Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 8196-8202

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

Efficient synthesis of 19–31 membered macrocyclic tetralactones via ring closing metathesis in ionic liquids

Sengodagounder Muthusamy*, Datschnamoorthy Azhagan

School of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 12 July 2010 Received in revised form 27 July 2010 Accepted 8 August 2010 Available online 18 August 2010

Keywords: Grubbs catalyst Ionic liquids Ring closing metathesis Macrocyclic tetralactones

ABSTRACT

The ring closing metathesis reaction in the presence of Grubbs catalyst was demonstrated using several ionic liquids to synthesize a range of macrocyclic tetralactones having ring size of 19 to 31 membered with different spacers. The ionic liquid [mmim][PF₆] was found to be an excellent solvent and the recovery of the catalyst was demonstrated. X-ray analysis of a representative macrocyclic tetralactone was also reported.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tetrahedror

1. Introduction

During the past decade, ring closing metathesis (RCM) has found increasing application in the synthesis of carbo- and heterocyclic ring systems.¹ The application of RCM as the key macrocyclization step in the synthesis of crown compounds has opened their importance in supramolecular chemistry.^{2–6} The success of this reaction has been mainly due to the availability of Grubbs⁷ (1–3) and Hoveyda⁸ (4,5) catalysts (Fig. 1). But these catalysts are not recyclable and can only be separated via repeated chromatography severely hampers their application. Several reports have recently demonstrated the solutions for this problem. The methods rely on the removal of ruthenium complexes by exchange of their hydrophobic with hydrophilic ligands followed by aqueous extraction,⁹ catalyst immobilization¹⁰ or on removal of the catalyst by treatment with Pb(OAc)₄,¹¹ Ph₃PO or DMSO.¹²

Environmental concern associated with chemical synthesis has posed demands for environmentally benign reaction has become one of the main themes of modern synthesis. Towards this, ionic liquids helped as an environmentally friendly alternative for conventional organic solvents and gained much attention. Ionic liquids are known to be nonvolatile, nontoxic, reusable and compatible with many organic reactions. Our specific interest in ionic liquid was drawn by the recent disclosures that ionic liquid (IL) stabilizes




Figure 1.

many organometallic catalysts and helps for the catalyst recyclablity.¹³

Moreover, synthesis¹⁴ and studies¹⁵ of macrocyclic dilactones are impressive in organic chemistry due to their biological properties, complex formation, ion carriers and application in perfume industry. Even though literature methods provide a mixture of dilactones and tetralactones, the reactions give low yields and require drastic conditions. In continuation of our recent work¹⁶ on the synthesis of macrocyclic tetralactones, we herein report a RCM reaction in the presence of Grubbs' catalyst to synthesize a variety




 $[\]ast$ Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 431 2407053; fax: +91 431 2407045; e-mail address: muthu@bdu.ac.in (S. Muthusamy).

^{0040-4020/\$ –} see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.08.019

macrocyclic tetralactones using ionic liquid in good yields with recyclability of the catalyst.

2. Results and discussion

Initially, we planned to study the RCM in various imidazole derivatives reported as ionic liquid medium. Towards this, we have synthesized¹⁷ several ionic liquids for our present study (Fig. 2). Based on the desymmetrization¹⁸ of anhydrides with alcohols, the desymmetrization reactions of 2 equiv of cyclic anhydride 6 with 1 equiv of dihydroxy compound 7 in the presence of triethylamine were performed to obtain the corresponding dicarboxylic acids 8a–l. The dialkylation of 8a–l with allyl bromide in the presence of triethylamine afforded the corresponding diolefins **9a–1** with different spacers in good yield. The reaction of diolefin **9a** in [mmim] PF₆ ionic liquid solution was carried out at 60 °C in the presence of Grubbs' first generation catalyst 1 under an argon atmosphere to furnish the crude reaction mixture. The purification by passing through silica gel column chromatography afforded the 21-membered macrocyclic tetralactone **10a** as a mixture of E/Z isomers in 95% yield (Scheme 1, Table 1, entry 1). The crude NMR spectrum indicated that the product **10a** obtained as a mixture of E/Z isomers in the ratio of 3:1. ¹H NMR spectrum showed the absence of terminal olefinic CH₂ hydrogens. Mass spectrum clearly indicated that the molecular ion with a reduction of 28 atomic mass unit from the starting material. To get a crystal for macrocycles is generally



Scheme 1. Synthesis of macrocyclic tetralactones 10.

difficult task. The reaction mixture containing E/Z isomers was kept to obtain a good crystal using ethyl alcohol as solvent. The major isomer of **10a** was crystallized and characterized as an *E*-isomer by the X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3). To exploit this interesting result, the diolefinic compounds **9b**–**e**, prepared from phthalic anhydride system, was subjected to RCM reaction using 5 mol % of 1 to afford the corresponding tetralactones **10b**– \mathbf{e} as a mixture of E/Z isomers in the ratio of 3:1. The RCM reaction of diolefin **9f** that was obtained from hexahydro-4-methylphthalic anhydride, furnished the respective tetralactone **10f** as a 2:1 mixture of *E*/*Z* isomers. The metathesis reaction of diolefins 9g-h that was derived from cyclohexanedicarboxylic anhydride, yielded the respective tetralactones 10g-h as a 2:1 mixture of E/Z isomers. The metathesis reaction of diolefins 10i-l that was obtained from diphenic anhydride, afforded the respective macrocyclic tetralactones **10i**-l as a 3:1 mixture of E/Z isomers.

To study the RCM reaction of diolefinic compounds 9 in other ionic liquids, a representative reaction of compound 91 was chosen. Initial investigation of 91 was performed with ionic liquids having different counter anions. Thus, the ionic liquids containing bmim, bbim or mmim as counter cations and PF₆, BF₄, NO₂ or HSO₄-as counter anions were synthesized based on the literature.¹⁷ Reaction of 91 using bmim ionic liquid having different counter anions in the presence of 5 mol% of 1 at 60 °C was performed to furnish the corresponding macrocyclic compound 10l. The similar reaction of 91 with bbim ionic liquid having different counter anions furnished the corresponding macrocyclic compound **101**. Reaction of **91** with mmim ionic liquid having different counter anions also provided the corresponding macrocycle **10**. The $[mmim][PF_6]$ ionic liquid is found to be a good solvent and afforded the product **101** in high yield. In turn, the ionic liquids having BF4, NO2-counter anions gave poor yield and the results are depicted in Table 2. The ionic liquid having HSO₄ counter anion did not furnish any product.

There are no considerable differences in the reaction rates and yields by changing the substitution on the imidazole ring. The efficiency of the ionic liquid was strongly influenced by the nature of the anion. These results indicate that the anions play an important role in RCM reaction. In order to compare, the reaction of **91** was carried out using organic solvents, such as dichloromethane, dichloroethane or toluene to afford the corresponding macrocyclic compound **101** in moderate yield.

To study the template effect involved in the preparation of macrocycles, reaction of **9I** using ionic liquid or organic solvent was repeated in the presence of catalytic amount of **1** and CsCl under similar reaction conditions to furnish the macrocycle **10I** (Table 2). The minor effect in the presence of CsCl was observed.

To study the recovery and recycling of the expensive Grubbs' catalyst in line with the literature,¹⁹ the reaction of **9a** was studied for the recovery of catalyst **1** with different temperatures for the duration of 10 h to optimize the reaction condition. The optimum temperature is found to be 60 °C for the RCM reactions using ionic liquids. The yield of the product **10a** was getting reduced when the reaction was performed above or below 60 °C during the recycling process (Table 3). The reason might be the thermal decomposition of **1** occurred at higher temperature.

Finally, the representative reaction of diolefin **9a** in the presence of catalyst **1** using mmim[PF₆] at 60 °C was performed. The pink coloured reaction mixture was extracted with dry ethyl acetate/ hexane (1:9) solution after the appropriate time duration to provide the product **10a** free from Grubbs' catalyst. The recovered pink coloured ionic liquid containing the catalyst **1** was dried under reduced pressure before performing the next reaction. The above reaction was repeated by adding the required starting material **9a** to provide the corresponding product **10a**. Notably, this process was repeated five times and the yields are provided in Table 4. The insolubility of ionic liquid in ethyl acetate/hexane (1:9) solution

 Table 1

 Synthesis of macrocyclic tetralactones 10 from diolefins 9 in the presence of Grubbs catalyst 1 using ionic liquid

| Entry | Diolefins 9 | Macrocyclic Tetralactones 10 | Time (hours) | Ring Size | Yield (%) ^a | E/Z ^b |
|-------|--|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | ya ya | 10a | 11 | 21 | 95 | 3:1 |
| 2 | or o | | 11 | 19 | 94 | 3:1 |
| 3 | | | 9 | 20 | 92 | 3:1 |
| 4 | yd | | 9 | 22 | 90 | 3:1 |
| 5 | | | 9 | 24 | 94 | 3:1 |
| 6 | h h h h h h h h h h h h h h | | 8 | 21 | 86 | 2:1 |
| 7 | | | 8 | 19 | 85 | 2:1 |



| Entry | Diolefins 9 | Macrocyclic Tetralactones 10 | Time (hours) | Ring Size | Yield (%) ^a | E/Z ^b |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|
| 8 | | | 8 | 21 | 87 | 2:1 |
| 9 | | | 8 | 23 | 92 | 3:1 |
| 10 | y y y y y | | 8 | 25 | 93 | 3:1 |
| 11 | | | 8 | 28 | 95 | 3:1 |
| 12 | | | 8 | 31 | 93 | 3:1 |

^a Yields (unoptimized) refer to isolated pure compounds of macrocyclic tetralactones **10**.

^b Ratio of isomers is determined by proton NMR spectroscopy.




Figure 3. ORTEP view of compound 10a.

and the very good solubility of Grubbs' catalyst in ionic liquid helped to productively recycle the catalyst. Based on this process, Grubbs' catalyst **1** could be immobilized in [mmim]PF₆ while performing the above RCM reaction. It is noteworthy to mention that the recovery of the catalyst **1** at 60 °C in ionic liquid was very good during recycling process rather it was observed as low.¹⁹

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have described the synthesis of various diolefins and their ring closing metathesis reaction was demonstrated to synthesize a range of macrocyclic tetralactones in several ionic liquids. The ionic liquid [mmim][PF₆] is an excellent solvent to synthesize macrocyclic tetralactones via RCM and the recovery of

| lable 2 | |
|---|---------|
| Reaction of $\boldsymbol{91}$ at 60 °C with various ionic liquid or | solvent |

| Entry | Ionic liquid or Solvent | Time (min) | Yield (%) of 101 | |
|-------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | Without CsCl ^a | With CsCl ^a |
| 1 | [bmim][PF ₆] | 8 | 85 | 87 |
| 2 | [bmim][BF4] | 24 | 53 | 56 |
| 3 | [bmim][NO ₃] | 24 | 20 | 22 |
| 4 | [bmim][HSO ₄] | 24 | _ | _ |
| 5 | [bbim][PF ₆] | 8 | 86 | 89 |
| 6 | [bbim][BF ₄] | 24 | 56 | 60 |
| 7 | [bbim][NO ₃] | 24 | 18 | 21 |
| 8 | [mmim][BF ₄] | 24 | 59 | 61 |
| 9 | [mmim][PF ₆] | 8 | 90 | 93 |
| 10 | [mmim][NO ₃] | 24 | 21 | 26 |
| 11 | DCM | 24 | 68 | 90 |
| 12 | DCE | 24 | 65 | 89 |
| 13 | Toluene | 24 | 72 | 86 |

^a Yields (unoptimized) refer to isolated pure compound **10**.

Table 3

Studies on the reuse of catalyst 1 and temperature effect on 9a

| Entry | Temperature | Cycles, Yield of 10a (%) ^a | | | |
|-------|-------------|--|----|-----|----|
| | | I | II | III | IV |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 13 | 7 |
| 2 | 40 | 74 | 68 | 63 | 57 |
| 3 | 60 | 93 | 88 | 84 | 80 |
| 4 | 80 | 93 | 87 | 80 | 77 |
| 5 | 100 | 93 | 85 | 65 | 40 |

^a Yields (unoptimized) refer to isolated pure compounds 10a.

Table 4

Studies on the reuse of catalyst 1 and (mmim)(PF₆) on 9a^a

| Entry | Yield of 10a (%) ^b | Recovered ionic liquid |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 93 | 99 |
| 2 | 88 | 99 |
| 3 | 84 | 97 |
| 4 | 80 | 96 |
| 5 | 76 | 96 |

^a All reactions were carried out at 60 °C.

^b Yields (unoptimized) refer to isolated pure compounds **10a**.

the catalyst was also demonstrated. Most importantly, the process is friendly to the environment to synthesize various macrocyclic tetralactones having ring size of 19–31 membered with different spacers. These macrocyclic compounds are expected to be potentially important to the biologically significant materials and supramolecular chemistry.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General

All reactions were carried out in oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of argon. All solvents were freshly purified by distillation. IR spectra were recorded neat on a Bruker Alpha FT-IR spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded (400 and 100 MHz, respectively) on a Bruker Avance DPX 400 using CDCl₃. Chemical shifts for proton and carbon resonances are reported for the major isomer in parts per million (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane (δ 0.00) and chloroform (δ 77), respectively. Multiplicities are indicated by singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m). Coupling constants (*J*) were reported in hertz (Hz). Carbon types were determined from ¹³C NMR and DEPT experiments. High resolution mass analyses were performed using electrospray ionization (ESI) technique on a Waters QTof-micro mass spectrometer. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was

performed on silica and components were visualized by observation under iodine, UV-light or sulfuric acid charring. Column chromatography was performed on a silica gel (100–200 mesh) column.

4.2. General experimental procedure for the synthesis of macrocycle 10

The bis-olefin **9** (1.0 mmol) was taken in a well-dried ionic liquid (3.0 mL) under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min to obtain a clear oily solution. To this ionic liquid solution, 5 mol % of Grubbs' first generation catalyst and CsCl was added stirred at 60 °C for 8–12 h. The reaction was followed by TLC and ¹H NMR. After completion of the reaction, the crude reaction mixture was washed with 10% dry ethyl acetate in hexane (5×10 mL) till the absence of any organic compound in the solvent layer. The combined extracts were concentrated in vacuum and the resulting residue subjected on a 100–200 mesh silica gel column chromatography to afford the macrocycle **10**. The ionic liquid layer was dried on the vacuum line to use the next cycles during the recycling process.

4.2.1. Compound **10a**. A white solid; mp 144–146; ν_{max} (neat) 3022, 1725, 1435, 1281, 1116, 738, 668 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.75–7.70 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 7.57–7.53 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 6.03–6.02 (2H, m, =*CH*), 4.85–4.84 (4H, m, OCH₂), 4.47–4.41 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.79–3.77 (4H, m, OCH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.7 (*C*=O), 167.2 (*C*=O), 131.9 (*CAr*), 131.7 (*CAr*), 131.6 (*CAr*), 131.2 (=*C*H), 131.1 (=*C*H), 129.1 (=*C*H₂), 129.0 (=*C*H), 128.8 (=*C*H), 128.5 (=*C*H), 128.2 (=*C*H), 127.9 (=*C*H), 68.8 (OCH₂), 65.0 (OCH₂), 61.2 (OCH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₂₂O₉ [M+Na]⁺: 477.1162 found 477.1154.

Crystal data for the compound **10a** (CCDC 783915): C₂₄H₂₂O₉, *M*=454.42, 0.20×0.20×0.16 mm. Monoclinic, space group *P*-21 with *a*=7.182 (10) Å, *b*=8.495 (10) Å, *c*=17.763 (3) Å, *α*=90°, *β*=98.684 (10)°, γ =90°, *V*=1071.48 (3) Å³, *T*=293(2) K, *R*₁=0.1016, *wR*₂=0.3972 on observed data, *z*=2, *D*_{calcd}=1.355 mg cm⁻³, *F*(000)=532, Absorption coefficient=0.095 mm⁻¹, *λ*=0.71073 Å, 5906 reflections were collected on a smart apex CCD single crystal diffractometer 3669 observed reflections (*I*≥2 σ (*I*)). The largest difference peak and hole=0.985 and -0.495 e Å⁻³, respectively. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on *F*² using SHELXL–97 software.

4.2.2. Compound **10b**. A white solid; mp 123–125; ν_{max} (neat) 2935, 1714, 1597, 1387, 1291, 1135, 1073, 744 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.80–7.74 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.73–7.74 (4H, m, Ar–H), 6.03–6.02 (2H, m, =CH), 4.97–4.96 (4H, m, =CH₂), 4.41 (4H, t, OCH₂, J 6 Hz), 2.20–2.14 (2H, m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.7 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 132.3 (CAr), 131.4 (=CH), 131.2 (CAr), 129.4 (=CH), 128.9 (=CH), 127.9 (=CH), 127.8 (=CH), 64.9 (OCH₂), 62.7 (OCH₂), 62.5 (OCH₂), 61.4 (OCH₂), 28.0 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₃H₂₀O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 447.1056 found 447.1068.

4.2.3. Compound **10***c*. A white solid; mp 149–151; ν_{max} (neat) 3037, 2930, 1720, 1599, 1448, 1283, 1138, 1034, 748 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.73–7.64 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 7.58–7.570 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 7.49–7.65 (2H, m, Ar–*H*), 7.39–7.28 (2H, m, Ar–*H*), 5.84–5.83 (2H, m, =CH₂), 5.79–5.78 (4H, m, CH₂), 4.52 (4H, d, OCH₂ J 4 Hz); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.7 (*C*=O), 167.3 (*C*=O), 167.2 (*C*=O), 166.9 (*C*=O), 134.3 (*C*Ar), 133.8 (*C*Ar), 132.5 (*C*Ar), 131.6 (*C*Ar), 131.5 (*C*Ar), 131.5 (=CH), 131.3 (=CH), 131.0 (=CH), 130.8 (*C*Ar), 129.8 (=CH), 129.3 (=CH), 129.2 (=CH), 129.0 (=CH), 129.0 (=CH), 128.9 (=CH), 128.6 (=CH), 128.1 (=CH), 127.5 (=CH), 65.0 (OCH₂), 64.9 (OCH₂), 64.7 (OCH₂), 61.1 (OCH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₈H₂₂O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 509.1212 found 509.1221.

4.2.4. Compound **10d**. A white solid; mp 153–155; ν_{max} (neat), 3066, 2935, 1715, 1597, 1387, 1292, 1135, 1073, 742 cm⁻¹; $\delta_{\rm H}$

(400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.68–7.63 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 7.49–7.43 (4H, m, Ar–*H*), 5.94–5.94 (2H, m, =CH), 4.76 (4H, q, =CH₂, J 4 Hz), 4.24–4.20 (4H, m, OCH₂), 1.66–1.59 (4H, m, CH₂), 1.32–1.29 (4H, m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.9 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 132.7 (CAr), 132.6 (CAr), 131.4 (=CH), 129.9 (CAr), 127.0 (=CH), 128.1 (=CH) 65.8 (OCH₂), 65.1 (OCH₂), 61.1 (CH₂), 32.6 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 28.6 (CH₂), 28.3 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₆H₂₆O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 489.1525 found 489.1537.

4.2.5. *Compound* **10e**. A white solid; mp 137–139; ν_{max} (neat) 2951, 2887, 1728, 1448, 1281, 1124, 1078, 738 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.78–7.70 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.59–7.52 (4H, m, Ar–H), 6.09–6.06 (2H, m, =CH), 4.89–4.84 (4H, m, OCH₂), 4.41–4.36 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.76–3.64 (8H, m, OCH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.7 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 131.6 (CAr), 131.2 (=CH), 131.1 (=CH), 130.0 (=CH), 128.6 (=CH), 125.1 (CAr), 70.6 (OCH₂), 68.9 (OCH₂), 65.2 (OCH₂), 64.9 (OCH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₆H₂₆O₁₀Na [M+Na]⁺: 521.1424, found 521.1411.

4.2.6. Compound **10**f. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3030, 2925, 1729, 1440, 1372, 1295, 1185, 1033, 936 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.26–7.19 (4H, m, Ar–H), 5.56–5.55 (2H, m, =CH), 5.17–4.85 (4H, m, =CH₂), 4.42 (4H, s, CH₂,) 3.22–3.20 (4H, m, OCH₂), 2.47–2.12 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.98–1.95 (2H, m, CH), 1.72–1.71 (4H, m, CH), 1.48–1.11 (8H, m, CH₂), 0.88 (6H, s, CH₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 173.4 (C=O), 173.0 (C=O), 173.0 (C=O), 172.0 (C=O). 136.3 (CAr), 136.2 (CAr), 128.6 (=CH₂), 128.2 (=CH₂), 127.8 (=CH₂), 127.7 (=CH₂), 127.6 (=CH₂), 127.5 (=CH₂), 127.4 (=CH₂), 127.3 (=CH₂), 66.0 (OCH₂), 65.9 (OCH₂), 63.7 (OCH₂), 43.7 (CH), 43.5 (CH), 43.2 (CH), 43.2 (CH), 41.9 (CH), 41.8 (CH), 41.7 (CH), 41.2 (CH), 36.3 (CH₂), 33.8 (CH₂), 28.0 (CH₂), 24.1 (CH₃), 22.3 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₃₀H₃₈O₈Na [M+Na]⁺: 549.2464, found 549.2470.

4.2.7. *Compound* **10g**. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3132, 3082, 2027, 1724, 1574, 1280, 754 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.74–5.73 (2H, m, =CH), 5.61 (4H, s, =CH), 4.65–4.43 (2H, m, CH₂), 4.22–4.19 (2H, m, OCH₂), 4.07–4.00 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.06–3.00 (4H, m, OCH), 2.46–2.27 (8H, m, CH₂), 1.87–1.84 (2H, m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.7 (*C*=O), 172.7 (*C*=O), 172.6 (*C*=O), 172.6 (*C*=O), 128.0 (=CH), 127.9 (=CH), 127.6 (=CH), 127.6 (=CH), 127.6 (=CH), 63.9 (OCH₂), 61.4 (OCH₂), 61.3 (OCH₂), 39.9 (CH), 39.8 (*CH*), 28.2 (CH₂), 28.1 (OCH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₃H₂₈O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 455.1682, found 455.1670.

4.2.8. Compound **10h**. Colourless thick oil; ν_{max} (neat) 3031, 2894, 1732, 1440, 1351, 1297, 1192, 1250, 736 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 5.86–5.79 (2H, m, =CH), 5.68 (4H, s, =CH), 4.79–4.50 (4H, m, OCH₂), 4.38–4.07 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.65 (4H, t, OCH₂, J 5 Hz), 3.12–3.06 (4H, m, CH), 2.57–2.31 (8H, m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.8 (C=O), 172.7 (C=O), 172.6 (C=O), 172.6 (C=O), 128.3 (=CH), 127.8 (=CH), 127.7 (=CH), 124.9 (=CH), 68.7 (OCH₂), 63.9 (OCH₂), 63.6 (OCH₂), 63.5 (OCH₂), 60.1 (OCH₂), 39.9 (CH), 39.7 (CH), 25.7 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 25.5 (CH₂), 25.3 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₂₄H₃₀O₉ [M+Na]⁺: 485.1788, found 485.1778.

4.2.9. Compound **10***i*. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3081, 2924, 2855, 1744, 1424, 1079, 755 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.94–7.89 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.47–7.39 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.38–7.36 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.13–7.11 (4H, m, Ar–H), 5.40–5.38 (2H, m, =CH), 4.56–4.29 (4H, m, OCH₂), 4.05–3.53 (4H, m, OCH₂), 1.18–1.13 (2H m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.1 (C=O), 166.7 (C=O), 166.6 (C=O), 166.5 (C=O), 143.3 (CAr), 143.0 (CAr), 142.7 (CAr), 131.5 (=CH), 131.4 (=CH), 131.2 (=CH), 130.2 (=CH), 130.1 (=CH), 129.9 (=CH₂), 129.5 (=CH₂), 127.1 (=CH), 127.6 (=CH), 127.2 (=CH₂), 64.0 (OCH₂), 63.8 (OCH₂),

61.1 (OCH₂), 60.9 (OCH₂), 27.4 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for $C_{35}H_{28}O_8$ [M+Na]⁺: 599.1682, found 599.1699.

4.2.10. Compound **10***j*. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3132, 3082, 2027, 1724, 1577, 1280, 754 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.96–7.93 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.87–7.85 (2H, m, Ar–H), 7.45–7.40 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.35–7.29 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.17–6.96 (8H, m, Ar–H), 5.35–5.34 (2H, m, =CH), 4.97–4.87 (4H, m, CH₂), 4.32–4.14 (4H, m, OCH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.9 (C=O), 166.8 (C=O), 166.7 (C=O), 166.6 (C=O), 143.2 (CAr), 143.1 (CAr), 131.6 (CAr), 131.5 (=CH), 131.2 (=CH), 130.4 (=CH), 130.2 (=CH), 130.2 (=CH), 130.0 (=CH), 129.9 (=CH₂), 129.8 (=CH₂), 128.6 (=CH), 128.5 (=CH), 128.3 (=CH), 127.7 (=CH), 127.6 (=CH), 127.2 (=CH), 64.4 (OCH₂), 64.0 (OCH₂), 63.9 (OCH₂), 59.8 (OCH₂), 59.6 (OCH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₄₀H₃₀O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 661.1838, found 661.1851.

4.2.11. Compound **10k**. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3132, 3082, 2027, 1724, 1577, 1280, 754 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.94–7.88 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.45–7.30 (8H, m, Ar–H), 7.14–7.09 (4H, m, Ar–H), 5.24–5.22 (2H, m, =CH), 4.40–4.30 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.89 (4H, t, OCH₂, *J* 6 Hz), 1.22–1.01 (12H, m, CH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.2 (C=O), 167.2 (C=O), 166.6 (C=O), 166.5 (C=O), 143.5 (CAr), 143.4 (CAr), 131.5 (=CH), 131.4 (=CH), 131.3 (=CH), 130.2 (=CH), 129.9 (=CH), 129.7 (=CH) 129.3 (=CH₂), 127.4 (=CH), 127.1 (=CH), 64.9 (OCH₂), 62.8 (OCH₂), 60.4 (OCH₂), 60.0 (OCH₂), 32.6 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 29.1 (CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 28.2 (CH₂), 28.1 (CH₂), 25.7 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₄₀H₃₈O₈ [M+Na]⁺: 669.2464, found 669.2453.

4.2.12. Compound **10**I. Semisolid; ν_{max} (neat) 3132, 3082, 2027, 1724, 1577, 1280, 754 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.94–7.88 (4H, m, Ar–H), 7.45–7.30 (8H, m, Ar–H), 7.14–7.09 (4H, m, Ar–H), 5.25–5.23 (2H, m, =CH), 4.89–4.84 (4H, m, OCH₂), 4.41–4.36 (4H, m, OCH₂), 3.76–3.64 (12H, m, OCH₂); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.3 (C=O), 167.1 (C=O), 166.6 (C=O), 166.5 (C=O), 143.4 (CAr), 143.5 (CAr), 131.5 (=CH), 131.4 (=CH), 131.3 (=CH), 130.2 (=CH), 129.9 (=CH), 129.7 (=CH) 129.3 (=CH₂), 127.4 (=CH), 127.1 (=CH), 70.6 (OCH₂), 68.9 (OCH₂), 65.2 (OCH₂), 64.9 (OCH₂), 64.1 (OCH₂), 62.8 (OCH₂), 60.4 (OCH₂), 60.0 (OCH₂); HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd for C₄₀H₃₈O₁₁Na [M+Na]⁺: 717.2312, found 717.2325.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, New Delhi. We thank DST, New Delhi for providing 400 MHz NMR facility under FIST program.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.08.019.

References and notes

- For recent reviews on olefin metathesis: (a) Vougioukalakis, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1746–1787; (b) Furstner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3012–3043; (c) Yet, L. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2963–3007; (d) Martin, S. F.; Deiter, A. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2199–2238; (e) Hoveyda, A. H.; Zhugralin, A. R. Nature 2007, 450, 243–251; (f) Trost, B. M.; Frederiksen, M. U.; Rudd, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6630–6666; (g) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490–4527; (h) Donohoe, T. J.; Fishlock, L. P.; Procopiou, P. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5716–5726.
- (a) Chambron, J.-C.; Collin, J.-P.; Heitz, V.; Jouvenot, D.; Kern, J.-M.; Mobian, P.; Pomeranc, D.; Sauvage, J.-P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 1627–1638; (b) Arico, F.; Mobian, P.; Kern, J.-M.; Sauvage, J.-P. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 1887–1890; (c) Collin, J.-P.; Laemmel, A.-C.; Sauvage, J.-P. *New J. Chem.* **2001**, *25*, 22–24.
- 3. Kidd, T. J.; Leigh, D. A.; Wilson, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1599-1600.
- (a) Pinho e Melo, T. M. V. D.; Soares, M. I. L.; Rocha Gonsalves, A. M. d'A.; Paixao, J. A.; Beja, A. M.; Silva, M. R.; Alte de Veiga, L.; Passoa, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67,

4045-4054; (b) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Bowry, V. W. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 1632-1641.

- (a) Ibrahim, Y. A.; Behbehani, H.; Ibrahim, M. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 4207–4210; (b) Behbehani, H.; Ibrahim, M. R.; Ibrahim, Y. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6421–6426; (c) Ibrahim, Y. A.; Behbehani, H.; Ibrahim, M. R.; Abrar, N. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 6971–6974; (d) Ibrahim, Y. A.; Behbehani, H.; Ibrahim, M. R.; Malhas, R. N. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 7273–7282; (e) Ibrahim, Y. A.; Behbehani, H.; Khalil, N. S. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 8429–8436.
- 6. Ibrahim, Y. A.; John, E. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 1001–1014.
- (a) Schwab, P.; France, M. B.; Ziller, J. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2039–2041; (b) Scholl, M.; Ding, S.; Lee, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 953–956.
- (a) Kingsbury, J. S.; Harrity, J. P. A.; Bonitatebus, P. J.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 791–799; (b) Garber, S. B.; Kingsbury, J. S.; Gray, B. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8168–8179.
- 9. Maynard, H. D.; Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4137-4140.
- (a) Ahmed, M.; Barrett, A. G. M.; Braddock, D. C.; Cramp, S. M.; Procopiou, P. A. Tetrahedron Lett. **1999**, 40, 8657–8662; (b) Schürer, S. C.; Gessler, S.; Buschmann, N.; Blechert, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 3898–3901; (c) Yao, Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 3896–3898.
- Paquette, L. A.; Schloss, J. D.; Efremov, I.; Fabris, F.; Gallou, F.; Méndez-Andino, J.; Yang, J. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1259–1261.
- 12. Ahn, Y. M.; Yang, K.-L.; Georg, G. I. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1411-1413.
- For recent reviews on ionic liquids, see: (a) Martins, M. A. P.; Frizzo, C. P.; Moreira, D. N.; Zanatta, N.; Bonacorso, H. G. *Chem. Rev.* 2008, 108, 2015–2050; (b) Dupont, J.; de Souza, R. F.; Suarez, P. A. Z. *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102, 3667–3692; (c) Wasserscheid, P.; Keim, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 3772–3789.

- (a) Piepers, O.; Kellogg, R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 383–384; (b) Asay, R. E.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Nielsen, S. F.; Thompson, M. D.; Snow, J. W.; Masihdas, D. R. K.; Izatt, R. M.; Christensen, J. J. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1977, 14, 85–90; (c) Drewes, S. E.; Riphagen, B. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1974, 323–327; (d) Samat, A.; Bibout, M. E. M.; Elguero, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1985, 1717–1723; (e) Zhou, Z.; Schuster, D. I.; Wilson, S. R. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7612–7617; (f) Singh, H.; Kumar, M.; Singh, P. J. Chem. Res., Miniprint 1989, 4, 675; (g) Takahashi, S.; Souma, K.; Hashimoto, R.; Koshino, H.; Nakata, T. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 4509–4515; (h) Nakamura, T.; Matsuyama, H.; Kamigata, N.; Iyoda, M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3783–3789; (i) Bosch, M. P.; Guerrero, A. Synlett 2005, 2611–2614.
- (a) Bradshaw, J. S.; Maas, G. E.; Izatt, R. M.; Christensen, J. J. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 37–52; (b) Bogdanova, A.; Perkovic, M. W.; Popik, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9867–9873; (c) Griesbeck, A. G.; Henz, A.; Hirt, J. Synthesis 1996, 1261–1276; (d) Masamune, S.; Bates, S. G.; Corcoran, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 16, 585–607; (e) Paterson, I.; Mansuri, M. M. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3569–3624.
- (a) Muthusamy, S.; Gnanaprakasam, B.; Suresh, E. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1495–1498; (b) Muthusamy, S.; Gnanaprakasam, B.; Suresh, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1913–1916.
- (a) Park, S.; Kazlauskas, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8395–8401; (b) Suarez, P. A. Z.; Dullius, J. E. L.; Einloft, S.; De Souza, R. F.; Dupont, J. Polyhedron 1996, 15, 1217–1219.
- 18. Chen, Y.; McDaid, P.; Deng, L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2965-2983.
- (a) Buijsman, R. C.; Vuuren, E. V.; Sterrenburg, J. G. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3785–3787; (b) Yao, Q.; Zhang, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3395–3398.