An Effective [Fe^{III}(TF₄DMAP)CI] Catalyst for C–H Bond Amination with Aryl and Alkyl Azides

Yi-Dan Du,^{†,§} Zhen-Jiang Xu,[§] Cong-Ying Zhou,^{†,‡} and Chi-Ming Che^{*,†,‡,§}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry and Department of Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

[‡]HKU Shenzhen Institute of Research & Innovation, Shenzhen 518053, China

[§]Shanghai-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory in Chemical Synthesis, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, 354 Feng Lin Road, Shanghai 200032, China

S Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)CI]$ can efficiently catalyze intermolecular sp³ C–H amination using aryl azides and intramolecular sp³ C–H amination of alkyl azides in moderate-to-high product yields. At catalyst loading down to 1 mol %, the reactions display high chemo- and regioselectivity with broad substrate scope and are effective for late-stage functionalization of complex natural/bioactive molecules.

atalytic C–H amination with nitrene sources represents a general and efficient approach to the formation of C-N bonds, which are ubiquitous in molecules of pharmaceutical and/or biological interest.¹ The ubiquity of chemically similar C-H bonds in complex molecules presents major challenges for site-selective C-H amination, including direct functionalization of C-H bonds, via nitrene insertion, for late-stage modification of natural products.² Among generally used nitrene sources (e.g., N-arylsulfonylimino phenyliodinanes, bromamine-T,⁴ chloramine-T,⁵ and organic azides⁶), organic azides offer a broad nitrene scope and high atom efficiency. Transition-metal catalysts are well documented to be effective for the decomposition of these nitrene sources to generate metal-nitrene intermediates.7 Compared with other transition-metal catalysts, iron complexes are inexpensive and biocompatible and often exhibit unique catalytic activity in nitrene insertion reactions of organic azides.^{8-10,11b,d-k} For example, iron-dipyrrinato complex⁹ and N-heterocyclic carbene iron(III) porphyrin¹⁰ were found to be effective for C-H amination of alkyl azides, which remain a formidable challenge for the commonly used Rh and Cu catalysts. In view of the importance of iron catalysts in C-H amination via nitrene transfer,⁸⁻¹¹ the development of a more efficient iron catalyst for C-H amination using organic azides as nitrene

sources with high selectivity and broad substrate scope (covering complex molecules/natural products) is highly appealing. Our recent work revealed that $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)-CI]^{12}$ (Figure 1, $TF_4DMAP = meso$ -tetrakis(*o,o,m,m*-tetra-fluoro-*p*-(dimethylamino)phenyl)porphyrinato dianion) is efficient in catalyzing anti-Markovnikov oxidation of terminal aryl alkenes to aldehydes with H_2O_2 as a terminal oxidant,¹³ which involves a reactive iron–oxo porphyrin intermediate. This



Figure 1. Structure of iron porphyrin [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl].

Received: November 24, 2018

Organic Letters

finding promoted us to examine the catalytic activity of this complex in isoelectronically related nitrene transfer/insertion reactions. Herein, we report an efficient C–H amination with aryl and alkyl azides catalyzed by $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)CI]$ under thermal conditions and its application in derivatization of natural products.

At the outset, we evaluated the catalytic activity of $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)CI]$ in the C–H amination of ethylbenzene (1a) with 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl azide (2a); the desired amine 3a was obtained in 91% isolated yield when the reaction was performed in DCE at 120 °C for 12 h. Under the optimized reaction conditions, we investigated the scope of aryl azides as the nitrene source using 1a as a model substrate (Scheme 1). High yields (67–84%) of C–H amination

Scheme 1. Scope of Aryl Azides.^a



^aConditions: 1a (5.0 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl] (1 mol %), 4 Å MS (120 mg), DCE (2.0 mL), under argon, 120 $^{\circ}$ C; isolated yield.

products were obtained for mono-*para*-substituted aryl azides (3b-d). 3,5-Dichlorophenyl azide (2e) and 2,4,6-trichlorophenyl azide (2f) afforded the corresponding amines 3e and 3f in 76% and 79% yield, respectively. When aryl azides containing electron-donating substituent(s) (2g and 2h) were used as the nitrene sources, the corresponding amines 3g and 3h were obtained in moderate yields. The beneficial effect of incorporating multi-electron-withdrawing substituents was also demonstrated in the reactions of 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro- and pentafluorophenyl azides (2i, 2j) which afforded the corresponding amines in high yields (3i, 83%; 3j, 88%).

We further investigated the scope of C–H amination under the optimized conditions. As depicted in Scheme 2, when benzylic C–H bonds were subjected to the reaction with aryl azide 2a or 2j as nitrene source, the corresponding C–H amination products (3k-y) were obtained in 20–98% yields. It is noteworthy that for 4-methylanisole, containing a primary benzylic C–H bond, the C–H amination proceeded smoothly in 67% yield (3p). The reaction protocol also worked well for tertiary benzylic C–H bonds as shown in the cases of 3q (98% yield) and 3r (62% yield). When the substrates had both benzylic C–H bonds and tertiary C–H bonds or C–H bonds





^{*a*}Conditions: 1 (5.0 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl] (1 mol %), 4 Å MS (120 mg), DCE (2.0 mL), under argon, 120 °C; isolated yield; ^{*b*}2.5 mmol of substrate was used.

adjacent to oxygen atom, benzylic C–H bonds were preferentially aminated in good-to-high yields (3s-v). The electron-withdrawing substituent on the phenyl ring was found to reduce the activity of benzylic C–H bonds, leading to low product yields (3w-y). This is in agreement with the electrophilic nature of the reactive iron–imido/nitrene intermediate.

Given the good results of benzylic C-H bond amination, we then investigated the application of the amination for unactivated C-H bonds (Scheme 3). Tetrahydrofuran was reactive toward the C-H amination, giving the corresponding products in 67–75% yields (4a, 4b). Tetrahydropyran and 1,4dioxane also worked well with 2a to give 4c and 4d in 65% and 60% yield, respectively. Treatment of cyclooctane with 2j in the presence of [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl] (3 mol %) gave C-H amination product 4e in 60% yield. When 1°, 2°, or 3° aliphatic C-H bonds and C-H bonds adjacent to the oxygen atom were present in the substrates, the Fe(III)-catalyzed C-H amination occurred at 3 °C-H bond preferentially and in 40-72% yields (4f-o). The site selectivity of the C-H amination for the substrates bearing multiple 3° C-H bonds was also examined by using two derivatives of dihydrocitronellol, each containing two 3° C-H sites. In both cases, the amination was favored at the site distal to the electronwithdrawing group (bromo or acetate, 4p, 4q). This regioselectivity could be attributed to the electron deactivation of the C(3)-H bond by an electron-withdrawing group.

Scheme 3. Amination of Unactivated C–H Bonds with Aryl ${\rm Azides}^{a,b}$



^{*a*}Conditions A: **1** (1.0 mmol), **2** (0.2 mmol), [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl] (3 mol %), 4 Å MS (120 mg), DCE (1.0 mL), under argon, 120 $^{\circ}$ C; isolated yield; ^{*b*}Conditions B: the same as A except using neat **1** (1.0 mL) without DCE.

The intramolecular C–H amination of alkyl azides^{9a,c,10,11g,i} is challenging because of the facile 1,2-hydride shift of the alkylnitrene intermediate. Our recent work¹⁰ revealed that the N-heterocyclic carbene iron(III) porphyrin [Fe^{III}(TDCPP)- $(IMe)_2$]I (TDCPP = meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphyrinato dianion) can efficiently catalyze intramolecular C-H amination of a broad array of alkyl azides. However, 10 mol % catalyst loading was required to guarantee high substrate conversion and product yields for the reaction. This promoted us to test the catalytic activity of [Fe-(TF₄DMAP)Cl] for the intramolecular C-H amination of alkyl azides with lower catalyst loading. As depicted in Table 1, a variety of alkyl azides underwent intramolecular C-H amination in the presence of 3 mol % of $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)Cl]$ in 45-90% isolated yields. It is noteworthy that when alkyl azide 5f was used, the six-membered piperidine product was selectively formed in 62% yield, showcasing the strong preference for amination at the 3° C-H bond (entry 6, Table 1). For secondary alkyl azides 5g and 5h, the corresponding pyrrolidines were obtained in 78-90% yields

Letter



R ¹	$\begin{array}{c} R^{2} \\ H_{n} \\ H_{n} \\ R^{4} \\$	P)CI] (3 mol %)	R^{1} R^{3} R^{2} R^{4} R^{4}	
1	5a-l	11ux, 24 11	6a-l	
entry	substrate	product	conv.	yield
			(%)	(%)
1	Ph - 13 - N ₃ 5a	Ph N Boc 6a	>99	66
2	EtO N ₃ 5b	EtO ₂ C	>99	60
3	5c N ₃	N Boc 6c	>99	82
4	Me N ₃ Me 5d	Me Me Boc 6d	>99	60
5	N ₃ 5e	6e	>99	76
6	Me N3 Me 5f	Me Me 6f	>99	62
7	5g	6g	>99	78
8	Me Sh	Me Me Boc 6h	>99	90
9	N ₃ 5i	6i NBoc	>99	62
10	0 N ₃ 5j	NBoc 0 6j	>99	86
11	Me Me 5k		>99 ə	45 ^b
12	Ph Ph 5I	Ph Ph Boc	>99	78

^{*a*}Conditions: **2** (0.3 mmol), [Fe(TF₄DMAP)Cl] (3 mol %), Boc₂O (0.36 mmol), 4 Å MS (120 mg), DCE (2.0 mL), under argon, 120 $^{\circ}$ C; isolated yield. ^{*b*}dr = 4.2:1.

(entries 7 and 8, Table 1). Notably, when a cyclic secondary alkyl azide 5i was subjected to the reaction, a tropane derivative with a bicyclic structure prevalent in a wide range of alkaloids was isolated in 62% yield (entry 9, Table 1). Similarly, the α -azido ketone 5j gave a tropane analogue in 86% yield, which is an important intermediate for the synthesis

Organic Letters

of cocaine. Interestingly, a secondary alkyl azide **5k** derived from L-menthol underwent intramolecular amination exclusively at a 1° C–H bond to give the *cis*-octahydroindole in 45% yield with a dr ratio of 4.2:1 (entry 11, Table 1), revealing that primary unactivated aliphtic C–H bonds are also amenable for amination in this protocol when competing secondary or tertiary C–H bonds are inaccessible. For substrate **5**I bearing a cyclopropyl ring at C4, the iron-porphyrin-catalyzed reaction gave the pyrrolidine **6**I in 78% yield, with no cyclopropyl ringopening product(s) observed in the crude ¹H NMR spectra. This is indicative of a concerted or very fast radical rebound mechanism for the C–H amination.

The high efficiency of the $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)CI]$ catalyst was further demonstrated by its applicability to the late-stage functionalization of complex natural product derivatives, which possess various C–H bonds and functional groups (Scheme 4). With **2j** as the nitrene source, Ac-diosgenin (7) underwent

Scheme 4. Late-Stage C-H Functionalization of Natural Product Derivatives



amination exclusively at the allylic C–H bond remote from the –OAc group, resulting in 75% isolated yield with a dr ratio of 2:1; Me-estrone (8) reacted exclusively at the benzylic C–H bond with azide 2j, giving the desired amine 8a and its imine analogue 8b in 40% and 10% yield, respectively, while amination of TBS-estrone with azide 2a gave amine 9a and the corresponding imine 9b in 25% and 37% yield, respectively (no imine products were observed for the examples in Schemes 2 and 3 under the reaction conditions indicated therein). For the reaction of racemic DL- α -tocopherol acetate (10), the desired benzylic C–H amination products 10a (*cis*) and 10b (*trans*) were isolated in a combined 30% yield with a dr ratio of 1.5:1, along with 30% of imine product 10c.

Several experiments were performed to shed light on the mechanism of the catalytic C–H amination process. First, addition of TEMPO to a standard reaction mixture of **1a** and

2j completely shut down the reaction, with no amination product 3j observed (Scheme 5a). Second, the amination of a

Scheme 5. Reaction Mechanism Study



mixture of ethylbenzene and ethylbenzene- d_{10} with 2a gave a kinetic isotope effect (KIE, $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$) of ~8.4 (Scheme 5b), suggesting that C–H bond cleavage is the rate-determining step. The KIE value is in the range of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} \sim 5-13$ reported for the H atom abstraction by metal–nitrene/imido complexes.^{14,15} Third, when (–)- β -pinene 11 was subjected to the reactions with three different azides 2a, 2i, and 2j separately, two types of allylic C–H aminated products were observed in each case (Scheme 5c), with the major ones presumably arising from a radical rearrangement or an alkene aziridination and subsequent ring-opening process.^{11b}

In summary, we have demonstrated that $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)-Cl]$ can efficiently catalyze C–H amination of aryl azides and alkyl azides with broad substrate scope and with high chemoand regioselectivity. The catalytic system is highly effective for amination of a variety of sp³ C–H bonds including unactivated aliphatic C–H bond, benzylic C–H bond, allylic C–H bond, and 1°–3° C–H bonds. This catalytic protocol is also applicable to late-stage amination of complex natural product derivatives.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b03765.

Detailed experimental procedures, characterization of new compounds, and copies of NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: cmche@hku.hk. ORCID [®]

Cong-Ying Zhou: 0000-0002-2235-6070 Chi-Ming Che: 0000-0002-2554-7219

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council General Research Fund (17303815, 17301817), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 21472216, 21472159), and the CAS-Croucher Funding Scheme for Joint Laboratories and Basic Research Program-Shenzhen Fund (JCYJ20160229123546997, JCY]20170412140251576, and JCY]20170818141858021).

REFERENCES

(a) Hili, R.; Yudin, A. K. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2006, 2, 284.
 (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Manning, J. R. Nature 2008, 451, 417.
 (c) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8960. (d) Egger, J.; Carreira, E. M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2014, 31, 449. (e) Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2.

(2) (a) Li, J.; Cisar, J. S.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Vera, B.; Williams, H.; Rodriguez, A. D.; Cravatt, B. F.; Romo, D. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 510.
(b) Liu, Y.; Guan, X.; Wong, E. L.-M.; Liu, P.; Huang, J.-S.; Che, C.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7194. (c) Kang, T.; Kim, Y.; Lee, D.; Wang, Z.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4141. (d) Paudyal, M. P.; Adebesin, A. M.; Burt, S. R.; Ess, D. H.; Ma, Z.; Kürti, L.; Falck, J. R. Science 2016, 353, 1144. (e) Wang, X.; Gensch, T.; Lerchen, A.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6506.
(f) Hong, S. Y.; Park, Y.; Hwang, Y.; Kim, Y. B.; Baik, M.-H.; Chang, S. Science 2018, 359, 1016. (g) Zhang, T.; Hu, X.; Dong, X.; Li, G.; Lu, H. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6260. (h) Clark, J. R.; Feng, K.; Sookezian, A.; White, M. C. Nat. Chem. 2018, 10, 583. (i) Chiappini, N. D.; Mack, J. B. C.; Du Bois, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 4956.

(3) (a) Breslow, R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1400. (b) Breslow, R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6728. (c) Chang, J. W. W.; Ton, T. M. U.; Chan, P. W. H. Chem. Rec. 2011, 11, 331.

(4) (a) Vyas, R.; Chanda, B. M.; Bedekar, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.*1998, 39, 4715. (b) Chanda, B. M.; Vyas, R.; Bedekar, A. V. J. Org. *Chem.* 2001, 66, 30. (c) Vyas, R.; Gao, G.-Y.; Harden, J. D.; Zhang, X.
P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1907. (d) Harden, J. D.; Ruppel, J. V.; Gao, G.-Y.; Zhang, X. P. Chem. Commun. 2007, 4644.

(5) (a) Aujla, P. S.; Baird, C. P.; Taylor, P. C.; Mauger, H.; Vallée, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 7453. (b) Albone, D. P.; Aujla, P. S.; Taylor, P. C.; Challenger, S.; Derrick, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9569. (c) Kumar, G. D. K.; Baskaran, S. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1026. (6) (a) Uchida, T.; Katsuki, T. Chem. Rec. 2014, 14, 117. (b) Intrieri, D.; Zardi, P.; Caselli, A.; Gallo, E. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 11440. (c) Shin, K.; Kim, H.; Chang, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1040. (d) Driver, T. G. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 736.

(7) (a) Müller, P.; Fruit, C. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2905. (b) Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Pérez, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3379. (c) Driver, T. G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3831. (d) Gephart, R. T.; Warren, T. H. Organometallics 2012, 31, 7728. (e) Roizen, J. L.; Harvey, M. E.; Du Bois, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 911.

(8) (a) Che, C.-M.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Wong, E. L.-M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 33, 111. (b) Che, C.-M.; Lo, V. K.-Y.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Huang, J.-S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1950. (c) Zhang, L.; Deng, L. Chin. Sci. Bull. 2012, 57, 2352. (d) Wang, P.; Deng, L. Chin. J. Chem. 2018, 36, 1222.

(9) (a) Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. Science 2013, 340, 591.
(b) Iovan, D. A.; Betley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1983.

 (c) Iovan, D. A.; Beley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 136, 1983.
 (c) Iovan, D. A.; Wilding, M. J. T.; Baek, Y.; Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 15599.

(10) Shing, K.-P.; Liu, Y.; Cao, B.; Chang, X.-Y.; You, T.; Che, C.-M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2018**, 57, 11947.

(11) (a) Mahy, J. P.; Bedi, G.; Battioni, P.; Mansuy, D. New J. Chem.
1989, 13, 651. (b) Liu, Y.; Che, C.-M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 10494.
(c) Paradine, S. M.; White, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2036.

(d) Nguyen, Q.; Nguyen, T.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 620. (e) McIntosh, J. A.; Coelho, P. S.; Farwell, C. C.; Wang, Z. J.; Lewis, J. C.; Brown, T. R.; Arnold, F. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9309. (f) Tseberlidis, G.; Zardi, P.; Caselli, A.; Cancogni, D.; Fusari, M.; Lay, L.; Gallo, E. Organometallics 2015, 34, 3774. (g) Thacker, N. C.; Lin, Z.; Zhang, T.; Gilhula, J. C.; Abney, C. W.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3501. (h) Kong, C.; Jana, N.; Jones, C.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13271. (i) Bagh, B.; Broere, D. L. J.; Sinha, V.; Kuijpers, P. F.; van Leest, N. P.; de Bruin, B.; Demeshko, S.; Siegler, M. A.; van der Vlugt, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5117. (j) Alt, I. T.; Guttroff, C.; Plietker, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10582. (k) Prier, C. K.; Zhang, R. K.; Buller, A. R.; Brinkmann-Chen, S.; Arnold, F. H. Nat. Chem. 2017, 9, 629. (l) Prasanthi, A. V. G.; Begum, S.; Srivastava, H. K.; Tiwari, S. K.; Singh, R. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 8369.

(12) (a) Kadish, K. M.; Araullomcadams, C.; Han, B. C.; Franzen, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 8364. (b) La, T.; Richards, R.; Miskelly, G. M. Inorg. Chem. **1994**, 33, 3159.

(13) Du, Y.-D.; Tse, C.-W.; Xu, Z.-J.; Liu, Y.; Che, C.-M. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12669.

(14) (a) Au, S.-M.; Huang, J.-S.; Yu, W.-Y.; Fung, W.-H.; Che, C.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 9120. (b) Leung, S. K.-Y.; Tsui, W.-M.; Huang, J.-S.; Che, C.-M.; Liang, J.-L.; Zhu, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 16629.

(15) (a) King, E. R.; Hennessy, E. T.; Betley, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4917. (b) Wiese, S.; McAfee, J. L.; Pahls, D. R.; McMullin, C. L.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10114. (c) Hong, S.; Sutherlin, K. D.; Vardhaman, A. K.; Yan, J. J.; Park, S.; Lee, Y.-M.; Jang, S.; Lu, X.; Ohta, T.; Ogura, T.; Solomon, E. I.; Nam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8800. (d) Hong, S.; Lu, X.; Lee, Y.-M.; Seo, M. S.; Ohta, T.; Ogura, T.; Clémancey, M.; Maldivi, P.; Latour, J.-M.; Sarangi, R.; Nam, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14372.