


Enantioselective Desymmetrization of *para*-Quinamines through an Aminocatalyzed Aza-Michael/Cyclization Cascade Reaction

Loïc Pantaine, Vincent Coeffard,* Xavier Moreau, and Christine Greck*

Institut Lavoisier Versailles, UMR CNRS 8180, Université de Versailles-St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 45 Avenue des États-Unis, 78035 Versailles cedex, France

(5) Supporting Information


ABSTRACT: An unprecedented organocatalytic asymmetric desymmetrization of *para*-quinamines leading to functionalized hydroindoles, a common motif in many alkaloids, has been reported. The ability of diarylprolinol silyl ethers to promote iminium and enamine activation of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes in one catalytic cycle is the centerpiece of the strategy involving



a challenging aza-Michael/intramolecular cyclization cascade reaction. A range of prochiral *para*-quinamines and α , β -unsaturated aldehydes were investigated to afford 16 examples of hydroindoles possessing four contiguous stereocenters including one quaternary carbon. The hydroindole structures include multiple orthogonal functionalities, which underwent various transformations.

esymmetrization processes are powerful means of transforming prochiral or meso-molecules into functionalized enantioenriched compounds.¹ Among the vast array of substrates available for such transformations, cyclohexadienone systems contain a rigid six-membered ring and functionalities, which are attributes that make dienones attractive starting materials to reach complex cyclic architectures via a desymmetrization process.² The chief challenge facing the organic chemists within this field lies in the use of a catalyst enabling the discrimination between two enantiotopic atoms or groups during the symmetrybreaking transformation. Despite the hurdles, significant achievements have emerged in the asymmetric desymmetrization of cyclohexadienone substrates.² Besides transition metal catalysis, the ability of asymmetric organocatalysis to promote a wide range of synthetic transformations has been successfully exploited to promote the desymmetrization of dienone systems. For instance, prolinol silyl ethers,³ N-heterocyclic carbenes,⁴ cinchona-derived thiourea,⁵ phase transfer catalysts,⁶ or phosphoric acids' turned out to be suitable catalytic systems. While several reports have described desymmetrization processes for which the nucleophile that attacks the dienone is already attached to the cyclohexadienone motif, bimolecular transformations have received less attention. In an important contribution to this field, the group of Rovis reported an asymmetric desymmetrization of peroxyquinols in the presence of aliphatic and aryl aldehydes using a Brønsted acid-catalyzed acetalization and intramolecular oxa-Michael cascade reaction.7b Other contributions by the groups of Johnson,^{3c} Wang,⁸ and Fan⁹ have outlined the ability of cyclohexadienone motifs to give complex cyclic architectures via bimolecular transformations. Despite these recent advances, to the best of our knowledge a bimolecular asymmetric organocatalyzed desymmetrization strategy starting from para-quinamines 1 (4-amino-4-alkyl-2,5-cyclohexadienones) has never been reported, while new advances in this area could pave the way to new nitrogen-containing heterocycles (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Desymmetrization Towards Hydroindoles




As part of our ongoing research into the development of organocatalytic methodologies,¹⁰ we wish to report herein the implementation of a novel dissymmetrical construction of functionalized nitrogen-containing polycycles via an aza-Michael/cyclization cascade reaction. The ability of chiral secondary amines to promote iminium and enamine activation of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes 2 in one catalytic cycle will be the centerpiece of the desymmetrization strategy toward hydro-indole structures. This is a common motif in natural substances and biorelevant compounds such as the alkaloids thelepogine,¹¹ eburenine,¹² and dihydro- β -erythroidine (DH β E).¹³ Central to the implementation of the desymmetrization is the addition of *para*-quinamines 1 to α,β -unsaturated aldehydes 2. Amino-catalyzed aza-Michael additions have been extensively studied in

```
Received: June 1, 2015
```

the literature, but some challenges still require additional efforts to extend this reaction in synthetically interesting new directions.¹⁴ In particular, the use of hindered amines in intermolecular aza-Michael reactions remains scarce in the literature thus limiting the substrate scope to more reactive amines such as hydroxylamine derivatives or nitrogen-containing heterocycles. In addition, the reversibility inherent to the addition of an amine onto iminium species, the amine nucleophile/aminocatalyst competition toward addition and the intermolecular nature of the strategy are potential difficulties to embark on the reaction of *para*-quinamines 1 with $\alpha_{,\beta}$ unsaturated aldehydes 2. Nevertheless, recent breakthroughs in aza-Michael reactions with amines catalyzed by diarylprolinol silyl ethers 3 and AcONa were a driving force to investigate the desymmetrization depicted in Scheme 1.¹⁵

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a



^{*a*}Reactions were performed on 0.15 mmol scale using 1 equiv of 1a, 1.5 equiv of 2a, 20 mol % of 3, and 1 equiv of AcONa at 55 °C for 3 days unless otherwise noted. n.r. = no reaction. n.d. = not determined. ^{*b*}Isolated yield for the major diastereomer. ^{*c*}Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude. The structure of each diastereomer has been determined by full analyses; see Supporting Information for further studies. ^{*d*}Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral HPLC on the Wittig products prepared from major diastereomers. See Supporting Information. ^{*c*}One equivalent of AcOH was used instead of AcONa. ^{*f*}No AcONa was added to the reaction mixture.

We began our investigation by studying the reaction of the readily available para-quinamine 1a with trans-cinnamaldehyde 2a in the presence of diarylprolinol silyl ether catalysts 3 and AcONa (Table 1).¹⁶ The tosyl nitrogen protecting group of 1a is essential to the success of the reaction.¹⁷ For instance, acetyl or tert-butoxycarbonyl groups shut down the reactivity of the paraquinamines because these groups rendered the NH less acidic for a subsequent deprotonation under basic conditions.^{15b} Starting from 1a, various diarylprolinol silvl ether catalysts 3 were investigated (entries 1-3).¹⁸ The catalyst 3a provided the desired product 4aa in 60% isolated yield and 92% ee for the major diastereomer, while no reaction occurred by using the 3,5- $(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$ -derived catalyst 3b (entries 1 and 2). In order to improve the yield, we surmised that the presence of a bulkier silyl group (e.g., TBS) could improve the stability and lifetime of the catalyst.^{10b} Therefore, the best result was obtained with 3c, which

gave rise to 4aa in 80% yield and 96% ee (entry 3). Experiments carried out under acidic conditions (entry 4) or neutral conditions (entry 5) gave lower yields of 4aa. The influence of the reaction medium was then investigated by screening various solvents (entries 6-8). While toluene turned out to be a suitable solvent for the desymmetrization process (4aa, 50% yield), switching to DMF or MeCN was detrimental to the formation of 4aa. With the optimized conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 3), the scope and limitations with respect to the nature of R^1 and R^2 were assessed (Table 2). A reaction time of 7 days was required to ensure maximum conversion rates and optimal yields. Initially, changes to the R² group on the α_{β} -unsaturated aldehydes 2 were investigated (2a-m). We first focused our attention on the influence of the position of the aromatic substituent on the efficiency and stereoselectivities of the reaction. Starting from aldehydes 2b and 2e bearing a methyl or a methoxy group in para-position, similar results as for 4aa were obtained even if a slight decrease of ee was observed for 4ae (entries 1, 2, and 5). For the methyl and methoxy series, the reaction rate starting from **1a** is in the order para > meta > ortho with similar levels of stereoselectivities within each series (entries 2-7). This reactivity order could be explained by an increased steric hindrance at the β position of the enal thus hampering the nucleophilic addition of the para-quinamine 1a. Substrates 2h and 2i possessing a halogen group also reacted to afford the desired hydroindoles 4ah and 4ai in 61% and 51% yield, respectively, with good diastereoselectivities and high enantioselectivities (entries 8 and 9). Surprisingly, a slight decrease of reactivity (27–30% yield) was observed starting from α_{β} -

Table 2. Substrate Scope^{*a*}


entry R^1 R^2 4 % yield ^b dr ^c % ee	
	d
1^e Me (1a) Ph (2a) 4aa 80 3:1 96	
2 Me (1a) 4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2b) 4ab 76 5:1 93	
3 Me (1a) 3-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2c) 4ac 65 5:1 93	
4 Me (1a) 2-MeC ₆ H ₄ (2d) 4ad 50 4:1 92	
5 Me (1a) 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (2e) 4ae 71 5:1 81	
6 Me (1a) 3 -MeOC ₆ H ₄ (2f) 4af 61 5:1 n.d.	
7 Me (1a) 2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (2g) 4ag 43 5:1 88	
8 Me (1a) 4-ClC ₆ H ₄ (2h) 4ah 61 4:1 92	
9 Me (1a) 4 -FC ₆ H ₄ (2i) 4ai 51 5:1 93	
10 Me (1a) $4-NO_2C_6H_4$ (2j) 4aj 30 2:1 97	
11 Me (1a) 2-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ (2k) 4ak 27 4:1 90	
12 Me (1a) 2-thienyl (2l) 4al 63 5:1 86	
13 Me (1a) Me (2m) 4am 42 4:1 69	
14 Et (1b) Ph (2a) 4ba 24 2.5:1 88	
15 Bu (1c) Ph (2a) 4ca 13 2:1 90	
16 Ph (1d) Ph (2a) 4da 61 5:1 93	

^{*a*}Reactions were performed on 0.15 mmol scale using 1 equiv of 1, 1.5 equiv of 2, 20 mol % of 3c, and 1 equiv of AcONa at 55 °C for 7 days unless otherwise noted. n.d. = not determined. ^{*b*}Isolated yield for the major diastereomer. ^{*c*}Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude. ^{*d*}Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral HPLC on the Wittig products prepared from major diastereomers 4. See Supporting Information for details. ^{*e*}In this case, the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 days.

unsaturated aldehydes 2j and 2k bearing a nitro substituent on the aromatic ring (entries 10 and 11). The thiophene-derived enal 2l was found to undergo a clean reaction with *para*quinamine 1a to provide the product 4al in 63% yield and 86% *ee* (entry 12). Crotonaldehyde 2m was also amenable to the desymmetrization reaction providing access to 4am in 42% yield with 69% *ee* (entry 13). Variations to the prochiral scaffold were next investigated (entries 14 and 15). The rate decreased rapidly with the increase of the chain R¹ length for similar reasons as described above. While the reaction of 1b bearing an ethyl group afforded 4ba in 24% yield and 88% *ee*, the butyl-derived product 4ca was prepared in a modest yield of 13% with high enantiocontrol (90% *ee*). An increase of the yield was observed by reacting 2a with 1d bearing a phenyl group. The product 4dawas obtained in 61% yield and 93% *ee* (entry 16).

From a synthetic standpoint, the in situ preparation of aldehydes is particularly interesting due to their sensitivity to storage and possible degradation over time. To this aim, the desymmetrization strategy has then been combined with a Rucatalyzed aerobic oxidation of allylic alcohols through a multicatalytic sequential approach (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Multicatalytic Oxidation and Organocatalytic Desymmetrization Sequence



The oxidation of cinnamyl alcohol **5** in the presence of tetrapropylammonium perruthenate $(TPAP)^{19}$ and O_2 produced the corresponding *trans*-cinnamaldehyde **2a**, which was in situ converted to **4aa** in 46% yield and 93% *ee* after subsequent addition of *para*-quinamine **1a**, catalyst **3c**, and AcONa. To illustrate the synthetic potential of bicyclic structures **4**, selective transformations were carried out (Scheme 3). Hydrogenation of **4aa** furnished the perhydroindole **6** in a 79% yield and functionalization of the aldehyde via a Wittig reaction gave rise to 7 in 83% yield.

In conclusion, we have described the first example of aminocatalyzed desymmetrization of *para*-quinamines toward the enantioselective synthesis of hydroindole motifs, widely found in alkaloids. Key features of our strategies include a

Scheme 3. Transformations of Product 4aa



challenging aminocatalyzed aza-Michael reaction and an iminium/enamine cascade process mediated by a diphenylprolinol TBS ether catalyst in the presence of sodium acetate. Sixteen examples of hydroindoles were prepared (13-80%) with enantiomeric excesses ranging from 69% to 97%. A noteworthy feature of this transformation lies in the formation of four contiguous stereocenters including one quaternary carbon. The original desymmetrization process was combined with a Rucatalyzed oxidative process to outline the synthetic potential of the strategy leading to hydroindole motifs, which can undergo various transformations.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data including NMR spectra and relevant HPLC traces. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b01595.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: vincent.coeffard@uvsq.fr.

*E-mail: christine.greck@uvsq.fr.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Fondation de Coopération Scientifique Campus Paris Saclay for a Ph.D. grant to L.P. This work was supported by the French National Research Agency under the Program Investing in the Future Grant no. ANR-10-IDEX-0003-02. We acknowledge financial support from CNRS and Université de Versailles-St-Quentin-en-Yvelines.

REFERENCES

(1) Rovis, T. In *New Frontiers in Asymmetric Catalysis*; Mikami, K., Lautens, M., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2007; pp 275–311.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Kalstabakken, K. A.; Harned, A. M. *Tetrahedron* 2014, 70, 9571. (b) Maertens, G.; Ménard, M.-A.; Canesi, S. *Synthesis* 2014, 46, 1573. (c) Yang, X.; Wang, J.; Li, P. Org. *Biomol. Chem.* 2014, 12, 2499.

(3) For selected examples, see: (a) Vo, N. T.; Pace, R. D. M.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 404. (b) Leon, R.; Jawalekar, A.; Redert, T.; Gaunt, M. J. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1487. (c) Corbett, M. T.; Johnson, J. S. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2828. (d) Martín-Santos, C.; Jarava-Barrera, C.; del Pozo, S.; Parra, A.; Díaz-Tendero, S.; Mas-Ballesté, R.; Cabrera, S.; Alemán, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 8184.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Liu, Q.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2552. (b) Liu, Q.; Rovis, T. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2007, 11, 598. (c) Jia, M.-Q.; You, S.-L. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 6363. (d) Jia, M.-Q.; Liu, C.; You, S.-L. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10996. (e) Jia, M. Q.; You, S.-L. Synlett 2013, 24, 1201.

(5) For selected examples, see: (a) Gu, Q.; You, S.-L. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1519. (b) Gu, Q.; You, S.-L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5192. (c) Li, J.; Liu, G.-L.; Zhao, X.-H.; Du, J.-Y.; Qu, H.; Chu, W.-D.; Ding, M.; Cong-Yang, J.; Wei, M.-X.; Fan, C.-A. Chem. - Asian J. 2013, 8, 1105.

(6) Tello-Aburto, R.; Kalstabakken, K. A.; Volp, K. A.; Harned, A. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2011**, *9*, 7849.

(7) For selected examples, see: (a) Gu, Q.; Rong, Z.-Q.; Zheng, C.;
You, S.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4056. (b) Rubush, D. M.; Morges,
M. A.; Rose, B. J.; Thamm, D. H.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134,
13554. (c) Ratnikov, M. O.; Farkas, L. E.; Doyle, M. P. J. Org. Chem.
2012, 77, 10294.

(8) Yao, L.; Liu, K.; Tao, H.-Y.; Qiu, G.-F.; Zhou, X.; Wang, C.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6078.

(9) Du, J.-Y.; Zeng, C.; Han, X.-J.; Qu, H.; Zhao, X.-H.; An, X.-T.; Fan, C.-A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, 137, 4267.

(10) For recent examples, see: (a) Ait-Youcef, R.; Moreau, X.; Greck, C. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5312. (b) Desmarchelier, A.; Coeffard, V.; Moreau, X.; Greck, C. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 13222. (c) Portalier, F.; Bourdreux, F.; Marrot, J.; Moreau, X.; Coeffard, V.; Greck, C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5642. (d) Pantaine, L.; Coeffard, V.; Moreau, X.; Greck, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 2005.

(11) (a) Fridrichsons, J.; Mathieson, A. McL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1960**, *1*, 18. (b) Crow, W. D. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1962**, *15*, 159. (c) Fridrichsons, J.; Mathieson, A. McL. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1963**, *16*, 206.

(12) Pfäffli, P.; Hauth, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, 1682.

(13) Jepsen, T. H.; Jensen, A. A.; Lund, M. H.; Glibstrup, E.; Kristensen, J. L. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 766 and references cited therein .

(14) For reviews, see: (a) Enders, D.; Wang, C.; Liebich, J. X. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 11058. (b) Wang, J.; Li, P.; Choy, P. Y.; Chan, A. S. C.; Kwong, F. Y. *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4*, 917. (c) Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Aceña, J. L.; Simón-Fuentes, A.; del Pozo, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 7430.

(15) (a) Li, H.; Wang, J.; Xie, H.; Zu, L.; Jiang, W.; Duesler, E. N.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. **2007**, *9*, 965. (b) Li, H.; Zu, L.; Xie, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, W. Chem. Commun. **2008**, 5636–5638.

(16) See Supporting Information for the preparation of *para*quinamines **1**.

(17) *para*-Quinamine **1a** turned out to be a suitable substrate although it was necessary to use a loading of 20 mol% of catalyst **3** to maintain good reaction rates.

(18) For the seminal use of diarylprolinol silyl ether catalysts in aminocatalysis, see (a) Marigo, M.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Fielenbach, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 794. (b) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212. (19) (a) Suh, C. W.; Kim, D. Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5374. (b) Rueping, M.; Dufour, J.; Bui, L. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1021.