Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 2537

www.rsc.org/obc

COMMUNICATION

Facile synthesis of 4-substituted 3,4-dihydrocoumarins via an organocatalytic double decarboxylation process*

Shiyong Peng,^a Lei Wang,^a Haibing Guo,^b Shaofa Sun^b and Jian Wang^{*a}

Received 11th January 2012, Accepted 3rd February 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25075f

3,4-Dihydrocoumarins, considered to be valuable building blocks, have attracted considerable attention due to their various biological activities. Herein, we have documented an efficient and convenient double decarboxylation process for the synthesis of 4-substituted 3,4-dihydrocoumarin in moderate to excellent yields under mild reaction conditions (up to 98%).

Introduction

Over the past few decades, natural products have proven to be useful small-molecule probes in medicinally community.¹ A rapid access to small molecules that are guided by natural products appears to be quintessential for the success of chemical genetics/genomics-based programs. The design and synthesis of novel scaffolds as chiral core structures for the library generation of natural product-like derivatives is an essential step in accessing a wide range of structural complexes in an efficient manner.²

3,4-Dihydrocoumarins, considered to be valuable building blocks, have attracted considerable attention due to their various biological activities,³ such as aldose reductase inhibition,⁴ protein kinases,⁵ antiherpetic,⁶ and flavoring agent to a diverse set of foods (soft drinks, yogurt, muffins).⁷ In addition, the 3,4dihydrocoumarin scaffold has been discovered in a number of important natural compounds as exemplified by Calomelanol A-C, E-J (Fig. 1).8 In view of their wide biological applications, we wondered whether it would be possible to assemble a 3,4-dihydrocoumarin skeleton via an efficient process. In fact, several common methods have been reported, but most of these traditional approaches suffer from harsh reaction conditions, lack of substrate generality, the use a large excess of expensive transition metals, the use of a laborious multistep procedure, or corrosive organic acids, etc.9 Surprisingly, despite the need for metal-free and environmentally benign methods, organocatalytic strategies for the synthesis of 3,4-dihydrocoumarins have been rarely reported. In 2007, Henry and Kwon discovered a tertiary phosphine catalyzed intramolecular [3 + 2] annulation strategy to construct cyclopentene-fused dihydrocoumarins in good to excellent to good yields (eqn (1)).^{9w} Afterwards, Lectka's group documented an efficient [4 + 2] cycloaddition reaction of *ortho*quinone methides with silvl ketene acetals to afford a variety of alkyl- and aryl-substituted 3,4-divdrocoumarins (eqn (2)).⁹¹ In 2009, Zeitler and Rose reported a one-pot, atom-economic Nheterocyclic carbine-catalyzed redox lactonization reaction of ohydroxycinnamaldehydes in the presence of oxidants (eqn (3)).^{9h} Most recently Hong et al. reported an amine-thiourea catalyzed Michael-acetalization process which could generate the 3,4-dihydrocoumarin scaffold in synthetic useful yields (eqn (4)).^{9m} As part of a program geared toward the design and development of novel organocatalytic strategy for the efficient and mild synthesis of 3,4-dihydrocoumarins, here we document the first organocatalytic double decarboxylation strategy, thus leading to an efficient assembly of 4-substituted 3,4-dihydrocoumarins (eqn (5)).

Phosphine-catalyzed annulation (ref. 9w)



Calomelanol **B**: $R^1 = OH$; $R^2 = H$ Calomelanol C: $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = OH$ Calomelanol I: R¹ = H; R² =OH Calomelanol **J**: $R^1 = R^2 = H$

Fig. 1 Examples of 4-substituted 3,4-dihydrocoumarin scaffold based natural products.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117543. E-mail: chmwangj@nus.edu.sg; Fax: (+) 65-6516-1691

^bCollege of Chemistry and Biology Sciences, Xianning University, Hubei Province, China, 437100

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25075f

Cycloaddition of o-quinone methides (ref. 91)

$$\bigvee_{PMP}^{O} + \bigvee_{R}^{O} + H \xrightarrow{\Theta_{NR_4F}^{\Theta}} \bigvee_{O}^{O} + \bigvee_{O}^{R} (2)$$

Carbene-catalyzed redox lactonization (ref. 9h)

$$R \xrightarrow{II}_{U} OH \xrightarrow{N-\text{Heterocyclic Carbene}} R \xrightarrow{II}_{U} OO \xrightarrow{O} O$$
(3)

Cascade domino Michael-acetalization (ref. 9m)



This work: double decarboxylation



It is noteworthy that conjugate addition has represented a straightforward approach in the past few decades.¹⁰ In general, in these processes activated methylenes such as malonates,¹¹ 1,3ketoesters,¹² nitroalkanes,¹³ bis-sulfones,¹⁴ and active thioesters¹⁵ as nuclephiles are commonly utilized. However, the direct introduction of a synthetically useful mono-ester or thioester (e.g. CH₂CO₂R or CH₂COSR) moiety is a challenging synthetic issue. The difficulty is due to the relatively high pK_a values of the α -protons of these carbonyl compounds.¹⁶ We envision that a provisional "auxiliary" functional group, such as a carboxylic acid moiety,¹⁷ can function as an ester or thioester synthon (Scheme 1). The introduction of a carboxylic acid group can activate the α -carbon of the ester or thioester and lead the expected nucleophilic conjugate addition. Moreover, the carboxylic acid moiety can be readily removed under mild conditions. This base-triggered double decarboxylation strategy



Scheme 1 Design of malonic acid half-esters or thioesters as nuclephiles for organocatalytic decarboxylation reactions of α , β -unsaturated carbonyls.

Table 1 Catalyst investigation^a



Entry	Catalyst	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	i-Butylamine (I)	_
2	Pyrrolidine (II)	44
3	TEA (III)	56
4	DIPEA $(\mathbf{IV})^c$	41
5	<i>N</i> -Methylmorpholine (V)	66
6	Pyridine (VI)	_
7	$\dot{\text{DMAP}}$ $(\dot{\text{VII}})^d$	49
8	NaOAc (VIII)	_
9	Li_2CO_3 (IX)	_
10	$Cs_2CO_3(\mathbf{X})$	_
11	NaOH (XI)	_

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1b** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2b** (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), catalyst (20 mol%), THF (0.4 mL), 6 h, room temperature. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after flash column purification. ^{*c*} N,N-Diisopropylethylamine. ^{*d*} 4-Dimethylaminopyridine.

would be an ideal approach for greener and more atom-economic C–C bond formations.

Results and discussion

To test our hypothesis, two comparison experiments were initially carried out in the presence of a catalytic amount of triethylamine. Not surprisingly, thioester **1a** did not react with coumarin **2a** (eqn (6)). Unfortunately, our proposed malonic acid half thioester **1b** was also not reacted with coumarin **2a** to afford the desired product (eqn (7)). We deduce that the activity of coumarin **2a** might be a crucial issue. Towards this end, another controlled experiment was designed. As shown in eqn (8), coumarin-3-carboxylic acid **2b** was introduced to allow the reaction to afford the desired product **3bb** in a synthetically useful yield (eqn (8), 56%). In view of the structure of coumarin-3-carboxylic acid **2b**, the C3–COOH group may be helpful in improving the electrophilicity of C4 and prompting the conjugation addition to generate the featured compound **3bb**.

With this finding in hand, we then started to promote this reaction in a high efficiency fashion. In this context, we explored the reaction of malonic acid half thioester **1b** with coumarin-3-carboxylic acid **2b**, in the presence of primary amine, i-butylamine I and pyridine VI, we obtained none of the target 3,4-dihydrocoumarin **3bb** (Table 1, entries 1 and 6). Next, we examined the

View Article Online

Table 2 Optimization of other parameters^a



1 2 3	Et ₂ O DCM	36 <5%
4 5	EtOAc Acetone	<5% 46
6 7	MeCN THF	<5% 66
8 9	1,4-Dioxane DMF	73 82
10^{c} 11^{d} 12^{e}	DMF DMF	76 90
12 13 14	EtOH H ₂ O	<5% <5%

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1b** (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), **2b** (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), catalyst V (20 mol%), solvent (0.4 mL), 6 h, room temperature. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after flash column purification. ^{*c*} Cat. V (10 mol%), 12 h. ^{*d*} **1b** (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), **2b** (0.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), Cat. V (10 mol%), 12 h. ^{*e*} **1b** (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), **2b** (0.2 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), Cat. V (10 mol%), 12 h.

secondary amine, pyrrolidine II. Interestingly, pyrrolidine II afforded a 44% yield (Table 1, entry 2). Followed that, a series of tertiary amines, such as triethylamine III, *N*,*N*-diisopropyl-ethylamine IV, *N*-methylmorpholine V and 4-dimethylamino-pyridine VII, were investigated (Table 1, entries 3-5 and 7). It is noteworthy that *N*-methylmorpholine V was approved to be a more efficient catalyst (Table 1, entry 5, 66%, 6 h). In addition, several inorganic bases were applied to this reaction and finally demonstrated no catalytic activation (Table 1, entries 8–11).

In order to achieve a high chemical yield, we did further investigation on other parameters, such as solvent and ratio of components. Results showed that less polar solvents were poor reaction media (Table 2, entries 1-7, <5%-66%). Ethanol and water led to a sluggish reaction (Table 2, entries 13 and 14). Finally, a basic polar solvent was generally essential for a good chemical yield (Table 2, entry 9, 82%). In addition, if the ratio of **1b/2b** was adjusted from 1:1.2 to 1.2:1, a good chemical yield (90%) was finally obtained (Table 2, entry 11). Moreover, a lower catalyst loading caused a loss of reaction yield (Table 2, entry 10, 10 mol%, 76%).

Having established an efficient protocol for the reaction of 1b and 2b, we subsequently explored the substrate scope of this transformation. As shown in Table 3, a number of coumarin-3-carboxylic acids 2b–j bearing electron donating and electron-withdrawing substituents were successfully applied to the double decarboxylation process. The corresponding adducts 3bb–bj were isolated in moderate to excellent yields (60–96%). Furthermore, a diverse set of malonic acid half-thioesters were examined and demonstrated that the substitution pattern of the phenyl ring on thioester had limited influence on the catalytic activity of

the reaction (Table 3, **3cb–fb**). Surprisingly, the alkyl substituted malonic acid half-thioesters **1g–h** also efficiently participated in the decarboxylation process to generate 4-alkyl thioester 3,4-dihydrocoumarin **3gb–hb** and provided 92% and 88% yields in 12 h. In addition to malonic acid half-thioester **1b–g**, several other α -functionalized carboxylic acids **1i–m**, which tolerated ester, amide, ketone, nitrile and aryl groups, were introduced to this process and the desired products **3ib–mb** were achieved in high to excellent yields (80–98%).

Conclusions

In summary, we have documented an efficient and convenient double decarboxylation process for the synthesis of 4-substituted 3,4-dihydrocoumarin in moderate to excellent yields (up to 98%). We hope that the catalytic system and strategy demonstrated here could be applied to efficiently assemble other synthetic useful chemical structures. Elaboration of above synthesized products and further applications of our proposed decarboxylation strategy are now ongoing in our group.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the National University of Singapore and Singapore Ministry of Education for financial support of this work (Academic Research Grants: R143000408133, R143000408733, R143000443112, R143000480112, and NRF-CRP7-2010-03).

Notes and references

- For book reviews, see: (a) K. C. Nicolaou and T. Montagnon, Molecules that Changed the World: A Brief History of the Art and Science of Synthesis and its Impact on Society, Wiley, Weinheim, 2008; (b) K. C. Nicolaou and E. J. Sorensen, Classics in Total Synthesis, Wiley, Weinheim, 1995; (c) K. C. Nicolaou and S. A. Snyder, Classics in Total Synthesis II, Wiley, Weinheim, 2003; (d) P. M. Dewick, Medicinal Natural Products, Wiley, West Sussex, 2009.
- For selected reviews, see: (a) S. L. Schreiber, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 1998,
 6, 1127; (b) S. L. Schreiber, *Science*, 2000, 287, 1964; (c) C. M. Crew,
 Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2000, 4, 47; (d) P. Arya, D. T. H. Chou and M.-G. Baek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, 40, 339; (e) D. G. Hall,
 S. Manku and F. Wang, *J. Comb. Chem.*, 2001, 3, 125; (f) S. Wendeborn,
 A. de Mesmaeker, W. K.-D. Brill and S. Berteina, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000,
 33, 215; (g) L. A. Wessjohann, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2000, 4, 303;
 (h) L. Weber, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2000, 4, 295.
- 3 (a) J. Posakony, M. Hirao, S. Stevens, J. A. Simon and A. Bedalov, J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 2635; (b) A. Kumar, B. K. Singh, R. Tyagi, S. K. Jain, S. K. Sharma, A. K. Prasad, H. G. Raj, R. C. Rastogi, A. C. Watterson and V. S. Parmar, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2005, 13, 4300; (c) F. Roelens, K. Huvaere, W. Dhooge, M. V. Cleemput, F. Comhaire and D. D. Keukeleire, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2005, 40, 1042; (d) F. Song, S. Lu, J. Gunnet, J. Z. Xu, P. Wines, J. Proost, Y. Liang, C. Baumann, J. Lenhard, W. V. Murray, K. T. Demarest and G.-H. Kuo, J. Med. Chem., 2007, 50, 2807; (e) J. F. Teichert and B. L. Feringa, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 2679; (f) F. Ulgheri, M. Marchetti and O. Piccolo, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 6056; (g) M. Ding, F. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu, C.-H. Wang, X.-L. Zhao and J. Zhou, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 2035; (h) M. Ding, F. Zhou, Z.-Q. Qian and J. Zhou, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 2912.
- 4 M. Iinuma, T. Tanaka, M. Mizuno, T. Katsuzaki and H. Ogawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1989, **37**, 1813.
- 5 F. L. Hsu, G.-I. Nonaka and I. Nishioka, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1985, **33**, 3142.
- 6 M. Takechi, Y. Tanaka, M. Takehara, G.-I. Nonaka and I. Nishioka, *Phytochemistry*, 1985, 24, 2245.

Table 3 Substrate scope^a



^a Reaction conditions: 1b-j (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 2b-m (0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), catalyst V (20 mol%), DMF (0.4 mL), room temperature. ^b70 °C.

- 7 T. B. Adams, D. B. Greer, J. Doull, I. C. Munro, P. Newberne, P. S. Portoghese, R. L. Smith, B. M. Wagner, C. S. Weil, L. A. Woods and R.A. Ford, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 1988, **36**, 249.
- 8 (a) F. Asai, M. Iinuma, T. Tanaka and M. Mizuno, *Phytochemistry*, 1991, **30**, 3091; (b) F. Asai, M. Iinuma, T. Tanaka and M. Mizuno, *Heterocycles*, 1992, **33**, 229; (c) F. Asai, M. Iinuma, T. Tanaka, M. Takenaka and M. Mizuno, *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 2487.
- 9 For selected reviews and reports, see: (a) D. J. Dixon, S. V. Ley and F. Rodríguez, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4763; (b) J. Barluenga, F. Andina and F. Aznar, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 2703; (c) T. I. Richardson, J. A. Dodge, Y. Wang, J. D. Durbin, V. Krishnan and B. H. Norman, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 5563; (d) C.-R. Piao, Y.-L. Zhao, X.-D. Han and Q. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 2264; (e) A. Shaabani, E. Soleimani, A. H. Rezayan, A. Sarvary and H. R. Khavasi, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2581; (f) E. Alden-Danforth, M. T. Scerba and T. Lectka, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 495; (g) S. Duan, R. Jana and J. A. Tunge, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 4612; (h) K. Zeitler and C. A. Rose, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1759; (i) Y. Shen, S. Cui, J. Wang, X. Chen, P. Lu and Y. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 1139; (j) Y. Liu, J. Qian, S. Lou and Z. Xu, Synlett, 2009, 2971; (k) A. Shaabani, E. Soleimani, A. H. Rezayam, A. Sarvary and H. R. Khavasi, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2581; (1) E. Alden-Danforth, M. T. Scerba and T. Lectka, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 4951; (m) B.-C. Hong, P. Kotame and G.-H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 5758; (n) J. O. Park and S. W. Youn, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2258; (o) Z. Shi and C. He, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 3669; (p) C. Jia, D. Piao, J. Oyamada, W. Lu, T. Kitamura and Y. Fujiwara, Science, 2000, 287,

1992; (q) C. E. Song, D. Jung, S. Y. Choung, E. J. Roh and S. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 6183; (r) M. A. McHuire, S. C. Shilcrat and E. Sorenson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 3291; (s) K. M. Johnston, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 5595; (t) C. E. Rodrigues-Santos and A. Echevarria, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 4505; (u) S. W. Youn, S. J. Pastine and D. Sames, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 581; (v) E. Fillion, A. M. Dumas, B. A. Kuropatawa, N. R. Malhotra and T. C. Sitler, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 409; (w) C. E. Henry and O. Kwon, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3069.

- 10 For recent book on conjugate addition reactions, see: Catalytic Asymmetric Conjugate Reactions, ed. A. Cordova, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2010.
- (a) S. Brandau, A. Landa, J. Franze'n, M. Marigo and K. A. Jøgensen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4305; (b) F. Wu, R. Hong, J. Khan, X. Liu and L. Deng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4301; (c) Y. Wang, P. Li, X. Liang and J. Ye, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 1383; (d) A. Ma, S. Zhu and D. Ma, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 3075; (e) Y. Hayashi, M. Toyoshima, H. Gotoh and H. Ishikawa, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 45; (f) A.-N. Alba, X. Companyo, A. Moyano and R. Rios, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 7035; (g) I. Fleischer and P. A. Ivana, Chem.-Eur. J., 2010, 16, 95.
- 12 A. Carlone, M. Marigo, C. North, A. Landa and K. A. Jøgensen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4928.
- 13 (a) C. Zhong, Y. Chen, L. Petersen, N. G. Akhmedov and X. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1279; (b) S. K. Ghosh, Z. Zheng and B. Ni, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 2378; (c) M. Kamlar, N. Bravo, A.-N.

R. Alba, S. Hybelbauerova, I. Cisarova, J. Vesely, A. Moyano and R. Rios, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 5464.

- 14 (a) T. Furukawa, Y. Goto, J. Kawazoe, E. Tokunaga, S. Nakamura, Y. Yang, H. Du, A. Kakehi, M. Shiro and N. Shibata, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1642; (b) A.-N. Alba, X. Companyo, A. Moyano and R. Rios, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 7035.
- 15 D. A. Alonso, S. Kitagaki, N. Utsumi and C. F. Barbas III, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 4588.
- 16 (a) F. G. Bordwell and H. E. Fried, J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 4327;
 (b) F. G. Bordwell and H. E. Fried, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 4218.
- 17 For selected examples of metal-free decarboxylation, see: (a) J. Lubkoll and H. Wennemers, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 6841; (b) M. Liu and M. P. Sibi, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 7991; (c) A. Ricci, D. Petterson, L. Bernardi, F. Fini, M. Fochi, R. Perez Herrera and V. Sgarzani, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2007, 349, 1037; (d) Z. Jiang, Y. Pan, Y. Zhao, T. Ma, R. Lee, Y. Yang, K.-W. Huang, M. W. Wong and C.-H. Tan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3627; (e) R. Lee, X. Lim, T. Chen, G. Tan, C.-H. Tan and K.-W. Huang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 1560; (f) J. Banchet, J. Baudoux, M. Amere, M.-A. Lasne and J. Rouden, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 5493; (g) M. Amere, M.-C. Lasne and J. Rouden, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 2621.