Efficient Copper-Catalyzed Synthesis of Substituted Pyrazoles at Room Temperature

Α

Haifeng Wang^{*} Xiangli Sun Shuangling Zhang Guanglu Liu Chunjie Wang Lili Zhu Hui Zhang

School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Zhoukou Normal University, Zhoukou, 466001, Henan, P. R. of China skytacle@139.com



Received: 15.09.2018 Accepted after revision: 22.10.2018 Published online: 15.11.2018 DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1610330; Art ID: st-2018-k0523-l

Abstract An efficient method for the synthesis of pyrazoles through a copper-catalyzed condensation reaction has been developed. The new catalytic system not only maintained a broad substrate scope but was also active under acid-free reaction conditions, overcoming the conventional requirement for an acid-catalyzed system. Furthermore, the copper catalyst enabled this reaction to be performed at room temperature and in a short reaction time.

Key words pyrazoles, condensation reaction, copper catalysis, arylhydrazines, sulfonyl hydrazides

Pyrazole and its derivatives represent an important class of fused nitrogen-containing heterocycles. They display a wide spectrum of biological activities and they have long attracted extensive pharmacological, chemical, and synthetic interest.¹ For instance, structural cores containing pyrazole rings offer valuable building blocks for the synthesis of biologically active compounds and are found in many biologically active molecules, such as pharmaceuticals.² Consequently, considerable attention has been given to the development of an efficient approach for the construction of substituted pyrazole derivatives, including the condensation of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with substituted hydrazines,³ 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition employing alkenes or alkynes,⁴ the reaction of unsaturated aldehydes or ketones with hydrazines,⁵ metal-catalyzed oxidative carbonylations of arylhydrazines and alkynes,⁶ or functionalizations of unsubstituted pyrazoles,⁷ among others.⁸ Among the many advantages of these reported methods, the condensation reaction between 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and substituted hydrazines provides one of the most-efficient and simplest routes for the construction of pyrazoles.

Despite remarkable advances in the last few decades, this method has the following limitations in terms of its practical applications.⁹ First, substituted pyrazoles are only accessible in the presence of strongly acidic conditions, for example in the presence of corrosive and environmentally unfriendly hydrochloric or sulfuric acid. Secondly, the conventional process is often performed under harsh conditions by heating at elevated temperature for long reaction times. Thirdly, complex and expensive transition-metal catalysts are used. Therefore, the development of an efficient and convenient method for the synthesis of pyrazole and its derivatives is of great significance and is highly desirable. Copper salts, especially copper nitrate, are abundant in nature and have a vast range of practical uses. Here, we describe an unprecedented process employing low-cost copper nitrate as an acid-free catalyst to afford pyrazole and its derivatives in good yields at room temperature in short reaction times.

First, we determined the optimal conditions for the condensation reaction between phenylhydrazine (1a) and pentane-2,4-dione (2) in the presence of various copper salts as a model reaction (Table 1). No reaction occurred when copper (II) tartrate hydrate was used as a catalyst in acetonitrile at room temperature (Table 1, entry 1). However, various levels of reactivity were observed when other copper salts were used, and the reaction proceeded smoothly to furnish the pyrazole 3a (entries 2-6). Importantly, the use of $Cu(NO_3)_2$ ·3H₂O resulted in a significant increase in yield to 87% (entry 7). Without the addition of any catalyst, none of the desired product was observed (entry 8). We also investigated the effects of various other solvents: ethanol, 1,4-dioxane, dichloromethane, dimethyl sulfoxide, and tetrahydrofuran. Compared with the favorable results obtained with acetonitrile (87% yield; entry 7), ethanol was similarly effective, giving 3a in 79% yield (entry 9). Tetrahydrofuran and dimethyl sulfoxide were less effective, yielding 3a in

Synlett

H. Wang et al.

В

Table 1 Optimization of the Reaction Conditions.

1a 2a 3a Entry Catalyst Solvent Time (h) Yield ^b (%) 1 Copper(II) tartrate hydrate CH ₃ CN 8 trac e 2 CuSO ₄ CH ₃ CN 1 39 3 CuCl ₂ CH ₃ CN 2 36 4 CuCl CH ₃ CN 1 64 5 Cu(OAc) ₂ CH ₃ CN 1 80 6 Cul CH ₃ CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 87 8 - CH ₃ CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO ₃) - 3H O EtOH 1 70		H _{N-NH2} · O O	catalyst solvent r.t.		
Entry Catalyst Solvent Time (h) Yield ^b (%) 1 Copper(II) tartrate hydrate CH_3CN 8 trac e 2 CuSO ₄ CH ₃ CN 1 39 3 CuCl ₂ CH ₃ CN 2 36 4 CuCl CH ₃ CN 1 64 5 Cu(OAc) ₂ CH ₃ CN 1 80 6 Cul CH ₃ CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 87 8 - CH ₃ CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 0		1a 2a		3a	
1 Copper(II) tartrate hydrate CH ₃ CN 8 trace 2 CuSO ₄ CH ₃ CN 1 39 3 CuCl ₂ CH ₃ CN 2 36 4 CuCl CH ₃ CN 1 64 5 Cu(OAc) ₂ CH ₃ CN 1 80 6 Cul CH ₃ CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 87 8 - CH ₃ CN 1 0	Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Time (h)	Yield ^ь (%)
2 $CuSO_4$ CH_3CN 1 39 3 $CuCl_2$ CH_3CN 2 36 4 $CuCl$ CH_3CN 1 64 5 $Cu(OAc)_2$ CH_3CN 1 80 6 Cul CH_3CN 1 70 7 $Cu(NO_3)_2:3H_2O$ CH_3CN 1 87 8 - CH_3CN 1 0 9 $Cu(NO_3)_2:3H_2O$ $EtOH_3CN$ 1 70	1	Copper(II) tartrate hydrate	CH ₃ CN	8	trac e
3 CuCl2 CH3CN 2 36 4 CuCl CH3CN 1 64 5 Cu(OAc)2 CH3CN 1 80 6 Cul CH3CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO3)2·3H2O CH3CN 1 87 8 - CH3CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO1)23H O EtOH 1 70	2	CuSO ₄	CH ₃ CN	1	39
4 CuCl CH ₃ CN 1 64 5 Cu(OAc) ₂ CH ₃ CN 1 80 6 Cul CH ₃ CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 87 8 - CH ₃ CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O EtOH 1 70	3	CuCl ₂	CH ₃ CN	2	36
5 Cu(OAc)2 CH3CN 1 80 6 Cul CH3CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO3)2·3H2O CH3CN 1 87 8 - CH3CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO1)2·3H O EtOH 1 70	4	CuCl	CH ₃ CN	1	64
6 Cul CH ₃ CN 1 70 7 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 87 8 - CH ₃ CN 1 0 9 Cu(NO ₃).3H O EtOH 1 70	5	Cu(OAc) ₂	CH ₃ CN	1	80
7 $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ CH_3CN 1 87 8 - CH_3CN 1 0 9 $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ EtOH 1 70	6	Cul	CH ₃ CN	1	70
$8 - CH_3CN = 1 0$	7	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	CH ₃ CN	1	87
9 Cu(NO) 3H O EtOH 1 70	8	-	CH ₃ CN	1	0
	9	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	EtOH	1	79
10 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O 1,4-dioxane 1 68	10	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	1,4-dioxane	1	68
11 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₂ Cl ₂ 1 66	11	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	66
12 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O THF 1 23	12	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	THF	1	23
13 Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O DMSO 1 20	13	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	DMSO	1	20
14 ^c Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 2 55	14 ^c	Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O	CH₃CN	2	55
15 ^d Cu(NO ₃) ₂ ·3H ₂ O CH ₃ CN 1 80	15 ^d	$Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$	CH ₃ CN	1	80

^a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed with phenylhydrazine (**1a**; 0.5 mmol), catalyst (0.05 mmol) and pentane-2,4-dione (**2a**; 0.6 mmol) in CH₃CN (2 mL) at r.t.

^b Yield of the isolated product.

^c The catalyst loading was 5 mol%.

^d The catalyst loading was 20 mol%.

yields of 23% and 20%, respectively (entries 12 and 13). The yield also clearly dropped on decreasing the catalyst loading (entry 14). In contrast, a higher catalyst loading did not result in an improvement in the yield (entry 15). Thus, the optimal conditions were established to be as follows: phenylhydrazine (0.5 mmol), $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ (10 mol%), dione **2** (0.6 mmol) in CH₃CN for one hour at room temperature.

With optimal conditions established, we focused on the scope and generality of this copper-catalyzed condensation reaction by examining the reactions of a variety of substituted 2,4-diones and substituted phenylhydrazines in the presence of 10 mol% Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O in CH₃CN (2 mL) at room temperature.¹⁰ The results are outlined in Scheme 1. To investigate the factors that control the reaction, the effects of dione substituents R² and R³ with various electronic and steric properties were examined. A range of diones **2a–e** and **2n** gave the expected pyrazoles **3a–e** and **3n**, respectively, in moderate to excellent yields under the standard reaction conditions. Various electron-donating and

Downloaded by: Université Paris Sud XI. Copyrighted material.



electron-withdrawing functional groups on the phenyl ring,

including Br, Cl, NO₂, CH₃, OCH₃ and 4-benzyloxy groups,

Scheme 1 The substrate scope of Cu-catalyzed synthesis of pyrazoles from various arylhydrazines and diones. *Reaction conditions*: arylhydrazine **1** (0.5 mmol), Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (0.05 mmol), dione **2** (0.6 mmol), CH₃CN (2 mL), r.t., 1 h.

Inspired by the success of the formation of substituted pyrazoles from substituted phenylhydrazines, the scope of this Cu-catalyzed synthesis of substituted pyrazoles was further explored by examining the reactions of sulfonyl hydrazides **4** with various diones (Scheme 2).¹¹ The transformations proceeded smoothly to afford the corresponding N-substituted pyrazoles 5a, 5b, and 5d in good to excellent yields. Good yields of 5e, 5f, and 5k were also obtained when the R³ substituent in the diketone was replaced by a methyl, ethyl, or Cl group, respectively. Similarly, sulfonyl hydrazide containing an ortho-nitro or a para-bromo substituent on the aromatic ring gave the corresponding pyrazoles **5i** and **5j** in excellent yields. The enhanced reactivity of sulfonyl hydrazides was proven by comparison with the copper-catalyzed condensation reaction of arylhydrazines. The reaction was completed within 15 minutes when a

H. Wang et al.

copper catalyst was used. Remarkably, the sterically hindered *tert*-butyl-substituted dione **2c** and the isopropylsubstituted sulfonyl hydrazide **2g** were also well tolerated in this reaction, providing the desired products **5c** and **5g** in 73% and 80% yield, respectively. This result showed that steric effects in the dione or sulfonyl hydrazide had little influence on this reaction. Notably, product **5h** containing a naphthyl group was also successfully prepared in 95% yield.



Scheme 2 Substrate scope of Cu-catalyzed synthesis of pyrazoles from various sulfonyl hydrazides and diones. *Reaction conditions*: sulfonyl hydrazide **4** (0.5 mmol), $Cu(NO_3)_2$ ·3H₂O (0.05 mmol), dione **2** (0.6 mmol), CH_3CN (2 mL), 15 min, r.t.

Compared with symmetrical diones, unsymmetrical diones are much more challenging substrates due to the difficulty in controlling the regioselectivity of the reaction (Scheme 3). Pleasingly, unsymmetrical diones also performed well with our catalyst system, and were successfully converted into the corresponding substituted pyrazoles with excellent yields and regioselectivities [Scheme 3, equations (1) and (2)]. A fluorinated dione was also successfully employed in the condensation reaction, with satisfactory results [Scheme 3, equation (3)]. However, *p*-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide (**4a**) gave two isomers **7d**₁ and **7d**₂ in

yields of 57% and 24% and a ratio of 70:30 [Scheme 3, equation (4)]. Furthermore, **4a** failed to react with dione **6c** to provide the corresponding product, mainly as a result of the presence of a strongly electron-withdrawing substituent (CF_3).



Scheme 3 Substrate scope of Cu-catalyzed synthesis of pyrazoles from unsymmetrical diones. *Reaction conditions*: phenylhydrazine (0.5 mmol) or TsNHNH₂ (0.5 mmol), Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (0.05 mmol), unsymmetrical dione **6** (0.6 mmol), CH₃CN (2 mL), r.t.

In conclusion, we have developed a copper-catalyzed procedure for the synthesis of substituted pyrazoles under acid-free conditions at room temperature. The reaction is general with good functional-group compatibility, giving the corresponding substituted pyrazoles in good to excellent yields in short reaction times. This synthetic method offers a convenient, practical, and economical approach to the synthesis of the structurally important pyrazole skeleton for biological research and drug discovery. Further development of this approach and application of the reaction system to other reaction manifolds is currently underway in our laboratory.

Funding Information

The work is supported by a high-level personal fund of Zhoukou Normal University (No. ZKNUC2017040).

H. Wang et al.

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-1610330.

References and Notes

- (a) McDonald, E.; Jones, K.; Brough, P. A.; Drysdale, M. J.; Workman, P. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2006, 6, 1193. (b) Elguero, J.; Goya, P.; Jagerovic, N.; Silva, A. M. S. Targets Heterocycl. Syst. 2002, 6, 52. (c) Terrett, N. K.; Bell, A. S.; Brown, D.; Ellis, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1996, 6, 1819. (d) Elguero, J. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II; Katritzky, A. R.; Rees, C. W.; Scriven, E. F. V., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996, 1. (e) Keter, F. K.; Darkwa, J. BioMetals 2012, 25, 9. (f) Havrylyuk, D.; Zimenkovsky, B.; Vasylenko, O.; Gzella, A.; Lesyk, R. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 8630. (g) Fustero, S.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Barrio, P.; Simón-Fuentes, A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 6984.
- (2) (a) McGrath, N. A.; Brichacek, M.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Chem. Educ. **2010**, 87, 1348. (b) Ilardi, E. A.; Vitaku, E.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Chem. Educ. **2013**, 90, 1403. (c) Bekhit, A. A.; Abdel-Aziem, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. **2004**, 12, 1935. (d) Selvam, C.; Jachak, S. M.; Thilagavathi, R.; Chakraborti, A. K. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2005**, 15, 1793.
- (3) (a) Knorr, L. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1883, 16, 2597. (b) Wang, Z.-X.; Qin, H.-L. Green Chem. 2004, 6, 90. (c) Katritzky, A. R. Handbook of Heterocyclic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 1985, 416. (d) Hayter, M. J.; Bray, D. J.; Clegg, J. K.; Lindoy, L. F. Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 707. (e) Sanchez-Carmona, M. A.; Contreras-Cruz, D. A.; Miranda, L. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 6506. (f) Vaddula, B. R.; Varma, R. S.; Leazer, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1538. (g) Zakerinasab, B.; Nasseri, M. A.; Hassani, H.; Samieadel, M. M. Res. Chem. Intermed. 2016, 42, 3169. (h) Polshettiwar, V.; Varma, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 397.
- (4) Shao, N.; Chen, T.; Zhang, T.; Zhu, H.; Zheng, Q.; Zou, H. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 795.
- (5) Zhang, H.; Wei, Q.; Zhu, G.; Qu, J.; Wang, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 2633.
- (6) Hu, J.; Chen, S.; Sun, Y.; Yang, J.; Rao, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5030.
- (7) (a) McLaughlin, M.; Marcantonio, K.; Chen, C.-y.; Davies, I. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 4309. (b) Dishington, A.; Feron, J. L.; Gill, K.; Graham, M. A.; Hollingsworth, I.; Pink, J. H.; Roberts, A.; Simpson, I.; Tatton, M. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 6120.

- (8) (a) Vanjari, R.; Guntreddi, T.; Kumar, S.; Singh, K. N. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 366. (b) Wen, J.-J.; Tang, H.-T.; Xiong, K.; Ding, Z.-C.; Zhan, Z.-P. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5940. (c) Yu, X.; Huang, N.; Feng, X.; Yamamoto, Y.; Bao, M. Synthesis 2014, 46, 2422.
- (9) (a) Stoit, A. R.; Lange, J. H. M.; den Hartog, A. P.; Ronken, E.; Tipker, K.; van Stuivenberg, H. H.; Dijksman, J. A. R.; Wals, H. C.; Kruse, C. G. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2002**, *50*, 1109. (b) Chen, X.; She, J.; Shang, Z.-C.; Wu, J.; Zhang, P. *Synth. Commun.* **2009**, *39*, 947. (c) Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Webster, R. A.; Reamer, R. A.; Tillyer, R. D.; Grabowski, E. J. J. *Synlett* **2006**, 3267. (d) Heller, S. T.; Natarajan, S. R. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2675. (e) Kumar, S. V.; Yadav, S. K.; Raghava, B.; Saraiah, B.; Ila, H.; Rangappa, K. S.; Hazra, A. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, *78*, 4960. (f) Rosa, F. A.; Machado, P.; Vargas, P. S.; Bonacorso, H. G.; Zanatta, N.; Martins, M. A. P. Synlett **2008**, 1673. (g) Ma, C.; Li, Y.; Wen, P.; Yan, R.; Ren, Z.; Huang, G. *Synlett* **2011**, 1321. (h) Martín, R.; Rodríguez Rivero, M.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7079.
- (10) **3,5-Dimethyl-1-phenyl-1***H***-pyrazole (3a); Typical Procedure Cu(NO₃)₂:3 H₂O (10 mol%) was added to a stirred solution of PhNHNH₂ (1a**; 0.5 mmol) and pentane-2,4-dione (**2**; 0.6 mmol) in CH₃CN (2 mL) at r.t., and the resulting solution was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. When the reaction was complete, the mixture was concentrated to remove MeCN, and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (3 × 10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography [silica gel, PE–EtOAc (20:1)] to give a colorless oil; yield: 75 mg (87%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.46–7.40 (m, 4 H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 1 H), 6.01 (s, 1 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 148.94, 139.99, 139.35, 128.98, 127.21, 124.75, 106.94, 13.53, 12.37. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₁H₁₃N₂: 173.1073; found: 173.1074.
- (11) **3,5-Dimethyl-1-tosyl-1H-pyrazole (5a); Typical Procedure** Pentane-2,4-dione (**2**; 0.6 mmol) and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (10 mol%) were added to a round-bottomed flask containing a solution of TsNHNH₂ (**4a**, 0.5 mmol) in CH₃CN (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The mixture was then concentrated to remove MeCN, and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (3 × 10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography [silica gel, PE–EtOAc (20:1)] to give a white solid; yield 101 mg (81%); mp 97–98 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 5.90 (s, 1 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 153.46, 145.22, 144.15, 135.48, 129.96, 127.63, 110.81, 21.71, 13.90, 13.16. HRMS (ESI): *m/z* [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₅N₂O₂S: 251.0849; found: 251.0846.