

# A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

### **Accepted Article**

- Title: Bifluoride Ion Mediated SuFEx Trifluoromethylation of Sulfonyl Fluorides and Iminosulfur Oxydifluorides
- Authors: Christopher J. Smedley, Qinheng Zheng, Bing Gao, Suhua Li, Andrew Molino, Hendrika M. Duivenvoorden, Belinda S. Parker, David J. D. Wilson, K. Barry Sharpless, and John Edward Moses

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201813761 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201813761

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201813761 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201813761

## WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

#### WILEY-VCH

# Bifluoride Ion Mediated SuFEx Trifluoromethylation of Sulfonyl Fluorides and Iminosulfur Oxydifluorides

Christopher J. Smedley,<sup>[a]</sup> Qinheng Zheng,<sup>[b]</sup> Bing Gao,<sup>[b]</sup> Suhua Li,<sup>[c]</sup> Andrew Molino,<sup>[a]</sup> Hendrika M. Duivenvoorden,<sup>[a]</sup> Belinda S. Parker,<sup>[a]</sup> David J. D. Wilson,<sup>[a]</sup> K. Barry Sharpless<sup>[b]</sup> and John E. Moses<sup>\*[a]</sup>

Abstract: SuFEx is a new generation click chemistry transformation that exploits the unique properties of S-F bonds and their ability to undergo near-perfect reactions with nucleophiles. We report here the first SuFEx based protocol for the efficient synthesis of pharmaceutically important triflones and bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines from sulfonyl fluorides and iminosulfur oxydifluorides, respectively. The new protocol involves rapid S-F exchange with trifluoromethyltrimethylsilane (TMSCF<sub>3</sub>) upon activation by potassium bifluoride in anhydrous DMSO. The reaction tolerates a wide selection of substrates and proceeds under mild conditions without need for chromatographic purification. A tentative mechanism is proposed involving nucleophilic displacement of S-F by the trifluoromethyl anion through a five-coordinate intermediate. The utility of late-stage SuFEx trifluoromethylation is demonstrated through the synthesis and selective anticancer properties of the bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine (3i).

Click chemistry is a synthesis ideology designed to support the need for reliable reactions to create functional molecules.<sup>[1]</sup> Since first described in 2001, and with the subsequent development of CuAAC in 2002, it has had a profound impact on modern science with wide application in fields as diverse as materials science, chemical biology and drug discovery, to name a few.<sup>[2]</sup>

The recent development of Sulfur-Fluoride Exchange (SuFEx) in 2014, represents a new generation of near-perfect metal-free click chemistry transformations.<sup>[3]</sup> SuFEx exploits the unique balance between stability and reactivity of high oxidation state sulfur-fluoride functionalities (e.g. sulfonyl fluorides), which unlike their S-Cl counterparts are resilient to reductive collapse, leaving a clear pathway for S-F exchange. Key to SuFEx reactivity is the special ability of fluoride ion to transit from a strong covalent bond to a leaving group—assisted by interactions with "H\*" or "R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>" in close under strict kinetic and spatial constraints catalyzed by suitable nitrogen Lewis bases (e.g. Et<sub>3</sub>N, DBU)<sup>[3,4]</sup> and is also thought to involve bifluoride counterion species.<sup>[5]</sup>

- [a] Dr. C. J. Smedley, A. Molino, Dr. H. M. Duivenvoorden, Dr. B. S. Parker, Dr. D. J. D. Wilson and Prof. Dr. J. E. Moses La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University Melbourne, VIC 3086, Australia E-mail: J.Moses@latrobe.edu.au
- [b] Q. Zheng, Dr. B. Gao and Prof. Dr. K. B. Sharpless Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA
- [c] Dr. S. Li, School of Chemistry, Sun Yat-Sen University 135 Xingang Xi Road, Guangzhou 510275, P.R. China

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.



Figure 1. A) Connective SuFEx hubs; B) Drugs containing the trifluoromethyl functionality; C) SuFEx trifluoromethylation overview.

Under these conditions, the S-F exchange with nucleophiles such as aryl silyl ethers and amines reliably give stable S-O and S-N bonds, respectively, rendering SuFEx ideal for a wealth of applications.<sup>[6]</sup>

The availability of SuFExable hubs for creating new linkages is key to driving the click chemistry agenda. These include the connective gases sulfuryl fluoride  $(SO_2F_2)^{[3]}$  and thionyl tetrafluoride  $(O=SF_4)$ ,<sup>[7]</sup> that allow modules to be united through a single sulfur hub by nucleophilic exchange and the sulfonyl fluoride based connectors ethenesulfonyl fluoride  $(ESF)^{[3,8]}$  and 1-bromoethene-1-sulfonyl fluoride (BESF),<sup>[9]</sup> which offer additional connective pathways through 1,4-addition and cycloaddition chemistry (Figure 1A).

Expanding the repertoire of available SuFEx transformations, we report herein the development of a straightforward and efficient SuFEx trifluoromethylation protocol for the incorporation of the  $-CF_3$  group into biologically relevant molecules. The method exploits the stability and tolerance of SuFExable sulfonyl fluorides and iminosulfur oxydifluorides enabling late-stage S-F exchange. Using a combination of trifluoromethyltrimethylsilane (Ruppert's reagent, TMSCF<sub>3</sub>) and bifluoride, the new SuFEx protocol delivers pharmaceutically

#### COMMUNICATION

relevant triflones and bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines in excellent yields and rarely requires chromatographic purification.

This is significant because fluorine is an important hydrogen bioisostere-the selective incorporation of fluorine rich functionalities into therapeutic or diagnostic small molecules can impart many desirable pharmacokinetic and physicochemical properties, e.g. metabolic stability, increased lipophilicity, enhanced binding interaction and efficacy.<sup>[10,11]</sup> Several major pharmaceutical drugs contain -CF3 groups (e.g. the proton-pump inhibitor lansoprazole; and the anti-cancer drug sorafenib (Figure and there is increasing demand for novel 1B)), trifluoromethylation protocols, including through direct nucleophilic or electrophilic addition, radical and organometallic methodologies.<sup>[10]</sup>

The development of a SuFEx trifluoromethylation protocol through the S-F to S-CF<sub>3</sub> exchange is highly desirable for a number of reasons: 1) sulfur bound-CF<sub>3</sub> has significant potential in drug development, exemplified by the triflone containing navitoclax—an experimental anti-cancer drug (Figure 1B);<sup>[12]</sup> 2) compared to the more common S-Cl functionality, S-F bonds are stable and would allow later-stage functionalization in synthetic schemes;<sup>[13]</sup> and 3) it would enable exploration of new and unprecedented sulfur bound-CF<sub>3</sub> functionalities like bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines—a novel class of fluorine-rich substrate that have scarcely been reported (Figure 1C).

We first explored the SuFEx conversion of sulfonyl fluorides with TMSCF<sub>3</sub> to give the corresponding triflones. This transformation, and related,<sup>[14]</sup> have been reported with moderate success using TMSCF<sub>3</sub> and TBAF, although due to the inevitable presence of water in the reagent mixture, the nature of the fluoride is uncertain because TBAF samples are almost always hydrated. This results in the formation of bifluoride (HF2<sup>-</sup>), hydroxide (OH<sup>-</sup>) as well as fluoride ions; hence an excess of TMSCF<sub>3</sub> is often required to compensate for reagent decomposition.[15] We anticipated that anhydrous conditions with a clean source of bifluoride ion would benefit the SuFEx the trifluoromethylation process. A reaction screen was performed with 4-toluenesulfonyl fluoride and potassium bifluoride (KFHF) as a SuFEx catalyst (SI, T1). A low loading (1 mol%) of KFHF was found to be satisfactory when used in combination with 1 equiv of TMSCF<sub>3</sub> in anhydrous DMSO.<sup>[16]</sup> The optimized reaction conditions were compatible with a wide range of substrates (Scheme 1, 6a-6n),<sup>[17]</sup> giving excellent yields with sterically hindered (6c and 6d) and electron-rich (**6h**). Despite 🔺 several substrates attempts, monotrifluormethylation of benzene-1,2-disulfonyl difluoride (5m) gave the product 6m in only 30% yield, along with unreacted starting material,[18a] whereas double trifluoromethylation of 5n gave the corresponding 1,3-bis((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)benzene 6n in 66% yield.<sup>[18b]</sup> The protocol is also amenable to gram scale synthesis (e.g. 6k) without compromising the yield.

The SuFEx trifluoromethylation protocol with iminosulfur oxydifluorides to access the scarcely known bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines **3** was next explored.<sup>[7]</sup>We find only 9 examples of related bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine (**3**) compounds reported in the literature;<sup>[19]</sup> each synthesized primarily by the alkylation of bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine ((CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S(O)=NH (**1**), or the silver salt (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S(O)=NAg (**2**) (Figure 1C).<sup>[19a]</sup> A selection of iminosulfur oxydifluorides (**4a-r**) were prepared from the reaction of O=SF<sub>4</sub> gas with the corresponding



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of triflones; [a] Isolated yields, reactions performed on 1.3 mmol of the sulfonyl fluoride; [b] 5 mol% KFHF used; [c] 20 mol% KFHF and 1.2 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub> used; [d] Reaction performed on 3.5 mmol of the sulfonyl fluoride, 1.0 h reaction time; [e] 40 mol% KFHF and 2.9 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub>.

primary amines under SuFEx conditions.[7] Using a modified protocol with a slight excess of TMSCF<sub>3</sub> (2.2 equiv), full consumption of the iminosulfur oxydifluoride starting materials was observed (determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR), giving the target bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine products 3a-r in mostly excellent yields (Scheme 2). The protocol is compatible with a wide array of iminosulfur oxydifluorides, including aromatic (3a-j) and benzyl (3k-I) substrates, while in the case of 4ethynylbenzeneiminosulfur oxydifluoride, trimethylsilylation of the terminal alkyne also occurred to give the bis-trifluoromethylated product 3c. Diminished yields were observed with substrates comprising electrophilic groups (e.g. Starting materials containing an electrophilic ketones 3g and 3r) due to competing addition reactions (See SI). However, simple functional group protection circumvents this issue, with the corresponding ketal trifluoromethylation product (3h) isolated in excellent yield. Aliphatic substrates (3m-r) were also compatible, including compounds with a high density of heteroatoms (3o-q).<sup>[20]</sup>

Until very recently the mechanism of anion-initiated trifluoromethylation remained unclear, with previous mechanistic proposals suggesting the involvement of both siliconate and carbanion pathways.<sup>[21]</sup>

A comprehensive mechanistic study by Lloyd-Jones and coworkers on the trifluoromethylation of ketones and aldehydes with TMSCF<sub>3</sub> has resolved the siliconate-carbanion dichotomy.<sup>[22]</sup> A combination of stopped-flow NMR/IR studies and DFT calculations were employed to discern that the direct transfer of CF<sub>3</sub> from siliconate species to carbonyl electrophiles is kinetically prohibited due to the very high barrier of inversion for the <sup>-</sup>CF<sub>3</sub> anion, which subsequently necessitates involvement of the "free" <sup>-</sup>CF<sub>3</sub> anion rather than a siliconate-CF<sub>3</sub> species. The calculations

#### COMMUNICATION



Scheme 2. Synthesis of bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines; [a] Isolated yields from the conversion of iminosulfur oxydifluorides 4 to the bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine products 3, reactions performed on 0.25 mmol of the iminosulfur oxydifluoride; [b] Terminal alkyne of iminosulfur oxydifluoride used; [c] Total of 21 mol% KFHF and 4.4 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub> used, 1.5 h; [d] 10 mol% KFHF used; [e] Total of 11% KFHF used, 2 h; [f] 4.4 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub> used; [g] Total of 21 mol% KFHF and 4.4 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub> used, 3.0 h; [h] Total of 21 mol% KFHF and 6.6 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub>, 5.0 h; [i] 11% of by product observed (See SI).

also indicate that reactions with ketones and aldehydes proceed via a lower-barrier process involving attack of the electrophile by a "free"  $^{-}CF_3$  anion arising from rapid and reversible CF<sub>3</sub> dissociation from the siliconate.<sup>[22]</sup>

To date, the pathways empowering SuFEx catalysis remain a matter of conjecture, although interactions with "H<sup>+</sup>" or "R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup>"in the SuFEx transition state have been suggested.<sup>[3]</sup> Here we tentatively propose a bifluoride initiated pathway (Scheme 3) on the basis of theoretical calculations. Initial formation of a siliconate complex (7) occurs through the reaction of bifluoride and TMSCF<sub>3</sub> to release fluoroform ( $\Delta G$  = -102 kJ/mol). The siliconate complex reacts with a second TMSCF<sub>3</sub> molecule to form the readily reported siliconate species (10) and TMSF (9) with  $\Delta G$  of -12 kJ/mol. Reversible dissociation of CF<sub>3</sub> from the siliconate (10) gives the necessary "free" CF<sub>3</sub> anion (11) and TMSF (9), followed by nucleophilic attack of 11 at the activated electrophilic sulfur center to yield a five-coordinate sulfur intermediate (13) with  $\Delta G$ 



**Scheme 3.** Proposed mechanism for the bifluoride catalyzed transformation of sulfonyl fluorides to triflones with calculated free energies ( $\Delta G$ ).

of -47 kJ/mol. Dissociation of the fluoride reforms the siliconate complex (7), releasing the triflone product (14) and regenerating the proposed catalytically active species, difluorotrimethylsilicate with  $\Delta G$  of -30 kJ/mol.

To demonstrate the utility of SuFEx trifluoromethylation to a functional, biologically relevant compound, and to probe the biocompatibility of the underexplored bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine functional group, the benzothiazole derived bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine 3i was synthesized from the corresponding iminosulfur oxydifluoride 4i (Scheme 2). Benzothiazole compounds have been shown to possess significant anti-cancer activity, operating via a complex mechanism that culminates in the formation of reactive nitrenium species, which themselves form DNA adducts ultimately leading to cell death.<sup>[23]</sup> The in vitro bioactivity of 3i was examined against MCF7 breast cancer and MCF10A mammary epithelial cells revealing a significant degree of selectivity towards the cancerous cells with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.60  $\mu$ M against MCF7 (Figure 2A). In contrast, at the concentration range utilized, only 57% cell death was observed for MCF10A and therefore the IC<sub>50</sub> would exceed 50  $\mu$ M when higher concentrations are administered (Figure 2A). Fluorescence imaging clearly shows uptake of compound 3i in both MCF7 and MCF10A cells (Figure 2B). The inclusion of the -CF<sub>3</sub> group through the bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine may also offer significant potential in cases where the modification of lipophilic properties (CLogP) is required, for example the CLogP of 3i is 4.97, which is a dramatic increase compared to the parent aniline and 4i with CLogP values of 3.76 and 3.27 respectively.<sup>[24]</sup> Collectively, for the first time, these results demonstrate the potential of bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines in a biological setting, which may offer significant benefits in future drug discovery and optimization studies where biocompatible fluorine rich functionalities are desirable.

#### COMMUNICATION



Figure 2. A) MCF7 breast cancer cells and MCF10A breast cells were treated for 72 h with 3i. Cell viability was assessed by an MTT assay; B) 3i was dosed to breast normal (MCF10A) or cancer (MCF7) cells following 24 h of growth. Fifty minutes after compound addition fluorescent images were acquired. Scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient and robust bifluoride ion catalyzed SuFEx click chemistry protocol for the synthesis of triflones, and previously underrepresented bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines. The reactions are fast, high yielding and proceed with low sub-stoichiometric quantities of bifluoride catalyst KFHF. We propose a tentative mechanism involving bifluoride activation of TMSCF3 to produce the necessary "free"  ${}^{-}CF_3$  anion, yielding a five-coordinate sulfur intermediate that weakens the S-F bond allowing dissociation of fluoride to reform the siliconate intermediate (7). With increasing interest into methods for installing trifluoromethyl functionality into drugs and drug candidates, we believe that the new SuFEx protocol will find wide application in drug discovery, as exemplified through the synthesis of the bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine 3i-a benzothiazole derived compound with selective cytotoxicity activity against MCF7 breast cancer cells.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the ARC for support through a Future Fellowship (JEM; FT170100156), and the National Institutes of Health for financial support (KBS; NIH R01GM117145).

**Keywords:** SuFEx • click chemistry • trifluoromethylation • bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimine • bifluoride mediated

- H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2004–2021;
- a) V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin, K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2002**, *41*, 2596–2599; b) C. W. Tornøe, C. Christensen, M. Meldal, *J. Org. Chem.*, **2002**, *67*, 3057–3064; c) J. E. Moses, A. D. Moorhouse, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2007**, *36*, 1249–1262.
- [3] J. Dong, L. Krasnova, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9430–9448.
- [4] V. Gembus, F. Marsais, V. Levacher, *Synlett*, **2008**, 1463–1466.
- [5] B. Gao, L. Zhang, Q. Zheng, F. Zhou, L. M. Klivansky, J. Lu, Y. Liu, J. Dong, P. Wu, K. B. Sharpless, *Nat. Chem.*, **2017**, *9*, 1083–1088.

- [6] P. K. Chinthakindi, P. I Arvidsson, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2018, 3648-3666.
- [7] S. Li, P. Wu, J. E. Moses, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 2903–2908.
- [8] a) J. J. Krutak, R. D. Burpitt, W. H. Moore, J. A. Hyatt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1979, 44, 3847–3858; b) Q. Zheng, J. Dong, K. B. Sharpless, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, *81*, 11360–11362.
- a) C. J. Smedley, M.-C. Giel, A. Molino, A. S. Barrow, D. J. D. Wilson, J. E. Moses, *Chem. Commun.*, **2018**, *54*, 6020–6023; b) J. Leng, H. L. Qin, *Chem. Commun.*, **2018**, *54*, 4477–4480; c) J. Thomas, V. V Fokin, *Org. Lett.*, **2018**, *20*, 3749–3752.
- [10] a) X. Yang, T. Wu, R. J. Phipps, F. D. Toste, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, *115*, 826–870; b) X. Xu, K. Matsuzaki, N. Shibata, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, *115*, 731–764; c) T. Liang, C. N. Neumann, T. Ritter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, *52*, 8214–8264; d) Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Acenã, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa, H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, *116*, 422–518; e) P. Beier, M. Zibinski, G. K. S. Prakash, Nucleophilic Addition of Perfluoroalkyl Groups. In Organic Reactions; S. E. Denmark *et al*, Eds.; Wiley VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2016; Vol. 91, 1–738; f) X. Liu, C. Xu, M. Wang, Q. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, *115*, 683–730; g) R. Kowalczyk, A. J. F. Edmunds, R. G. Hall, C. Bolm, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, *13*, 768–771; h) D. Chen, C. Ni, Y. Zhao, X. Cai, X. Li, P. Xiao, J. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, *55*, 12632-12636.
- [11] a) S. Swallow, *Prog. Med. Chem.*, **2015**, *54*, 65–133; b) J. Wang, J. Luis,
  C. Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok, H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, **2014**, *114*, 2432–2506.
- [12] L. Gandhi, D. R. Camidge, M. R. De Oliveira, P. Bonomi, D. Gandara, D. Khaira, C. L. Hann, E. M. McKeegan, E. Litvinovich, P. M. Hemken *et al.*, *J. Clin. Oncol.*, **2011**, *29*, 909–916.
- [13] a) A. S. Barrow, J. E. Moses, *Synlett*, **2016**, 27, 1840–1843; b) B. Gao,
  S. Li, P. Wu, J. E. Moses, K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2018**, 57, 1939–1943.
- [14] a) A. A. Kolomeitsev, V. N. Movchun, N. V. Kondratenko, Y. L. Yagupolski, *Synthesis*, **1990**, 1151–1152; b) S. Okusu, K. Hirano, E. Tokunaga, N. Shibata, *ChemistryOpen*, **2015**, *4*, 581–585.
- [15] a) G. K. S. Prakash, A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *57*, 757-786; b) Moderately improved yields have been achieved by Yagupolski and coworkers (see reference 11a) using catalytic quantities of anhydrous TASF (10 mol%) and 2 equivalents of TMSCF<sub>3</sub>, although with only a very small substrate scope.
- [16] a) When reagent grade DMSO was used no conversion to the desired product was observed (SI, T1); b) We observed that anhydrous polar aprotic solvents were critical for the reaction (presumably due in-part to the improved solubility of the catalyst), with DMSO identified as the solvent of choice to ensure full conversion to the target products in under 30 min (SI, T1); c) The reaction with potassium fluoride in DMSO was unsuccessful.
- [17] The optimal conditions for the procedure were found to be: 1 eq. sulfonyl fluoride, 1 eq. TMSCF<sub>3</sub>, 1 mol% KFHF in anhydrous DMSO for 30 min;
- [18] a) The formation of the bis-trifluoromethylated analogue of 6m was not observed. Addition of excess TMSCF<sub>3</sub> led to a complex and unidentified mixture; b) The product 6n was found to be relatively unstable and slowly decomposed.
- [19] a) J. M. Shreeve, D. T. Sauer, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1972**, *11*, 238–242; b) S. D. Morse, J. M. Shreeve, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1977**, *16*, 33–35.
- [20] For substrates 4f, 4h, 4i, 4q and 4r, increased quantities of KFHF and/or TMSCF<sub>3</sub> were required to obtain full conversion; b) Secondary amides were found to be incompatible under the reaction conditions.
- [21] a) I. Tworowska, W. Dabkowski, J. Michalski, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2001**, *40*, 2898–2900; b) S. E. Denmark, G. L. Buetner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2008**, *47*, 1560–1638; c) H. J. Reich, Mechanism of C-Si Bond Cleavage Using Lewis Bases ( $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$ ). In Lewis Base Catalysis in Organic Synthesis, 1st ed.; E. Vedejs, S. E. Denmark., Eds.; Wiley VCH: Weinheim, Germany, **2016**; Vol. 1, 251–253.
- [22] C. P. Johnston, T. H. West, R. E. Dooley, M. Reid, A. B. Jones, E. J. King, A. G. Leach, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2018**, *140*, 11112–11124.

#### COMMUNICATION

- [23] a) I. Hutchinson, M. S. Chua, H. L. Browne, V. Trapani, T. D. Bradshaw,
  A. D. Westwell, M. F. G. Stevens, *J. Med. Chem.*, **2001**, *44*, 1446–1455;
  b) T. Bradshaw, A. Westwell, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, **2004**, *11*, 1009–1021.
- [24] CLogP values were calculated using ChemDraw Professional 17.0, **2018** PerkinElmer Informatics, Inc.

#### COMMUNICATION

#### COMMUNICATION



An expedient SuFEx trifluoromethylation of sulfonyl fluorides and iminosulfur oxyfluorides is described. The efficient S-F exchange with Ruppert's reagent (TMSCF<sub>3</sub>) is initiated by sub-stoichiometric quantities of bifluoride ion [FHF]<sup>-</sup> in anhydrous DMSO. DFT studies provide insight into a tentatively proposed catalytic cycle involving a five-coordinate sulfur intermediate and siliconate species. The selective anti-cancer properties of previously inaccessible bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfur oxyimines was also demonstrated.

C. J. Smedley, Q. Zheng, B. Gao, S. Li, A. Molino, H. M. Duivenvoorden, B. S. Parker, D. J. D. Wilson, K. B. Sharpless and J. E. Moses\*

#### Page No. – Page No.

Bifluoride Ion Mediated SuFEx Trifluoromethylation of Sulfonyl Fluorides and Iminosulfur Oxydifluorides