

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker CDCh International Edition Www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: The Size-Accelerated Kinetic Resolution of Secondary Alcohols

Authors: Hendrik Zipse, Benjamin Pölloth, and Mukund P. Sibi

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202011687

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202011687

WILEY-VCH

WILEY-VCH

The Size-Accelerated Kinetic Resolution of Secondary Alcohols

Benjamin Pölloth,^[a] Mukund P. Sibi,^[b] and Hendrik Zipse*^[a]

 [a] Dr. B. Pölloth, Prof. Dr. H. Zipse Department of Chemistry LMU München Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377, Munich (Germany) E-mail: zipse@cup.uni-muenchen.de
 [b] Prof. Dr. M. P. Sibi

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry North Dakota State University Fargo, ND 58108 (USA)

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The factors responsible for the kinetic resolution of alcohols by chiral pyridine derivatives have been elucidated by measurements of relative rates for a set of substrates with systematically growing aromatic side chains using accurate competitive linear regression analysis. Increasing the side chain size from phenyl to pyrenyl results in a rate acceleration of more than 40 for the major enantiomer. Based on this observation a new catalyst with increased steric bulk has been designed that gives enantioselectivity values of up to s = 250. Extensive conformational analysis of the relevant transition states indicates that alcohol attack to the more crowded side of the acyl-catalyst intermediate is favoured due to stabilizing CH-*π*-stacking interactions. Experimental and theoretical results imply that enantioselectivity enhancements result from accelerating the transformation of the major enantiomer through attractive non-covalent interactions (NCIs) rather than retarding the transformation of the minor isomer through repulsive steric forces.

Introduction

Enzymes catalyse a wide variety of reactions with near perfect enantioselectivity as the results of a precisely tuned network of attractive non-covalent interactions (NCI) between the substrate and the enzyme binding pocket.^[1] Thus, selectivity is mainly achieved by selective rate acceleration of the desired enantiomer whereas the role of repulsive steric interactions to retard transformation of the minor enantiomer is negligible.^[2] In contrast, steric repulsion traditionally served as a key guiding principle in the design of asymmetric catalysts,^[3] e.g. by using large "blocking groups".^[4] This does not necessarily exclude the simultaneous influence of attractive interactions as highlighted in studies by, for example, Hawkins,^[5] Corey,^[6] Noyori,^[7] Sharpless,^[8] or Fuji.^[9] Thus, small-molecule catalysts can induce enantioselectivity through a combination of several attractive NCIs^[3a, 10] such as aromatic interactions.^[11] Accordingly it was found that the role of attractive London dispersion forces^[12] on chemical reactivity, catalysis and stability was traditionally underestimated.^[13] These analyses were helped by the development of dispersion-corrected DFT^[14] and linear scaling coupled cluster theories,[15] both of which facilitate the guantification of NCIs in extended molecular systems.[16] Most of this progress in elucidating the role of NCIs in asymmetric catalysis is based on theoretical studies,[16-17] either alone or in combination with NMR- or X-ray- based structure analyses.[18]

While the influence of NCIs on ground state properties has recently been studied thoroughly,^[19] most experimental studies on enantioselective catalysis restrict themselves to the determination of the stereoselectivity factor s. This latter quantity is defined as the ratio of rate constants for conversion of the faster and slower reacting isomer, respectively ($s = k_{major}/k_{minor}$). However, the s values themselves cannot answer the question whether selectivity results from the acceleration of the major enantiomer through attractive NCIs or a deceleration of the minor enantiomer through repulsive steric interactions. Surprisingly, kinetic studies on this guestion are very rare.^[20] This is likely due to the fact that acceleration or deceleration has to be measured relative to a system with "zero" steric repulsion or attraction. Elimination of groups that induce steric hindrance and attraction is, unfortunately, linked to possible changes of electronic, kinetic and thermodynamic properties. Herein we present a different approach where the aromatic side chains of alcohol substrates are increased systematically such that no additional effective degrees of freedom are introduced.^[21] It should be added that the term "effective" implies that the conformational space available to all substrates is practically identical and that the term "size" always refers to the dimensions of the aromatic side chains. From the rate data measured for these reactions we can infer how increasing substrate size impacts k_{major} and k_{minor} . This novel approach allows us to elucidate the origin of enantioselectivity through direct kinetic measurements. Initial acylation experiments were performed with fluxionally chiral N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) derivative 3 developed by Sibi et al.[22] This catalyst displays moderate selectivity for the acylation of 1-phenylethanol 1a (s = 7) with isobutyric anhydride (2), while a much larger selectivity was found for the larger substrate 1-(2naphthyl)ethanol (1b) with s = 39.

Results and Discussion

Experimental studies

In order to precisely determine relative rates and ensure absolutely comparable reaction conditions competition experiments for the acylation of 1 : 1 mixtures of racemic **1b** as reference and racemic **1a,c,d** (see **Fig. 1**) were performed and monitored by chiral HPLC. Enantioselectivity values *s* of (pseudo)-first order kinetic resolution experiments are commonly calculated by Kagan's formulas^[23] from the enantiomeric excess (*ee*) of products and reactants at a single conversion point. It

WILEY-VCH



Figure 1. (a) Setup of competitive kinetic resolution experiments: 0.01 mmol of catalyst, 0.05 mmol of (*rac*)-1b and (*rac*)-1a,c,d were dissolved in 2 mL diethyl ether. At -50 °C 0.15 mmol of 2 was added. After defined periods of time 0.05 mL of the reaction mixture was quenched and analysed by chiral HPLC. Relative rates were then determined by linear regression analysis and chemoselectivity calculation (for more details see SI). (b) Relative rates for the acylation of alcohols 1a-1d with catalyst 3 and 5-7. Values are averaged over two independent runs. Experimental reference was (*R*)-1b, rates are displayed relative to (*S*)-1a for ease of discussion. HPLC traces, linear regression analysis, simulations and reliability analysis are provided in the SI.

nor the preconditions for the Kagan equation can be controlled by a single measurement (for a detailed analysis see Supporting Information (SI)).^[24] Thus, herein all enantioselectivity values were determined by the more accurate linear regression analysis method^[25] (see Fig. 2). Through simultaneous determination of chemoselectivity values for the two (R)enantiomers relative rates for all four alcohols are obtained as shown Fig. 1a. The reliability of this approach was validated by reproducibility measurements and by comparison to literature data.[22a] In an appropriate model system for measuring the sizedependence of reaction rates aromatic side chains should be without increased systematically adding unfavourable interactions (e.g. 1,5-interactions).[21, 26] That alcohols 1a-d represent a suitable series for such a purpose is supported by the following characteristics: a) The calculated reaction free energies for the acylation with anhydride 2 was found to be almost identical for all four alcohols 1a-d. b) The same calculations indicate that the partial charge on the alcohol oxygen atom and the acidity of the hydroxyl group is very



Figure 2. Linear regression analysis for the competitive acylation of (rac)-1b and (rac)-1d with anhydride 2 catalysed by 3. Conversion *c* was calculated as $(ee_{alcohol})/(ee_{alcohol}+ee_{ester})^{[23]}$. Results of two independent measurements are presented. The slope of the linear correlations corresponds to selectivity value s.

similar for all four systems. c) Reaction rates for the acylation of alcohols 1a-d with anhydride 2 are almost identical when using tri-(n-butyl)phosphine (NBP, 6) as the catalyst (Fig. 1b). This may be due to the large conformational flexibility of this catalyst, which is incapable of differentiating the substrate alcohols on the basis of size (or any other intrinsic property). In sharp contrast, reaction rates between the largest alcohol 1d and the smallest alcohol 1a differ by a factor of 10.1 when using DMAP (5) as the acylation catalyst. These reactivity differences are likely due to cation- π interactions in the respective transition states.^[26-27] These measurements have been repeated for different DMAP concentrations in order to verify that there is basically no uncatalyzed background reactivity of the respective substrates. With these results in hand, relative rate constants k_{rel} for the acylation of 1a-d with anhydride 2 catalysed by chiral DMAP derivative 3 were evaluated. Enantioselectivity values for this reaction increase by a factor of 9 from s = 7 for 1-phenylethanol (1a) to 66 for 1-(2-pyrenyl)ethanol (1d). Relative rates in Fig. 1 using alcohol (S)-1a as the reference show that the reaction of both (S)- and (R)-enantiomers is notably accelerated with the growing aromatic side chain. However, while the rate constant for (S)-1d increased by a factor of 4.6 relative to (S)-1a, alcohol (R)-1d reacts 40 times faster than (R)-1a! The size-induced rate acceleration is thus significantly larger for the (R)- than for the (S)-alcohols and is also about four times larger for chiral catalyst 3 as compared to DMAP (5). Based on these findings we explored, whether suitably modified catalysts can further increase the selectivities obtained with catalyst 3. Sibi et al. have already reported that enantioselectivity decreases if the naphthyl molety in 3 (s = 23 at 0 °C) is replaced by both phenyl (s = 15) or 9-anthracenyl (s = 14).[22a] The first result is in agreement with the above-mentioned mechanism for size selection. The comparatively low selectivity for the 9-anthracenyl substituent is likely due to unfavourable 1,5-interactions that have already burdened other systematic studies of size effects.[21] We therefore synthesized 1-pyrenyl-substituted DMAP derivative 7 as a possibly even more size-selective catalyst (see SI). Repeating the acylation reactions of alcohols 1a-d with anhydride 2 and catalyst 7 under otherwise identical conditions we find generally increased selectivities for all substrates, the largest selectivity for alcohol 1d now amounting to approx. s = 250 (Fig. 1). For a quantitative analysis, the size of the

alcohol reagents were calculated as the volume of the van der Waals cavity used in the SMD solvation model at the B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory. As shown in **Fig. 3**, the molecular volume strongly correlates with $\ln(k_{rel})$ for the acylation of (*R*)-



Figure 3. Correlation of $ln(k_{rel})$ for the different catalysts and alcohols with the molecular volume of the reagents calculated at the B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory.

alcohols with catalysts **3**, **7**, and DMAP (**5**). The slope of the correlations is notably higher for the chiral catalysts **3** and **7** than in the case of DMAP (**5**). Thus, the bulky substituents in **3** and **7** further increase the size-acceleration of the reaction rates.



Figure 4. Relative free energies at the SMD(Et₂O)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory for **TS2** of (*S*)-**1b** (red circles) and (*R*)-**1b** (blue crosses). TS conformers are categorized by Re/Si face attack of **1b**, pyrazolidinone side chain orientation and relative position of the isobutyryl group (see bottom left). Structures of the best conformers for (*R*)- and (*S*)-**1b** are presented (for others see SI).

Furthermore, reagent volume *V* also correlates positively with $\ln(k_{rel})$ of the minor (*S*)-enantiomer, which is contrary expectation if repulsive steric effect were to control the stereoselectivity. The correlation slope decreases from DMAP (**5**) to catalyst **3** and becomes quite flat for catalyst **7**. Alternative correlations with similar trends for the calculated polarizability of the reagents (see SI) highlight the crucial role of dispersion forces. It can thus be concluded that enantioselectivity improvements result from a rate acceleration of the major enantiomer through reinforced dispersion interactions, if simultaneously the structure of the loaded catalyst minimizes the rate accelerations for the minor enantiomer.

Computational studies

The acylation of 1b with anhydride 2 catalysed by DMAPderivative **3** was investigated computationally. Geometry optimizations and frequency analyses were performed at SMD(Et₂O)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d)^[28] level of theory, followed by single point calculations at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP level^[15, 29]. In accordance with recent results of Wheeler et al.^[30] the energy profile of the reaction (see SI) implies that loading of the catalyst 3 through a first transition state TS1 is rate limiting, followed by the selectivity-determining acylation of alcohol 1b through transition state TS2. To ensure a comprehensive and systematic conformational search for TS2, the conformational space was partitioned into eight geometrical classes as a function of three criteria (Fig. 4): The Re or Si face attack of the alcohol substrate; orientation of the pyrazolidinone side chain; and the relative orientation of the isobutyryl group.

Due to its absolute configuration alcohol (R)-1b attacks the acylcatalyst intermediate preferentially from the (Si) face, while alcohol (S)-1b shows the opposite preference. For both alcohols we find a preference for a trans-conformation of isobutyryl and pyrazolidinone side chain. Thus, all conformations populated by more than 1% are either in class I ((R)-1b) or in class III ((S)-1b). Conformations for (S)-TS2 are best described as "triplesandwich" structures of the aromatic alcohol side chain, catalyst pyridinium core, and catalyst sidechain. Wheeler et al. found geometrically similar conformations governing the kinetic resolution of biaryl substrates by catalyst 3.[30] In the best (R)-TS2, in contrast, attack occurs from the crowded side of the catalyst resulting in a cage structure of the three aromatic rings. A similar structure for (S)-1b is strongly disfavoured by the absolute configuration of the tert-butyl group of 3. The difference in free energy ($\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{223}$ = +8.6 kJ mol⁻¹) on single point level for the energetically best conformers of each enantiomer (R)-TS2_1 and (S)-TS2_1 is in good accordance with the experimental enantioselectivity value. In order to identify the origin of this selectivity the respective free energy difference $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{223}$ (black bar in Fig. 5) was decomposed into its contributors. Surprisingly, the solvation energy (blue bar in Fig. 5) stabilizes all of the relevant (S) conformers relative to (R)-TS2_1. Thus, solvation is a counterplayer of enantioselectivity. Hence, we also found a very good negative correlation of experimental ln(s) values and solvent polarity parameter $E_T(30)^{[31]}$ (see SI). To further distinguish the impact of NCIs involving the aromatic moiety of the alcohol, relative single point energies were calculated for TS2_HC structures, wherein the naphthyl moiety of 1b was replaced by a hydrogen atom (see Fig. 5).[32] While almost no energy difference is found for the H-capped structures TS2_HC (green bars in Fig. 5), the NCI energy contribution (yellow bar in

Fig. 5) is very significant at -10.9 kJ mol⁻¹ and thus the dominant component for the preference of the (*R*)-**TS2_1**. Similar trends were found for all of the other relevant conformers (see SI). A local energy decomposition analysis^[33] confirmed that the intermolecular dispersion energy of alcohol (*R*)-**TS2_1** and loaded catalyst is -6.7 kJ mol⁻¹ more stabilizing as compared to (*S*)-**TS2_1**. Thus, stronger dispersive interactions of catalyst and alcohol are indeed the crucial factors in determining the



Figure 5. Energy decomposition scheme for (S)-TS2_1 relative to (R)-TS2_1. Solvation energies and thermal corrections were calculated at the SMD(Et₂O)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory. The differences between DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP single point energies for TS2 and TS2_HC yield NCI energies.



(S)-TS2_1 (∆E_{NCI}=+10.9 kJ mol⁻¹)

Figure 6. Non-covalent bond paths between alcohol 1b and loaded catalyst analysed by AIM analysis^[36] with relevant distances in pm. Right hand structures are printed for orientation only. For full results see SI.

enantioselectivity for this system. A qualitative NCI analysis by the Atoms In Molecules (AIM)^[34] method as well as NCI plots^[35] indicate that for both **TS2** structures pyridinium-naphthyl stacking orientations are present. However, (*R*)-**TS2_1** is further stabilized by additional CH- π - and tilted π - π -stacking interactions (see **Fig. 6**) of catalyst sidechain and alcohol moiety.

Conclusion

The enantioselectivity of acylation reactions catalysed by chiral DMAP derivates increases systematically with increasing size of the aromatic side chains in the alcohol substrates. Rate measurements for alcohols with different-sized aromatic side chains reveal that reaction rates for the major enantiomer are increased more than 40 times by substitution of phenyl by pyrenyl. These rate acceleration correlate with the polarizability and volume of the reagents. When also increasing the size of the catalyst side chain in a similar manner, enantioselectivity values of up to s = 250 have been obtained. Computational studies show that alcohol attack from the more crowded side of the loaded catalyst is most favourable and stabilized by CH-πstacking interactions. In combination with the results of kinetic measurements this implies that the selectivity values obtained result from a targeted rate acceleration of the transformation of the major enantiomer through dispersive interactions and not from steric hindrance of the minor enantiomer. The approach for elucidating the origins of enantioselectivity described in this study should also be useful for the analysis and systematic improvement of catalyst performance in other cases.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Priority Program 'Control of London Dispersion Interactions in Molecular Chemistry' (SPP 1807). We thank Dr. Peter Mayer for measuring and resolving X-ray crystal structures,^[37] and the Leibniz-Rechenzentrum (LRZ) for generous allocation of computational resources.

Keywords: Asymmetric catalysis • Acylation • Kinetic resolution • Noncovalent interactions • Molecular recognition

- [1] S. J. Benkovic, S. Hammes-Schiffer, *Science* **2003**, *301*, 1196-1202.
- [2] A. Warshel, P. K. Sharma, M. Kato, Y. Xiang, H. Liu, M. H. Olsson, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, 106, 3210-3235.
- a) R. R. Knowles, E. N. Jacobsen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 20678-20685; b) H. J. Davis, R. J. Phipps, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 864-877; c) M. S. Sigman, J. J. Miller, *J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 74, 7633-7643.
- [4] a) G. C. Fu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 412-420; b) S. Yamada, K. Yamashita, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 32-35.
- [5] J. M. Hawkins, S. Loren, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 7794-7795.
- a) E. J. Corey, T. P. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8966-8967; b)
 E. J. Corey, J. J. Rohde, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 37-40.
- [7] M. Yamakawa, I. Yamada, R. Noyori, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2818-2821.
- [8] H. C. Kolb, P. G. Andersson, K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1278-1291.
- [9] T. Kawabata, M. Nagato, K. Takasu, K. Fuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3169-3170.
- [10] S. J. Zuend, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15358-15374.

WILEY-VCH

- [11] a) E. H. Krenske, K. N. Houk, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 979-989; b) A. J. Neel, M. J. Hilton, M. S. Sigman, F. D. Toste, Nature 2017, 543, 637-646.
- [12] F. London, Trans. Faraday Soc. 1937, 33, 8-26.
- [13] a) J. P. Wagner, P. R. Schreiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 12274-12296; b) S. Grimme, P. R. Schreiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 12639-12642; c) M. Raynal, P. Ballester, A. Vidal-Ferran, P. W. van Leeuwen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 1660-1733.
- [14] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- [15] C. Riplinger, B. Sandhoefer, A. Hansen, F. Neese, J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 139, 134101.
- [16] S. E. Wheeler, T. J. Seguin, Y. Guan, A. C. Doney, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1061-1069.
- [17] a) H.-J. Schneider, New J. Chem. 2019, 43, 15498-15512; b) F. D.
 Toste, M. S. Sigman, S. J. Miller, Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 609-615.
- [18] A. J. Metrano, N. C. Abascal, B. Q. Mercado, E. K. Paulson, A. E. Hurtley, S. J. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 492-516.
- [19] a) L. Yang, J. B. Brazier, T. A. Hubbard, D. M. Rogers, S. L. Cockroft, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 912-916; b) J. Hwang, B. E. Dial, P. Li, M. E. Kozik, M. D. Smith, K. D. Shimizu, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 4358-4364.
- [20] S. Lin, E. N. Jacobsen, Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 817-824.
- [21] M. Marin-Luna, B. Pölloth, F. Zott, H. Zipse, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 6509-6515.
- [22] a) G. Ma, J. Deng, M. P. Sibi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11818-11821; b) G. Ma, M. P. Sibi, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 1152-1156; c)
 G. Ma, C. Deng, J. Deng, M. P. Sibi, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 3121-3126.
- [23] H. B. Kagan, J. C. Fiaud, Top. Stereochem. 1988, 18, 249-300.
- [24] M. D. Greenhalgh, J. E. Taylor, A. D. Smith, *Tetrahedron* 2018, 74, 5554-5560.
- [25] a) S. F. Musolino, O. S. Ojo, N. J. Westwood, J. E. Taylor, A. D. Smith, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 18916-18922; b) H. F. Klare, M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 9335-9338.
- [26] J. Helberg, M. Marin-Luna, H. Zipse, Synthesis 2017, 49, 3460-3470.
- [27] a) S. Xu, I. Held, B. Kempf, H. Mayr, W. Steglich, H. Zipse, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 4751-4757; b) E. Larionov, M. Mahesh, A. C. Spivey, Y. Wei, H. Zipse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 9390-9399.
- [28] a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1372 -1377; b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789; c) S. Grimme, J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 034108.
- [29] a) C. Riplinger, F. Neese, J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 138, 034106; b) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297-3305.
- [30] R. Maji, H. Ugale, S. E. Wheeler, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4452-4459.
- [31] C. Reichardt, Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry, WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- [32] a) T. J. Seguin, T. Lu, S. E. Wheeler, *Org. Lett.* 2015, *17*, 3066-3069; b)
 S. Malakar, S. V. Shree Sowndarya, R. B. Sunoj, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2018, *16*, 5643-5652.
- [33] W. B. Schneider, G. Bistoni, M. Sparta, M. Saitow, C. Riplinger, A. A. Auer, F. Neese, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2016, 12, 4778-4792.
- [34] R. F. W. Bader, Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 9-15.
- [35] J. Contreras-Garcia, E. R. Johnson, S. Keinan, R. Chaudret, J. P. Piquemal, D. N. Beratan, W. Yang, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 625-632.
- [36] T. Lu, F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580-592.

[37] Single crystal X-ray structures are discussed and shown in the SI and can be retrieved from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) with deposition numbers 2008574 - 2008577.



WILEY-VCH

Entry for the Table of Contents



Steric bulk increases selectivity through rate acceleration: The kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols by fluxionally chiral pyridine catalysts is increasingly selective with increasingly bulky substrates. Detailed kinetic and computational studies show that this is mainly provoked by a selective reaction rate acceleration for the major isomer through non-covalent interactions. More bulky catalysts further increase reaction rate AND selectivity.