

Peculiarities of C_{60}^{-} Coordination to Cobalt(II) Octaethylporphyrin in Ionic Multicomponent Complexes: Observation of the Reversible Formation of $Co-C(C_{60}^{-})$ Coordination Bonds

Dmitri V. Konarev,^{*,[a, b]} Salavat S. Khasanov,^[a, c] Akihiro Otsuka,^[d] Gunzi Saito,^{*,[a]} and Rimma N. Lyubovskaya^[b]

Abstract: Ionic multicomponent complexes containing the C_{60}^{-} anion, cobalt(II) octaethylporphyrin (OEP), and the noncoordinating tetramethylphosphonium cation (TMP^{+}), $[(TMP^{+})\{Co^{II}OEP(C_{60}^{-})\}(C_6H_5CN)_x(C_6H_4Cl_2)_{1-x}]$ ($x \approx 0.75$) (**1**), or the coordinating cation of *N*-methyl-diazabicyclooctane (MDABCO⁺), $[(MDABCO^{+})Co^{II}OEP(C_{60}^{-})-(C_6H_5CN)_x(C_6H_4Cl_2)_{1-x}]$ ($x \approx 0.67$) (**2**), were obtained. Diamagnetic σ -bonded

$\{Co^{II}OEP(C_{60}^{-})\}$ units in **1** have the $Co \cdots C(C_{60}^{-})$ distance of 2.268(1) Å at 100 K and are stable up to 290 K. Both MDABCO⁺ and C_{60}^{-} coordinate to $Co^{II}OEP$ in **2**. In this case, a noticeably longer $Co \cdots C(C_{60}^{-})$ distance of

2.508(4) Å was observed at 100 K. As a result, the unprecedented reversible formation of the $Co-C(C_{60}^{-})$ coordination σ bond is realized in **2** and is accompanied by a transition from a paramagnetic to a diamagnetic state in the 50–250 K range. It was shown, for the first time, that the $Co \cdots C$ distance of about 2.51 Å is a boundary distance below which the $Co-C(C_{60}^{-})$ coordination bond is formed.

Keywords: crystal engineering • donor–acceptor systems • fullerenes • porphyrinoids • solid-state structures

Introduction

Fullerene compounds with porphyrins and metalloporphyrins attract special attention because of their possible use as precursors for photovoltaic materials and models of artificial photosynthesis.^[1,2] Fullerenes were shown to cocrystallize

with metal octaethyl- and tetraarylporphyrins.^[3–7] For some of these cocrystals, weak metal–carbon(fullerene) bonding was found. For example, cobalt(II) octaethylporphyrin ($Co^{II}OEP$), cobalt(II) tetraphenylporphyrin ($Co^{II}TPP$), and iron(III) tetraphenylporphyrin form complexes with C_{60} with $M \cdots C(C_{60})$ contacts with lengths of 2.67–2.71, 2.63–3.11, and 2.69–2.70 Å, respectively.^[3–6] These contacts are noticeably shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of metal (M) and C atoms (> 3.1 Å), but are essentially longer than the length of a strong M–C bond, for example, in alkylcobalamins (1.99–2.03 Å^[8]). Nevertheless, this bonding orders the fullerene molecules in the complexes with metal octaethylporphyrins, and allows the molecular structures of some fullerene derivatives and endometallofullerenes to be studied.^[3,9,10] Essentially stronger bonding between $Co^{II}TPP$ and fullerene anions was observed in ionic multicomponent complexes, such as $[(Cr^I(C_6H_6)_2^{+})\{Co^{II}TPP(fullerene^{-})\}C_6H_4Cl_2]$ ($Cr(C_6H_6)_2$ = bis(benzene)chromium; fullerene = C_{60} and $C_{60}(CN)_2$) with the lengths of the $Co \cdots C(fullerene^{-})$ contacts being 2.28–2.32 Å. The coordination is realized by σ -type bonding, and most probably involves both electrons from the $Co^{II}TPP$ d_{z^2} orbital and the LUMO of C_{60}^{-} . The resulting $\{Co^{II}TPP(fullerene^{-})\}$ coordination units are diamagnetic.^[11] The $Co \cdots C(C_{60}^{-})$ σ bonds are still relatively weak com-

[a] Dr. D. V. Konarev, Dr. S. S. Khasanov, Prof. G. Saito
Division of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science
Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502 (Japan)
Fax: (+81) 75-753-40-35
E-mail: konarev@icp.ac.ru
saito@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[b] Dr. D. V. Konarev, Prof. R. N. Lyubovskaya
Institute of Problems of Chemical Physics RAS
Chernogolovka, Moscow region 142432 (Russia)
Fax: (+7) 496-515-54-20

[c] Dr. S. S. Khasanov
Institute of Solid State Physics RAS
Chernogolovka, Moscow region 142432 (Russia)

[d] Dr. A. Otsuka
Research Center for Low Temperature and Materials Sciences
Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502 (Japan)

Supporting information (IR and EPR data for complexes **1** and **2**; Table S1 and Figures S1–S4) for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.chemeurj.org/> or from the author.

pared with the strong Co–C bonds in alkylcobalamins, and their dissociation is possible. The changes in the degree of Co–C bonding can be monitored by using electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) and superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) techniques because two paramagnetic species are formed as a result of the dissociation. Complexes showing such dissociation can be interesting not only because of the reversible changes in their magnetic, conducting, and optical properties, but also because they can help to solve some fundamental problems of coordination and materials chemistries, one of which is how coordination bonds are formed and what limiting distances exist for such bonding. In $[(\text{TDAE}^+)(\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{TPP}(\text{C}_{60}^-))]$ (TDAE = tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene), partial dissociation of the σ -bonded diamagnetic $\{\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{TPP}(\text{C}_{60}^-)\}$ units is realized above 190 K and about 20% of the units dissociate at 290 K to form nonbonded paramagnetic $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{TPP}$ and $\text{C}_{60}^{\cdot-}$ species. As a result, the magnetic moment of the complex increases and the EPR signal broadens and shifts to larger g -factor values.^[12] However, the crystal structure of this complex is unknown and therefore prevents a study of the structural aspects of such reversible coordination. An important task of materials chemistry is the development of new methods for modifying the physical properties of compounds, and in this work we will demonstrate how a simple variation of the counteranions can drastically affect the properties of the resulting complexes.

In the present work, the crystals of two ionic multicomponent complexes, $[(\text{TMP}^+)(\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}(\text{C}_{60}^-))](\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN})_x(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2)_{1-x}$ ($x \approx 0.75$) (**1**) and $[(\text{MDABCO}^+)(\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}(\text{C}_{60}^-))](\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN})_x(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2)_{1-x}$ ($x \approx 0.67$) (**2**) (TMP^+ = tetramethylphosphonium cation and MDABCO^+ = cation of *N*-methyldiazabicyclooctane), were obtained by means of diffusion. This has allowed us, for the first time, to study the molecular structures and properties of the new and unusual coordination $\{\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}(\text{C}_{60}^-)\}$ assemblies and observe the reversible formation of the Co–C(C_{60}^-) coordination bonds.

Results and Discussion

The selective reduction of C_{60} by propanethiol in the presence of potassium carbonate has been carried out in polar DMSO and a DMSO/benzene mixture up to the -2 and -1 charged states of C_{60} , respectively.^[13] Recently, commercially available $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{SNa}$ has been used for the selective production of C_{60}^{2-} in acetonitrile.^[14] Stirring a solution of C_{60} in a less-polar *o*-dichlorobenzene/benzonitrile ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2/\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN}$, 19:1) mixture with a tenfold molar excess of $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{SNa}$ at 60°C provided selective reduction of C_{60} to $\text{C}_{60}^{\cdot-}$ radical anions according to the near-IR (NIR) spectrum of the solution. However, the reduction takes a relatively long time (more than 6 h), and because of the poor solubility of the sodium salt of C_{60} , it partially precipitates from solution. The addition of a fivefold molar excess of any organic $(\text{R}^+)(\text{Hal}^-)$ salt (Hal = halide; in this work, we used MDABCOI and TMPCl) in the reaction mixture de-

creased the reduction time to less than one hour. NaI and NaCl did not dissolve in the solvents used and precipitated, thus allowing the reaction of cationic metathesis to be realized during the fullerene reduction. $\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{SNa}$ and MDABCOI or TMPCl were also barely soluble in these solvents, and the excess of these salts was separated from the resulting solution by using filtration. $(\text{R}^+)(\text{C}_{60}^{\cdot-})$ salts are soluble in the solvents used here and could be further crystallized by the diffusion of hexane. The crystallization of these salts in the presence of $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}$ afforded multicomponent complexes **1** and **2** whose compositions were determined from elemental analysis and verified by using X-ray diffraction on single crystals.

Both complexes have similar crystal structures at 100 K. The main building blocks of **1** and **2** are shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Molecular structures of the σ -bonded $\{\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}(\text{C}_{60}^-)\}$ unit in **1** (left) and the $\{(\text{MDABCO}^+)(\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}(\text{C}_{60}^-))\}$ assembly in **2** (right). Only the major orientation for disordered C_{60}^- is shown for **1** and **2** and one orientation of disordered MDABCO^+ is shown for **2**.

The cations and the fullerene anions are positioned on opposite sides of the $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}$ macrocycle. All eight ethyl groups of $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}$ are directed towards the fullerene to form a bowl-shaped conformation, which corresponds well with the spherical shape of C_{60} . There is one short $\text{Co}\cdots\text{C}(\text{C}_{60}^-)$ contact length of $2.268(1)$ Å in **1** indicating the formation of a σ bond between $\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{OEP}$ and C_{60}^- . The distances between the Co atom and the carbon atoms closest to the coordinated carbon are longer (2.956 – 3.061 Å). The Co atom is shifted by $0.105(1)$ Å from the mean plane of the four nitrogen atoms towards the fullerene (the porphyrin macrocycle has a slightly concave conformation with a root mean square (rms) deviation of 0.090 Å). Previously described σ -bonded $\{\text{Co}^{\text{II}}\text{TPP}(\text{fullerene}^-)\}$ anions have close $\text{Co}\cdots\text{C}(\text{C}_{60}^-)$ distances of 2.28 – 2.32 Å and the Co atom deviates from the plane of the porphyrin macrocycle by $0.091(3)$ Å to $0.113(1)$ Å.^[11] The TMP^+ cation is located exactly above the Co atom (Figure 1, left). The shortest $\text{Co}\cdots\text{P}$ distance is 4.069 Å.

DABCO is a strongly coordinating bidentate ligand. The addition of one equivalent of CH_3I to DABCO affords a co-

ordinating monodentate MDABCO⁺ cation. The building block of **2** is similar to that of **1**. However, MDABCO⁺ additionally coordinates to Co^{II}OEP (the Co–N distance is 2.340(3) Å; Figure 1, right). This coordination weakens the Co...C(C₆₀[−]) bonding and the shortest Co...C distance in **2** noticeably elongates to 2.508(4) Å at 100 K. The Co atom is more strongly bound to MDABCO⁺ than to C₆₀[−] and, as a result, is slightly shifted (0.042(1) Å) from the mean plane of the four porphyrin nitrogen atoms towards the nitrogen atom from MDABCO⁺. The porphyrin macrocycle has the same concave conformation as in **1** with rms=0.102 Å. The C₆₀[−] anions are disordered in both **1** and **2** (see the Experimental Section) owing to their rotation about the coordination Co–C(C₆₀[−]) bond. The coordinated carbon atoms under such disorder are ordered in both complexes in a way that allows the Co...C(C₆₀[−]) distances to be determined. Weaker Co–C(C₆₀[−]) bonding in **2** provides a larger degree of C₆₀[−] disorder. Indeed, there are three orientations of C₆₀[−] in **2** with 0.4:0.3:0.3 occupancies and only two such orientations in **1** with 0.75:0.25 occupancies.

The fullerenes form zigzag one-dimensional chains along the *a* axis (Figure 2). The zigzag arrangement of the fullerenes produces cavities for small cations, which protrude into the fullerene chains. The center-to-center distances between C₆₀[−] in the chains are 10.026 Å in **1** and 10.297 Å in **2**. The van der Waals interfullerene C–C contacts of 3.195–3.562 Å are observed only in **1**, whereas in **2** the larger MDABCO⁺ cations force the C₆₀[−] units apart from each other.




Figure 2. View of the crystal structure of **1** along the *a* axis and the fullerene zigzag chains. Only the major orientation of disordered C₆₀[−] and the dichlorobenzene molecules is shown. Complex **2** has similar crystal packing.

Compound **1** is EPR silent at 290 K, whereas at low temperatures (4–120 K) two weak EPR signals are resolved. The signal with a *g* factor of 1.9996 and a line half-width (ΔH) of 0.48 mT at 4 K was attributed to isolated nonbonded C₆₀^{•−}. This signal noticeably broadens with an increase of temperature, which is characteristic of C₆₀^{•−} radical

anions.^[15] A broad asymmetric signal with approximate *g* factors of 2.31 and 2.29 ($\Delta H \cong 60$ and 40 mT, respectively, at 4 K) was attributed to Co^{II}OEP. The estimated integral intensities of both signals did not exceed 2% of the total amount of Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀^{•−}. Therefore, **1** is EPR silent in the 4–290 K range, justifying the formation of diamagnetic σ -bonded {Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−])} units and in accordance with X-ray diffraction data. Weak EPR signals observed for **1** at low temperatures can be attributed to a small amount of EPR-active isolated Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀^{•−} species retained in the sample in the nonbonded state. Similar residual EPR signals were observed in ionic fullerene complexes after C₆₀^{•−} and C₇₀^{•−} dimerization.^[16]

Two weak EPR signals were also observed for **2** in the 4–50 K range. At 4 K the signal with *g*=1.9996 and ΔH =0.44 mT was attributed to isolated nonbonded C₆₀^{•−} (this signal also noticeably broadens with the temperature increase to give ΔH =4.64 mT at 120 K), and an asymmetric signal with *g*₁=2.3774 and *g*₂=2.3011 (ΔH =7.5 and 14.5 mT) was attributed to Co^{II}OEP coordinated to MDABCO⁺. The total integral intensity of both signals was less than 2% of the total amount of C₆₀[−] and Co^{II}OEP, and both signals could not be resolved above 140 K. The EPR data verify the diamagnetism of the {(MDABCO⁺)-Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−])} assemblies in **2** in the 4–50 K range due to the Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀^{•−} spins pairing on formation of a Co–C(C₆₀[−]) coordination σ bond. The broad signal reversibly grows above 50 K (Figure 3) and above 250 K its integral intensity corresponds to the contribution of about two ¹/₂ spins per formula unit (both Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀^{•−} have ¹/₂ spin states). The signal has a *g* factor of 2.1188 (ΔH =52 mT) at room temperature, which is between those values characteristic of Co^{II}OEP (the asymmetric signal with *g*₁=2.37, *g*₂=2.03 for five-coordinated Co^{II}OEP)^[17] and C₆₀^{•−} (*g*=1.9996–2.0000),^[15] thus indicating strong exchange coupling between




Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the integral intensity of the EPR signal in **2** (red curve) attributed to a resonating signal from nonbonded paramagnetic Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀^{•−} species. The behavior is reversible. The blue dashed line shows the expected integral intensity of the EPR signal for noninteracting paramagnetic centers. The blue arrow indicates the temperature at which the crystal structure of **2** was determined, and the corresponding values of both the observed and expected integral intensities of the EPR signal (*C* is the Curie constant, *T* is temperature).

these paramagnetic species. Therefore, the Co–C(C₆₀[−]) coordination σ bond observed at low temperatures completely dissociates above 250 K to produce two nonbonded paramagnetic {(MDABCO⁺)Co^{II}OEP} and C₆₀^{•−} species. This is the first example of such reversible formation of the Co–C(C₆₀[−]) bond, which resulted in the transition from a paramagnetic to a diamagnetic state.

The IR spectra of **1** and **2** indicate the ionic ground state of the complexes (see the Experimental Section). The F_{1u}(4) mode of C₆₀, which is sensitive to charge transfer (CT) to the fullerene molecule,^[18] is shifted from $\tilde{\nu}$ =1429 cm^{−1} to 1387, 1395, and 1398 cm^{−1} (split band) in **1** and to 1378, 1387, and 1394 cm^{−1} (split band) in **2**. The difference in the bonding in **1** and **2** at 290 K is seen in their NIR spectra (Figure 4). The bands of the nonbonded C₆₀^{•−} radical anion




Figure 4. UV-visible-NIR spectra of a) **1**, b) **2**, and c) pristine Co^{II}OEP in KBr pellets.

are mainly observed in the spectrum of **2** at λ =933 and 1078 nm (these two bands are characteristic of C₆₀^{•−} and were previously observed in salts and ionic complexes containing C₆₀^{•−} radical ions^[15]). On the contrary, in the spectrum of **1** the band of C₆₀^{•−} at λ =1086 nm decreases in intensity and a strong, broad band manifests itself at 1277 nm. The first band was attributed to the intramolecular transitions in the bonded C₆₀[−] anion, whereas the second band can be attributed to CT between Co^{II}OEP and C₆₀[−]. Previously, similar CT bands with slightly different positions were observed in multicomponent complexes containing σ -bonded diamagnetic {Co^{II}TPP(fullerene[−])} units.^[11,19] Coordination noticeably shifts the positions of the Soret and the Q bands of Co^{II}OEP. Pristine Co^{II}OEP has bands at λ =394, 531, and 560 nm and these bands appear at λ =398, 523, and 552 nm and at λ =402, 522, and 549 nm in the spectra of **1** and **2**, respectively. Therefore, the Soret band is redshifted by up to 8 nm and the Q bands are blueshifted by 8 to 11 nm.

Conclusion

The preparation of new, ionic, multicomponent complexes **1** and **2** has allowed, for the first time, the study of the coordination of C₆₀[−] anions to cobalt(II) octaethylporphyrin. Non-coordinating TMP⁺ cations provide the formation of stable σ -bonded diamagnetic {Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−])} units, which are similar to the previously described {Co^{II}TPP(C₆₀[−])} units.^[11] In contrast to TMP⁺, MDABCO⁺ cations additionally coordinate to Co^{II}OEP to destabilize the Co–C(C₆₀[−]) coordination bond. This bond reversibly dissociates in the 50–250 K range and this dissociation is accompanied by a diamagnetic–paramagnetic transition. TMP⁺ and MDABCO⁺ cations only differ in their coordination ability, which results in different degrees of Co^{II}OEP–(C₆₀[−]) bonding, and different magnetic and optical properties of **1** and **2**. Therefore, these parameters can be controlled in the multicomponent complexes by choosing the cation type. The crystal structure of **2** was determined at 100 K, when the Co–C(C₆₀[−]) coordination σ bond with a length of 2.508(4) Å is formed (according to EPR, the contribution of a diamagnetic bonded state in {(MDABCO⁺)Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−])} assemblies is about 90% at 100 K (Figure 3)). Thus, the Co...C distance of about 2.51 Å can be considered as a limiting length for the Co–C(C₆₀[−]) coordination σ bond. This bond length is longer than the strong Co–C bond in alkylcobalamins (1.99–2.03 Å^[8]) and the Co–C bond in **1** (2.27 Å).

Experimental Section

Materials: Cobalt(II) octaethylporphyrin (Co^{II}OEP), diazabicyclooctane (DABCO), sodium ethanethiolate (CH₃CH₂SNa), tetramethylphosphonium chloride (TMPCl), and methyl iodide (CH₃I) were purchased from Aldrich, and C₆₀ (99.98% purity) was purchased from MTR Ltd. The solvents were purified in an argon atmosphere. *o*-Dichlorobenzene (C₆H₄Cl₂) was distilled over CaH₂, P₂O₅, and K₂CO₃ under reduced pressure, benzonitrile (C₆H₅CN) was distilled over Na under reduced pressure, and hexane and benzene were distilled over Na/benzophenone. The solvents were degassed and stored in a glove box. All manipulations for the synthesis of air-sensitive **1** and **2** were carried out in a MBraun 150B-G glove box with a controlled atmosphere and with an H₂O and O₂ content of less than 1 ppm. The crystals were stored in a glove box and were sealed in 2 mm quartz tubes for EPR measurements under 10^{−5} torr. KBr pellets for the IR and UV-visible-NIR measurements were prepared in a glove box.

General: UV-visible-NIR spectra were measured on a Shimadzu-3100 spectrometer in the λ =240–2600 nm range. FTIR spectra were measured by using KBr pellets with a Perkin–Elmer 1000 Series spectrometer ($\tilde{\nu}$ =400–7800 cm^{−1}). EPR spectra were recorded from 290 K down to 4 K and back from 4 K up to 290 K with a JEOL JES-TE 200 X-band ESR spectrometer equipped with a JEOL ES-CT470 cryostat. The integral intensity of the signals from a weighed amount of **1** and **2** was calibrated by the comparison with the integral intensity of the EPR signal from a weighed amount of CuSO₄·5H₂O.

Synthesis: *N*-Methyldiazabicyclooctane iodide (MDABCOI) was obtained by the dropwise addition of one molar equivalent of CH₃I (1.11 mL, 0.0178 mol) to DABCO (2 g, 0.0178 mol) dissolved in hexane (60 mL) while stirring. A white crystalline precipitate of MDABCOI formed during the addition. After 1 h the precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane (100 mL), and dried under vacuum over 8 h.

MDABCOI (4.07 g, 90%) was obtained with a satisfactory elemental analysis.

The crystals of **1** were obtained by the following procedure: C_{60} (25 mg, 0.035 mmol), a 10-fold molar excess of CH_3CH_2SNa (30 mg, 0.35 mmol), and a 5-fold molar excess of $TMPCl$ (22 mg, 0.175 mmol) were stirred in a $C_6H_4Cl_2/C_6H_5CN$ (19:1; 20 mL) mixture for 1 h at 60 °C. C_6H_5CN was added to increase the solubility of CH_3CH_2SNa and $TMPCl$, which are very poorly soluble in pure $C_6H_4Cl_2$. During stirring, the solution changed from violet, characteristic of neutral C_{60} , to red-brown. After cooling the solution down to room temperature and filtering it, the NIR spectrum of the solution was measured to indicate the selective reduction of C_{60} to the monoanionic state. $Co^{II}OEP$ (21 mg, 0.035 mmol) was dissolved in the solution at 60 °C for 1 h. The resulting solution was cooled, filtered into a glass tube (1.8 cm diameter, 50 mL volume) with a ground glass plug, and hexane (20 mL) was layered over the solution. The diffusion was carried out over 2 months to give crystals of **1** on the wall of the tube. The solvent was decanted from the crystals and they were washed with hexane to give black prisms with a characteristic blue luster (up to $0.3 \times 0.5 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$ in size) in 20% yield.

A similar procedure was used for the preparation of **2**. After cooling the solution with dissolved $Co^{II}OEP$, a polycrystalline precipitate of **2** formed over several hours. The small crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements were obtained by the diffusion of a solution of $Co^{II}OEP$ (16 mg, 0.027 mmol) in hexane/benzene (4:1, 25 mL) into a $C_6H_4Cl_2/C_6H_5CN$ solution (19:1; 20 mL) obtained from the reduction of C_{60} (25 mg, 0.035 mmol) by a 10-fold molar excess of CH_3CH_2SNa (30 mg, 0.35 mmol) in the presence of a 5-fold molar excess of MDABCOI (44.5 mg, 0.175 mmol). After 2 months, small crystals of **2** formed on the wall of the tube. The solvent was decanted from the crystals, which were washed with hexane to give small parallelepipeds with a characteristic blue luster (up to $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}^3$ in size) in 30% yield.

[(TMP⁺)(Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−]))(C₆H₅CN)_{0.75}(C₆H₄Cl₂)_{0.25}] (1): Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{106.75}H_{60.75}N_{4.75}O_2Cl_{0.5}PCo$ (1549.48): C 82.65, H 3.95, N 4.32, O 2.08, Cl 1.15, P 2.01; found: C 81.34, H 3.51, N 3.56, Cl 1.12, P 2.31.

[(MDABCO⁺)Co^{II}OEP(C₆₀[−]))(C₆H₅CN)_{0.67}(C₆H₄Cl₂)_{0.33}] (2): Elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{109.68}H_{63.67}N_{6.67}O_2Cl_{0.66}Co$ (1589.15): C 82.92, H 4.00, N 5.88, O 2.01, Cl 1.48; found: C 82.22, H 3.92, N 5.72, Cl 1.74.

The compositions of **1** and **2** were determined from the elemental analysis, and were verified by X-ray diffraction analysis on a single crystal. The difference between the value calculated by using the equation $[100 - (C, H, N, Cl, P)]\%$ and the calculated content of Co for air-sensitive **1** and **2** indicates the addition of oxygen to the complex in the course of the analysis (about one O_2 molecule per formula unit). The addition of O_2 to ionic C_{60} complexes during the elemental analysis has also been reported elsewhere.^[16,20,21]

Crystal-structure determination: X-ray diffraction data for **1** and **2** were collected at 100(2) K by using a Bruker Nonius X8 Apex diffractometer with CCD area detector (MoK_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems nitrogen gas-flow apparatus. The data were col-

Table 1. X-ray diffraction data for **1** and **2**.

	1	2
structural formula	$[(TMP^+)(Co^{II}OEP(C_{60}^-))-(C_6H_5CN)_{0.75}(C_6H_4Cl_2)_{0.25}]$	$[(MDABCO^+)Co^{II}OEP(C_{60}^-)-(C_6H_5CN)_{0.67}(C_6H_4Cl_2)_{0.33}]$
empirical formula	$C_{106.75}H_{60.75}N_{4.75}O_2Cl_{0.5}PCo$	$C_{109.68}H_{63.67}N_{6.67}O_2Cl_{0.66}Co$
M_r [g mol ^{−1}]	1517.48	1557.15
color, shape	black, prisms	black, prisms
size [mm]	$0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$	$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$
system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic
space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$Pna2_1$
a [Å]	14.9130(5)	26.1193(9)
b [Å]	17.7736(6)	17.9102(6)
c [Å]	25.8503(8)	14.9018(5)
V [Å ³]	6851.8(4)	6971.1(4)
Z	4	4
ρ_{calcd} [g cm ^{−3}]	1.471	1.484
μ [mm ^{−1}]	0.357	0.338
$F(000)$	3140	3227
max/min transmission	0.84/0.93	0.88/0.93
T [K]	100 (2)	100 (2)
max 2θ [°]	65.2	56.56
reflns measured	62 958	43 541
unique reflns	24 435	13 559
parameters	1601	1652
restraints	1640	9918
reflns [$F_o > 2\sigma F_o$]	19 220	10 783
R_1 [$F_o > 2\sigma F_o$]	0.0496	0.0769
wR_2 (all data) ^[a]	0.1162	0.1690
a	0.0683	0.1060
b	1.2556	2219.48
GOF	1.040	1.070
restr. GOF	1.018	1.063

[a] $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2]/3$.

lected by ϕ and ω scans with a 0.3° frame width and 30 s exposure time per frame. The data were integrated, scaled, sorted, and averaged by using the Bruker AXS software package.^[22] The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXTL version 6.12.^[22] The structure was refined by using full-matrix least-squares methods against F^2 . No absorption corrections were performed for either complex. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined in the anisotropic approximation. Positions of the hydrogen atoms were calculated geometrically. Subsequently, the positions of the H atoms were refined by the “riding” model with $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}$ of the connected non-hydrogen atom or as ideal CH_3 groups with $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}$. The details of the crystal-structure analysis are given in Table 1.

Molecule disorder in 1 and 2: $Co^{II}OEP$ and TMP^+ are ordered in **1** at 100 K. The C_{60}^- anions are disordered between two orientations (0.75:0.25 occupancies) related to the rotation of C_{60}^- by $\approx 15^\circ$ about the $Co-C(C_{60}^-)$ coordination bond and, correspondingly, the axis passing through the coordinated carbon atom and the carbon atom located opposite the coordinated carbon. Thus, these two carbon atoms are ordered in **1** in such a way that allows the $Co-C(C_{60}^-)$ distance to be determined. Solvent C_6H_5CN and $C_6H_4Cl_2$ molecules share one position with 0.75:0.25 occupancies. Both molecules are disordered between two orientations and have 0.50:0.25 and 0.20:0.05 occupancies, respectively. In **2** only $Co^{II}OEP$ is ordered at 100 K. The C_{60}^- disorder has been approximated by three restrained molecules of fullerene C_{60} with one collective carbon atom coordinating to the Co atom of $Co^{II}OEP$, the disorder being a distribution in three orientations with 0.4:0.3:0.3 occupancies given by the rotation about the $Co-C(C_{60}^-)$ coordination bond. As a result, the carbon atom of C_{60}^- coordinated to $Co^{II}OEP$ in **2** is ordered in such a way that also allows the $Co-C(C_{60}^-)$ distance to be determined. MDABCO⁺ cations are disordered in **2** between two orientations (0.56:0.44 occupancy) linked by the 20° rotation about the axis passing through two nitrogen atoms of MDABCO⁺. C_6H_5CN and $C_6H_4Cl_2$ solvent molecules also share one position with 0.67:0.33 occupancies. Both

molecules are disordered between two orientations and have 0.39:0.28 and 0.17:0.16 occupancies, respectively.

CCDC-291006 and 291007 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for compounds **2** and **1**, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (152005019 and COEPROGRAMS), the RFBR (grant N 06-03-32824a), the Russian Science Support Foundation, and INTAS YSF (05-109-4653).

- [1] D. M. Guldi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2002**, 31, 22–36.
- [2] D. Gust, T. A. Moore, A. L. Moore, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, 34, 40–48.
- [3] M. M. Olmstead, D. A. Costa, K. Maitra, B. C. Noll, S. L. Phillips, P. M. Van Calcar, A. L. Balch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 7090–7097.
- [4] D. R. Evans, N. L. P. Fackler, Z. Xie, C. E. F. Rickard, P. D. W. Boyd, C. A. Reed, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 8466–8474.
- [5] D. V. Konarev, I. S. Neretin, Yu. L. Slovokhotov, E. I. Yudanov, N. V. Drichko, Yu. M. Shul'ga, B. P. Tarasov, L. L. Gumanov, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, R. N. Lyubovskaya, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, 7, 2605–2616.
- [6] D. V. Konarev, A. Yu. Kovalevsky, X. Li, I. S. Neretin, A. L. Litvinov, N. V. Drichko, Yu. L. Slovokhotov, P. Coppens, R. N. Lyubovskaya, *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, 41, 3638–3646.
- [7] P. D. W. Boyd, C. A. Reed, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, 38, 235–242.
- [8] M. Rossi, J. P. Glusker, L. Randaccio, M. F. Summers, P. J. Toscano, L. G. Marzilli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 1729–1738.
- [9] S. Stevenson, G. Rice, T. Glass, K. Harich, F. Cromer, M. R. Jordan, J. Craft, E. Hadju, R. Bible, M. M. Olmstead, K. Maitra, A. J. Fisher, A. L. Balch, H. C. Dorn, *Nature* **1999**, 401, 55–57.
- [10] M. M. Olmstead, A. Bettencourt-Dias, J. C. Duchamp, S. Stevenson, D. Marciu, H. C. Dorn, A. L. Balch, *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, 113, 1263–1265; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 1223–1225.
- [11] D. V. Konarev, S. S. Khasanov, A. Otsuka, Y. Yoshida, R. N. Lyubovskaya, G. Saito, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, 9, 3837–3848.
- [12] D. V. Konarev, I. S. Neretin, G. Saito, Yu. L. Slovokhotov, A. Otsuka, R. N. Lyubovskaya, *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 3886–3891.
- [13] R. Subramanian, P. Boudas, M. N. Vijayashree, F. D'Souza, M. T. Jones, K. M. Kadish, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 1847–1848.
- [14] E. Allard, L. Rivière, J. Delaunay, D. Dubois, J. Cousseau, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 7223–7226.
- [15] C. A. Reed, R. D. Bolskar, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 100, 1075–1120.
- [16] D. V. Konarev, S. S. Khasanov, G. Saito, A. Otsuka, Y. Yoshida, R. N. Lyubovskaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 10074–10083.
- [17] A. Ozarowski, H. M. Lee, A. L. Balch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 12606–12614.
- [18] T. Picher, R. Winkler, H. Kuzmany, *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, 49, 15879–15889.
- [19] D. V. Konarev, S. S. Khasanov, A. Otsuka, Y. Yoshida, G. Saito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 7648–7649.
- [20] P.-M. Allemand, K. C. Khemani, A. Koch, F. Wudl, K. Holczer, S. Donovan, G. Grüner, J. D. Thompson, *Science* **1991**, 253, 301–303.
- [21] T. Kitagawa, Y. Lee, K. Takeuchi, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1529–1530.
- [22] Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, Wisconsin (USA), **1999**.

Received: January 30, 2006

Published online: May 2, 2006