

Iron-Catalyzed C–H Borylation of Arenes

Thomas Dombray,[†] C. Gunnar Werncke,^{‡,§} Shi Jiang,[†] Mary Grellier,^{‡,§} Laure Vendier,^{‡,§} Sébastien Bontemps,^{*,‡,§} Jean-Baptiste Sortais,^{*,†} Sylviane Sabo-Etienne,^{*,‡,§} and Christophe Darcel^{*,†}

[†]Team Organometallics: Materials and Catalysis, Centre for Catalysis and Green Chemistry, Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS—Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 263 av. du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France [‡]Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination (LCC), CNRS, 205 route de Narbonne, BP 44099, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France [§]Université de Toulouse, UPS, INPT, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Well-defined iron bis(diphosphine) complexes are active catalysts for the dehydrogenative C–H borylation of aromatic and heteroaromatic derivatives with pinacolborane. The corresponding borylated compounds were isolated in moderate to good yields (25-73%) with a 5 mol% catalyst loading under UV irradiation (350 nm) at room temperature. Stoichiometric reactivity studies and isolation of an original *trans*-hydrido(boryl)iron complex, Fe(H)(Bpin)(dmpe)₂, allowed us to propose a mechanism showing the role of some key catalytic species.

C elective carbon-hydrogen bond activation and functional-Dization is highly attractive to provide more complex molecules by building C-C and C-heteroatom bonds in an eco-friendly manner.¹ Of particular interest is the borylation of arenes and heteroarenes, which represents one of the most elegant ways to obtain (hetero)-arylboronate esters, versatile synthons for Suzuki–Miyaura reactions.² Very efficient catalytic borylation processes have been developed using noble-metalbased catalysts such as iridium and rhodium complexes.² With earth-abundant transition metals as catalysts, borylation has been performed with electrophilic halide derivatives,³ and recently Chirik et al. achieved efficient catalyzed arene borylations via C–H activation using a pincer cobalt complex.⁴ In the case of iron, which has become a valuable alternative to precious transition metals in homogeneous catalysis,⁵ achieving such a transformation remains challenging. Despite early stoichiometric studies by Hartwig et al. on the ability of iron carbonyl complexes such as CpFe(Bcat)(CO)₂ to mediate borylation of arenes under photochemical conditions,^{2e,6} the main difficulty appears to be the regeneration of the active species after B-C coupling. Two catalytic iron systems, involving stoichiometric amounts either of hydrogen acceptor⁷ or base and oxidant,⁸ were reported before the recent disclosure by Mankad et al. of the activity of bimetallic complexes (Cu-Fe and Zn-Fe) under photochemical conditions (450-W Hg lamp).⁹ However, dehydrogenative C-H borylation with a mononuclear iron catalyst, without any additives, has never been reported.

To tackle this domain,¹⁰ we considered bis(diphosphine) iron dihydride complexes as promising catalyst precursors.^{11,12} Indeed, in-depth studies by Field and Perutz et al. have shown the ability of such complexes to activate C–H bonds,¹³ whereas analogous ruthenium complexes can notably activate hydroboranes.¹⁴ Complexes of the type $Fe(X)_2(L)_2$ (X = H, Me; L = dppe, dmpe; complexes 1–3) have been tested as catalyst (5 mol%) for the borylation of ethylbenzene with HBpin under UV irradiation at 350 nm at room temperature for 72 h in neat conditions (Scheme 1). While complex 1, featuring diaryl-

Scheme 1. Iron-Catalyzed Borylation of Ethylbenzene^a



[&]quot;Experimental conditions: EtPh (1.5 mmol). Reported values are % isolated yields. Product ratios were determined by ¹H NMR. dppe = bis(diphenylphosphinoethane); dmpe = bis(dimethylphosphinoethane).

phosphine moieties, failed to catalyze the reaction,¹⁵ the dihydride complex **2**, bearing more basic alkyl substituents, afforded the borylarene compound in 52% isolated yield of a 68:32 mixture of *meta* and *para* derivatives, respectively. Interestingly, when the dimethyliron analogue $Fe(Me)_2$ -(dmpe)₂ (3)¹⁶ was used as a catalyst precursor, the isolated yield increased to 73%, with the same *meta:para* ratio. Control experiments showed the necessity of UV irradiation, as no coupling product was detected when the reaction was performed in toluene up to 100 °C, under visible light conditions or in the dark.^{17,18}

Given the better yield obtained with complex 3, and its higher stability compared to the dihydride analogue 2, we probed the scope of the reaction with 3 as catalyst precursor (Scheme 2). Isolated yields ranging from 34 to 73% were obtained for the alkyl-substituted arenes, whereas evaluation of the crude reaction mixture by NMR spectroscopy showed higher yields.^{18a} Only in the case of toluene, trace amounts of tol(Bpin)₂ were detected by GC-MS analysis. We also probed the tolerance toward ethers and amino groups. Anisole can be

Received: January 27, 2015



"Reported values are % isolated yields. Product ratios were determined by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR.

borylated in a modest isolated yield (25%), whereas dimethylamino-containing arenes, namely *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline and *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine, can be efficiently borylated in 57% isolated yield (75% in the crude mixture for *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline). Overall, the observed regioselectivities (*meta* > *para* \gg *ortho*) correspond to the trend commonly observed in transition metal C–H borylation.^{2c}

The catalytic performance of 3 was then evaluated with heterocyclic derivatives (Scheme 3). Starting from 2-methyl-

Scheme 3. Catalytic Borylation of Heterocycles Using $Fe(Me)_2(dmpe)_2 (3)^a$



^aReported values are % isolated yields. Product ratios were determined by ¹H NMR.

furan, the corresponding 4-Bpin-2-methylfuran and 5-Bpin-2methylfuran were obtained in an overall 67% isolated yield in a ratio of 18:82. 1-Benzofurane led to the corresponding 2-Bpin-1-benzofurane in 35% yield. When starting from 3-methylthiophene, only 8% of a borylated compound was obtained, while no reaction took place with 2-methylthiophene. By contrast with iridium- and cobalt-catalyzed reactions,^{2,4} no coupling product was detected with pyridine derivatives.

Having established that 2 and 3 serve as catalyst precursors, we sought mechanistic information. We first examined the reactivity of the iron complexes with pinacolborane. To our delight, both the dihydride and dimethyl complexes reacted with HBpin, under irradiation, to afford the new hydrido-(boryl)iron complex $Fe(H)(Bpin)(dmpe)_2$ (4), as evidenced by spectroscopic and X-ray diffraction studies. In solution, two isomers in a 1:1 ratio, 4-*trans* and 4-*cis*, were identified by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4). Complex 4-*trans* is characterized by

Scheme 4. Synthesis of the Hydrido(boryl)iron Complex 4



a hydride signal in ¹H NMR at -12.75 ppm (q, $J_{HP} = 42$ Hz) and a sharp doublet in ³¹P NMR (77.7 ppm, J_{HP} = 40 Hz). Variable-temperature ¹H and ³¹P NMR analyses indicate that complex 4-cis is highly fluxional, showing at 213 K a multiplet at -13.6 ppm in ¹H NMR and four signals at 77.3, 76.2, 59.9, and 58.4 ppm in ³¹P{¹H} NMR, interpreted as an AA'MN secondorder system by NMR data simulation.^{18a} These features are similar to those of the ruthenium analogues cis- and trans- $Ru(H)(Bpin)(dmpe)_2$, which were also obtained under UV irradiation.^{14a} The solution IR spectrum displays two bands at 1684 and 1797 cm⁻¹, assigned to the Fe-H stretching frequencies of 4-trans and 4-cis, respectively, by comparison with DFT calculations conducted on both isomers ($\nu_{calc,Fe-Htrans}$ = 1718 cm⁻¹; $\nu_{calc,Fe-Hcis}$ = 1856 cm⁻¹).^{18a} When the 4-trans and 4-cis solution was evaporated to dryness, the resulting solid was identified as solely 4-trans ($\nu_{\text{Fe-H}} = 1671 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; ¹H and ³¹P solid-state NMR resonances at -13 and 78 ppm, respectively). When complex 4-trans was redissolved, signals for 4-cis and 4trans were observed in an identical equimolar ratio as a result of fast isomerization in solution.

We were able to obtain crystals of 4-*trans* suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1, left). The iron center adopts an



Figure 1. X-ray diffraction structure of 4-*trans* (left), and DFT/ B3PW91-D3BJ calculated geometry (right). Hydrogen atoms, except the hydride, were omitted for clarity.

octahedral geometry, with the four phosphorus atoms located in the equatorial plane. The Fe–B distance of 2.024(4) Å is in the range of iron–boryl bonds,¹⁹ slightly shorter than the Fe– Bpin distance (2.065(3) Å) recently reported for the iron(I) boryl complex Fe(Bpin)(dpbz)₂,^{3e} and slightly longer than in the series of CpFe(Bpin)(PR₃)₂ complexes (1.996(3)– 2.002(10) Å).²⁰ The hydride has been localized by Fourier difference map analysis with a Fe–H distance of 1.63(8) Å, and DFT calculation ascertained the hydrogen location, with d_{calc} (Fe–H) = 1.561 Å (Figure 1, right).

We then conducted a series of experiments to gain more information on the role of 2, 3, and 4 using multinuclear ¹H, ²H, ³¹P, and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy: (i) Monitoring the catalytic borylation reaction using 2 or 3 as catalyst precursors, in C_7D_8 or C_6D_6 , respectively, showed the formation of the corresponding borylated product and the coexistence of 2, 4 (major species), and unknown organometallic species together with evolution of H₂ and HD (Figures S17-S19). (ii) When HBpin was added to a C₆D₆ solution of 3, monitoring the reaction without irradiation at room temperature for 3 days led to the detection of the hydrido(boryl) complex 4 in 15% conversion. In the absence of any arene, addition of neat HBpin to 3 and irradiation resulted in the formation of complex 4 together with Me-Bpin, as detected by the ¹¹B NMR signal at +33.9 ppm.²¹ (iii) We checked that isolated complex 4 catalyzes the borylation of ethylbenzene in the standard conditions (isolated yield of EtC_6H_4Bpin , 45% with a m/p ratio of 68/32). (iv) At the stoichiometric level, complex 4, which is stable in C_6D_6 without irradiation, undergoes B-C coupling upon irradiation, and the deuterated complexes FeH(D)(dmpe)₂ $(2_{\rm D})$ and FeD(C₆D₅)(dmpe)₂ ($5_{\rm D}$) as well as evolution of HD and H₂ were detected (Figures S20, S21). When 4 was dissolved in 30 equiv of DBpin, upon irradiation, scrambling resulted in the formation of $FeD(Bpin)(dmpe)_2$ (4_D) and HBpin (Figures S22, S23). (v) Finally, the hydrido(aryl)iron complex $FeH(C_6H_5)(dmpe)_2$ (5), in situ generated by the reaction of 2 with benzene at room temperature under irradiation,^{13a} reacted with HBpin in the absence of irradiation, and a mixture of 2 and 4 was characterized (Figures S24-S27; a small amount of the B–C product was detected by GC). This is in agreement with the reported reversibility of C-H arene activation at [Fe(dmpe)₂].¹³

On the basis of all these data, we propose a mechanism for the iron-catalyzed borylation of arenes under irradiation, which is illustrated in Scheme 5. The dihydride complex 2 affords the related Fe(0) compound under irradiation.^{13c} This highly reactive species can react with HBpin to generate the hydrido(boryl) complex 4 (the observed H/D scrambling experiments indicate that oxidative addition of HBpin to the iron(0) species Fe(dmpe)₂ is reversible under irradiation) or

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for the Iron-Catalyzed Borylation of Arenes under UV Irradiation



with the arene to afford the aryl hydride intermediate 5, which can react with HBpin to produce 4. The hydrido(boryl) complex 4 can then react with an incoming arene to afford ultimately B–C coupling and restore the dihydride complex 2. At this stage, direct formation of Ar–Bpin by the reaction of the hydrido(aryl) complex 5 with HBpin under irradiation cannot be ruled out.²² When the dimethyl complex 3 was used,¹⁷ its reaction with HBpin under irradiation afforded the hydrido(boryl) complex 4, which can lead to the coupling product or to the transient Fe(0) species.

In summary, we report the first dehydrogenative borylation of (hetero)arenes catalyzed by iron without the need for any additives, although this method led to lower yields in comparison to previously described Ir-, Rh-, and Co-catalyzed borylations. Our system operates at ambient temperature under UV irradiation (350 nm) with an electron-rich iron catalyst. We could isolate and characterize the first hydrido(boryl)iron complex and prove its involvement in the catalytic process. Studies of the scope of these findings are currently underway in our laboratories.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details, NMR and IR data, DFT calculations, and crystallographic data for 4 (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- *sebastien.bontemps@lcc-toulouse.fr
- *jean-baptiste.sortais@univ-rennes1.fr
- *sylviane.sabo@lcc-toulouse.fr
- *christophe.darcel@univ-rennes1.fr

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the CNRS and the ANR agency (program blanc 12-BS07-0011-01 "Ironhyc"). C.D. and J.-B.S. thank the University of Rennes 1. Dr. Christian Bijani (LCC) is warmly acknowledged for NMR analyses. Computer time was given by the HPC resources of CALMIP (Toulouse, France) under the allocation 2014-[P0909].

REFERENCES

(1) Selected reviews: (a) Ritleng, V.; Sirlin, C.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1731. (b) Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 369. (c) Rouquet, G.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11726.

(2) For leading reviews, see: (a) Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 890.
(b) Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 680, 3.
(c) Hartwig, J. F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1992. (d) Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 1369. (e) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 45, 864. (f) Miyaura, N. In Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions, 2nd ed.; de Meijere, A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; pp 125–162. (g) Suzuki, A. In Boronic Acids; Hall, D. G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; pp 123–170.

(3) For recent C-X borylations based on iron and other earth-abundant metals, see: (a) Labre, F.; Gimbert, Y.; Bannwarth, P.; Olivero, S.; Duñach, E.; Chavant, P. Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2366.
(b) Atack, T. C.; Lecker, R. M.; Cook, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9521. (c) Bose, S. K.; Marder, T. B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4562.
(d) Bose, S. K.; Fucke, K.; Liu, L.; Steel, P. G.; Marder, T. B. Angew.

Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, *53*, 1799. (e) Bedford, R. B.; Brenner, P. B.; Carter, E.; Gallagher, T.; Murphy, D. M.; Pye, D. R. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 5940.

(4) Obligacion, J. V.; Semproni, S. P.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4133.

(5) For representative recent reviews on iron catalysis, see: (a) Gopalaiah, K. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3248. (b) Bézier, D.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 19. (c) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293. (d) Czaplik, W. M.; Mayer, M.; Cvengroš, J.; von Wangelin, A. J. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 396. (e) Morris, R. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2282. (f) Bauer, E. B. Curr. Org. Chem. 2008, 12, 1341. (g) Sherry, B. D.; Fürstner, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1500. (h) Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217.

(6) (a) Waltz, K. M.; He, X.; Muhoro, C.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11357. (b) Waltz, K. M.; Muhoro, C. N.; Hartwig, J. F. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3383. (c) Webster, C. E.; Fan, Y.; Hall, M. B.; Kunz, D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 858.

(7) (a) Ohki, Y.; Hatanaka, T.; Tatsumi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17174. (b) Hatanaka, T.; Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K. Chem.—Asian J. 2010, 5, 1657.

(8) Yan, G.; Jiang, Y.; Kuang, C.; Wang, S.; Liu, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Chem. Commun. **2010**, *46*, 3170.

(9) (a) Mazzacano, T. J.; Mankad, N. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17258. Catalytic borylation under similar photochemical conditions was also described at rhenium: (b) Chen, H.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3391.

(10) For our interest in iron catalysis, borane activation, and hydride chemistry, see, for example: (a) Misal Castro, L. C.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 151. (b) Zheng, J.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. ChemCatChem. 2014, 6, 763. (c) Jiang, F.; Bézier, D.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 239. (d) Li, H.; Misal Castro, L. C.; Zheng, J.; Roisnel, T.; Dorcet, V.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8045. (e) Misal Castro, L. C.; Li, H.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10514. (f) Alcaraz, G.; Grellier, M.; Sabo-Etienne, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1640. (g) Bontemps, S.; Vendier, L.; Sabo-Etienne, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4419. (h) Cassen, A.; Gloaguen, Y.; Vendier, L.; Duhayon, C.; Poblador-Bahamonde, A.; Raynaud, C.; Clot, E.; Alcaraz, G.; Sabo-Etienne, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7569.

(11) (a) Meakin, P.; Muetterties, E. L.; Jesson, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1973, 95, 75. (b) Hata, G.; Kondo, H.; Miyake, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1968, 90, 2278. (c) Ikariya, T.; Yamamoto, A. J. Organomet. Chem.
1976, 118, 65. (d) Ittel, S. D.; Tolman, C. A.; Krusic, P. J.; English, A. D.; Jesson, J. P. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3432. (e) Maldotti, A.; Sostero, S.; Traverso, O. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 54, L271. (f) Azizian, H.; Morris, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 6.

(12) Misal Castro, L. C.; Bézier, D.; Sortais, J.-B.; Darcel, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 1279.

(13) (a) Baker, M. V.; Field, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7433.
(b) Baker, M. V.; Field, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2825.
(c) Whittlesey, M. K.; Mawby, R. J.; Osman, R.; Perutz, R. N.; Field, L.

D.; Wilkinson, M. P.; George, M. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8627.
(14) (a) Callaghan, P. L.; Fernández-Pacheco, R.; Jasim, N.; Lachaize,

(14) (a) Canagnan, T. L., Fernandez-Facheco, R., Jashi, N., Eachare, S.; Marder, T. B.; Perutz, R. N.; Rivalta, E.; Sabo-Etienne, S. *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 242. (b) Câmpian, M. V.; Perutz, R. N.; Procacci, B.; Thatcher, R. J.; Torres, O.; Whitwood, A. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 3480.

(15) This is likely the result of formation of the orthometalated $FeH(C_6H_4PPhCH_2CH_2PPh_2)(dppe)$, a known and stable complex we identified in the medium and which proved not to react with HBpin under our conditions: Maldotti, A.; Sostero, S.; Traverso, O.; Sima, J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1981**, *54*, L271. Azizian, H.; Morris, R. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 6.

(16) (a) Girolami, G. S.; Wilkinson, G.; Galas, A. M. R.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. *Dalton Trans.* **1985**, 1339. (b) Allen, A. R.; Dalgarno, S. J.; Field, L. D.; Jensen, P.; Turnbull, A. J.; Willis, A. C. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2092.

(17) When 3 was irradiated in C_6D_6 but without any pinacolborane, only *cis/trans* isomerization was observed. Apart from ca. 5% MeBpin which was detected consistently in all our experiments when starting from 3 (5 mol%), we never observed any aliphatic C–H borylation, neither of the alkyl arene substituents nor when performing the reaction in pentane.

(18) (a) See Supporting Information for details. (b) It is also worth noting that no coupling occurred when replacing HBpin by B_2pin_2 (bis(pinacolato)diboron) with precatalysts 2 and 3 under our standard conditions.

(19) (a) Braunschweig, H.; Dewhurst, R. D.; Schneider, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3924. (b) Irvine, G. J.; Lesley, M. J. G.; Marder, T. B.; Norman, N. C.; Rice, C. R.; Robins, E. G.; Roper, W. R.; Whittell, G. R.; Wright, L. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 2685.

(20) Mazzacano, T. J.; Mankad, N. P. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5379.

(21) Brown, H. C.; Park, W. S.; Cha, J. S.; Cho, B. T. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 337.

(22) Dissociation of one arm of dmpe is a likely event to provide a vacant site for B–C coupling, and we indeed found that conversion of HBpin was reduced to 20% when 10% dmpe was added to the catalytic mixture, with only 15% of EtC_6H_4Bpin produced.