

pubs.acs.org/JACS

Selective, Modular Probes for Thioredoxins Enabled by Rational Tuning of a Unique Disulfide Structure Motif

Jan G. Felber, Lukas Zeisel, Lena Poczka, Karoline Scholzen, Sander Busker, Martin S. Maier, Ulrike Theisen, Christina Brandstädter, Katja Becker, Elias S. J. Arnér, Julia Thorn-Seshold, and Oliver Thorn-Seshold*



activate an arbitrary chemical cargo upon reduction, and compared their performance to that of the literature-known disulfides. The probes were comprehensively screened for biological stability and selectivity against a range of redox effector proteins and enzymes. This design process delivered the first disulfide probes with excellent stability to monothiols yet high selectivity for the key redoxactive protein effector, thioredoxin. We anticipate that further applications of these novel disulfide triggers will deliver unique probes targeting cellular thioredoxins. We also anticipate that further tuning following this design paradigm will enable redox probes for other important dithiol-manifold redox proteins, that will be useful in revealing the hitherto hidden dynamics of endogenous cellular redox systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Controlled dithiol/disulfide-exchange reactions are essential to cellular metabolism, protein folding, protein regulation, and diverse aspects in cellular homeostasis and stress response.^{1,2} Two ubiquitous networks are particularly important: the thioredoxin (Trx)-thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) system and the glutaredoxin (Grx)-glutathione (GSH)-glutathione reductase (GR) systems (Figure 1a).^{3,4} These systems are driven by catalytically active enzymes, GR and isozymes of TrxR (low nM cellular concentrations), which pass reducing equivalents from NADPH into a wide range of dithiol/disulfide-type reactions via effector proteins, especially several isozymes of Trx and Grx (μ M); in the case of GR, this transfer goes over the redox-active peptide GSH (mM concentration).^{5,6} The networks are interlinked and can function as backup systems for each other.^{4,7} The Trx and Grx systems catalyze twoelectron dithiol/disulfide-exchange reactions proceeding via polar ionic mechanisms with high substrate specificities and precise biological control.^{8,9} Their scope of substrates is controlled by chemocompatibility as well as protein-substrate binding, and the relative turnover rates of each step in these

trigger units designed for stability to monothiols. We integrated the

motifs into modular series of fluorogenic probes that release and

redox networks are tightly regulated by reaction kinetics and compartmentalization. $^{10} \$

The biochemistry and isoforms of the Trx and GSH/Grx networks have been excellently reviewed;¹¹ relevant aspects are summarized here. (i) TrxRs and GRs use similar FAD-containing domains to harvest electrons from NADPH, passing them to similar structurally restricted dithiol/disulfide active sites (CVNVGC motif).¹² Mammalian TrxR also has a C-terminal selenolthiol/selenenylsulfide active site (CU motif) on a flexible surface-exposed loop, to relay electrons from the NADPH-driven dithiol site onto its substrates.¹³ These include Trxs and related redox proteins, e.g., TRP14 (also known as TXNDC17), though it can also reduce non-physiological small molecules (Figure 1b).^{14,15} Due to its rare selenolthiol, TrxR has unusual redox properties compared to dithiols: with both

Received: March 26, 2021 **Published:** June 1, 2021



activation





Figure 1. Key players in dithiol/disulfide homeostasis. (a) NADPHdriven cellular signal transduction and redox repair through the GSH/ Grx and Trx systems. (b) Active-site structures of Trx^{18} and $TrxR:^{12,14,19,20}$ pre-organized cyclic dichalcogenides.

enhanced reaction kinetics and lowered reduction potential.¹⁶ GR is unusual in that it is highly specific for the small molecule glutathione disulfide (GSSG), so offering little scope for other substrates. (ii) Trxs and dithiol Grxs (includes Grx1 and Grx2) are dithiol/disulfide redox-active proteins with surface-exposed dithiol redox-active sites (CxxC motifs) that recognize and reduce a variety of protein substrates in pro- and eukaryotic organisms (Figure 1b). Trxs have lower reduction potentials and are reduced by selenolthiol TrxR; Grxs have higher reduction potentials and use monothiol GSH for their reduction.¹⁷

In all these enzymes, the spatial pre-organization and flexibility of the dichalcogenide redox-active sites are key to their kinetics and thermodynamics (mirroring what is known for small-molecule reductants).²¹ These factors, plus others such as protein recognition, redox potentials, and non-equilibrium metabolic flows, contribute to their substrate scopes in cells—though our understanding of the determinants for cellular activities of these enzymes remains poor.²²

The lack of techniques to measure or respond to the turnover dynamics of these redox proteins *in situ* greatly limits our understanding of redox biology, because it is turnover dynamics that are key to understanding network function and homeostasis.² So far, the best-developed probes for use in redox biology are genetically engineered ratiometric fluorescent sensors of redox-active protein or small-molecule redox poise.^{23–26} These give specific, spatiotemporally resolved

readouts for the reduced-to-oxidized state ratio of the target species. However, as ratiometric readouts of redox state, they do not reveal turnover dynamics. Also, genetic approaches are monitoring-only systems that cannot respond to redox reactions with an action, such as delivering a therapeutic cargo.

To quantify turnover, selective turn-on probes are needed, whereby enzymatic turnover events can be measured by integrating cumulative and irreversible signal accumulation in the form of an activated probe. In this context, small-molecule probes that employ disulfide-based trigger-cargo designs (Figure S1) are promising alternatives to protein sensors, and are potentially chemocompatible with the redox enzymes. Such probes are ideally created so that their cargo is deactivated in the oxidized state, while reduction irreversibly activates the cargo, resulting in a signal. Typically, activation proceeds by a fragmentation reaction, exposing a key structural element on the cargo, often simply by cleaving it from the trigger. This is a conceptually simple design, and it is additionally attractive because, unlike genetic probes, it can act upon a stimulus: modular designs can enable not only probes for detection and quantification of enzyme activity but also prodrugs delivering drug cargos conditional upon this activity.

In the thiol redox context, trigger-cargo designs have been used to release a range of cargo types upon reduction, including phenol- and aniline-type fluorophores and drugs (Figure S1a,b). For such designs to be selective, a probe must only commit to the first irreversible step on the pathway to turnon after it reacts with the targeted enzyme—and not with any undesired species (reducing or otherwise). Therefore, while analyzing thiol/disulfide exchanges in light of equilibrium thermodynamic parameters (e.g., reduction potential E°) is generally informative, to design selective cumulative-release probes for enzyme turnover requires considering the kinetics and reversibility of each step in the reaction sequence. These, in turn, depend on the chemistry of the redox trigger and its reaction with target enzymes and reductants. For this analysis, we must distinguish the reaction of a disulfide with a single thiolate to liberate a thiolate, which we refer to as exchange, from the net reduction of a disulfide to liberate two thiolates by two stepwise exchanges, which we will refer to as *reduction*.

Linear disulfides are the most-used redox triggers in cargoreleasing designs (Figure S1a,b).²⁷ However, linear disulfides cannot selectively detect or respond to enzyme activities in the cellular context.^{28–30} This is because thiol-disulfide exchange at either sulfur irreversibly and non-selectively commits linear disulfides to turn-on (Figure 2a), and the rapidity of even uncatalyzed exchange with the high intracellular monothiol concentrations (ca. 5 mM GSH, ca. 50 mM protein thiols (PRSH)) causes a high degree of monothiol-based cargo release that likely drowns out enzyme-specific components.²⁸ Therefore, at best, linear disulfides report non-specifically on initial thiol-disulfide exchange rates.³¹

Cyclic disulfide redox triggers of type **A** (Figure 2b) instead allow kinetic reversibility in both exchange steps: their first irreversible step is the actual cargo release itself (k_{rel}). Reversion to the cyclic disulfide **A** after exchange to **B** or **D** (k_{T1} or k_{G1} in Figure 2b) is a unimolecular reaction that may be kinetically rapid (intramolecular cyclization that can also be pre-organized) as well as thermodynamically favored by enthalpic (pre-organized disulfide geometry) and entropic effects (molecular cleavage). These factors can be amenable to tuning by structural design of the disulfide trigger. However,



Figure 2. Mechanistic aspects of (a) irreversible monothiol reduction of linear disulfide-type reduction-sensing units and (b) thermodynamically reversible dithiol reduction of cyclic disulfide systems as part of irreversible release probes.

for dithiol proteins, completing the reduction (**B** to **C**) is a preorganized, unimolecular reaction that reductases are evolved to perform, and which could therefore be competitive to or faster than k_{T1} . By contrast, the reaction of **D** to **C** is both bimolecular and not kinetically favored, so reversion by k_{G1} is the most probable fate of D under monothiol challenge. Therefore, A should be much more rapidly reduced to the signal-generating intermediate C by dithiol proteins than by monothiol background. Reversion of C to A is also well known: it underlies the use of dithiothreitol (DTT) as a rapid, stoichiometric, non-specific reductant particularly of linear disulfides GSSG, PRSSG, and PRSSPR (k_{G2} in Figure 2b); this pathway could also prove relevant in suppressing signal generation by monothiol challenge. Therefore, we concluded that stably pre-organized cyclic disulfides A would be suitable starting points for triggers in enzyme-selective probes (Figure 2b), and we determined to explore their synthesis and use.

Cyclic disulfide trigger substrates for trigger–cargo probes have been sparsely reported, and structures that are known have rarely been assessed for redox selectivity and kinetic performance. The most studied are strained cyclic 6-membered disulfide epidithiodiketopiperazines (ETPs), but their strainpromoted reactivity with monothiols is non-specific and significant, so they are not suitable for selective probes.³² Moderately strained 5-membered cyclic disulfides (1,2dithiolanes) had been reported to be selectively reduced by TrxR and were applied in the fluorogenic "TRFS" probes^{33–35} and prodrugs.³⁶ However, 1,2-dithiolanes undergo strainpromoted, kinetically irreversible, facile, and non-specific exchange with cell-surface monothiols,^{37,38} as known from disulfide-mediated cellular uptake studies.^{39–41} Our work confirmed that 1,2-dithiolane probes are, correspondingly, non-specifically activated by monothiols.³¹

To resist monothiol reduction by minimizing the concentration of C under monothiol challenge requires a high reversion rate k_{G1} (and optionally k_{G2}) compared to k_{G3} . We thus focused on unstrained cyclic disulfides, which are in fact the most poorly explored as triggers of irreversibly activated redox probes (Figure S1c,d, and further discussion in the Supporting Information). The few notable studies concern the 6-membered cyclic disulfide 1,2-dithiane. As far as we know, only three concepts of 1,2-dithiane designs intended to release a general molecular cargo after reduction by biological species have been disclosed. First, Butora used DTT phosphoesters to release phosphate cargos after reduction, which was stated to occur by reaction with GSH;^{30,42} this approach was since used by, e.g., Urata.43 Second, Xu and Tang used an aniline carbamate of DTT to release aniline cargos after reduction, which was stated to occur by reaction with H₂Se;⁴⁴ Fang also applied this approach, but contradicting Butora, stated that neither TrxR nor GSH caused release.³⁵ Third, Ziv disclosed phenolic carbamate prodrugs of 4-amino-1,2-dithiane, intended to release the phenol cargo after reduction, though this was only described as occurring through the "ambient reductive environment" of the cell.^{45,46} Relatedly, Kohn worked on 6-membered cyclic disulfide derivatives of porfiromycin and mitomycin, though not releasing a cargo;^{47,48} like Butora, their reports cited non-specific dithiane exchange/ reduction by monothiols (Figure S1c,d).

We consider these reports both contradictory and limited in their assessment of which cellular reductants are, or are not, effective for exchange/reduction of 1,2-dithiane-based triggercargo probes: so we set out to assess this comprehensively.

We also believed that tuning rates throughout the turn-on process would prove critical for achieving protein selectivity. Particularly, we wished to explore pre-organization by annelating the cyclic disulfide triggers (dotted ring in Figure 2b). This modification adds minimal steric pressure at the redox-active -SS- site, so it might not suppress enzyme docking, but it could bring two favorable effects into play: (i) increasing thiol cyclization rate of C (k_{rel}) , to accelerate signal generation without changing the reductant selectivity profile, and so improve probe performance (N.B.: k_{rel} is equally increased by both cis- and trans-annelation); (ii) stabilizing the cyclic disulfide A, to increase k_{T1} and k_{G1} and so potentially change the selectivity profile (N.B.: these effects should depend on the cis-/trans-stereochemistry). Such annelated disulfides have not yet been reported, so we wished to develop scalable and convenient syntheses, enabling their general application to redox research.

Lastly, we noted that, according to our proposed mechanism, as long as hydrolytic cargo release is blocked, the choice of cargo only influences k_{rel} and *should not* affect a probe's reductant selectivity. We were therefore curious to use the same trigger with different cargos, to test the bioreductant selectivities, and to rationally tune probe performance.

In this work we address the lack of information on cyclic disulfide suitability for probes. We test our design principles by stepwise exploration of the features predicted to determine

pubs.acs.org/JACS



Figure 3. Trigger-and-cargo design for redox probes. (a) Stable cyclic disulfide-based reduction sensors and their control and comparison systems. (b) Complete off→on fluorogenic cargos based on phenol mono-unmasking. (c) Representatives of the 20 fluorogenic probes used in this study, derived by trigger-cargo combinations.

cargo release selectivity and rate. We scalably synthesize novel cyclic disulfide-based triggers and apply them in robust, irreversible-release probes suitable for generalized cargos. We comprehensively evaluate the probes' selectivity for key redox-active proteins and their resistance to a high monothiol background, also benchmarking previously reported but poorly characterized disulfides. This will reveal the potential of pre-organized disulfides as enzyme-selective reduction sensors and identify a promising Trx-selective probe design.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Trigger Design. We designed a broad panel of disulfide-based redox triggers for this study (Figure 3a). To avoid unspecific monothiol-triggered cargo release, we used stable cyclic 6-membered disulfide triggers: most simply, disulfide trigger SS60. To study how pre-organizing annelation affects both cargo release and selectivity profiles, we designed cis- and trans-fused triggers SS66C and SS66T. As linear disulfide controls, we used simple trigger **SS00**²⁷ and annelated SS06 (which, when compared, should test for pre-organization only of cargo release $k_{\rm rel}$). Where needed, we compare the results with those of the strained and/or polymerizable monocyclic disulfide controls SS50^{31,33} and SS7.⁴⁹ This broad panel of disulfide structure motifs would allow us to compare reductant selectivity and cargo release rates, across stable or pre-organized or strained, cyclic or linear disulfide redox probes, on a level that to our knowledge has not yet been attempted. Lastly, we introduced O56 as a similarly polar but non-reducible negative control trigger to check stringently for stability against non-reductive chemical or enzymatic release of probe cargos.

2.2. Probe Design Considerations. To create modular probe designs, we chose to work primarily with phenolic fluorophores. Anilines are simpler to adapt into fluorogenic probes, as acylation usually substantially suppresses fluorescence (though only in special cases does it do so completely), and since acylated anilines have good hydrolytic stability.³⁵ Aniline carbamates are well represented in trigger—probe designs. However, the poor leaving-group nature of anilides results in slow cargo release for 5-*exo*-trig thiol cyclization, with physiological half-lives of >3 h, even under fully reduced

conditions.³⁵ Alternative anilide designs such as amides and ureas are not cleavable and so do not represent modular response systems as we sought.^{35,50}

By comparison, phenol-releasing systems could benefit from superior leaving-group nature to improve release kinetics. Several phenolic systems featuring true off-to-on fluorogenicity are known, and phenols are found as key activity-determining groups in a broad range of diagnostic or therapeutic cargos, meaning that modular designs in this work could be extended into a useful series of probes and prodrugs. Stability against non-reductive hydrolysis is the major challenge for most phenols. For example, disulfide-trigger phenolic esters and carbonates have been reported, ^{51,52} but non-reductive phenol release by spontaneous and enzymatic hydrolysis is certain to prevent them achieving any selectivity in biological settings. Phenolic carbamates have previously been reported to be unstable.³⁵ However, we interpreted this instability as the spontaneous E_{1cB} elimination pathway that affects primary carbamates,⁵³ so we designed all triggers for secondary carbamate linkages to avoid this (Figure 3a),54,55 guided by precepts from our earlier work.^{31,50}

2.3. Cargo Choice and Use. We selected two major series of fluorescent cargos to be masked by mono-O-carbamylation (Figure 3b), requiring that this should *entirely* suppress their fluorescence by mechanism-based quenching. (i) We used xanthene-based mono-O-alkylated fluorescein MF-OH⁵⁷ and its acidified photostable congener IG-OH⁵⁸ (as its *i*Pr might resist nucleophilic attack better than MF-OH's methyl). Their mono-O-carbamylation mechanistically quenches fluorescence by locking the central carbon as sp³, so destroying conjugation. Conjugation is restored by cleaving the carbamate substrate (simplifying kinetics compared to typical double-substrate probes such as fluorescein diacetate), giving soluble, biocompatible, bright fluorophores (ex/em 485/515 nm). (ii) We also used the precipitating fluorophore PQ-OH, 59,60 whose high-quantum-yield, large-Stokes-shift fluorescence (ex/ em 360/520 nm) depends on excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) of the phenolic hydrogen. Carbamylating the phenol entirely and mechanistically abolishes ESIPT fluorescence of the probe. The electron-withdrawn PQ should also have fast release. To compare our phenolic designs to

prior art in aniline disulfide redox probes, we also prepared 3-O-methyl-rhodol $MR-NH_2$ for kinetic comparisons.⁶¹ Thus, all our probes feature a true off-to-on mechanistic switch of signal upon disulfide trigger cleavage, thereby maximizing their signal-to-noise ratio and simplifying analysis (details in Figure S2).

We combined triggers freely with cargos to create a panel of probes for analysis. We name the resulting probes by the combination used; e.g., in Figure 3c, SS66C-MF is an SS66Ctrigger MF-OH-releasing probe, etc. (further details and discussion in the Supporting Information).

2.4. Diastereomerically Pure Synthesis of Annelated SS66 Disulfides. The simpler disulfide redox triggers were accessed straightforwardly. Monocyclic disulfide SS60 was obtained using the approach reported by Raines⁶² to give the protected primary amine, then by N-methylation and deprotection to prepare the novel secondary amine **SS60**. Known polymerization-prone^{38,63} unstable cyclic disulfides SS50 and SS7 were accessed from aminopropanediol³¹ and from a nitrogen mustard precursor,⁴⁹ respectively, as described. Symmetric linear disulfides SS00 and SS06 were accessed by oxidative dimerization of the respective thiols; as far as we know, cyclization-pre-organized linear disulfides like SS06 have not been studied before (Figure 3a). The secondary amine of these symmetric disulfides proved inconvenient for yields and purification, with double-reaction side products being formed during later carbamate coupling. Unsymmetric linear disulfides of the SS00 type are rarely reported in the literature, and we found no convenient methods to synthesize them. We developed a versatile batch synthesis strategy for unsymmetric linear disulfides by coupling easily prepared, isolable precursors (one primary S-acetyl and one primary S-tosyl), achieving high chemoselectivity and isolating the unsymmetric morpholinosubstituted SS00M (Figure 3a) in good yields. For details, see the Supporting Information.

The bicyclic redox triggers were more challenging (Figure 4). We first focused on the *cis*-fused **SS66C** system, setting the stereochemistry by heterogeneous hydrogenation of pyridine 1. Boc-protection to amine 2 and then ester reduction gave cisdiol 3, which we could not, however, convert into a bicyclic disulfide. During Mitsunobu reaction with thioacetic acid under Raines's conditions,⁶² no bis(thioacetate) but rather undesired cis-ether 5 was isolated, with X-ray confirming its structure. Fortunately, 5 provided ideal non-reducible hydrolysis controls, as the O56 series. Other strategies to activate the hydroxy groups for S-nucleophile substitution were unsuccessful; e.g., attempted bis(sulfonylation)s gave intramolecularly cyclized carbamates, e.g., 4, as known for Boc-/Cbz-methanolpiperidines.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ To avoid carbamate cyclizations, we switched to PMB as the N-protecting group. Diastereomerically pure N-PMB-diol 10 was accessed in decent yield by hydrogenation of 1, ester reduction to 9, and N-alkylation. It was crucial to reduce the esters before nitrogen protection, to avoid partial cis-trans-isomerization at the diester stage. Mesylation of diol 10 and nucleophilic substitution with KSAc gave bis-(thioacetate) 11, and tandem basic acetate cleavage/oxidation gave novel annelated disulfide 12. PMB was deprotected without reductive or oxidative conditions by using 2chloroethyl chloroformate (ACE-Cl),67 giving the precursor to all SS66C probes (Figure 4).

For the *trans*-fused **SS66T**, we took a route exploiting the previous setbacks to our advantage. First, we could use basic *cis*—*trans*-epimerization to recycle *cis*-diester **2**, after some trials



Figure 4. Diastereomerically pure syntheses of SS66T- and SS66Cseries bicyclic disulfide redox probes. Reagents and conditions: (i) (1) H₂, H₂SO₄, Pd/C, MeOH, 80 bar, r.t., 15 h; (2) Boc₂O, NEt₃, dioxane/H2O, r.t., 15 h (98%). (ii) LiAlH4, Et2O, 0 °C to r.t., 3 h. (88%). (iii) MsCl, DCM, NEt3, r.t., 15 h (89%). (iv) Cis-selective tetrahydrofuran formation: (1) PPh₃, DIAD, HSAc, THF, r.t., 15 h (90%); (2) HCl, MeOH, 80 °C, 4 h (quant.). (v) (1) H₂, H₂SO₄, Pd/ C, MeOH, 80 bar, r.t., 15 h; (2) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to r.t., 3 h. (vi) PMB-Cl, K₂CO₃, EtOH, r.t., 15 h (v-vi: 42% over 3 steps). (vii) (1) MsCl, NEt₃, DCM, r.t., 1 h; (2) KSAc, DMF, r.t., 15 h. (viii) KOH, MeOH, r.t., 15 h (vii-viii: 51% over 3 steps). (ix) (1) ACE-Cl, DCE, 80 °C, 5 h; (2) MeOH, 80 °C, 2 h; (3) carbamate coupling. (x) (1) NaOMe, MeOH, r.t., 1 h; then AcOH (2:1 trans:cis); (2) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C to r.t., 2 h. (72% over 2 steps). (xi) Trans-selective bis(thioacetylation): HSAc, PPh₃, DIAD, THF, r.t., 15 h. (xii) (1) KOH, MeOH, r.t., 15 h; (2) HCl, r.t., 4 h (xi-xii: 45% over 3 steps). (xiii) Carbamate coupling.

reaching an inseparable 2:1 *trans:cis* epimerized mixture by NaOMe treatment and then quenching by AcOH. Ester reduction gave 2:1 *cis:trans-*diol **6**, still unseparated. Then, we could selectively destroy the residual *cis* while carrying the *trans* through its next step of thio-Mitsunobu reaction: *trans*diol **6** converted smoothly to the *trans-*bis(thioacetate) **7**, while *cis-*diol **6** converted to *cis-*ether **5**, separable by chromatography. Thioester hydrolysis of **7**, dithiol oxidation, and deprotection gave diastereomerically pure *trans-***8**, the key **SS66T** precursor, in decent yields (Figure 4). (This also suggested that the *cis-*fused system's greater tendency to 5-exotet cyclization than the *trans* might be reflected in a greater kinetic and/or thermodynamic stability of its *cis-*disulfide, which we soon examined, as described below.)

Deprotected secondary amines of the disulfide triggers were reacted with O-chloroformates of phenolic fluorophores to deliver 19 fluorogenic MF, IG, and PQ series probes (Figure



Article



Figure 5. Redox thermodynamics of cyclic 6-membered disulfide triggers. (a) Single-crystal X-ray structures of 1,2-dithianes: CSS bond angles θ ; CSSC dihedral angles φ ; disulfide bond distance Δ . (b) HPLC-based E° measurement protocol. Disulfides are equilibrated against DTT^{red}, and then dithiols are quantitatively re-oxidized by iodine as a control. (c) HPLC time courses, equilibrium ratios, and resulting disulfide E° values. (d) Graphical depiction of E° for this study's 1,2-dithianes against known (bio)reductants.¹¹

4) and one **MR** reference compound.⁶⁸ These were all colorless and non-fluorescent both as solids and in solution, as expected for their mechanistically quenched emission. To assess disulfide thermodynamics, we also benzoylated the amines to give "SS-Bz" compounds that mimic the geometry of the triggers in the carbamate probes but can be reversibly equilibrated as well as crystallized (see below).

2.5. Thermodynamic Analyses: Redox Potentials Are Lowest for Annelated Cyclic Disulfides (SS66). Redox potentials depend on structural/geometric features that (de)stabilize the disulfide relative to the dithiol. Bond distance (SS), bond angle (CSS/SSC), and dihedral angle (CSSC),⁴⁰ together with strain factors, typically affect disulfide stability. We could acquire X-ray structures of all three **SS-Bz** 1,2dithianes (Figure 5a), showing their disulfide rings in chairlike conformations with minimal eclipsing and CSSC dihedral angles close to that of the model 1,2-dithiane DTT^{ox} (56°).⁶⁹ But, while a double-chair conformation was found for **SS66C-Bz** (similar to a *cis*-decalin), against our expectations **SS66T-Bz** formed a more strained boat-chair system unlike a *trans*decalin (for further discussion, see Raines⁷⁰ and references therein, and Supporting Information).

We then experimentally examined the thermodynamic reduction potential E° of the cyclic disulfides. We had anticipated that thermodynamic stability (low E°) would be important to resist reduction by monothiols and so to achieve selectivity for dithiol species. Whitesides calculated and experimentally determined E° values of uncommon disulfides in the 1980s–90s.^{63,71} The lowest E° values they reported were indeed for 2,3-dithiadecalin-type disulfides,⁷² which under non-standard conditions (50:50 buffer:cosolvent) were -0.35

V (*trans*) and -0.34 V (*cis*). This extraordinary stability compared to linear disulfides (E° ca. -0.22 V)⁶³ was promising for our aims in this study. However, while we expected the E° of the tetrahedral amine triggers **SS66T** and **SS66C** to match these, we believed that the restrained geometries of the carbamates would modify the probes' potentials. To mimic these while avoiding the influence of kinetic considerations that also determine irreversible probe performance (trigger reduction and thiol cyclization rates), we again used the reversible **SS-Bz** series; these also allowed us to benchmark our reduction potentials under normalized conditions.

To determine reduction potentials, we equilibrated the SS-Bz series against chemocompatible reductant standards, analyzing oxidized:reduced compound ratios by HPLC. We did this similarly to methods used by Raines⁶² and others^{63,73} though with three additional features. First, the benzamide is a convenient UV-active tag for HPLC signal integration. Second, we detected oxidized:reduced ratios for both benzamide probe and reductant standard (e.g., DTT); this controls for parasitic oxidation by adventitious oxygen (which would be reflected by an increasing total of oxidized species). Third, we performed time course measurements to follow the progress of equilibration, and upon reaching stable plateaus we controlled for reversibility by re-oxidizing all dithiols to disulfides using I₂ (Figure 5b). This controls for polymerization of strained disulfides, which risks being misinterpreted. By titrating reductants, we determined reduction potentials of -0.276 V for SS60-Bz, -0.317 V for SS66T-Bz, and -0.339 V for SS66C-Bz (Figure 5c,d), whereas the strained analogues SS50-Bz and SS7-Bz were not equilibrating systems. We estimate ± 0.01 V precision in our experiment. The lower reduction



Figure 6. Reductant-resistance profiling and cargo-release kinetics. (a) Fluorescence response of representative linear and 1,2-dithiane probes to monothiol reductants (1 mM), benchmarked to quantitative reductant TCEP (100 μ M) and to dithiol DTT (1 mM); t = 2 h. (b) Probe activation kinetics with TCEP (100 μ M). (c) GSH challenge titrations (0.01–10 mM GSH, 10 μ M probe, t = 6 h data). (d) Dithiol challenge titrations (0.01–10 mM DTT, 10 μ M probe, t = 6 h data). (e, f) Modular probe design allows comparing cargo release kinetics.

potentials of the annelated **SS66** compared to the monocyclic **SS60** matched expectations. Potentially due to the benzamide strain, **SS66T-Bz** indeed had 0.02 V higher E° than **SS66C-Bz**. While Whitesides gave a 0.01 V lower potential for the *trans*-2,3-dithiadecalin,⁶³ this may only hold true for the **SS66** amines (Figure 5d; see also Supporting Information).

2.6. Kinetic Analyses: Cyclic 6-Membered Disulfides Resist GSH Reduction but Are Triggered by Vicinal Dithiols. We now turned to the PQ- and MF/IG-based fluorogenic probes, to test their activation by, or resistance to, small-molecule reductants.

Calibrations on the cargos MF-OH, IG-OH, and PQ-OH confirmed large linear concentration/signal ranges (20 nM-30 μ M for xanthenes, >1 μ M for PQ-OH; Figure S2a,b). Assays of the probes' fluorogenic character highlighted their true off-to-on fluorescence switching, based on complete mechanistic quenching in the intact probes (Figure S2c). Throughout the reductant challenge assays, we controlled

against fluorophore release by non-reductive degradation mechanisms (such as carbamate hydrolysis, or carbamate thiolysis by thiol reductants) using the **O56** probe series. Matching our design requirements, the **O56** series showed in all cases that the secondary amine carbamates were entirely robust, thereby solving the problems with prior phenolic carbamates³⁵ and indicating that disulfide reduction and intramolecular thiol cyclization would be the only pathway for signal generation with our disulfide probe series.

First, to report selectively on enzyme activity, a probe must resist reduction by the cellular monothiol background (ca. 50 mM, of which up to 5 mM GSH).¹¹ We therefore began by incubating probes with monothiols (1 mM) to profile their monothiol resistance. Linear **SS00** and **SS06** probes and strained 5-membered cyclic **SS50** probes were rapidly triggered by all monothiols: GSH, cysteine, cysteamine (CA), *N*,*N*-dimethyl cysteamine (MEDA), and *N*-acetylcysteine (NAC). In contrast, 1,2-dithiane **SS60**, **SS66C**, and **SS66T** as well as 7-

membered cyclic SS7 probes resisted monothiol reduction (Figure 6a, Figures S4 and S5 for PQ series, Figure S6 for MF series, and Figure S7 for IG and MR series).

Next, we examined the kinetic performance of each probe, using the rapid and quantitative disulfide reducing agent tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP). In these settings where no dithiol re-oxidation is possible (cf. k_{T2} and k_{G2} , Figure 2), probe signal generation depends on the rates of reduction by the phosphine, *5-exo*-trig thiol cyclization, and cargo expulsion. Under such special conditions, the cargo nature rather than the disulfide structure became the major determinant of signal kinetics (Figure 6b; PQ-OH release approximately 10 times faster than that of MF-OH). While some reports claim that distal cargos substantially affect the reductant selectivity profile,⁷⁴ we attribute the faster release of PQ-OH than MF-OH simply to its better leaving-group character.

Pleasingly, the relative rates of probes within each series were almost identical for the MF as for the PQ series (Figure 6b; fits in Figure S3), revealing trigger-based performance features. (1) While annelations have been used for amine cyclization promotion,^{56,75} this has not yet been studied for thiols. The ca. 3-fold rate enhancement of annelation in the linear disulfides was significant (SS06 > SS00, also suggesting that their thiol cyclization is more rate-determining than their phosphine reduction). Annelation in the 1,2-dithianes was interesting: while the cis-fused SS66C was faster than SS60 as expected, the trans-fused SS66T was slower than SS60. (2) Thiol cyclization/cargo elimination ($C \rightarrow PhOH$, Figure 2) occurs on a scale of minutes. As intramolecular thiol-disulfide exchanges $(\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \text{ and } \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{A})$ typically take <10 ms,⁷⁶ we propose that "on-reductant" cyclization of the exchange intermediates B and D to release PhOH before their full reduction to C (Figure 2) is not a major contributor to cargo release. (3) The rates of bisthiolate cyclization (from SS50) were twice those of the correponding monothiolate (SS00), a satisfying match to theory, though the SS7 probes were sluggish and behaved irreproducibly. (We believe oligomerization of SS7^{37,63,71} causes its poor performance, paralleling the behavior of polymerizable³¹ SS50 probes. Additionally, neither SS7-Bz nor SS50-Bz performed reliably in the equilibration/reoxidation assay. So, while we carried these probes through all assays, we focus on interpreting only the reliable 1,2-dithiane and linear disulfide results; see Supporting Information.)

We next aimed to profile GSH sensitivity more meaningfully than by single-concentration assays, to reach results predictively applicable to diverse settings. Therefore, we titrated the probes with GSH over a wide concentration range (0.01–10 mM GSH, 10 μ M probe) to build logarithmic dose–response curves profiling GSH sensitivity with long-term challenge (fluorescence at t = 6 h; Figure 6c, Figures S4–S7). These showed that linear disulfide (SS00/SS06) and 5membered cyclic SS50-type probes are indeed quantitatively activated by physiological GSH concentrations (Figure 6c). The novel 1,2-dithiane probes, however, gave either zero response to GSH up to 10 mM (SS66C, SS60) or else very low signal from 1 to 3 mM GSH (SS66T; Figure 6c). As the 1,2-dithiane probes are monothiol-resistant, this indicated they might have potential for protein-selective monitoring.

We then explored a simple model system for vicinal dithiol reactivity using DTT. Vicinal dithiols reduce cyclic disulfide triggers via a net bimolecular pathway, in contrast to monothiols (net trimolecular; Figure 2). We hoped that this mechanistic difference would allow monothiol-stable cyclic disulfide probes to be selectively activated by vicinal dithiols.

Dose-response titrations showed that the GSH-resistant **SS60**, **SS66T**, and **SS66C** cyclic disulfide probes were indeed fully activated by DTT (Figure 6d). The linear and **SS50** probes were quantitatively triggered by even equimolar DTT, and the control **OS6** probes were again fully resistant (Figures S4–S7). Pleasingly, the ordering of DTT resistance (**SS00**/**SS06** < **SS60** < **SS66T**/**SS66C**) was common to all cargos, again supporting the modularity of the probes' design.

As for the monothiols, we noted apparent differences of probe sensitivity to DTT depending on the cargo nature, but again we attribute this to leaving-group kinetics (**PQ-OH** ca. 10-fold faster-releasing than **MF-OH/IG-OH**; we had expected that the acidification of **IG-OH** would make it a faster cargo than **MF-OH**⁷⁷ but we did not see this; Figure 6e,f, Figures S4–S7). The design control probe **SS60-MR** had poor activation (ca. 5% at 10 mM DTT at 6 h, Figure 6f), highlighting a general benefit of our phenol-releasing design over standard aniline-releasing probes (further detailed evaluation in the Supporting Information).

Taken together, this systematic comparison strongly showed that only 6-membered disulfides resist uncatalyzed reduction by monothiols at physiological concentrations, but that such motifs can still be reduced by vicinal dithiols. To the best of our knowledge, dose—response assays evaluating, e.g., GSH and DTT stability across wide concentration ranges to test for trigger reductant preferences have not been used in this field before, nor have previous reports established trigger or cargo selectivities on the basis of independently varying both triggers and cargos within the same probe series. In our opinion, both would be useful additions to the toolbox of standard assays for cumulative-release turnover probes.

2.7. Cyclic 6-Membered Disulfides Are Selectively Reduced by Trx Rather than by Other Oxidoreductases. Having established the selectivity of the 6-membered cyclic disulfide probes for reduction by vicinal dithiols and their resistance to monothiols, we next proceeded to profile the probes' reduction by biological vicinal dithiol/disulfide-type proteins, focusing on the main components of the TrxR/Trx and GR/GSH/Grx systems. In cells, the effector proteins Trx and Grx (ca. 10 μ M each) have orders of magnitude higher cellular concentrations than their upstream reductases TrxR and GR (ca. 20 nM each). To design cell-free assays to predict cellular enzyme selectivities, we ensured that the assays were performed (a) with cellular reductant concentrations, (b) using the catalytically powered redox systems rather than only prereduced effector proteins, and (c) examining a range of protein isoforms, both isolated from primary tissues as well as recombinantly expressed. The last point is particularly relevant for TrxR since the key selenocysteine (Sec, U) residue in its active site is highly present in isolates of native enzymes⁷⁸ or recombinant forms made with novel production methodologies (up to 100% Sec contents),⁷⁹ which we employed in this study, rather than the ca. 30% Sec content from standard expression methods.⁸⁰ Accordingly, our tests used recombinant human TrxRs, both cytosolic TrxR1 and mitochondrial TrxR2; recombinant human Trxs, both cytosolic Trx1 and mitochondrial Trx2; and as a comparison, recombinant human thioredoxin-related protein TRP14,⁸¹ which also features a vicinal dithiol/disulfide active site that is reduced by TrxR. Grx variants were examined using recombinant human vicinal



Figure 7. Cyclic 6-membered disulfides are Trx-selective substrates. (a) Bioreductant selectivity (single-concentration profiling; t = 4 h and t = 6 h; see also Figures S8 and S9). (b) Response kinetics of **SS60-PQ** (10 μ M) and **SS60-MF** (1 μ M) compared to their linear disulfide analogues. (c) Kinetic response of **SS60-MF** (1 μ M) to titrated redox effectors Trx1, Trx2, Grx1, and Grx2. (d) Dose–response profiles of the 1,2-dithiane probes to redox effector proteins (t = 6 h). See Supporting Information for details (Figures S8–S13).

dithiol glutaredoxins Grx1 and Grx2 together with human recombinant GR.

We evaluated all probes in fluorescence time courses. To conclude on whether probes were reduced by the effectors Trx and Grx, and/or by direct reaction with the upstream reductants TrxR and GR, we compared assays using both effectors and upstream reductants against assays with only upstream reductants, controlling against the effectors only. We also confirmed these interpretations by titrating effectors (e.g., Trx1) in the presence of a fixed concentration of upstream reductants (e.g., TrxR1) or *vice versa*. To our knowledge, this

approach has not been reported with other compounds described as redox turnover probes, but it proved to be a powerful technique to illustrate probe selectivities. All assays were performed with NADPH; GR assays optionally included a low concentration of GSH to allow Grx reduction without significantly reducing probe directly; see Supporting Information for further details.

The 6-membered cyclic disulfide probes based on SS60, SS66C, and SS66T were selectively activated by thioredoxins Trx1 and Trx2 (Figure 7a), with the SS60 series the most sensitive. The SS66C series had the highest Trx selectivity,

with almost zero detected signal under challenge by the Grx +GSH+GR and TrxR+TRP14 cascades over 4 or 6 h, respectively (Figure 7b,c, Figures S8-S13). Both Trx1 and Trx2 dose-dependently activated the dithiane probes, though pleasingly, under relevant concentrations, these probes were non-responsive to any of the TrxR isoforms in the absence of the effector Trx. It is notable that the 1,2-dithiane-type probes were not affected by the Trx-analogue vicinal dithiol protein TRP14, which likely reflects the different active-site structure and/or electrostatic surface charge of TRP14 compared to either Trx1 or Trx2.82 We note that the general order of Trx selectivity in the dithiane series (SS66C \geq SS60 > SS66T) matches the order of stability against the supraphysiological monothiol levels (e.g., GSH at 10 mM, Figure 6c), supporting the earlier interpretation of structural influences on the relative kinetic instability of the trans-fused system. Matching expectations, linear disulfide reference probes SS00(M) were activated completely non-specifically by Trx1, Trx2, TRP14, Grx1, Grx2, and TrxR1 (Figure 7a,b, Figures S8, S10, and S11) in addition to the monothiols previously shown. This highlights the importance of the dithiane for achieving Trx selectivity (Figure 2). The signal kinetics for all PQ probes were again faster than for the corresponding MF probes (Figures S8–S13).

We again performed dose-reponse titrations to test the sensitivity profiles of all probes to varying concentrations of the redox-active proteins, which should be a useful predictive tool to estimate performance in complex applications. Figure 7d shows these dose-response plots for the two major dithiane triggers, the more-Trx-sensitive **SS60** and the most-Trx-selective **SS66C**, as applied to both **PQ** and **MF** probes (other probes in Figures S8–S13). This highlights the highly preferred processing of the novel dithiane probes by the Trx isoforms, regardless of their cargo (Figure 7d)—an excellent result for the mechanism-based design.

In summary, this systematic exploration of disulfide probe reductive triggering has relied on time course rather than endpoint data, and featured systematic variations and titrations of all reducing species. The results indicate that *regardless of the cargos with which they are used*, the hitherto-reported linear and cyclic 5-membered disulfide triggers do not withstand nonselective and non-enzymatic triggering by GSH, monothiols, and a range of cellular reductants, and are therefore nonselective reduction sensors. In contrast, the new 6-membered cyclic disulfide probes display excellent selectivity and steep dose—response curves for reduction by vicinal dithiols, particularly by Trx1 and Trx2. **SS60** and **SS66C** were thus identified as promising disulfide motifs for sensitive, modular probes selectively reduced by thioredoxins.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Specific dithiol-disulfide-type reactions underlie a multitude of biological pathways, and engineered disulfides are recently finding applications from probes in chemical biology to uses in biophysics and materials chemistry. Linear disulfides have been used for decades as non-specifically and irreversibly intracellularly cleaved substrates, often for intracellular release of appended cargos. While strained cyclic disulfides have found several applications, it has remained unproven whether *any* disulfide could be used reliably for creating robust, modular, enzyme-selective small-molecule probes.³¹ The challenge posed has been to unite (i) a broadly applicable, robust design that is suitable for efficiently releasing arbitrary cargos (toward both probes and prodrugs) with (ii) a disulfide/dithiol-type redox trigger that resists the high cellular background of monothiols yet can be triggered by specific redox-active dithiol-type enzymes.

In this work we used mechanism-based analysis to design novel disulfide-based trigger-cargo probes which unite both features. The 6-membered cyclic disulfide probes characterized here feature rational tuning of thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of disulfide reduction as well as of cargo release, to achieve higher stability to the monothiol background than any probes previously reported. The trigger motifs were synthesized scalably, with the novel bicyclic disulfide structures being accessed by divergent diastereomerically pure routes, and then integrated into modular phenol-releasing probes which feature stability to cleavage by non-reductive mechanisms. These probes have been evaluated through a rigorous methodology combining time course and dose-response screening of chemical and biological reductants. The disulfides we report, and the probe designs we apply them to, fill a gap in the literature by being the first systematic exploration of the synthesis and reductant selectivity of non-strained and nonpolymerizing disulfides, with the outlook toward adapting these modular phenol-releasing designs for a range of purposes. Pleasingly, the design logic of achieving selectivity by matching probe energetics and mechanism to those of the desired reducing species was supported by the selectivity in reduction of the 6-membered disulfides by the vicinal dithiol Trx, but not by the other redox-active enzymes analyzed here.

Further developing the Trx-selective 1,2-dithiane chemotypes for cellular monitoring of endogenous Trx is a major aim of our ongoing research. This faces challenges including (1) ensuring reduction is competitive with native Trx substrates, to reach high turnover or signal in the cellular context, and (2) favoring the post-reduction cyclization $k_{\rm rel}$ relative to the reoxidation pathways (particularly $k_{\rm G2}$; Figure 2), to maximize the proportion of Trx-reduced probe which releases its cargo. These are non-trivial challenges. We also expect that tuning the probes' intracellular localization will prove crucial for performance.

Diversifying disulfide trigger structures to address other key oxidoreductases is another goal of our research. The scope of redox biology would be greatly expanded by developing probes that are GSSG-competitive substrates of GR, or Trxcompetitive substrates of TrxR. This would allow researchers to tap into the turnover dynamics that drive the major dithiol/ disulfide-manifold processes throughout the cell. This work has demonstrated that disulfides are a still-untapped well of potential for redox probes. We therefore hope that further developments of probes with increased selectivity for specific redox enzymes will facilitate progress in the many areas and applications of research in redox biology.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.1c03234.

Synthesis, analysis, and biochemistry, including Figures S1–S13 (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 2072438-2072442 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif, or by

pubs.acs.org/JACS

emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Oliver Thorn-Seshold – Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-3981-651X; Email: oliver.thornseshold@cup.lmu.de

Authors

- Jan G. Felber Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-5010-9624
- Lukas Zeisel Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/ 0000-0001-7813-7099
- Lena Poczka Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany

Karoline Scholzen – Department of Medical Biochemistry, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden

Sander Busker – Department of Medical Biochemistry, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden; orcid.org/0000-0002-7069-3864

Martin S. Maier – Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-0409-0539

- **Ulrike Theisen** Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Medical Center, University of Rostock, 18057 Rostock, Germany
- Christina Brandstädter Interdisciplinary Research Centre (IFZ), Justus Liebig University Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany

Katja Becker – Interdisciplinary Research Centre (IFZ), Justus Liebig University Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-4673-3675

- Elias S. J. Arnér Department of Medical Biochemistry, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden; Department of Selenoprotein Research, National Institute of Oncology, 1122 Budapest, Hungary; orcid.org/0000-0002-4807-6114
- Julia Thorn-Seshold Department of Pharmacy, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-4879-4159

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.1c03234

Funding

This research was supported by funds from the German Research Foundation (DFG: SFB 1032 project B09 number 201269156, SFB TRR 152 project P24 number 239283807, SPP 1926 project number 426018126, and Emmy Noether grant 400324123 to O.T.-S.; SPP 1710 project BE 1540/23-2 to K.B. (now transferred to Stefan Rahlfs)); LMUExcellent (Junior Researcher Fund to O.T.-S.); the Munich Centre for NanoScience initiative (CeNS) to O.T.-S.; and from Karolinska Institutet, The Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundations, The Swedish Cancer Society, The Swedish Research Council, Cayman Biomedical Research Institute (CABRI), and the Hungarian Thematic Excellence Programme (TKP2020-NKA-26) to E.S.J.A. J.G.F. thanks the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes for support through a Ph.D. scholarship; L.Z. thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) for support through a Ph.D. scholarship; L.P. thanks the GRK 2338 for support through a Ph.D. scholarship; J.T.-S. thanks the Joachim Herz Foundation for fellowship support.

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): J.G.F., L.Z., J.T.-S., and O.T.-S. are inventors on a patent application filed by the LMU Munich in 2021 covering compound structures reported in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Peter Mayer (LMU) for X-ray crystallography; Qing Cheng (KI) for production and purification of recombinant enzymes; Paula Ruppel, Christina Wichmann, and Julia Rauh (LMU) for synthetic assistance; Reviewer 2 for the helpful comments regarding the sources of artifactual signal; and Matt Fuchter (ICL), Klaus T. Wanner (LMU), Kate Carroll (Scripps), and the attendees of the SPP 1710 conference Thiol-Based Redox Switches in 2019, for their supportive and collegial discussions.

DEDICATION

This paper is dedicated to George Whitesides, who so greatly contributed to setting thiol/disulfide redox chemistry on secure theoretical and practical foundations.

REFERENCES

(1) Herrmann, J. M.; Dick, T. P. Redox Biology on the Rise. *Biol. Chem.* 2012, 393 (9), 999–1004.

(2) Jones, D. P.; Sies, H. The Redox Code. Antioxid. Redox Signaling **2015**, 23 (9), 734–746.

(3) Arnér, E. S. J.; Holmgren, A. Physiological Functions of Thioredoxin and Thioredoxin Reductase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **2000**, 267 (20), 6102–6109.

(4) Miller, C. G.; Holmgren, A.; Arnér, E. S. J.; Schmidt, E. E. NADPH-Dependent and -Independent Disulfide Reductase Systems. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2018**, *127*, 248–261.

(5) Pillay, C. S.; Hofmeyr, J.-H. S.; Rohwer, J. M. The Logic of Kinetic Regulation in the Thioredoxin System. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 2011, 5 (1), 15.

(6) Deponte, M. Glutathione Catalysis and the Reaction Mechanisms of Glutathione-Dependent Enzymes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **2013**, *1830* (5), 3217–3266.

(7) Lu, J.; Holmgren, A. The Thioredoxin Antioxidant System. Free Radical Biol. Med. **2014**, 66, 75–87.

(8) Fernandes, A. P.; Holmgren, A. Glutaredoxins: Glutathione-Dependent Redox Enzymes with Functions Far Beyond a Simple Thioredoxin Backup System. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2004**, *6* (1), 63–74.

(9) Holmgren, A.; Johansson, C.; Berndt, C.; Lönn, M. E.; Hudemann, C.; Lillig, C. H. Thiol Redox Control via Thioredoxin and Glutaredoxin Systems. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2005**, 33 (6), 1375. (10) Hanschmann, E.-M.; Godoy, J. R.; Berndt, C.; Hudemann, C.; Lillig, C. H. Thioredoxins, Glutaredoxins, and Peroxiredoxins— Molecular Mechanisms and Health Significance: From Cofactors to Antioxidants to Redox Signaling. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2013**, 19 (13), 1539–1605.

(11) Fridovich, I.; Poole, L. B.; Holmgren, A.; Lou, M. F.; David, S. S.; Osborne, R. L.; Dawson, J. H.; Copley, S. D.; Kadokura, H.; Beckwith, J.; Gilbert, H. F.; Ragsdale, S. W.; Gladyshev, V. N.; Becker, D.; Dickmann, M.; Banerjee, R. Antioxidant Enzymes. *Redox Biochemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2008; pp 49–134.

(12) Cheng, Q.; Sandalova, T.; Lindqvist, Y.; Arnér, E. S. J. Crystal Structure and Catalysis of the Selenoprotein Thioredoxin Reductase 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284* (6), 3998–4008.

(13) Fritz-Wolf, K.; Urig, S.; Becker, K. The Structure of Human Thioredoxin Reductase 1 Provides Insights into C-Terminal Rearrangements During Catalysis. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2007**, 370 (1), 116–127.

(14) Gromer, S.; Johansson, L.; Bauer, H.; Arscott, L. D.; Rauch, S.; Ballou, D. P.; Williams, C. H.; Schirmer, R. H.; Arner, E. S. J. Active Sites of Thioredoxin Reductases: Why Selenoproteins? *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2003**, *100* (22), 12618–12623.

(15) Arnér, E. S. J. Focus on Mammalian Thioredoxin Reductases — Important Selenoproteins with Versatile Functions. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* **2009**, *1790* (6), 495–526.

(16) Maroney, M. J.; Hondal, R. J. Selenium versus Sulfur: Reversibility of Chemical Reactions and Resistance to Permanent Oxidation in Proteins and Nucleic Acids. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2018**, *127*, 228–237.

(17) Sagemark, J.; Elgán, T. H.; Bürglin, T. R.; Johansson, C.; Holmgren, A.; Berndt, K. D. Redox Properties and Evolution of Human Glutaredoxins. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.* **2007**, *68* (4), 879–892.

(18) Weichsel, A.; Gasdaska, J. R.; Powis, G.; Montfort, W. R. Crystal Structures of Reduced, Oxidized, and Mutated Human Thioredoxins: Evidence for a Regulatory Homodimer. *Structure* **1996**, *4* (6), 735–751.

(19) Johansson, L.; Arscott, L. D.; Ballou, D. P.; Williams, C. H., Jr.; Arnér, E. S. J. Studies of an Active Site Mutant of the Selenoprotein Thioredoxin Reductase: The Ser-Cys-Cys-Ser Motif of the Insect Orthologue Is Not Sufficient to Replace the Cys-Sec Dyad in the Mammalian Enzyme. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2006**, *41* (4), 649–656. (20) Fritz-Wolf, K.; Kehr, S.; Stumpf, M.; Rahlfs, S.; Becker, K. Crystal Structure of the Human Thioredoxin Reductase–Thioredoxin Complex. *Nat. Commun.* **2011**, *2* (383), 1–8.

(21) Pan, J. L.; Bardwell, J. C. A. The Origami of Thioredoxin-like Folds. *Protein Sci.* **2006**, *15* (10), 2217–2227.

(22) Palde, P. B.; Carroll, K. S. A Universal Entropy-Driven Mechanism for Thioredoxin-Target Recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, *112* (26), 7960–7965.

(23) Meyer, A. J.; Dick, T. P. Fluorescent Protein-Based Redox Probes. Antioxid. Redox Signaling 2010, 13 (5), 621-650.

(24) Lukyanov, K. A.; Belousov, V. V. Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Redox Sensors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* 2014, 1840 (2), 745–756.

(25) Schwarzländer, M.; Dick, T. P.; Meyer, A. J.; Morgan, B. Dissecting Redox Biology Using Fluorescent Protein Sensors. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2016**, *24* (13), 680–712.

(26) Sallin, O.; Reymond, L.; Gondrand, C.; Raith, F.; Koch, B.; Johnsson, K. Semisynthetic Biosensors for Mapping Cellular Concentrations of Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotides. *eLife* **2018**, 7, e32638.

(27) Lee, M. H.; Yang, Z.; Lim, C. W.; Lee, Y. H.; Dongbang, S.;
Kang, C.; Kim, J. S. Disulfide-Cleavage-Triggered Chemosensors and Their Biological Applications. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113* (7), 5071–5109.
(28) Pires, M. M.; Chmielewski, J. Fluorescence Imaging of Cellular Glutathione Using a Latent Rhodamine. *Org. Lett.* 2008, *10* (5), 837–

840. (29) Lim, C. S.; Masanta, G.; Kim, H. J.; Han, J. H.; Kim, H. M.; Cho, B. R. Ratiometric Detection of Mitochondrial Thiols with a Two-Photon Fluorescent Probe. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133* (29), 11132–11135.

(30) Butora, G.; Qi, N.; Fu, W.; Nguyen, T.; Huang, H.-C.; Davies, I. W. Cyclic-Disulfide-Based Prodrugs for Cytosol-Specific Drug Delivery. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53 (51), 14046–14050.

(31) Felber, J. G.; Poczka, L.; Busker, S.; Theisen, U.; Zeisel, L.; Maier, M. S.; Brandstädter, C.; Scholzen, K.; Becker, K.; Arnér, E. S. J.; Ahlfeld, J.; Thorn-Seshold, O. Cyclic 5-Membered Disulfides Are Not Selective Substrates of Thioredoxin Reductase, but Are Opened Nonspecifically by Thiols. *ChemRxiv* **2020**, DOI: 10.26434/ chemrxiv.13483155.v1 (accessed May 9, 2021). (32) Cherblanc, F. L; Chapman, K. L; Brown, R.; Fuchter, M. J Chaetocin Is a Nonspecific Inhibitor of Histone Lysine Methyltransferases. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2013**, *9*, 136–137.

(33) Zhang, L.; Duan, D.; Liu, Y.; Ge, C.; Cui, X.; Sun, J.; Fang, J.
Highly Selective Off-On Fluorescent Probe for Imaging Thioredoxin Reductase in Living Cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (1), 226-233.
(34) Ma, H.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Fang, J. A Fast Response and Red Emission Probe for Mammalian Thioredoxin Reductase. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52 (81), 12060-12063.

(35) Li, X.; Zhang, B.; Yan, C.; Li, J.; Wang, S.; Wei, X.; Jiang, X.; Zhou, P.; Fang, J. A Fast and Specific Fluorescent Probe for Thioredoxin Reductase That Works via Disulphide Bond Cleavage. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10* (2745), 1–12.

(36) Li, X.; Hou, Y.; Meng, X.; Ge, C.; Ma, H.; Li, J.; Fang, J. Selective Activation of a Prodrug by Thioredoxin Reductase Providing a Strategy to Target Cancer Cells. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (21), 6141–6145.

(37) Houk, J.; Whitesides, G. M. Structure-Reactivity Relations for Thiol-Disulfide Interchange. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109 (22), 6825–6836.

(38) Singh, R.; Whitesides, G. M. Degenerate Intermolecular Thiolate-Disulfide Interchange Involving Cyclic Five-Membered Disulfides Is Faster by Approximately 103 than That Involving Sixor Seven-Membered Disulfides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112* (17), 6304–6309.

(39) Abegg, D.; Gasparini, G.; Hoch, D. G.; Shuster, A.; Bartolami, E.; Matile, S.; Adibekian, A. Strained Cyclic Disulfides Enable Cellular Uptake by Reacting with the Transferrin Receptor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (1), 231–238.

(40) Gasparini, G.; Sargsyan, G.; Bang, E.-K.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. Ring Tension Applied to Thiol-Mediated Cellular Uptake. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (25), 7328–7331.

(41) Cheng, Y.; Pham, A.-T.; Kato, T.; Lim, B.; Moreau, D.; López-Andarias, J.; Zong, L.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. Inhibitors of Thiol-Mediated Uptake. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 626.

(42) Butora, G. Nucleoside Kinase Bypass Compositions and Methods. WO2014088923A1, 2014.

(43) Hayashi, J.; Samezawa, Y.; Ochi, Y.; Wada, S.; Urata, H. Syntheses of Prodrug-Type Phosphotriester Oligonucleotides Responsive to Intracellular Reducing Environment for Improvement of Cell Membrane Permeability and Nuclease Resistance. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, 27 (14), 3135–3138.

(44) Kong, F.; Zhao, Y.; Liang, Z.; Liu, X.; Pan, X.; Luan, D.; Xu, K.; Tang, B. Highly Selective Fluorescent Probe for Imaging H_2 Se in Living Cells and in Vivo Based on the Disulfide Bond. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89* (1), 688–693.

(45) Ziv, I. Compounds and Methods for Trans-Membrane Delivery of Molecules. US9687556B2, 2017.

(46) Ziv, I. Pro-Drugs and Related Methods. WO2017017669A1, 2017.

(47) Na, Y.; Kohn, H. Quinone-Cyclized Porfiromycins. *Heterocycles* **2001**, 55 (7), 1347–1364.

(48) Lee, S. H.; Kohn, H. Cyclic Disulfide C(8) Iminoporfiromycin: Nucleophilic Activation of a Porfiromycin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126 (13), 4281–4292.

(49) Ollivier, N.; Dheur, J.; Mhidia, R.; Blanpain, A.; Melnyk, O. Bis(2-Sulfanylethyl)Amino Native Peptide Ligation. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, 12 (22), 5238–5241.

(50) Zhu, B.; Zhang, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, P.; Zhang, H.; Zhuang, X. A Colorimetric and Ratiometric Fluorescent Probe for Thiols and Its Bioimaging Applications. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46* (31), 5710.

(51) Hong, K.-H.; Kim, D. I.; Kwon, H.; Kim, H.-J. A Fluoresceinylcarbonate-Based Fluorescent Probe for the Sensitive Detection of Biothiols in a HEPES Buffer and Its Cellular Expression. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4* (2), 978–982.

(52) Dan, K.; Veetil, A. T.; Chakraborty, K.; Krishnan, Y. DNA Nanodevices Map Enzymatic Activity in Organelles. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14* (3), 252–259. (53) Mata, G.; do Rosário, V. E.; Iley, J.; Constantino, L.; Moreira, R. A Carbamate-Based Approach to Primaquine Prodrugs: Antimalarial Activity, Chemical Stability and Enzymatic Activation. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *20* (2), 886–892.

(54) Ghosh, A. K.; Brindisi, M. Organic Carbamates in Drug Design and Medicinal Chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58 (7), 2895–2940.
(55) Vacondio, F.; Silva, C.; Mor, M.; Testa, B. Qualitative Structure-Metabolism Relationships in the Hydrolysis of Carbamates. Drug Metab. Rev. 2010, 42 (4), 551–589.

(56) Thorn-Seshold, O.; Vargas-Sanchez, M.; McKeon, S.; Hasserodt, J. A Robust, High-Sensitivity Stealth Probe for Peptidases. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48 (50), 6253–6255.

(57) Tian, L.; Yang, Y.; Wysocki, L. M.; Arnold, A. C.; Hu, A.; Ravichandran, B.; Sternson, S. M.; Looger, L. L.; Lavis, L. D. Selective Esterase-Ester Pair for Targeting Small Molecules with Cellular Specificity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2012**, *109* (13), 4756–4761.

(58) Orte, A.; Crovetto, L.; Talavera, E. M.; Boens, N.; Alvarez-Pez, J. M. Absorption and Emission Study of 2',7'-Difluorofluorescein and Its Excited-State Buffer-Mediated Proton Exchange Reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109* (5), 734–747.

(59) Machida, T.; Dutt, S.; Winssinger, N. Allosterically Regulated Phosphatase Activity from Peptide-PNA Conjugates Folded Through Hybridization. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55 (30), 8595–8598.

(60) Rando, G.; Winssinger, N.; Lindberg, E.; Anzola, M. New Compounds and Uses Thereof for Detection of Target Molecules in a Sample. US 2019/0315713 A1.

(61) Fu, Q.; Li, H.; Duan, D.; Wang, C.; Shen, S.; Ma, H.; Liu, Z. External-Radiation-Induced Local Hydroxylation Enables Remote Release of Functional Molecules in Tumors. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59 (48), 21546–21552.

(62) Lukesh, J. C.; Palte, M. J.; Raines, R. T. A Potent, Versatile Disulfide-Reducing Agent from Aspartic Acid. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134 (9), 4057–4059.

(63) Lees, W. J.; Whitesides, G. M. Equilibrium Constants for Thiol-Disulfide Interchange Reactions: A Coherent, Corrected Set. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58 (3), 642–647.

(64) Chênevert, R.; Dickman, M. Enzymatic Route to Chiral, Nonracemic Cis-2,6- and Cis,Cis-2,4,6-Substituted Piperidines. Synthesis of (+)-Dihydropinidine and Dendrobate Alkaloid (+)-241D. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61 (10), 3332–3341.

(65) Le Corre, L.; Kizirian, J.-C.; Levraud, C.; Boucher, J.-L.; Bonnet, V.; Dhimane, H. Diastereoselective Functionalizations of Enecarbamates Derived from Pipecolic Acid towards 5-Guanidinopipecolates as Arginine Mimetics. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6* (18), 3388–3398.

(66) Vartak, A. P.; Gabriela Deaciuc, A.; Dwoskin, L. P.; Crooks, P. A. Quinlobelane: A Water-Soluble Lobelane Analogue and Inhibitor of VMAT2. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20* (12), 3584–3587.

(67) Yang, B. V.; O'Rourke, D.; Li, J. Mild and Selective Debenzylation of Tertiary Amines Using α -Chloroethyl Chloroformate. *Synlett* **1993**, *1993* (03), 195–196.

(68) Thorn-Seshold, O.; Felber, J.; Thorn-Seshold, J.; Zeisel, L. Disulfide-Based Prodrug Compounds. EP1163944.8.

(69) Capasso, S.; Zagari, A. 1,2-Dithiane-4,5-Diol. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1981, 37 (7), 1437-1439.

(70) Kilgore, H. R.; Raines, R. T. Disulfide Chromophores Arise from Stereoelectronic Effects. J. Phys. Chem. B 2020, 124 (19), 3931–3935.

(71) Burns, J. A.; Whitesides, G. M. Predicting the Stability of Cyclic Disulfides by Molecular Modeling: Effective Concentrations in Thiol-Disulfide Interchange and the Design of Strongly Reducing Dithiols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112* (17), 6296–6303.

(72) Lüttringhaus, A.; Brechlin, A. Cyclische Disulfide, III. cis- und trans-2.3-Dithia-decalin. *Chem. Ber.* **1959**, *92* (9), 2271–2277.

(73) Rothwarf, D. M.; Scheraga, H. A. Equilibrium and Kinetic Constants for the Thiol-Disulfide Interchange Reaction between Glutathione and Dithiothreitol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1992**, *89* (17), 7944–7948.

(74) Jia, H.; Hu, G.; Shi, D.; Gan, L.; Zhang, H.; Yao, X.; Fang, J. Fluorophore-Dependent Cleavage of Disulfide Bond Leading to a Highly Selective Fluorescent Probe of Thioredoxin. *Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *91* (13), 8524–8531.

(75) Dal Corso, A.; Borlandelli, V.; Corno, C.; Perego, P.; Belvisi, L.; Pignataro, L.; Gennari, C. Fast Cyclization of a Proline-Derived Self-Immolative Spacer Improves the Efficacy of Carbamate Prodrugs. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59* (10), 4176–4181.

(76) Laurent, Q.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. The Opening of 1,2-Dithiolanes and 1,2-Diselenolanes: Regioselectivity, Rearrangements, and Consequences for Poly(Disulfide)s, Cellular Uptake and Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complexes. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2019**, *102* (2), e1800209.

(77) Chyan, W.; Kilgore, H. R.; Gold, B.; Raines, R. T. Electronic and Steric Optimization of Fluorogenic Probes for Biomolecular Imaging. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82 (8), 4297–4304.

(78) Cheng, Q.; Arnér, E. S. J. Selenocysteine Insertion at a Predefined UAG Codon in a Release Factor 1 (RF1)-Depleted Escherichia Coli Host Strain Bypasses Species Barriers in Recombinant Selenoprotein Translation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2017**, 292 (13), 5476–5487.

(79) Cheng, Q.; Arnér, E. S. J. Overexpression of Recombinant Selenoproteins in E. Coli. In *Selenoproteins*; Chavatte, L., Ed.; Methods in Molecular Biology; Springer: New York, 2018; Vol. 1661, pp 231–240. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7258-6_17.

(80) Lacey, B. M.; Eckenroth, B. E.; Flemer, S.; Hondal, R. J. Selenium in Thioredoxin Reductase: A Mechanistic Perspective. *Biochemistry* **2008**, *47* (48), 12810–12821.

(81) Espinosa, B.; Arnér, E. S. J. Thioredoxin-Related Protein of 14 KDa as a Modulator of Redox Signalling Pathways: TRP14 in Redox Signalling. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *176* (4), 544–553.

(82) Woo, J. R.; Kim, S. J.; Jeong, W.; Cho, Y. H.; Lee, S. C.; Chung, Y. J.; Rhee, S. G.; Ryu, S. E. Structural Basis of Cellular Redox Regulation by Human TRP14. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279* (46), 48120–48125.