

### Article

# Anthraquinone-Based Intramolecular-Charge-Transfer Compounds: Computational Molecular Design, Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence, and Highly-Efficient Red Electroluminescence

Qisheng Zhang, Hirokazu Kuwabara, William J. Potscavage, Shuping Huang, Yasuhiro Hatae, Takumi Shibata, and Chihaya Adachi

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/ja510144h • Publication Date (Web): 03 Dec 2014 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on December 8, 2014

# **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Anthraquinone-Based Intramolecular-Charge-Transfer Compounds: Computational Molecular Design, Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence, and Highly-Efficient Red Electroluminescence

Qisheng Zhang,<sup>§,†</sup> Hirokazu Kuwabara,<sup>§,†,‡</sup> William J. Potscavage, Jr.,<sup>†</sup> Shuping Huang,<sup>†</sup> Yasuhiro Hatae,<sup>†</sup> Takumi Shibata,<sup>†,#</sup> Chihaya Adachi\*,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>[†]</sup> Center for Organic Photonics and Electronics Research (OPERA), Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

[<sup>‡</sup>] Research & Development Group (R&D Planning Division), Nippon Kayaku Company Ltd.,
3-31-12 Shimo, Kita, Tokyo 115-8588, Japan.

[<sup>#</sup>] Advanced Technology R&D Department, Research and Development Division, Japan Display Inc., 3300, Hayano, Mobara, Chiba, 297-8622, Japan.

[\*] E-mail: adachi@cstf.kyushu-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81-92-802-6921; Tel: +81-92-802-6920

[<sup>§</sup>] These authors contributed equally.

ABSTRACT: Red fluorescent molecules suffer from large non-radiative internal conversion rates (k<sub>IC</sub>) governed by the energy gap law. To design efficient red thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) emitters for organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), a large fluorescence rate  $(k_{\rm F})$  as well as a small energy difference between the lowest singlet and triplet excited states ( $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ ) is necessary. Herein, we demonstrated that increasing the distance between donor (D) and acceptor (A) in intramolecular-charge-transfer molecules is a promising strategy for simultaneously achieving small  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  and large  $k_{\rm F}$ . Four D-Ph-A-Ph-D type molecules with an anthraquinone acceptor, phenyl (Ph) bridge, and various donors were designed, synthesized, and compared with corresponding D-A-D type molecules. Yellow to red TADF was observed from all of them. The  $k_F$ and  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  values determined from the measurements of quantum yield and lifetime of the fluorescence and TADF components are in good agreement with those predicted by corrected time-dependent density functional theory and are approximatively proportional to the square of the cosine of the theoretical twisting angles between each subunit. However the introduction of a Ph-bridge was found to enhance  $k_{\rm F}$  without increasing  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ . Molecular simulation revealed a twisting and stretching motion of the N-C bond in the D-A type molecules, which is thought to lower  $\Delta E_{ST}$  and  $k_F$  but raise  $k_{IC}$ , that was experimentally confirmed in both solution and doped film. OLEDs containing D-Ph-A-Ph-D type molecules with diphenylamine and bis(4-biphenyl)amine donors demonstrated maximum external quantum efficiencies of 12.5% and 9.0% with emission peaks at 624 nm and 637 nm, respectively.

#### ■ INTRODUCTION

When the energy difference between the lowest singlet (S<sub>1</sub>) and triplet (T<sub>1</sub>) excited states ( $\Delta E_{ST}$ ) is small, molecules can upconvert from the T1 to S1 by absorbing environmental thermal energy and then radiatively decay from the  $S_1$  (Scheme 1). This light emission is called thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF). Although TADF from many types of pure organic compounds has been reported since 1930,1 high efficiency and short lifetime TADF emitters for application to organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) were only developed in recent years.<sup>2</sup> TADF emitters in OLEDs can convert triplet excitons, which constitute 75% of electrically generated excitons, into light with a theoretical yield up to 100%, which could only be realized by employing noble-metal-based phosphors and Cu(I) complexes until two years ago.<sup>3,4</sup> Although many efficient metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) Cu(I) complexes also show thermally activated emission at room temperature (RT),<sup>4b,4e,5</sup> they are more likely an intermediate between phosphors and TADF emitters because of the mixing of <sup>1</sup>MLCT and <sup>3</sup>MLCT states by their heavy metal centers.<sup>4d,4e</sup> Since heavy-atom-free TADF emitters are cheaper than noble-metal complexes and offer more design freedom than four-coordinate tetrahedral Cu(I) complexes, they have become a hot topic in the fields of electroluminescence (EL) and electrochemiluminescence (ECL) following in the footsteps of phosphorescent materials.<sup>6-9</sup>



**Scheme 1.** Jablonski diagram for a typical TADF emitter. F, fluorescence; P, phosphorescence; IC, internal conversion; ISC, intersystem crossing. ISC represents a process from  $S_1$  to  $T_1$  in this paper unless otherwise stated.

For TADF emitters used in OLEDs, a high photoluminescence (PL) quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) can enhance the upper limit of the internal EL quantum efficiency (IQE), while a short TADF lifetime can reduce the device efficiency roll-off caused by triplet-triplet annihilation (TTA), singlet-triplet annihilation (STA), and triplet-polaron annihilation (TPA).<sup>10</sup> To achieve a short natural lifetime of

TADF, the rate constant of fluorescence  $(k_{\rm F})$  should be large while  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  should be small, with emphasis placed on the latter.<sup>2e</sup> On the other hand, to achieve a high  $\Phi$ ,  $k_{\rm F}$  should be significantly higher than the rate constant  $(k_{\rm IC})$  of internal conversion (IC) from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>0</sub>, and  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  should also be small so that the rate constant of intersystem crossing (ISC) from T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> can be considerably higher than that from T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>0</sub>. As we known, two conditions must be met for a small  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ . The first is a small exchange integral  $(J_{\rm if} = 1/2\Delta E_{\rm ST})$  for the S<sub>1</sub> transition, which is roughly proportional to the orbital overlap integral  $(S_{\rm if} = \langle \phi_{\rm i} | \phi_{\rm I} \rangle)$  between the initial  $(\phi_{\rm i})$  and final  $(\phi_{\rm f})$ state orbitals and corresponds to the degree of spatial overlap of the orbitals (page 63 in Ref. 11). The second is that the orbitals involved in the S<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> transitions are not extremely different.<sup>2b,2e</sup>

A successful approach to realizing high efficiency and short lifetime TADF is to create a bipolar molecule with a large dihedral angle ( $\theta$ ) between a N-donor and a phenyl ring substituted with electron-withdrawing groups (Scheme 2, **a**-type),<sup>2c,2e,8</sup> which is similar to the so-called pretwisted intramolecular-charge-transfer (ICT) molecules.<sup>1c,12</sup> In comparison with exciplex systems and quaternary carbon-linked donor-bridge-acceptor (D-B-A) systems,<sup>6,7</sup> pretwisted-ICT-type TADF emitters have higher  $k_F$  and  $\Phi$  values owing to the partial overlap of orbitals on the phenyl ring and an approximately proportional relationship between  $k_F$  and  $S_{if}^2$  (see Eq. 1).<sup>13</sup> ICT emitters with large dihedral angles have realized efficient blue and green TADF.<sup>2c,2e</sup> However, the yellow and orange emitters show relatively low  $\Phi$  because their  $k_F$  are not high enough to compete with  $k_{IC}$  that are increasing based on the energy gap law.<sup>2c,2e,8</sup> Owing to the naturally small  $k_F$  of MLCT complexes, improving  $\Phi$  of red Cu(I) complexes has also been a challenge for chemists in the past three decades.<sup>14</sup>



Scheme 2. Models for donor-acceptor and donor-bridge-acceptor molecules.

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society

An important design consideration for efficient red TADF is to obtain a large  $k_{\rm F}$  as well as an acceptable  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ . The introduction of an additional aromatic bridge to increase the D/A separation distance may be a solution (Scheme 2, **b**-type). For charge-transfer (CT) transitions with weak electronic coupling, the vertical transition rate ( $k_{\rm V}$ ) can be described by an approximate expression based on first principles as follows:<sup>13</sup>

$$k_{\rm V} = K E_{\rm V} H_{\rm if}^2 r^2 \tag{1}$$

where K is a constant,  $E_V$  is the transition energy, r is the effective D/A separation distance, and  $H_{if}$  is the electronic coupling (also called transfer integral) which is predicted to be proportional to  $S_{if}$  in this case.<sup>15</sup> Unlike for <sup>1</sup>CT/<sup>3</sup>CT splitting, which depends mostly on  $S_{if}$ ,<sup>11</sup>  $k_V$  is related to more factors including distance r. Therefore, if two ICT molecules have similar degrees of orbital overlap (or  $\Delta E_{ST}$ ), the molecule with larger r will have a larger  $k_F$ .

On the basis of the previous considerations, we designed a series of symmetric D- $\pi$ -A- $\pi$ -D molecules with anthraquinone (AQ) as acceptor, diphenylamine (DPA), bis(4-biphenyl)amine (BBPA), 3,6-di-tert-butylcarbazole (DTC) and 9,9-dimethyl-9,10-dihydroacridine (DMAC) as donors, and phenyl (Ph) rings as  $\pi$ -bridges (Scheme 3). The selection of AQ is due to its high T<sub>1</sub> energy level (2.73 eV) as well as strong electron-withdrawing capability,<sup>16</sup> which is another key to realizing red TADF. For comparison, D-A-D type molecules were also synthesized by directly linking the donor and acceptor units. In this paper, the influence of the D/A separation distance and the dihedral angles between subunits on the photophysical properties of these AQ-based ICT compounds is thoroughly investigated in terms of  $\Delta E_{ST}$ ,  $k_F$  and  $k_{IC}$ .



Scheme 3. Molecular structures of compounds a1-4 and b1-4.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular Simulations. Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory  $(TD-DFT)^{17}$  were employed to reproduce the S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> geometries, respectively, of these AQ-based ICT molecules. It is known that the configuration interaction (CI) description of the  $S_1$  transition as well as the optimized geometry of the  $S_1$  state for an ICT molecule depend on the exchange-correlation (XC) functional of TD-DFT.<sup>18</sup> The reason for this is that a CT state is more sensitive to the Hartree-Fock percentage (HF%) in traditional XC functionals than a locally-excited state (LE),<sup>19,20</sup> and therefore the gap and coupling between a  ${}^{1}CT$  state (S<sub>1</sub>) and higher lying <sup>1</sup>LE states can be affected by using different XC functionals. Given that there is not much difference in CT amount (q) between the  $S_1$  transitions based on the  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  geometries for these ICT molecules, optimizing S<sub>1</sub> geometries on the basis of a functional with a HF% close to the optimal HF% (OHF) employed for the calculation of vertical absorption energy from  $S_0$  to  $S_1 (E_{VA}(S_1))$  may minimize the error.<sup>18b,20</sup> Accordingly, the  $S_0$  geometries of all studied molecules were first optimized using the B3LYP functional<sup>21</sup> with 6-31G(d) basis set in vacuum as an initial guess. The q was analyzed by Multiwfn,<sup>22</sup> and the OHF for the  $E_{VA}(S_1)$  calculation was obtained by an empirical relationship of OHF = 42q (Table S1).<sup>20</sup> Then, the geometries on the S<sub>1</sub> state were optimized by TD-DFT with a functional with HF% close to OHF at the 6-31G(d) level in toluene. Although  $S_0$  geometries are generally insensitive to the XC functional and solvent, to have a better comparison, their simulation was redone in toluene by using the same methods for the corresponding S<sub>1</sub> geometries.

In the S<sub>0</sub> state, the twisting of D- $\pi$ -A units in **b1-3** can adopt two models (Scheme 4). Model I is that the dihedral angle between D and A units is equal to that between the D unit and Ph-bridge  $(\theta_1)$ plus that between the A unit and Ph-bridge ( $\theta_2$ ). Model II is that the dihedral angle between D and A units equals  $\theta_1$  minus  $\theta_2$ . The S<sub>0</sub> geometry simulation of **b1** reveals the same energies for these two models, indicating an equal chance of their existence (Fig. S1). Here, we select model I for all of the following simulations. The geometries and frontier orbitals of the  $S_0$  state for all eight molecules are shown in Fig. 1, and the important geometrical parameters are listed in Table 1. The molecules based on DPA and BBPA donors have relatively small dihedral angles of 29-38° between the donors and either the AQ-acceptor (a-type) or the Ph-bridge (b-type),  $\theta$  or  $\theta_1$ . In contrast, the molecules based on the donor DMAC have extremely large dihedral angles of 85-90° due to the large steric hindrance.<sup>2e</sup> The dihedral angles of  $\theta$  and  $\theta_1$  in the DTC-based molecules (46-50°) are between those of the other molecules. Note that the HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions are all symmetry forbidden for these symmetrical molecules, while the HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions with approximate energies are allowed (Table S2). Herein, the low-energy transitions predominated by the latter are regarded as the  $S_1$  transition. As shown in Fig. 1, the LUMO orbitals are localized mainly on the AQ-acceptors and slightly on the adjacent nitrogen atoms or Ph-bridges. In contrast, the HOMO-1 orbitals are mainly distributed on the donors and only partially on the adjacent Ph-bridge or AQ-acceptor. Increasing the dihedral angles  $\theta$  and  $\theta_1$  inhibits the delocalization of the nitrogen lone pair to the  $\pi$  system of the AQ-acceptor and Ph-bridge, respectively, leading to a smaller overlap of the frontier orbitals and decreased coplanarity between Ph-bridges and the AO-acceptor for **b**-type molecules (Table 1). Owing to the enlarged D/A separation distance, the orbital overlap of a **b**-type molecule is smaller than that of the **a**-type molecule with the same donor. Similarly, the orbital overlap of a BBPA-donor molecule is also smaller than that of the corresponding DPA-based molecule.



Scheme 4. Two twisting models for donor-bridge-acceptor moieties.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment



**Figure 1.** The HOMO-1 and LUMO of the investigated molecules in their  $S_0$  state in toluene. **a1-3** optimized at the DFT/MPW1B95<sup>24</sup>/6-31G(d) level, while **a4** and **b1-4** were optimized at the DFT/BMK<sup>25</sup>/6-31G(d) level.

**Table 1.** Computed twisting angles ( $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta$ ), bond lengths ( $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  and l), transition energies ( $E_{VA}$  and  $E_{VE}$ , OHF values), exchange energy between <sup>1</sup>CT and <sup>3</sup>CT ( $\Delta E_{ST}$ (CT)), oscillator strengths ( $f_{VA}$  and  $f_{VE}$ , OHF values) and transition rates ( $k_{VA}$  and  $k_{VE}$ ) of the investigated molecules in toluene on S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> geometries optimized by the same methods in Fig. 1 and 2.

	S <sub>0</sub> geometry in toluene						S <sub>1</sub> geometry in toluene					
	$\theta_1/\theta_2$ or $\theta$	$l_1/l_2$ or $l$	$E_{VA}(S_1)$	$\Delta E_{ST}(CT)$	ſ	$k_{\rm VA}$	$\theta_1/\theta_2$ or $\theta$	$l_1/l_2$ or $l$	$E_{\rm VE}({f S}_1)$	$\Delta E_{\rm ST}({\rm CT})$	ſ	$k_{\rm VE}$
	(°)	(Å)	(eV)	(eV)	Jva	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$	(°)	(Å)	(eV)	(eV)	JVE	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$
a1	29	1.393	2.68	0.52	0.499	23.3	47	1.423	2.09	0.36	0.087	2.47
a2	30	1.395	2.58	0.46	0.590	25.6	50	1.424	1.99	0.29	0.167	4.30
a3	46	1.398	2.64	0.29	0.353	16.0	57	1.423	2.19	0.19	0.087	2.72
a4	90	1.429	2.22	0.01	0.0001	0.003	90	1.449	1.78	0.01	0.0001	0.002
b1	34/31	1.410/1.487	2.69	0.25	0.510	24.0	36/29	1.385/1.473	2.27	0.26	0.312	10.5
b2	38/34	1.413/1.488	2.64	0.18	0.457	20.7	38/29	1.402/1.478	2.25	0.21	0.334	11.0
b3	50/36	1.412/1.490	2.92	0.12	0.255	14.2	47/29	1.404/1.479	2.49	0.16	0.195	7.87
b4	85/37	1.431/1.491	2.69	0.002	0.002	0.094	90/29	1.448/1.484	2.27	0.001	0.0001	0.003

In the relaxed  $S_1$  state (one electron in the HOMO and one in the LUMO), HOMO and HOMO-1 localize on the different individual donors due to symmetry breaking (Fig. 2, Table S2). The transition between HOMO and LUMO becomes allowed and lowest. Thus, the excited state relaxation for each molecule will be discussed based on changes on the side where the HOMO is located. In  $S_1$ , the dihedral angles  $\theta$  in **a1-3** enlarge by 10-20° along with an increase in the bond lengths (*l*) between N-atoms and AQ-acceptors (N-C bonds) relative to the corresponding values in the S<sub>0</sub> state (Table 1). Such an internal rotation is somewhat like that observed in twisted ICT (TICT) molecules,<sup>12a</sup> and can be explained by the so-called minimum overlap rule.<sup>25</sup> That is, the energy of a CT state can be lowered by geometry relaxation toward a total charge separation on the donor and acceptor. Despite a few reports of the rotational relaxation of ICT molecules with large-size diphenylamine donors in polar solvents,<sup>26</sup> such a large-amplitude nuclear rearrangement in amorphous organic semiconductors has not yet been reported and needs to be further investigated with additional experiments. On the other hand, the behavior of **b**-type molecules in the excited state is more like that of the biphenyl-bridged D- $\pi$ -A molecules that have been thoroughly studied by M. Maus et al.<sup>27</sup> In S<sub>1</sub>, the delocalization of the excited electron on the  $\pi$ system of the Ph-bridge and AQ-acceptor enhance their conjugation, leading to decreased  $\theta_2$ (coplanarization) and  $l_2$  (bond length alternation) (Table 1). The lack of a significant change in  $\theta_1$ from S<sub>0</sub> to relaxed S<sub>1</sub> may be attributed to the enlarged D/A separation distance and weak donor– acceptor electronic coupling in the Franck–Condon state.



Figure 2. The HOMO (one electron) and LUMO (one electron) of the investigated molecules in their  $S_1$  state in toluene. **a1-3** optimized at the TD-DFT/MPW1B95/6-31G(d) level, while **a4** and **b1-4** were optimized at the TD-DFT/BMK/6-31G(d) level.

The  $E_{VA}(S_1)$  and  $E_{VE}(S_1)$  (vertical emission energy) for all eight molecules were calculated in

toluene by using the OHF/TD-DFT method on the basis of the optimized  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  geometries, respectively.<sup>20</sup> Because of the logarithmic relationship between the vertical transition energy and the HF% in XC functionals (HF%  $\geq 20\%$ ),<sup>2e,20</sup>  $E_{VA}(S_1)$  and  $E_{VE}(S_1)$  were first calculated using the B3LYP (20% HF) and BMK (42% HF) functionals with 6-31G(d) basis set, and  $E_{VA}(S_1, OHF)$  and  $E_{VE}(S_1, OHF)$  were read from a straight line between the two transition energy–HF% points (Table S2) plotted on a log–log scale. According to the calculation, these molecules have approximate CT absorption peaks at around 460-480 nm, except for **a4** (560 nm) and **b3** (425 nm), and the energy differences of 0.4–0.6 eV between the absorption and emission maxima. In S<sub>1</sub>, since the CI description of the T<sub>1</sub> transitions reproduced by TD-DFT/B3LYP is similar to that of the S<sub>1</sub> transitions (<sup>1</sup>CT), the transition-energy-related <sup>1</sup>CT-<sup>3</sup>CT splitting can be calculated by the following formula:<sup>20</sup>

$$\Delta E_{\rm ST}(\rm CT) = E_{\rm VE}(S_1, \rm OHF) - E_{\rm VE}(T_1, \rm B3LYP) \times E_{\rm VE}(S_1, \rm OHF) / E_{\rm VE}(S_1, \rm B3LYP).$$
(2)

The exchange energy between <sup>1</sup>CT and <sup>3</sup>CT transitions (HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO) in a S<sub>0</sub> geometry can be calculated in the same way (Table 1). The  $E_{0.0}(^{1}$ CT) and  $E_{0.0}(^{3}$ CT) can then be evaluated by

$$E_{0-0}(^{1}\text{CT}) = [E_{\text{VE}}(S_{1}, \text{OHF}) + E_{\text{VA}}(S_{1}, \text{OHF})]/2$$
(3)  
$$E_{0-0}(^{3}\text{CT}) = E_{0-0}(^{1}\text{CT}) - \Delta E_{\text{ST}}(\text{CT})$$
(4)

where  $\Delta E_{ST}(CT)$  is computed on the basis of the S<sub>1</sub> geometries. On the other hand,  $E_{0.0}(^{3}LE)$  of all of the investigated molecules can be predicted from their  $E_{VA}(T_3, B3LYP)$  (Table S2) by an experience coefficient method:<sup>20</sup>

$$E_{0-0}(^{3}\text{LE}) = E_{VA}(T_{3}, B3LYP)/C-\Delta E_{Stokes}$$
(5)

where *C* is a correction factor found to be 1.02 for the B3LYP functional and  $\Delta E_{\text{Stokes}}$  is the Stokes-shift energy loss for a LE transition in toluene (0.07 eV) (See the Experimental Section in SI for more details).

Since the calculated  $E_{0.0}(^{3}\text{LE})$  are all higher than or close to the  $E_{0.0}(^{3}\text{CT})$  (Table S1), the computed  $\Delta E_{\text{ST}}(\text{CT})$  ranging from 0.52 to 0.001 eV can be taken to be their  $\Delta E_{\text{ST}}$ , which is seen to depend strongly on the degree of orbital overlap (Fig. 1 and 2). At a qualitative level, if we assume that these short-range ICT have a proportional relationship between  $S_{\text{if}}$  and  $H_{\text{if}}$  like that found in long-range CT and intermolecular CT,<sup>15</sup>  $\Delta E_{\text{ST}}(\text{CT})$  is expected to be related to  $J_{\text{if}}$ ,  $S_{\text{if}}$ , and, in turn,  $H_{\text{if}}$ . Additionally, the electronic coupling between a directly connected donor and acceptor was found to be proportional to the cosine of their dihedral angle,<sup>27e,28</sup> while the electronic coupling of a donor-bridge-acceptor molecule is proportional to the product of the electronic coupling of the donor and bridge and that of the bridge and acceptor via a superexchange mechanism.<sup>15a,28b</sup> Thus,  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}(\rm CT)$  values of these ICT molecules are expected to be related to  $\cos\theta$  and  $\cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2$  for **a**-type and **b**-type molecules, respectively. In fact, a proportional relationship was found between  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}(\rm CT)$  and  $\cos^2\theta$  or  $\cos^2\theta_1\cos^2\theta_2$  on the basis of our calculation results (Table S3), which is consistent with the prediction that  $J_{\rm if}$  is weighted by  $S_{\rm if}^{2,29}$  Owing to the increase of the dihedral angle  $\theta$ , the  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  for **a1-3** in the S<sub>1</sub> state are significantly smaller than those in the S<sub>0</sub> state. On the contrary, the exchange energy for **b1-3** in the S<sub>1</sub> state is slightly larger than that in the S<sub>0</sub> state due to the decrease of the dihedral angle  $\theta_2$ .

TD-DFT also provides a relative oscillator strength (f) for each transition. Since oscillator strength of vertical absorption and emission is a function of transition energy, their optimal values were determined by a correction of those calculated at the TD-DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) level using

$$f_{VA}(OHF) = f_{VA}(B3LYP) \times E_{VA}(S_1, OHF) / E_{VA}(S_1, B3LYP),$$
(6a)  
$$f_{VE}(OHF) = f_{VE}(B3LYP) \times E_{VE}(S_1, OHF) / E_{VE}(S_1, B3LYP).$$
(6b)

Taking a simplified relationship that the transition rate  $(s^{-1})$  can be approximated by the product of the oscillator strength and the square of the wavenumber  $(cm^{-1})$  (page 196 in Ref. 11), the transition rates of vertical absorption and emission can be calculated by

$$k_{\rm VA} = f_{\rm VA}(\rm OHF) \times E_{\rm VA}(S_1, \rm OHF)^2$$
(7a)  
$$k_{\rm VE} = f_{\rm VE}(\rm OHF) \times E_{\rm VE}(S_1, \rm OHF)^2$$
(7b)

Unsurprisingly, the computed  $k_{VA}$  and  $k_{VE}$  are approximately proportional to  $\cos^2\theta$  for **a**-type molecules and  $\cos^2\theta_1 \cos^2\theta_2$  for **b**-type molecules (Table 1 and S3) due to a roughly proportional dependence of  $k_V$  on the dihedral-angle-dependent  $H_{if}^2$  in these ICT systems (Eq. 1). Since  $\theta$  for **a4** and  $\theta_1$  for **b4** are predicted to be nearly 90° in both S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>, their ICT transitions are theoretically forbidden with transition rates that are nearly zero. However, the transitions may actually be more permissible due to a conformational distribution including more planar geometries around the equilibrium one. For the same reason, their actual  $\Delta E_{ST}(CT)$  may also be larger than the simulated values.

In S<sub>0</sub>, the  $k_{VA}$  of each **a**-type molecule is similar to that of the **b**-type molecule with the same donor. For **b**-type molecules, the  $k_{VE}$  in broken-symmetry S<sub>1</sub> are nearly half of their  $k_{VA}$  in S<sub>0</sub> because the S<sub>1</sub> (HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO) and S<sub>2</sub> (HOMO-1 $\rightarrow$ LUMO) transitions share the overall f(Table S2). If so, the symmetrical design (e.g., D-A-D) will not lead to a higher  $k_F$ . For **a**-type molecules, the  $f(S_1)$  in S<sub>1</sub> are much smaller than those in S<sub>0</sub> due to not only the symmetry breaking but also the internal rotation. Interestingly, it is found that the DTC-based molecule **b3** in S<sub>0</sub> has a small  $\Delta E_{ST}$  (0.12 eV), which is comparable to those of the large twisted TADF emitters,<sup>2c,2e,8</sup> but a large transition rate that is comparable to the DPA-based molecule **b1**, suggesting that a large  $k_F$ and a small  $\Delta E_{ST}$  can be achieved in one molecule by adopting a **b**-type structure with well controlled  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ .

**Photophysical Properties.** The synthesis of the eight compounds in Scheme 3 is described in the supporting information. Their absorption and emission spectra in toluene at RT are shown in Fig. 3. The lowest-energy absorption bands (400-500 nm) can be ascribed to the <sup>1</sup>CT transitions. Their peak energies are consistent with the computed  $E_{VA}(S_1)$  (Table S1). Except for the hardly emissive **a4** and yellow-emitting **b3**, the molecules emit orange-red to red light with peaks at 600-630 nm in toluene. The computed  $E_{VE}(S_1)$  for **a**-type molecules coincide with their emission peak energies, while those of **b**-type molecules are generally overestimated by 0.2 eV (Table S1). The polarizable continuum model used here may underestimate the solvation in toluene/**b**-type-molecule systems,<sup>30</sup> because the  $E_{VA}(S_1)$  and  $E_{VE}(S_1)$  calculated under vacuum are in good agreement with the experimental values in nonpolar solvent (Table S4, Fig. S2b). The oscillator strength of the <sup>1</sup>CT absorption listed in Table 2 were determined experimentally from the integration of its band by (page 195 in Ref. 11)

$$f = 4.3 \times 10^{-9} f \varepsilon d\tilde{\nu}, \tag{8}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the molar extinction coefficient and  $\tilde{v}$  is the wavenumber of the absorption. The *f* values for phenylamine, DTC, and DMAC derivatives decrease in order, while **a**- and **b**-type molecules with the same donor have similar *f*. Except for the DMAC derivatives having significantly higher *f* than the computed ones, the experimental *f* for the molecules are about two-thirds of the computed ones (Table 1).



**Figure 3.** The absorption and emission spectra of the investigated molecules in toluene (0.02 mM) at RT. The low-intensity emission spectrum of **a4** is shown in Fig. S2a.

in air-saturated toluene									in O <sub>2</sub> -free toluene				
	$\lambda_{abs,max}$	f	λ <sub>em, max</sub>	Φ	$ au_{ m F}$	$k_{ m F}$	k <sub>SB</sub>	Φ	$arPsi_{ m F}$	$ au_{ m F}$	$ au_{\mathrm{TADF}}$	$k_{ m F}$	
	(nm)		(nm)		(ns)	(×10 <sup>7</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$			(ns)	(µs)	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$	
<b>a</b> 1	449	0.36	601	0.09	3.6	2.5	12.4	0.20	0.13	5.3	377	2.5	
a2	464	0.37	629	0.06	2.6	2.3	12.0	0.10	0.08	3.5	74	2.3	
a3	463	0.18	602	0.07	4.0	1.8	5.8	0.15	0.13	7.5	7.7	1.7	
a4	527	0.015	662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
b1	454	0.36	597	0.30	4.9	6.1	12.2	0.59	0.55	9.0	102	6.1	
b2	456	0.30	608	0.25	5.1	4.9	10.0	0.62	0.55	11.2	85	4.9	
b3	426	0.19	558	0.43	9.4	4.6	7.4	0.60	0.58	12.6	17.0	4.6	
b4	446	0.018	609	0.02	6.7	0.30	0.63	0.17	0.13	42	3.5	0.31	

Table 2. Photophysical data for the investigated molecules in toluene at RT.

According to the Strickler–Berg relationship, given little change of the molecular structure taking place in  $S_1$ , the rate constant of the emission is related to the oscillator strength of the first absorption transition by (page 196 in Ref. 11)

$$k_{\rm SB} = 0.67 \tilde{v}^2 f, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\tilde{v}$  is the wavenumber of the absorption maximum of the CT band. For **b**-type molecules measured in air-saturated toluene, experimental  $k_{\rm F}$  calculated by dividing  $\Phi$  by the single-exponential fluorescence lifetime ( $\tau_{\rm F}$ ) is about half of  $k_{\rm SB}$  (Table 2). Taking into account the simulation results, we deduce that the halving of the rate constant can be attributed to the excited-state symmetry breaking, which can be further confirmed by comparing the  $k_{\rm SB}$  and experimental  $k_{\rm F}$  of an asymmetrical analogue of **b1**, DPA-Ph-AQ (Fig. S3). However, for **a**-type molecules **a1-3**, experimental  $k_{\rm F}$  is significantly lower than  $k_{\rm SB}$  (Table 2), which is in agreement with the change of transition rate between S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> revealed by the molecular simulations, indicating a fast relaxation by twisting in the excited state in toluene. The experimental trends of  $k_{\rm SB}$  and  $k_{\rm F}$  determined from the absorption and emission processes, respectively, match the computed trends of  $k_{\rm VA}$  and  $k_{\rm VE}$  but with a relationship of  $k_{\rm SB} \sim 0.5 k_{\rm VA}$  and  $k_{\rm F} \sim 0.5 k_{\rm VE}$  (Table 1 and 2).

After oxygen degassing by nitrogen bubbling,  $\Phi$  and  $\tau_{\rm F}$  of these compounds in toluene at RT increase significantly, accompanied by the presence of TADF with a single-exponential lifetime ( $\tau_{\rm TADF}$ ) ranging from a few to hundreds of microseconds (Fig. S4). The relatively short  $\tau_{\rm F}$  in air-saturated toluene indicates that the dissolved oxygen can quench the long-lived <sup>1</sup>CT states as well as the triplet states of these molecules.<sup>31</sup> In oxygen-free toluene, the total  $\Phi$  of **a1-3** are around 0.1-0.2, while those of **b1-3** can be as high as nearly 0.6. Due to the lack of orbital overlap, the  $\Phi$  of **b4** (0.17) is dramatically lower than that of other **b**-type molecules. The fluorescence and TADF components in the transient decay spectra can be distinguished by the extension of a line fitted to the TADF decay (Fig. 4), a solution to the broad instrument response for the fluorescence decay. The fluorescent ( $\Phi_{\rm F}$ ) and TADF ( $\Phi_{\rm TADF}$ ) components of  $\Phi$  are subsequently determined from the total  $\Phi$  and the proportion of the integrated area of each of the components in the transient spectra to the total integrated area. The  $k_{\rm F}$  values calculated from  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $\tau_{\rm F}$  in oxygen-free toluene are found to be equal to those in air-saturated solutions (Table 2).



**Figure 4.** Transient decay spectra of **b1** in an  $O_2$ -free toluene at RT. The wide impulse response function (flash lamp) causes the distortion of the curve (pink) corresponding to the prompt component.

With the goal of application in devices, the photophysical properties of the title compounds were investigated by doping them into 4,4'-bis(carbazol-9-yl)biphenyl (CBP), which is the most commonly used host material for green and red phosphors and has a high  $E_{0.0}(T_1)$  of 2.6 eV (Table 3). To lower aggregation induced red-shifting and quenching (see Fig. S5) so as to better compare the data in solution and films, a low doping concentration of 1 wt% was adopted in the following PL studies. In comparison with the emission spectra in toluene, those in 1wt%-doped CBP films are blue-shifted by 2-62 nm (Fig. S6, Table 2 and 3) because the highly polar <sup>1</sup>CT states cannot be stabilized by the reorganization of surrounding polar molecules when doped in a solid matrix. Except for **b3**, the fluorescence and TADF decay for these molecules in doped films can be well fitted by a single or a double exponentials (Fig. 5a and S7), and the individual  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $\Phi_{\rm TADF}$  can be calculated in the same way as in toluene. Weighted average fluorescence lifetimes (Table 3) were used to calculate  $k_{\rm F}$  for the molecules with double exponential fluorescence decay. For the molecules other than **b3**, their  $k_{\rm F}$  in CBP film are close to those in toluene (Table 2), implying that a-type molecules can undergo a 10-20° rotational excited state relaxation even in organic semiconductor films. However, the excited-state conformation distribution of a-type molecules with large donor units should be wide due to their heterogeneous microenvironment in the solid films. Not surprisingly, the streak image (300 K) of an a2-doped film reveals a blue-shifted emission band based on its long-lived TADF component (> 2.5 ms) (Fig. 5a insert). The component may arise from rotamers that are more planar and whose rotational relaxations are restricted by their rigid microenvironment.



**Figure 5.** Transient decay spectra of (a) **a2** and (b) **b3** doped into CBP films (1 wt%) measured with a fluorescence lifetime spectrometer (C11367-03, Hamamatsu Photonics) at RT. Black curves were recorded in TCC900 mode; blue curves were recorded in M9003-01 mode; red curves are double exponential fitting data. Inset: The emission spectra of TADF components measured by a streak camera at RT.

	$\lambda_{em,max}$	$\Phi$	$arPsi_{ m F}$	$ au_{ m F}$	$ au_{\mathrm{TADF}}$	$k_{ m F}$	$k_{\rm IC}$	$k_{\rm ISC}$	$k_{\mathrm{TADF}}$	$\Delta E_{\rm ST}$
	(nm)			(ns)	(µs)	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$	$(\times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$	$(\times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1})$	(eV)
a1	593	0.50	0.13	4.9	4600 <sup>a</sup>	2.7	2.6	15	0.011	0.29
a2	603	0.42	0.17	5.6	1560 <sup>a</sup>	3.0	4.2	11	0.027	0.27
a3	575	0.52	0.16	10.4	62 <sup>a</sup>	1.5	1.4	6.5	0.84	0.17
a4	600	0.08	0.05	16.5 <sup>a</sup>	1.6	0.30	3.5	2.3	5.1	0.08
b1	594	0.80	0.54	10.2	416 <sup>a</sup>	5.3	1.3	3.2	0.19	0.24
b2	601	0.76	0.54	10.2	185 <sup>a</sup>	5.3	1.7	2.8	0.41	0.22
b3	550	0.65	0.12	33 <sup>a</sup>	16/156	0.36	0.19	2.4	-	-
b4	564	0.50	0.14	41 <sup>a</sup>	6.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.34	0.34	1.7	7.7	0.07

Table 3. Photophysical data for the investigated molecules doped into CBP films (1 wt%) at RT.

<sup>a</sup> An average lifetime calculated by  $\tau_{av} = \Sigma A_i \tau_i^2 / \Sigma A_i \tau_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the pre-exponential for lifetime  $\tau_i$  ( $A_i$  and  $\tau_i$  are shown in Fig. S7).

The photophysical properties of b3 in doped CBP films are special among these molecules. Its

fluorescence decay is double exponential while its TADF decay is a multi-exponential that can be roughly divided into two components (Fig. 5b). The fast component (68%) of TADF has a single exponential decay time of 16  $\mu$ s, while the slow one (32%) has a single exponential decay time of 156  $\mu$ s. The two TADF components appear to arise from two different rotamers in the doped film because of the different spectra observed for these two components from streak images (Fig. 5b insert). Since the  $k_F$  value of **b3** (3.3×10<sup>6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in the doped film is significantly smaller than that in toluene (4.6×10<sup>7</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), the predominant rotamer in the doped film should be more tortile than the one in toluene. The formation of differently twisted **b3** can be explained with Scheme 4. In the doped film, about three-fourths of **b3** molecules in S<sub>0</sub> contain at least one D- $\pi$ -A moiety twisted like model I (I-I, I-II, II-I), while one-fourth of them are only twisted like model II (II-II). For the former, the flattening distortion of the Ph-bridge with respect to AQ-acceptor in S<sub>1</sub> may enlarge the dihedral angle  $\theta_1$  between the Ph-bridge and the rotationally restricted DTC-donor, resulting in a decrease of  $k_F$   $\Delta E_{ST}$ , and  $\tau_{TADF}$ . In contrast, the species containing only model-II twisting tend to relax toward a more planar conformation in S<sub>1</sub> with increased  $k_F$ ,  $\Delta E_{ST}$ , and  $\tau_{TADF}$ .

Since TADF emitters in rigid matrices cannot undergo deactivation through collisions with surrounding molecules (page 288 in Ref. 11), their  $\Phi$  in doped CBP films are considerably higher than those in solution (table 2 and 3). Even **a4**, which showed almost no emission in toluene, has a  $\Phi$  of 0.08 in the doped film. According to the Boltzmann distribution, the time the title molecules spend in T<sub>1</sub> is much longer than that in S<sub>1</sub> when S<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> achieve thermal equilibrium, so the collision-free condition favors the suppression of non-radiative decay from T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>0</sub>. This is consistent with the fact that the  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $\tau_{\rm F}$  in doped films are comparable with the corresponding values in oxygen-free toluene while the  $\Phi_{\rm TADF}$  and  $\tau_{\rm TADF}$  in the former are much higher than those in the latter (Table 2 and 3). In particular, **a1** and **a2** show extremely long TADF lifetimes of 4.6 and 1.6 ms in the doped films (Fig. S7). Since the IC process is generally 4–8 orders of magnitude faster than ISC with a similar band gap,<sup>32</sup> it seems reasonable to assume that the IC process from S<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>0</sub> dominates the deactivation of the TADF emitters in the doped films;<sup>33</sup> therefore, the  $k_{\rm IC}$ ,  $k_{\rm ISC}$  and  $k_{\rm TADF}$  can be given by the following formulas.

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = k_{\rm F} / (k_{\rm F} + k_{\rm IC}) \tag{10}$$

$$\Phi_{\rm F} = k_{\rm F} / (k_{\rm F} + k_{\rm IC} + k_{\rm ISC}) \tag{11}$$

$$\Phi_{\rm IC} = k_{\rm IC} / (k_{\rm F} + k_{\rm IC} + k_{\rm ISC}) \tag{12}$$

$$\Phi_{\rm ISC} = 1 - \Phi_{\rm F} - \Phi_{\rm IC} = k_{\rm ISC} / (k_{\rm F} + k_{\rm IC} + k_{\rm ISC}) \tag{13}$$

$$k_{\text{TADF}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{TADF}} / (\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{ISC}} \times \tau_{\text{TADF}})$$
(14)

where  $\Phi_{ISC}$  is the triplet yield ignoring the reverse ISC from T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>1</sub>. Note that  $k_{TADF}$  approximates  $\Phi_{TADF}/\tau_{TADF}$  only in the case of  $k_{ISC} >> k_{IC}+k_{F}$ .<sup>2e</sup> As shown in Fig. 6, the  $k_{IC}$  in doped CBP films seems to be governed by the D-A distance as well as the energy gap law (i.e.,  $\ln(k_{IC}) \propto E_{VE}(S_1)^{-1}$ ). For the same  $E_{VE}(S_1)$ , the  $k_{IC}$  of an **a**-type molecule is more than twice as high as that of a **b**-type molecule, owing to the so-called free rotor and loose bolt effects in **a**-type molecules (page 288 in Ref. 11). As predicted by TD-DFT, the S<sub>1</sub> of **a**-type molecules can be stabilized by twisting and stretching of the N-C bond between a donor and acceptor, which shows some  $\pi$ -bond character when the twisting angle  $\theta$  is smaller than 90°. According to radiationless transition theory, twisting a  $\pi$  bond or stretching a  $\sigma$  bond in S<sub>1</sub> causes an approaching of surfaces that facilitates IC to S<sub>0</sub> (Scheme 5). The numerous investigations on MLCT Cu(I) complexes also indicated that a rigid conformation is crucial to suppress radiationless relaxation of the exciplex back to ground state and improve its  $\Phi$ .<sup>14</sup> Since the free rotor and loose bolt effects can also operate to facilitate the ISC process, the values of  $k_{ISC}$  for **a**-type molecules are also found to be higher than those for **b**-type molecules (Table 3).



**Figure 6.** Plot of the rate constants of internal conversion between  $S_1$  and  $S_0$  ( $k_{IC}$ ) versus vertical emission energy ( $E_{VE}$ ) for the investigated molecules doped into CBP films (1 wt%) at RT. The reference data is from reported TADF emitters based on a diphenylsulphone acceptor in various solvents (SI in Ref. 2e).



**Scheme 5.** The stretching of a  $\sigma$  bond or twisting of a  $\pi$  bond lowers the energy of <sup>1</sup>CT state (S<sub>1</sub>) but raises the energy of the ground state (S<sub>0</sub>), resulting in the S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>0</sub> surfaces approaching each other and creating a more efficient radiationless deactivation pathway (energy gap law).

Due to the low phosphorescence yield of the title molecules in toluene and doped films at low temperatures (Fig. S8), it is hard to reliably estimate  $\Delta E_{ST}$  from their fluorescence and phosphorescence spectra. In addition, solvent glasses can restrict the rotational relaxation of these molecules in the excited state, and therefore  $\Delta E_{ST}$  values obtained in them do not represent the ones at RT. Here, we calculated the  $\Delta E_{ST}$  of these molecules in doped films at RT by using an approximate relationship among  $\Delta E_{ST}$ ,  $k_{TADF}$ , and  $k_F$  as follows:<sup>2e</sup>

$$k_{\text{TADF}} = 1/3k_{\text{F}} \exp(-\Delta E_{\text{ST}}/RT)$$
(15)

where *R* and *T* denote the ideal gas constant and absolute temperature, respectively. Equation 15 is simplified from a more general expression for the temperature dependence of the lifetime of two thermally equilibrated emitting states when the gap between these two states is in the range of 0.05 to 0.30 eV.<sup>2e,5b</sup> The  $\Delta E_{ST}$  of these molecules in doped films given by Eq. 15 are close to the values computed based on their S<sub>1</sub> geometries (Table 1 and 3). On the other hand, the experimental  $\Delta E_{ST}$  of **a1-3** are significantly lower than the corresponding values simulated in the S<sub>0</sub> geometries, suggesting that intramolecular twisting relaxations occur in doped films after excitation. Since  $\Delta E_{ST}$  is insensitive to  $k_{TADF}$  (e.g.,  $\Delta E_{ST}$  decreases only 0.01 eV when  $k_{TADF}$ doubles), we can also estimate the  $\Delta E_{ST}$  of some efficient emitters ( $k_F > k_{IC}$ ) in solution using Eq. 14 and 15 with an approximation of  $\mathcal{P}_{ISC} \approx 1-\mathcal{P}_{F}$ . The reliability of such a treatment has been confirmed by using reported TADF emitters with  $\Delta E_{ST}$  determined in toluene (Table S5).

**Device Characterization.** From the previous comparison, we can conclude that the **b**-type molecules are more suitable for application in OLEDs owing to their relatively high  $\Phi$  and short  $\tau_{TADF}$  in doped films. Consequently, the four **b**-type molecules were selected for characterization in devices with an optimized structure (Fig. S9),<sup>34</sup> indium tin oxide (ITO)/HAT-CN (10 nm)/Tris-PCz (30 nm)/10 wt% TADF:CBP (30 nm)/T2T (10 nm)/Bpy-TP2 (40 nm)/LiF where dipyrazino[2,3-f:20,30-h]quinoxaline-2,3,6,7,10,11-hexacarbonitrile (0.8)nm)/Al. (HAT-CN), 9.9'-diphenyl-6-(9-phenyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)-9H,9'H-3.3'-bicarbazole (Tris-PCz), 2,4,6-tris(biphenyl-3-yl)-1,3,5-triazine (T2T), 2,7-bis(2,20-bipyridine-5-yl)triphenylene (Bpy-TP2), and LiF act as hole injection, hole transport, exciton-blocking, electron transport, and electron injection layers, respectively. An energy diagram of the devices is shown in Fig. 7a. The HOMO and LUMO levels of b1-4 are above and below those of the CBP host, suggesting that hole-electron capture by these emitters in the emitting layers (EMLs) can be balanced. To achieve a complete Dexter energy transfer from the CBP host to the TADF emitters, a relatively high doping concentration of 10 wt% was adopted for these TADF OLEDs (the doping-concentration-dependent PL and EL performance of **b1** in a CBP host can be found in Fig. S5 and S10). However, similar to most ICT molecules, these TADF emitters also suffered from aggregation-induced quenching to some degree, accompanied by an emission red-shift. The emission maxima and  $\Phi$  of **b1-4** in 10 wt% doped CBP films are 613 nm and 0.55, 626 nm and 0.40, 565 nm and 0.41, and 570 nm and 0.32, respectively.



**Figure 7. (a)** Energy diagram of OLEDs. HOMO levels of CBP and **b1-4** were measured by photo-electron spectroscopy (AC-3). Their energy gaps were determined from the absorption edge, and their LUMO levels were calculated from the HOMO minus the energy gap. HOMO and LUMO levels of other materials are taken from literature values.<sup>34</sup> (**b**) The EL spectra at 10 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (inset: image of **b1**-based OLED), (**c**) luminance-current density-voltage characteristics and (**d**) EQE-current density characteristics of the OLEDs based on **b1-4**.

The EL spectra of these OLEDs are shown in Fig. 7b. They have a small red-shift (~10 nm) with respect to the PL spectra of the EMLs. The **b1**- and **b2**-based OLEDs emit red light with emission peaks at 624 and 637 nm and Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of (0.61, 0.39) and (0.63, 0.37), respectively. On the other hand, the **b3**- and **b4**-based OLEDs emit orange light with emission peaks at 574 and 584 nm, respectively. As shown in Fig. 7c, these four devices turn-on at 3 V and achieved maxima luminance of 10,000-30,000 cd/m<sup>2</sup> at about 12 V. As shown in Fig 7d, the maxima external EL quantum efficiencies (EQEs) of the devices based on **b1**-4 are 12.5%, 9.0%, 9.0% and 6.9%, respectively. Based on the investigations of the PL properties in doped films (see also Ref. 33), we can conclude that  $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$  IC is the major radiationless deactivation pathway for these TADF emitters in OLEDs with low doping concentrations at low current density. As a consequence, the triplet TADF emitters will have the same quantum yields as the singlet ones, and the maximum IQEs of the devices will be close to

the total  $\Phi$  of the TADF dopants in EMLs even though three-fourths of excitons generated by hole-electron recombination in OLEDs are in the T<sub>1</sub> state. Although the complicated bimolecular quenching processes cannot be ignored at higher doping concentrations like 10 wt%, the maximum IQEs of these TADF OLEDs are close to the  $\Phi$  of their EMLs when a light out-coupling efficiency of 0.2-0.3 is assumed.

Although the EOEs of the red TADF OLEDs containing **b1** and **b2** at low current density are comparable with those of the devices containing tris[1-phenylisoquinolinato-C2,N]iridium(III) (Ir(piq)<sub>3</sub>),<sup>3c</sup> a classical red phosphorescent material, their efficiencies at high current densities are lower than those of the Ir(piq)<sub>3</sub>-based OLED (Fig. S11). The EQEs of **b1**- and **b2**-based OLEDs decrease to 8.1% and 5.7% at a luminance of 100 cd/m<sup>2</sup> and to 2.3% and 1.7% at a luminance of 1000 cd/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The fast EQE roll-off with increasing current density can be attributed to the long TADF lifetimes of **b1** and **b2** in doped films, which are two orders of magnitude higher than that of Ir(piq)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>3c</sup> As revealed by numerous previous studies, long-lived triplet excitons are more easily be quenched by TTA, STA, and TPA processes, which are highly dependent on the current density in the device.<sup>10</sup> Although the EOE roll-off of the **b1**- and **b2**-based OLEDs is not observably improved over that of reported orange-red TADF OLEDs ( $\lambda_{max} = 610$  nm) based on a diphenylamine/heptazine derivative (HAP-3TPA),<sup>2d</sup> the  $\Delta E_{ST}$  have been successfully reduced from 0.30 eV for HAP-3TPA (by OHF/TD-DFT) to 0.21 eV for **b2** without significantly lowering the  $\Phi$ in doped films. Since b4 in CBP has a short TADF lifetime on the order of microseconds, the EQE roll-off is significantly reduced in its device with an EQE at 1000  $cd/m^2$  of 94% of the maximum. In doped CBP films, a fraction of the **b3** rotamers have a short TADF lifetime comparable with that of **b4** while others have a long TADF lifetime comparable with that of **b2**. As a result, the degree of efficiency roll-off for **b3**-based OLEDs is between those of **b2**- and **b4**-based OLEDs. Despite good performance at high current density, it is worth noting that the b4-based OLED emits orange light. If the highly twisted ICT molecules have redder emission, their  $\Phi$  will dramatically decrease because their low  $k_{\rm F}$  values cannot compete with the quickly growing  $k_{\rm IC}$ , just as in the case of **b4** in toluene. Finding a balance between  $k_{\rm F}$  and  $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$  by adjusting the twisting angles in new **b**-type molecules is our next step but also challenge.

#### ■ CONCLUSIONS

Increasing the transition rate without enlarging the overlap of the involved orbitals is a key in the realization of efficient red TADF emitters having fast TADF. Herein, we approached this goal by enlarging the effective separation distance of appropriate donors and an acceptor to increase the dipole-moment change in the CT transition. The comparison of eight TADF emitters with DPA, BBPA, DTC, and DMAC donors and an AQ acceptor indicates that D-Ph-A (b-) type TADF emitters have higher  $k_{\rm F}$  and consequently higher  $\Phi$  relative to D-A (a-) type TADF emitters with the same  $\Delta E_{ST}$ . In addition, it was found that the N-C bond linking the donor and acceptor in D-A type emitters twists and stretches in S<sub>1</sub> to stable the excited state, leading to smaller  $\Delta E_{ST}$ , lower  $k_{F}$ , and more efficient radiationless  $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$  IC and  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  ISC processes. The rotational excited-state relaxation was found to occur even in organic semiconductor films. Since most D-Ph-A type emitters show only minor geometry rearrangement in the excited state, they have smaller  $k_{\rm IC}$ compared to the D-A type emitters with the same emission energy, which further contributes to their higher  $\Phi$ . A D-Ph-A type emitter based on DPA as donor (b1) exhibits a high  $\Phi$  of 0.55 with an emission peak at 614 nm and a relatively short TADF lifetime of 120 µs in 10 wt%-doped CBP film. The OLED using this doped film as EML achieved an EQE up to 8.1% at a luminance of 100 cd/m<sup>2</sup> with an emission maximum at 624 nm, which are comparable to the best red PHOLEDs.

It is noteworthy that the quantum yield of red TADF emitters can be further improved by the selection of a new  $\pi$ -bridge with longer axial length and donors and acceptors with larger atomic orbital coefficients. Molecular design will be facilitated by using an optimal HF exchange method based on TD-DFT, which has effectively reproduced various photophysical parameters of studied TADF molecules. The molecule simulation in this work suggested that a fast transition rate and a small  $\Delta E_{\text{ST}}$  can be achieved in one molecule by well controlling the twisting angles of a **b**-type molecule. However, the design idea may be partially unfulfilled due to the flattening of the Ph-bridge and acceptor and a subsequent twisting of the Ph-bridge and donor in doped films. Therefore suppressing undesirable rotational relaxation in the excited state is as important as controlling the twisting angles between the subunits in S<sub>0</sub>. In addition, suppressing rotational relaxation generally results in a more narrow emission band, allowing the realization of purer red colors without lowering the energy gap.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

Supplemental tables and figures; experimental section; synthesis and computational geometries of the investigated compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <a href="http://pubs.acs.org">http://pubs.acs.org</a>.

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

**Corresponding Author** 

adachi@cstf.kyushu-u.ac.jp

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Funding Program for World-Leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST) and the Exploratory Research for Advanced Technology (ERATO). The authors wish to thank Prof. Katsumi Tokumaru and Dr. Katsuyuki Shizu for stimulating discussions with regard to this work.

# REFERENCES

(1) (a) Boudin, M. S. J. Chim. Physique 1930, 27, 285. (b) Saltiel, J.; Curtis, H. C.; Metts, L.; Miley, J. W.; Winterle, J.; Wrighton, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 410. (c) Herbich, J.; Kapturkiewicz, A.; Nowacki, J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 262, 633. (d) Heinz, B.; Schmidt, B.; Root, C.; Satzger, H.; Milota, F.; Fierz, B.; Kiefhaber, T.; Zintha, W.; Gilch P. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 3432. (e) Baleizao, C.; Berberan-Santos, M. N. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 2008, 1130, 224.

(2) (a) Endo, A.; Sato, K.; Yoshimura, K.; Kai, T.; Kawada, A.; Miyazaki, H.; Adachi, C. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2011, *98*, 083302. (b) Zhang, Q.; Li, J.; Shizu, K.; Huang, S.; Hirata, S.; Miyazaki, H.; Adachi, C. *J Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 14706. (c) Uoyama, H.; Goushi, K.; Shizu, K.; Nomura, H.; Adachi C. *Nature* 2012, *492*, 234. (d) Li, J.; Nakagawa, T.; MacDonald, J.; Zhang, Q.; Nomura, H.; Miyazaki, H.; Adachi, C. *Adv. Mater.* 2013, *25*, 3319. (e) Zhang, Q.; Li, B.; Huang, S.; Nomura, H.; Tanaka, H.; Adachi, C. *Nat. Photonics* 2014, *8*, 326.

(3) (a) Ma, Y.; Zhang, H.; Shen, J.; Che, C. *Synth. Met.* **1998**, *94*, 245. (b) Baldo, M. A.; O'Brien, D. F.; You, Y.; Shoustikov, A.; Sibley, S.; Thompson, M. E.; Forrest, S. R. *Nature*, **1998**, *395*, 151. (c) Tsuboyama, A.; Iwawaki, H.; Furugori, M.; Mukaide, T.; Kamatani, J.; Igawa, S.; Moriyama, T.; Miura, S.; Takiguchi, T.; Okada, S.; Hoshino, M.; Ueno, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,

, *125*, 12971. (d) Chou, P.-T.; Chi, Y. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 3319. (e) Yook, K. S.; Lee, J. Y. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 3169. (f) Sasabe, H.; Kido, J. *J. Mater. Chem. C*, **2013**, *1*, 1699.

(4) (a) Zhang, Q.; Zhou, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, L.; Ma, D.; Jing, X.; Wang, F. Adv. Funct. Mater.
2006, 16, 1203. (b) Deaton, J. C.; Switatski, S. C.; Kondakov, D. Y.; Young, R. H.; Pawlik, T. D.; Giesen, D. J.; Harkins, S. B.; Miller, A. J. M.; Mickenberg, S. F.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2010, 132, 9499. (c) Hashimoto, M.; Igawa, S.; Yashima, M.; Kawata, I.; Hoshino, M.; Osawa, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10348. (d) Hsu, C.-W.; Lin, C.-C.; Chung, M.-W.; Chi, Y.; Lee, G.-H.; Chou, P.-T.; Chang, C.-H.; Chen, P.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12085. (e) Zhang, Q.; Komino, T.; Huang, S.; Matsunami, S.; Goushi, K.; Adachi C. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 2327. (f) Liu, Z.; Qiu, J.; Wei, F.; Wang, J.; Liu, X.; Helander, M. G.; Rodney, S.; Wang, Z.; Bian, Z.; Lu, Z.; Thompson, M. E.; Huang, C. Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 2368.

(5) (a) Blasse, G.; McMillin, D. R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *70*, 1. (b) Kirchhoff, J. R.; Gamache,
R. E.; Blaskie, M. W.; Del Paggio, A. A.; Lengel, R. L.; McMillin, D. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*,
2380. (c) Tsuboyama, A.; Kuge, K.; Furugori, M.; Okada, S.; Hoshino, M.; Ueno, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1992. (d) Czerwieniec, R.; Yu, J.; Yersin H. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 8293.

(6) (a) Goushi, K.; Yoshida, K.; Sato, K.; Adachi, C. *Nat. Photonics* **2012**, *6*, 253. (b) Goushi, K.; Adachi, C. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *101*, 023306. (c) Graves, D.; Jankus, V.; Dias, F. B.; Monkman, A. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 2343. (d) Hung, W.-Y.; Fang, G.-C.; Lin, S.-W.; Cheng, S.-H.; Wong, K.-T.; Kuo, T.-Y.; Chou P.-T. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 5161.

(7) (a) Nakagawa, T.; Ku, S.-Y.; Wong, K.-T.; Adachi C. Chem. Comm. 2012, 48, 9580. (b) Mehes, G.; Nomura, H.; Zhang, Q.; Nakagawa, T.; Adachi, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11311. (c) Nasu, K.; Nakagawa, T.; Nomura, H.; Lin, C.-J.; Cheng, C.-H.; Tseng, M.-R.; Yasuda, T.; Adachi, C. Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 10385.

(8) (a) Tanaka, H., Shizu, K., Miyazaki, H., Adachi, C. *Chem. Comm.* **2012**, *48*, 11392. (b) Tanaka, H.; Shizu, K.; Nakanotani, H.; Adachi, C. *Chem. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 3766. (c) Lee, S. Y.; Yasuda, T.; Yang, Y. S.; Zhang, Q.; Adachi, C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6402.

(9) (a) Komino, T.; Tanaka, H.; Adachi, C. *Chem. Mater.* 2014, *26*, 3665. (b) Mayr, C.; Lee, S.
Y.; Schmidt, T. D.; Yasuda, T.; Adachi, C.; Brütting W. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2014, *24*, 5232. (c) Sun, J. W.; Lee, J.-H.; Moon, C.-K.; Kim, K.-H.; Shin, H.; Kim, J.-J. *Adv. Mater.* 2014, *26*, 5684. (d) Nakanotani, H.; Higuchi, T.; Furukawa, T.; Masui, K.; Morimoto, K.; Numata, M.; Tanaka, H.; Sagara, Y.; Yasuda, T.; Adachi, C. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 4016. (e) Zhang, D.; Duan, L.; Li, C.; Li, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, D.; Qiu, Y. *Adv. Mater.* 2014, *26*, 5050. (f) Nishide, J.-i.; Nakanotani, H.; Hiraga, Y.; Adachi, C. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2014, *104*, 233304. (g) Ishimatsu, R.; Matsunami, S.; Kasahara, T.; Mizuno, J.; Edura, T.; Adachi, C.; Nakano, K.; Imato, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 6993.

(10) (a) Baldo, M. A.; Adachi, C.; Forrest, S. R., *Phys. Rev. B* **2000**, 62, 10967. (b) Song, D.; Zhao, S.; Luo, Y.; Aziz, H. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *97*, 243304. (c) Masui, K.; Nakanotani, H.; Adachi, C. Org. Electron. **2013**, *14*, 2721.

(11) Turro, N. J.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scaiano, J. C. *Modern Molecular Photochemistry of Organic Molecules*; University Science Books, Sausalito, 2010.

(12) (a) Grabowski, Z. R.; Rotkiewicz, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3899. (b) Kapturkiewicz, A.; Herbich, J.; Nowacki J. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *275*, 355.

(13) (a) Newton, M. D. Chem. Rev. **1991**, *91*, 767. (b) Barbara, P. F.; Meyer, T. J.; Ratner, M. A. J. Phys. Chem. **1996**, *100*, 13148.

(14) (a) Miller, M. T.; Gantzel, P. K.; Karpishin, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 4292. (b)
Scaltrito, D. V.; Thompson, D. W.; O'Callaghan, J. A.; Meyer, G. J. Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 208, 243. (c) Felder, D.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Barigelletti, F.; Ventura, B.; Armaroli, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6291. (d) Yam, V. W.-W.; Cheng, E. C.-C.; Zhu, N. Chem. Commun., 2001, 37, 1028. (e) Riesgo, E. C.; Hu, Y.-Z.; Bouvier, F.; Thummel, R. P.; Scaltrito, D. V.; Meyer, G. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3413. (f) Zhang, Q.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, L.; Xie, Z.; Jing, X.; Wang, F. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2007, 17, 2983. (g) Zink, D. M.; Bächle, M.; Baumann, T.; Nieger, M.; Kühn, M.; Wang, C.; Klopper, W.; Monkowius, U.; Hofbeck, T.; Yersin, H.; Bräse, S. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 2292. (h) Krylova, V. A.; Djurovich, P. I.; Conley, B. L.; Haiges, R.; Whited, M. T.; Williams, T. J.; Thompson, M. E. Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 7176.

(15) (a) Plate, M.; Mobius, K.; Michel-Beyerle, M. E.; Bixon, M.; Jortner, J. J. Am. Chem. SOC. **1988**, 110, 7279. (b) Troisi, A.; Orlandi, G. J. Phys. Chem. B **2002**, 106, 2093.

(16) ltoh, T. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2351.

(17) (a) Runge, E. E.; Gross, K. U. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1984**, *52*, 997. (b) Marques, M. A. L.; Gross, E. K. U. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2004**, *55*, 427.

(18) (a) Magyar, R. J.; Tretiak, S. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2007**, *3*, 976. (b) Harbach, P. H. P.; Dreuw A. The Art of Choosing the Right Quantum Chemical Excited-State Method for Large Molecular Systems. In *Modeling of Molecular Properties*; Comba, P., Ed.; Wiley-VCH, 2011; pp 37–38. (c) Guido, C. A.; Knecht, S.; Kongsted, J.; Mennucci B. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 2209.

(19) (a) Dreuw, A.; Head-Gordon, M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4009. (b) Peach, M. J. G.; Benfield,
P.; Helgaker, T.; Tozer, D. J. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 044118. (c) Jacquemin, D.; Perpète, E. A.;
Ciofini, I.; Adamo, C.; Valero, R.; Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2010, 6,
2071. (d) Sini, G.; Sears, J. S.; Brédas J.-L. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 602.

(20) Huang, S.; Zhang, Q.; Shiota, Y.; Nakagawa, T.; Kuwabara, K.; Yoshizawa, K.; Adachi, C. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2013, 9, 3872.

(21) (a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648. (b) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Chabalowski, C. F.; Frisch, M. J. J. Phys. Chem. **1994**, 98, 11623.

(22) Lu, T.; Chen, F. W. J. Comp. Chem. 2012, 33, 580.

(23) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 6908

(24) Boese, A. D.; Martin, J. M. L. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 3405

(25) Grabowski, Z. R.; Rotkiewicz, K.; Siemiarczuk, A.; Cowley, D. J.; Baumann, W. *Nouv. J. Chim.* **1979**, *3*, 443.

(26) (a) Al-Hassan, K. A.; Saleh, N.; Abu-Abdoun, I. I.; Yousef, Y. A. *J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem.* **2008**, *61*, 361. (b) Koenig, M.; Bottari, G.; Brancato, G.; Barone, V.; Guldi, D. M.; Torres, T. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2502.

(27) (a) Maus, M. Photoinduced Intramolecular Charge Transfer in Donor–Acceptor Biaryls and Resulting Applicational Aspects Regarding Fluorescent Probes and Solar Energy Conversion, Universal Publishers; USA; 1998. (b) Maus, M.; Rettig, W. Chem. Phys. **1997**, 218,151. (c) Maus, M.; Rettig, W.; Jonusauskas, G.; Lapouyade, R.; Rulliere, C. J. Phys. Chem. A **1998**, 102, 7393. (d) Maus, M.; Rettig, W.; Bonafoux, D.; Lapouyade, R. J. Phys. Chem. A **1999**, 103, 3388. (e) Maus, M.; Rettig, W.; Chem. Phys. **2000**, 261, 323.

(28) (a) Davis, W. B.; Ratner, M. A.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7877. (b) Benniston, A. C.; Harriman, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2006, 35, 169.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(29) (a) La</li> <li><i>Conversion:</i> (30) (a) Im</li> <li>(b) Improta, F</li> <li>(31) (a) Ab</li> <li>(b) Li, B.; No</li> <li>Adachi, C. <i>Cl</i></li> <li>(32) Klessi</li> <li>Weinheim, 19</li> <li>(33) (a) Re</li> <li><i>Lett.</i> 2013, 10</li> <li>(34) (a) To</li> <li>2012, 22, 206</li> <li>3, 2127.</li> </ul>
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	

(30) (a) Improta, R.; Barone, V.; Scalmani, G.; Frisch, M. J. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 054103.
(b) Improta, R.; Scalmani, G.; Frisch, M. J.; Barone, V. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127,074504.

(31) (a) Abdel-Shafi, A. A.; Worrall, D. R. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.* **2005**, *172*, 170. b) Li, B.; Nomura, H.; Miyazaki, H.; Zhang, Q.; Yoshida, K.; Suzuma, Y.; Orita, A.; Otera, J.;

Adachi, C. Chem. Lett. **2014**, 43, 319.

(32) Klessinger, M.; Michl, J. *Excited States and Photochemistry of Organic Molecules*; VCH, Weinheim, 1995; p 247–260.

(33) (a) Reineke, S.; Seidler, N.; Yost, S. R.; Prins, F.; Tisdale, W. A.; Baldo, M. A. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *103*, 093302. (b) Reineke, S.; Baldo, M. A. *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *4*, 3797.

(34) (a) Togashi, K.; Nomura, S.; Yokoyama, N.; Yasudaabc, T.; Adachi, C. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22*, 20689. (b) Nakanotani, H., Masui, K., Nishide, J., Shibata, T., Adachi, C. *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *3*, 2127.

#### **Table of Contents Graphic**

