Advance Publication Cover Page



Efficient Synthesis of Substituted Morpholine Derivatives via an Indium (III)-Catalyzed Reductive Etherification Reaction

Di Wang, Yafei Liu, Wei Zhu, Hong Shen, Haixia Liu,* and Lei Fu*

Advance Publication on the web March 27, 2020 doi:10.1246/cl.200142

© 2020 The Chemical Society of Japan

Advance Publication is a service for online publication of manuscripts prior to releasing fully edited, printed versions. Entire manuscripts and a portion of the graphical abstract can be released on the web as soon as the submission is accepted. Note that the Chemical Society of Japan bears no responsibility for issues resulting from the use of information taken from unedited, Advance Publication manuscripts.

Efficient Synthesis of Substituted Morpholine Derivatives via an Indium (III)-Catalyzed **Reductive Etherification Reaction**

Di Wang, ^{1,2} Yafei Liu, ² Wei Zhu, ² Hong Shen, ² Haixia Liu, *² and Lei Fu*¹

¹ School of Pharmacy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, No. 800 Dongchuan Rd., Shanghai, PR China, 200240

² Roche Pharmaceutical Research & Early Development, Department of medicinal chemistry, Roche Innovation Center Shanghai, 720 Cailun Road, Building 5, Pudong, Shanghai, P. R. China, 201203

E-mail: haixia.liu@roche.com; leifu@sjtu.edu.cn

52

68

An efficient synthesis of morpholine derivatives has 2 been developed using indium (III)-catalyzed intramolecular 3 reductive etherification reaction. This method allows the 4 construction of various 2-substituted, and 2,3-, 2,5-, 2,6-5 disubstituted morpholines with good to excellent yields and high diastereoselectivity. In addition, this method demonstrates good compatibility with a broad range of 6 8 functional groups.

9 Keywords: Morpholine, Indium Bromide, Reductive 10 Etherification

11

12 Morpholine is an important structural motif in various 13 natural products and synthetic bioactive molecules. It is one 14 of the most prevalent structural elements utilized in marketed 15 drugs as well as new pharmaceuticals at discovery and 16 development stages.¹ (Figure 1) Morpholine has attractive 17 physicochemical properties. For example, morpholine is very 18 polar (LogP -0.41).² Compared to other nitrogen-containing 19 heterocycles, such as piperidine and piperazine, morpholine 20 has relatively low basicity $(pKa 8.51)^2$ due to the negative 21 inductive effect of the oxygen atom in the ring. In addition, 22 morpholine can serve as a pharmacophore to interact with 23 biological targets. The lone pair of oxygen atom can function 24 as a weak hydrogen bond acceptor, and the relatively electron 25 deficient morpholine ring can contribute to hydrophobic 26 interaction. Therefore, morpholine-containing building 27 blocks are very popular in the field of medicinal chemistry, 28 and are often employed to modulate compound properties or 29 to fine tune interactions with target proteins. Due to the wide 30 applications of morpholine analogs, significant efforts have 31 been devoted to the development of synthetic methods for 32 diverse morpholine derivatives during the past few years.³ 33 Many methodologies are based on intramolecular ring 34 closure to form either C-N or C-O bond such as cyclization 35 of amino alcohol and amino diols,⁴ reductive etherification of 36 keto alcohols,⁵ cyclization of alkynylamines or 37 alkynylalcohols,⁶ ring opening of aziridines,⁷ direct amination or oxygenation of alkenes or alkynes,8 and 38 hydroamination of ether amino-alkynes.9 Furthermore, 39 40 intermolecular reactions, such as difunctionalization of conjugated dienes,¹⁰ and double allylic substitution,¹¹ have 41 been described to access substituted morpholines. More 42 43 recently, novel methodologies using SnAP (stannyl amine protocol) and SLAP (silicon amine protocol) reagents have 44 45 been developed by Bode and co-workers as versatile methods to access morpholines as well as other aliphatic N-46 heterocycles.¹² Despite these great advances, new methods 47 that could have broader applications, in terms of functional 48 49 group tolerability and substitution patterns of final

- morpholine products, remain to be valuable for synthetic and 50
- 51 medical chemistry.



53 Figure 1. Example of biologically important morpholine derivatives.

54 Gharpur and co-worker reported an reductive 55 esterification condition to convert ketoalcohol 3 to 56 morpholine derivatives, however the method requires 57 stoichiometric amount of strong Lewis acid TMOTf, and 58 tosyl group is the only reported N-protecting group.⁵ Inspired 59 by this work, to further expand substrate scope and functional 60 group tolerability, we describe herein an efficient indium 61 (III)-promoted intramolecular reductive etherification 62 reaction to access substituted morpholine derivatives. (Figure 63 2) We started our study with readily available keto alcohols 64 3, which can be synthesized from the corresponding amino 65 alcohols 1 and a-bromoketones 2 via a two-step sequence 66 with easily removable carbamate protecting groups (PG = 67 Cbz-, Boc-, Fmoc-).



Figure 2. Our approach to construct morpholine scaffold.

71 In our initial attempt, **3a** was employed as a model 72 substrate to evaluate the reductive etherification reaction in 73 the presence of various commonly used Lewis acids, and the 74 results are listed in Table 1. To our delight, the use of indium 75 (III) salts such as InBr3 and In(OTf)3 provided the desired 76 products with good yields (Table 1, entries 1-2). Other Lewis 77 acids such as FeCl₃, Mg(OTf)₂, Cu(OTf)₂ and CuBr₂ gave 78 modest results (Table 1, entries 3-5). Ga(OTf)₃ and Bi(OTf)₃ 79 only afforded a trace amount of the desirable product (Table 80 1, entries 8 and 9), and Zn(OTf)2 and Hf(OTf)4 did not lead

to the desirable morpholine product (Table 1, entries 6 and 1 2 10). In addition, catalyst loading was evaluated (Table 1, 3 entries 11-13), and we got nearly quantitative yield in the 4 presence of 0.2 equivalent of InBr3 over 24 h (Table 1, entry 5 12). Further decreasing the catalyst loading to 0.1 equivalent 6 could give a similar yield, however prolonged reaction time 7 was necessary to achieve full conversion (Table 1, entry 13). 8 Moreover, various silanes were investigated. Et₃SiH and PhSiH₃ were identified as the most efficient reducing 9 10 reagents. Lastly, the solvent impact on the reductive etherification is profound. Dichloromethane was found to be 11 the best medium. Other solvents such as THF, CH₃CN and 12 toluene were less effective, and only 10-65% yield of 4a was 13 14 obtained (Table 1, entries 20-22). The reaction did not occur 15 in DMF (Table 1, entry 19).

16

17

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction condition^a

MeO	CBz	Lewi sila Si	s acid (x equiv.) ne (1.5 equiv.) olvent, rt, 16h	MeO	
MeO	он 3а			MeO >>>	4a
Entry	Catalyst	Х	Silane	Solvent	Yield ^b
1	InBr ₃	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	86%
2	In(OTf) ₃	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	53%
3	FeCl ₃	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	41%
4	Cu(OTf) ₂	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	52%
5	CuBr ₂	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	54%
6	Zn(OTf) ₂	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	NR
7	Mg(OTf) ₂	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	15%
8	Ga(OTf) ₃	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	trace
9	Bi(OTf) ₃	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	trace
10	Hf(OTf) ₄	1.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	NR
11	InBr ₃	0.5	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	89%
12 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	99%
13 ^d	InBr ₃	0.1	Et ₃ SiH	CH_2Cl_2	99%
14 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Me ₂ PhSiH	CH_2Cl_2	90%
15 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	TMDS	CH_2Cl_2	71%
16 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	PMHS	CH_2Cl_2	31%
17 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	$PhSiH_3$	CH_2Cl_2	99%
18 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Ph_2SiH_2	CH_2Cl_2	91%
19 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Et ₃ SiH	DMF	NR
20 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Et ₃ SiH	CH ₃ CN	86%
21 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Et ₃ SiH	Toluene	29%
22 ^c	InBr ₃	0.2	Et ₃ SiH	THF	44%

^aReaction conditions: (0.1 M in solvent).

^bYields were determined by ¹H NMR.

°The reaction time was 24 h.

^dThe reation time was 90 h.

18

Having obtained the optimal reaction conditions, the generality of this transformation was investigated, and the results were listed in Scheme 1. The reaction was compatible with various widely used N-protecting groups such as Boc, Cbz and Fmoc with good to excellent yields. With regards to the aromatic ketones, different substitution patterns and electronic properties such as electron-donating (e.g. methoxy, hydroxyl, methyl) and electron-withdrawing (e.g. halogens and carboxylate) groups were well tolerated. Notably, a high percentage of catalyst loading was necessary to ensure full conversion for electron deficient substitutions such as p-Cl and *m*-CO₂Me. Furthermore, hetero-aromatic and aliphatic ketones were viable substrates. Various morpholines (2,3-, 2,5- and 2,6-disubstituted) could be synthesized conveniently under this mild reaction condition to afford the cisdisubstituted morpholines as the major products with high diastereoselectivity.¹³ Moreover, a spiro-morpholine (4n) was obtained by using such protocol. Notably, the reaction can be easily scaled-up, and compound 4k was synthesized with 79% yield on a 10 g scale. Interestingly, this strategy was further extended to the synthesis of 1.4-oxazepanes with

good yield, and 2,7-disubstituted 1,4-oxazepane 4t with high

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

diastereoselectivity.13 41 42 The reaction mechanism is proposed in Figure 3. The 43 reaction path involves three steps. First, InBr3 promotes the 44 cyclization and dehydration to afford oxocarbenium ion III. Second, the reaction between In (III) and Et₃SiH generates 45 reducing species HInBr2.14 Third, the hydride reduction of the 46 oxocarbenium provides the final product. The cis-47 diastereoselectivity 48 for 2.3and 2.5-disubstituted morpholines could be interpreted by invoking the axial 49 50 hydride reduction of the oxocarbenium IIIa through a half-51 chair conformation, where the R^1 or R^4 substitutions are at the 52 axial position in order to minimize the steric hindrance with the carbamate group on nitrogen. (Figure 4) Similarly, for the 53 2,6-disubstituted morpholine and 2,7-disubstituted 1,4-54 oxazepane, the R² substitution is at an equatorial position of 55 56 the oxocarbenium IIIa and IIIb, respectively, and hydride 57 approaches the oxocarbenium from the axial position to 58 generate the cis-product. In many cases of the reductive 59 etherification reactions, we observed an intermediate with a mass of targeted MW minus 2 from LC/MS, indicating the 60 possible formation of a dihydrooxazine.¹⁶ By treating 61 substrate 3a with 0.2 eq InBr₃ without adding a silane, we 62 63 successfully isolated the dihydraoxazine 3aa with a good 64 vield.

65 In summary, we have developed an InBr3-promoted 66 intramolecular reductive etherification reaction for the 67 synthesis of morpholine derivatives with good function group 68 tolerability. A broad range of 2-substituted, cis-2,3-69 disubstituted, cis-2,5-disubstituted, cis-2,6-disubstituted and 70 spirocyclic morpholines were prepared with good yields and high diastereoselectivity. This chemistry features mild 71 72 catalytic reaction conditions with high functional group 73 compatibility. For example, readily removable N-protecting 74 groups such as Boc-, Cbz-, and Fmoc- are well tolerated. In 75 addition, this method can be further extended to the synthesis 76 of 2-substituted and 2,7-disubstituted 1,4-oxazepanes with

- 1 moderate yields. Its application in drug discovery project is
- 2 in progress, and will be reported in due course.
- 3

4

Scheme 1. $InBr_3$ -promoted intramolecular cyclization of keto alcohols 3



^aIsolated yield.

^bwith 0.5 equiv of InBr₃ ^cwith 1.0 equiv. of InBr₃.

^dwith 4.0 equiv. of Et₃SiH.

^edr was determined on the crude reaction mixtures by ¹H NMR, and was found to be > 19:1.



Figure 3. Plausible reaction mechanism

12



Figure 4. conformations of oxacarbeniums and hydride approaching direction ¹⁵

61

62

4 The authors would like to thank the Roche Innovation Center

- 5 Shanghai for financial support. 6
- Full experimental detail, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra 7
- of compound 3, 4 and 3aa are provided. Supporting 8 available on
- 9 Information is
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.****** 10

References and Notes 11

- 12 (a) M. Al-Ghorbani, B. Begum, A. Zabiulla, S. V. Mamatha, S. A. 1 13 Khanum, J. Chem. Pharm. Res. 2015, 7, 281; (b) M. J. Naim, O. 14 Alam, M. J. Alam, P. Alam, N. Shrivastava, Int. J. Pharmacol. 15 Pharm. Sci. 2015, 3, 40; (c) R. Kumar, R. V. Srinivasa, S. Kapur, 16 17 18 Int. J. Chem. Sci. 2016, 14, 1777; (d) F. Arshad, M. F. Khan, W. Akhtar, M. M. Alam, L. M. Nainwal, S. K. Kaushik, M. Akhter, S. Parvez, S. M. Hasan, M. Shaquiquzzaman, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2019, 167, 324; (e) A. P. Kourounakis, D. Xanthopoulos, A. Tzara, Med. Res. Rev. 2019, 39, 1; (f) E. Vitaku, D. T. Smith, J. T. Njardarson, J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257.
- 2. Calculated value ChemAxon: see https://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/ DB13669
- 3. (a) R. Wijtmans R, M. K. S. Vink, H. E. Schoemaker, F. L. van Delft, R. H. Blaauw, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, Synthesis 2004, 5, 641; (b) J. Ilas, P. S. Anderluh, M. S. Dolenc, D. Kikelj, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 7325; (c) V. A. Pal'chikov, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 49, 787.
- 4. (a) V. Lupi, D. Albanese, D. Landini, D. Scaletti, M. Penso, Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11709; (b) R. Dave, N. A. Sasaki, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 15; (c) B. A. Lanman, A. G. Myers, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1045; (d) B. Ritzen, S. Hoekman, E. D. Verdasco, F. L. van Delft, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3461; (e) M. Borah, U. Borthakur, A. K. Saikia, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 1330.
 - 5. (a) S. J. Gharpure, J. V. K. Prasad, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 10325. (b) S. J. Gharpure, D. Anuradha, J. V. K. Prasad, P. S. Rao, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015 2015, 86. (c) S. J. Gharpure, J.V. K. Prasad, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 2076.
- L.-F. Yao, Y. Wang, K.-W. Huang, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 6. 721
- 7. (a) M. K. Ghorai, D. Shukla, K. Das, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7013; (b) J. Bornholdt, J. Felding, J. L. Kristensen, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7454; (c) M. D'Hooghe, T. Vanlangendonck, K. W. Törnroos, N. De Kimpe, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4678.
- (a) F. C. Sequeira, S. R. Chemler, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4482; (b) M. 8. J. Deka, K. Indukuri, S. Sultana, M. Borah, A. K. Saikia, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4349.
- 9. H. Zhai, A. Borzenko, Y. Y. Lau, S. H. Ahn, L. L. Schafer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12219.
- 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 10. (a) K. Wen, Z. Wu, B. Chen, J. Chen, W. Zhang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 5618; (b) A. Trabocchi, I. Stefanini, M. Morvillo, L. Ciofi, D. Cavalieri, A. Guarna, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 5552
 - 11. M. C. Wilkinson, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 4773.
- (a) M. U. Luescher, C.-V. T. Vo, J. W. Bode, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 12. 1236; (b) M. K. Jackl, L. Legnani, B. Morandi, J. W. Bode, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 4696.
- 13. Relative stereochemistry is assigned by 2D NMR e.g. COSY, HSQC and NOE experiments, see supporting information.
- 14. (a) J. Z. Saavedra, P. Bayrasy, A. Resendez, R. Snelling, M. H. 60 Anderson, B. Singaram, Arkivoc 2012, 6, 167; (b) N. Hayashi, I.

Shibata, A. Baba, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4981; (c) N. Sakai, K. Fujii, T. Konakahara, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 6873.

- 63 A detailed diastereoselectivity analysis is depicted in the 15 64 supporting information.
- 65 16. For the synthesis of 3q, we obtained the dihydrooxazine 3q' in the 66 purification on silica gel chromatography. For the synthesis 3r, we 67 obtained a mixture of 3r and 3r' under the reaction conditions.

3