3
7a	F	PhO-	>10,000
7b	F	PhS-	>10,000
7c	F	1-Morpholinyl-	>10,000

tution (6d), including the 2,3- or 2,5-dimethyl analogues (6e,f), also had little impact on the binding. The more bulky 2,4,6-trimethyl (6g, $K_i = 11 \,\mu\text{M}$), ethyl (6h, $K_i = 8.4 \,\mu\text{M}$), and methoxy derivatives (6k, $K_i = 8.7 \,\mu\text{M}$) also displayed reduced potency.

The basic dimethylaminomethyl **6i** was inactive, and the strongly electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl compound (**6j**, $K_i = 1.3 \mu$ M) possessed an almost identical K_i value to the 2-methyl analogue **6d**, suggesting no clear

electronic preference for this phenyl ring. Any 2-methoxyphenyl compound with an additional substituent on the phenyl ring (6m–6g) showed no competitive binding, except the 2,4-dimethoxy analogue 61 ($K_i = 5.2 \,\mu\text{M}$). The ethoxy **6r**, as well as the 8-quinolinyl **6t** and the 3-benzothienyl compound 6v, also displayed no binding activity, while the 1-naphthyl derivative exhibited poor affinity (6u, $K_i = 4.3 \,\mu\text{M}$). As predicted, the 2-fluoro-3methoxyphenyl compound 6s ($K_i = 92 \text{ nM}$) displayed an almost 20-fold increase in binding affinity over the phenyl derivative 6a. Although these results are parallel to those of the 6-methyluracils $1a (K_i = 15 \text{ nM})$ and 1d $(K_i = 0.56 \text{ nM})$, the 6-azauracil **6s** was about 17-fold less potent than its uracil analogue 3a. Thus, replacement of the CH moiety of 3a with the smaller N atom at the 6-position reduced binding affinity.

Previously, we have shown in the non-methyl uracils that a 2-fluoro-6-trifluoromethylbenzyl group at the 1-position (3c, $K_i = 0.64$ nM) possesses about 9-fold increased affinity over the corresponding 2,6-difluorobenzyl analogue (**3a**, $K_i = 5.3$ nM).⁴ In the azauracil series, the 2-fluoro-6-trifluoromethylbenzyl analogue 6w $(K_i = 13 \text{ nM})$ was about 7-fold better than the 2,6-difluorobenzyl analogue 6s. Interestingly, the 2-chloro-3methoxyphenyl compound 6x ($K_i = 2.3$ nM) showed another 6-fold binding affinity increase over the corresponding 2-fluoro analogue 6w. These results may suggest that the 3-methoxy group of the 6-phenyl ring in 6 plays a much more important role in receptor interaction than that in **3a** or **3c**. On the basis of our receptor modeling, the counterpart for this interaction could be Asn-212 on transmembrane domain 5 of the human GnRH receptor. This residue is known to be important for hydrogen-bonding interaction with the pyro-glutamine at the 1-position of the GnRH peptide.^{16,17}

Finally, 1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones with 6-phenoxy (7a), phenylsulfinyl (7b), and 1-morpholinyl group (7c) were tested but these compounds showed no affinity in the competitive binding, suggesting that the 6-aryl group of **6** is important for receptor interaction.

In conclusion, several synthetic routes for 2-, 4-, and 6aryl-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diones (1-, 3-, and 5-aryl-6-azauracils) were developed for structure-activity relationship study of the monocyclic GnRH antagonists. The successful Mitsunobu reactions enabled us to install a favored (2*R*)-aminophenethyl side chain at both the 2and 4-positions of the triazinediones. The results from these SAR studies provide further evidence for the role of the 6-methyl group in the uracil 1, which is important for invoking a conformation that positions two key elements, the 5-phenyl and 1-benzyl groups, for favored interactions with the receptor. 6x ($K_i = 2.3$ nM) was identified as a potent GnRH antagonist from this series. The improved potency of this compound was possibly due to an increase in binding interactions of the 6-(2-chloro-3-methoxyphenyl) group with amino acid residues such as Asp-122 on the human GnRH receptor.

References and notes

- 1. Zhu, Y.-F.; Chen, C.; Struthers, R. S. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 2004, 39, 99.
- Tucci, F. C.; Zhu, Y.-F.; Struthers, R. S.; Guo, Z.; Gross, T. D.; Rowbottom, M. W.; Acevedo, O.; Gao, Y.; Saunders, J.; Xie, Q.; Reinhart, G. J.; Liu, X.-J.; Ling, N.; Bonneville, A. K. L.; Chen, T.; Bozigian, H.; Chen, C. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 1169.
- Guo, Z.; Wu, D.; Zhu, Y.-F.; Tucci, F. C.; Pontillo, J.; Saunders, J.; Xie, Q.; Struthers, R. S.; Chen, C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2005, 15, 693.
- Guo, Z.; Chen, Y.; Huang, C. Q.; Gross, T. D.; Pontillo, J.; Rowbottom, M. W.; Saunders, J.; Struthers, R. S.; Tucci, F. C.; Xie, Q.; Wade, W.; Zhu, Y.-F.; Wu, D.; Chen, C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 2519.
- 5. Lyga, J. W. PCT patent application WO8600072, 1986.
- Audia, J. E.; Evrard, D. A.; Murdoch, G. R.; Froste, J. J.; Nissen, J. S.; Schenck, K. W.; Fludzinski, P.; Lucaites, V. L.; Nelson, D. L.; Cohen, M. L. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2773.
- 7. For a review, see: Hughes, D. L. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1996, 28, 127.
- Mansourm, A. K.; Eid, M. M.; Hassa, R. A.; Haemers, A.; Pattyn, S. R.; Vanden Berghe, D. A.; Van Hoof, L. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1988, 25, 279.
- Beebe, X.; Nilius, A. M.; Merta, P. J.; Soni, N. B.; Bui, M. H.; Wagner, R.; Beutel, B. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2003, 13, 3133.
- Sharma, B. P.; Lakha, R. J. Napal Chem. Soc. 1999, 17– 18, 8.
- Jin, Y. H.; Liu, P.; Wang, J.; Baker, R.; Huggins, J.; Chu, C. K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9012.
- 12. Dudfield, P. J.; Le, V.-D.; Lindell, S. D.; Rees, C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 2929.
- For reviews, see: (a) Bellina, F.; Carpita, A.; Rossi, R. Synthesis 2004, 15, 2419; (b) Kotha, S.; Lahiri, K.; Kashinath, D. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 9633; (c) Negishi, E. In Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 2002, pp 767– 789; (d) Stanforth, S. P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 263.
- Tzeng, C. C.; Motola, N. C.; Panzica, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1271.
- For experimental details, see: Pontillo, J.; Guo, Z.; Wu, D. PTC patent application WO03011841, 2003.
- Hoffmann, S. H.; ter Laak, T.; Kuhne, R.; Reilander, H.; Beckers, T. Mol. Endocrinol. 2000, 14, 1099.
- Millar, R. P.; Lu, Z.-L.; Pawson, A. J.; Flanagan, C. A.; Morgan, K.; Maudsley, S. R. *Endocr. Rev.* 2004, 25, 235.