Regioselective C—S Bond Formation between Flavones and Arylsulfonyl Chlorides through the Use of Ammonium Iodide

Wannian Zhao and Aihua Zhou*^[a]

A novel and regioselective ammonium iodide mediated C–S bond formation protocol involving flavones and sulfonyl chlorides to generate different ArS-substituted flavone derivatives in high yields was developed. Different from existing metal-free C–S bond formation protocols with the use of PPh₃ or I₂ as the catalyst, this method provides an alternative way of constructing C–S bonds through the use of NH₄I as a catalyst, which makes it highly valuable for the efficient synthesis of thioether compounds.

Thioethers exist widely in natural products,^[1] and many drugs also possess the thioether structure;^[2] therefore, the development of efficient methods to construct C–S bonds is highly desirable.

Traditionally, the construction of a C(sp³)–S bond is achieved by reacting an alkyl halide with a metal thiolate.^[3] Later, transition-metal-catalyzed couplings between aryl halides (or aryl boronic acids, etc.) and various sulfenylating sources such as thiols,^[4] sulfonyl chlorides,^[5] disulfides,^[6] sodium sulfinates,^[7] and sulfonyl hydrazides^[8] to generate C(sp²)–S bond were developed, and various transition metals such as ruthenium, palladium, copper, nickel, cobalt, and iron salts have been used as efficient catalysts to generate thioethers.^[9]

Recently, the transition-metal-catalyzed formation of C–S bonds through C–H bond functionalization was proposed as an alternative method to convert C–H bonds directly into C–S bonds,^[10] but these reactions still suffer from high loadings of toxic transition-metal catalysts and some additives.

Very recently, metal-free C–S bond-formation methods have been successfully developed;^[11] among these methods, the use of arylsulfonyl chlorides as sulfenylating agents was also achieved (Scheme 1). Zheng and co-workers reported the visiblelight-induced sulfenylation of *N*-methylindoles with arylsulfonyl chlorides,^[5a] You and co-workers demonstrated C–S bond formation by directly using arylsulfonyl chlorides as a sulfur source in the presence of triphenylphosphine,^[12a] and Deng et al. reported iodine-promoted 2-arylsulfanylphenol formation by using cyclohexanones as the phenol source.^[12b] All three reported reactions generate C–S bonds by using arylsulfonyl

[a] W. Zhao, Prof. A. Zhou
 Pharmacy School
 Jiangsu University
 Xuefu Road 301, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013 (P.R. China)
 E-mail: ahz@ujs.edn.cn

Supporting Information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201500673.



Scheme 1. Sulfenylation methods with the use of arylsulfonyl chlorides as the sulfur source.

chlorides as sulfenylating agents. In this paper, we report a new method to construct C–S bond by using NH_4I as a catalyst to generate thioether flavone derivatives regioselectively in good to excellent yields without using any oxidants. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on such a -reaction.

To find suitable reaction conditions for this sulfenylation reaction between flavones and arylsulfonyl chlorides, flavone 1 a and sulfonyl chloride 2a were used as the representative reactants (Table 1), and different catalysts, oxidants, temperature, and solvents were screened. First, Cul and FeCl₃ were used as catalysts and tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP, 70 wt% in water) was employed as the oxidant in CH₃CN; both reactions did not give expected product 3a (Table 1, entries 1 and 2) at 90 °C in CH₃CN. The use of tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) as a catalyst with TBHP provided less than 5% yield of 3a (Table 1, entry 3) in CH₃CN. Upon using Nal or KI with TBHP, a trace amount of **3a** was produced (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). The $I_2/$ TBHP combination in CH₃CN afforded **3a** in 60% yield (Table 1, entry 6), whereas the combination of NH₄I/TBHP in CH₃CN afforded 3a in 65% yield (Table 1, entry 7). The combination of NH₄I/TBHP in DMF at 90 °C afforded 3a in 70% yield (Table 1, entry 8). Upon increasing the temperature to 130°C, 3a was obtained in 79% yield (Table 1, entry 9). Surprisingly, the use of NH₄I without TBHP in DMF also afforded 3a in 81% yield (Table 1, entry 10). If NH₄I was employed as the catalyst in benzene or toluene, 3a was isolated in only 25 or 30% yield (Table 1, entries 11 and 12). Using THF or dioxane as the solvent generated 3a in 48 or 30% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). Decreasing the number of equivalents of NH₄I

Wiley Online Library



Table 1. Screening for suitable reaction conditions. ^[a] O					
	1a	2a		3a	
Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Solvent	<i>Т</i> [°С]	Yield ^[b] [%]
1	Cul	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	0
2	FeCl₃	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	0
3	TBAI	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	5
4	Nal	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	trace
5	KI	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	trace
6	l ₂	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	60
7	NH ₄ I	TBHP	CH₃CN	90	65
8	NH ₄ I	TBHP	DMF	90	70
9	NH ₄ I	TBHP	DMF	130	79
10	NH ₄ I	-	DMF	130	81
11	NH₄I	-	benzene	130	25
12	NH ₄ I	-	toluene	130	30
13	NH ₄ I	-	THF	130	48
14	NH ₄ I	-	dioxane	130	30
15	NH ₄ I ^[c]	-	DMF	130	62
16	-	-	DMF	130	0
[a] Reaction conditions: Flavone (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), sulfonyl chloride (1.5 equiv.), Kl/l ₂ (1.0 equiv.), NH ₄ l (4.0 equiv.), solvent (0.5 mL), metal catalyst (20 mol%). All reactions were run for 20 h. [b] Yield of isolated prod-					

uct based on reactant **1a**. [c] NH_4I (1.0 equiv.).

from four to one led to the isolation of **3a** in 62% yield (Table 1, entry 15). The reaction did not produce the expected product (Table 1, entry 16) in the absence of NH₄I. After the above screening, the suitable conditions selected for the coupling of flavone and arylsulfonyl chloride included flavone (1.0 equiv.), arylsulfonyl chloride (1.5 equiv.), and NH₄I (4.0 equiv.) in DMF as the solvent at 130 °C.

After suitable reaction conditions were determined, different electron-withdrawing and electron-donating flavones were synthesized on the basis of existing literature,^[13] and they were then treated with various arylsulfonyl chlorides; all sulfenylation reactions proceeded well and afforded good yields of ArS-substituted flavone derivatives **3a**–**p** (Table 2) with high regioselectivities. On the basis of the chemical shifts displayed in the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of each ArS-substituted flavone derivative, all substrates were bonded to the more electron-rich α positions of the flavone ketone functions.

To expand the sulfenylation reaction scope, an alkylsulfonyl chloride was also tried, and methanesulfonyl chloride was used as a representative to react with electron-deficient nitro-substituted flavone and electron-rich methyl-substituted flavone; both reactions gave good yields of expected products **3q** and **3r** (Scheme 2).

To provide further insight into the regioselectivity of this sulfenylation reaction, α -methyl-substituted and β -methyl-substituted flavones were synthesized and treated with benzenesulfonyl chloride (Scheme 3). If the preferred reaction site (α position) was blocked by a methyl group, no desired product was isolated. If the β position of the flavone was bonded to a $-CH_3$ group, the sulfenylation reaction still proceeded, but because







Scheme 2. Sulfenylation reactions of flavones with methanesulfonyl chloride.

of steric factors, the isolated yield was not high (42%). This result indicates that the regioselectivity of this sulfenylation reaction is very good.

To further explore the reaction mechanism, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (TEMPO) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) were used as radical scavengers in subsequent reactions (Scheme 4). In the presence of TEMPO or BHT, the reaction pro-



Scheme 3. Control reactions.



Scheme 4. Radical trapping experiments.

ceeded well and afforded **3a** in good yield, which indicates that radical intermediates are not involved in either of these couplings.

On the basis of these results, a plausible mechanism is proposed (Scheme 5). Heat splits NH_4I into HI and NH_3 , and then HI reduces the sulfonyl chloride; after intermediates **B**, **C**, and **D** and the loss of two H_2O molecules, the electrophilic ArS–CI species is produced, which then continues to react with flavone 1 to give intermediate **E**. The loss of a proton from **E** to NH_3 generates final product **3**. The resultant iodine reacts with the arylsulfonyl chloride to generate ArS–CI and to release the IO^- ion.^[12b]





www.chemcatchem.org

In summary, we developed a novel and regioselective ammonium iodide mediated C–S bond-formation protocol involving flavones and arylsulfonyl chlorides to generate different ArS-substituted flavone derivatives in high yields. This method can also be extended to alkylsulfonyl chlorides. This protocol enriches current metal-free C–S bond formation chemistry by using sulfonyl chlorides as the sulfenylating agents, which makes it a good choice for the efficient synthesis of thioether compounds. This method is also suitable for the production of a library of compounds as a result of its high regioselectivity and efficiency.

Experimental Section

General procedure

Flavone **1a** (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added to a dried sealed tube containing DMF (0.5 mL), and this was followed by the addition of NH₄I (4.0 equiv.) and the sulfonyl chloride (1.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at 130 °C. After 20 h, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc = 15:1) to give desired product **3a** (81%) as a colorless oil. The same procedure was used to prepare compounds **3b–t**.

Acknowledgements

A.Z. acknowledges Jiangsu University for financial support (1281290006).

Keywords: C–S bond formation \cdot flavones \cdot fused-ring systems \cdot sulfenylation \cdot sulfur

- a) M. Halim, D. J. Yee, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14123– 14128; b) K. Gademann, C. Portmann, J. F. Blom, M. Zeder, F. Jüttner, J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 980–984; c) L. M. Blair, J. Sperry, J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 794–812; d) J. Li, L. Pan, Y. Deng, U. Muñoz-Acuña, C. Yuan, H. Lai, H. Chai, T. E. Chagwedera, N. R. Farnsworth, E. J. Carcache de Blanco, C. Li, D. D. Soejarto, A. D. Kinghorn, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10166–10177; e) M. S. C. Pedras, I. L. Zaharia, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1213–1216; f) M. S. C. Pedras, Q.-A. Zheng, S. Strelkov, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2008, 56, 9949– 9961; g) A. J. Wilson, J. K. Kerns, J. F. Callahan, C. J. Moody, J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 7463–7476.
- [2] a) A. M. Felix, J. Unowsky, J. Bontempo, R. I. Fryer, J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11, 929–932; b) J. Garcia-Bustos, A. Tomasz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1990, 87, 5415–5419; c) M. Martinello, A. Baggio, A. Gallina, F. Mutinelli, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 9275–9279; d) X. Wang, L. Cui, N. Zhou, W. Zhu, R. Wang, X. Qian, Y. Xu, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2936–2940.
- [3] a) J. R. Campbell, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 1830–1833; b) T. Migita, T. Shimizu, Y. Asami, J.-i. Shiobara, Y. Kato, M. Kosugi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1980, 53, 1385–1389; c) B. C. Ranu, T. Mandal, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5793–5795.
- [4] a) C.-K. Chen, Y.-W. Chen, C.-H. Lin, H.-P. Lin, C.-F. Lee, Chem. Commun.
 2010, 46, 282–284; b) A. Correa, O. G. Mancheño, C. Bolm, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108–1117; c) M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez, Q. Shen, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2180–2181; d) D. Kundu, T. Chatterjee, B. C. Ranu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 2285–2296; e) P. F. Larsson, A. Correa, M. Carril, P. O. Norrby, C. Bolm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5691–5693; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5801–5803; f) M. Sayah, M. G. Organ, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 11719–11722; h) C. Yu, C. Zhang, X. Shi, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1953–1959.



- [5] a) M. Chen, Z.-T. Huang, Q.-Y. Zheng, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 11686– 11688; b) O. Saidi, J. Marafie, A. E. Ledger, P. M. Liu, M. F. Mahon, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. K. Whittlesey, C. G. Frost, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 19298–19301; c) D. Zhang, X. Cui, Q. Zhang, Y. Wu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 1517–1522.
- [6] a) W. Ge, Y. Wei, Green Chem. 2012, 14, 2066–2070; b) D. Huang, J. Chen, W. Dan, J. Ding, M. Liu, H. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2123–2128; c) P. Sang, Z. Chen, J. Zou, Y. Zhang, Green Chem. 2013, 15, 2096–2100; d) S. Zhang, P. Qian, M. Zhang, M. Hu, J. Cheng, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6732–6735.
- [7] a) F. Xiao, H. Chen, H. Xie, S. Chen, L. Yang, G.-J. Deng, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 50–53; b) F. Xiao, H. Xie, S. Liu, G. J. Deng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 364–368; c) Y. Xu, X. Tang, W. Hu, W. Wu, H. Jiang, Green Chem. 2014, 16, 3720–3723.
- [8] a) X. Li, X. Xu, P. Hu, X. Xiao, C. Zhou, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7343–7348; b) X. Li, Y. Xu, W. Wu, C. Jiang, C. Qi, H. Jiang, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7911–7915; c) S. Tang, Y. Wu, W. Liao, R. Bai, C. Liu, A. Lei, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 4496–4499; d) F. L. Yang, S. K. Tian, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4929–4932; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 5029–5032; e) M. Zhang, P. Xie, W. Zhao, B. Niu, W. Wu, Z. Bian, C. U. Pittman, Jr., A. Zhou, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4176–4183; f) J. Zhang, Y. Shao, H. Wang, Q. Luo, J. Chen, D. Xu, X. Wan, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3312–3315.
- [9] a) P. Anbarasan, H. Neumann, M. Beller, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 3233–3235; b) C. Dai, Z. Xu, F. Huang, Z. Yu, Y.-F. Gao, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4414–4419; c) V. Girijavallabhan, C. Alvarez, F. G. Njoroge, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6442–6446; d) X. B. Li, Z. J. Li, Y. J. Gao, Q. Y. Meng, S. Yu, R. G. Weiss, C. H. Tung, L. Z. Wu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2085–2089; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 2117–2121.
- [10] a) K. Inamoto, Y. Arai, K. Hiroya, T. Doi, Chem. Commun. 2008, 5529– 5531; b) K. Inamoto, C. Hasegawa, K. Hiroya, T. Doi, Org. Lett. 2008, 10,

5147–5150; c) L. L. Joyce, R. A. Batey, Org. Lett. **2009**, *11*, 2792–2795; d) R. Samanta, A. P. Antonchick, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 5217– 5220; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 5323–5326; e) C. Shen, H. Xia, H. Yan, X. Chen, S. Ranjit, X. Xie, D. Tan, R. Lee, Y. Yang, B. Xing, K. Huang, P. Zhang, X. Liu, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2388–2393; f) R. Xu, J.-P. Wan, H. Mao, Y. Pan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 15531–15533; g) Y. Yang, W. Hou, L. Qin, J. Du, H. Feng, B. Zhou, Y. Li, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 416–420; h) J. Zhu, Z. Chen, H. Xie, S. Li, Y. Wu, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 2434–2436; i) L. D. Tran, I. Popov, O. Daugulis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 18237–18240; j) C. Xu, Q. Shen, *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 2046–2049.

- [11] a) J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, A. Leyva-Pérez, A. Corma, Adv. Synth. Catal.
 2012, 354, 678-687; b) Q. Gao, X. Wu, Y. Li, S. Liu, X. Meng, A. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2924-2930; c) X. Gao, X. Pan, J. Gao, H. Huang, G. Yuan, Y. Li, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 210-212; d) M.-A. Hiebel, S. Berteina-Raboin, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 937-944; e) Y. Liao, P. Jiang, S. Chen, H. Qi, G.-J. Deng, Green Chem. 2013, 15, 3302-3306; f) C.-R. Liu, L.-H. Ding, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 2251-2254; g) T. Miao, P. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 832-835; h) X. Zhu, Y. Shi, H. Mao, Y. Cheng, C. Zhu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3558-3562.
- [12] a) Q. Wu, D. Zhao, X. Qin, J. Lan, J. You, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 9188–9190; b) Y. Chen, F. Xiao, H. Chen, S. Liu, G.-J. Deng, *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 44621–44628.
- [13] a) D. Ameen, T. J. Snape, *Synthesis* **2015**, *47*, 141–158; b) S.-H. Wang, Y. Wang, Y.-Y. Zhu, S.-J. Liu, J. Han, Y.-F. Zhou, D.-W. Li, D. Koirala, C. Hu, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.* **2011**, *27*, 54–59; c) Y. Zheng, M. Zhao, Q. Qiao, H. Liu, H. Lang, Z. Xu, *Dyes Pigm.* **2013**, *98*, 367–371.

Received: June 12, 2015 Published online on September 17, 2015