

Intramolecular Direct Dehydrohalide Coupling Promoted by KO^tBu: Total Synthesis of *Amaryllidaceae* Alkaloids Anhydrolycorinone and Oxoassoanine


Subhadip De, Santanu Ghosh, Subhajit Bhunia, Javeed Ahmad Sheikh, and Alakesh Bisai*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)
Bhopal, MP-462023, India

alakesh@iiserb.ac.in

Received July 16, 2012

ABSTRACT



A transition-metal-free intramolecular dehydrohalide coupling via intramolecular homolytic aromatic substitution (HAS) with aryl radicals has been developed in the presence of potassium *tert*-butoxide and an organic molecule as the catalyst. The methodology has been applied to a concise synthesis of *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids viz. oxoassoanine (1b), anhydrolycorinone (1d), and other related structures. Interestingly, the method also works only in the presence of potassium *tert*-butoxide.

In contemporary organic synthesis, C–C bond-forming reactions¹ through selective functionalization of aromatic compounds via C–H bond activation have emerged as an extremely useful exploratory synthetic strategy.² Thus, the challenge to devise newer, efficient methods to directly

transform C–H bonds to other functional groups motivates synthetic chemists to develop an ‘ideal synthetic procedure’,³ which could eventually be applied to natural product synthesis and drug discovery.⁴

Significant effort has already been made in direct C–H bond transformation through traditional demetalhalide cross-coupling utilizing transition metal catalysts.⁴ Direct cross-coupling methods, such as demetal hydride,⁵ demetal hydroxide,⁶ dehydrative,⁷ dehydrohalide,⁸ and dehydrogenative⁹ cross-couplings, have received considerable

(1) (a) Smit, W. A.; Bochkov, A. F.; Caple, R. *Organic Synthesis: The Science behind the Art*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 1998. (b) Corey, E. J.; Cheng, X.-M. *The Logic of Chemical Synthesis*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1995. (c) For numerous examples, see: Nicolaou, K. C.; Sorensen, E. J. *Classics in Total Synthesis*; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1996. (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Snyder, S. A. *Classics in Total Synthesis II*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003.

(2) For excellent reviews, see: (a) Shilov, A. E.; Shul’pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 2879. (b) Davies, H. M. L.; Beckwith, R. E. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 2861. (c) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 107, 174. (d) Diaz-Requejo, M. M.; Perez, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, 108, 3379.

(3) (a) Wender, P. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, 96, 1. (b) Gaich, T.; Baran, P. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 75, 4657. (c) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffman, R. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 2854. (d) Brückl, T.; Baxter, R. D.; Ishihara, Y.; Baran, P. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, 45, 826 and references cited.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Li, C.-J.; Trost, B. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2008**, 105, 13197. (b) Anastas, P. T.; Warner, J. C. *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*; Oxford University Press: New York, 1998. (c) McGlacken, G. P.; Bateman, L. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 2447. (d) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 9792. (e) Doyle, M. P.; Duffy, R.; Ratnikov, M.; Zhou, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110, 704.

(5) (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Kan, S.; Igi, K.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 1698. (b) Chen, X.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 12634. (c) Pastine, S. J.; Gribov, D. V.; Sames, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 14220. (d) Yang, S.-D.; Sun, C.-L.; Fang, Z.; Li, B.-J.; Li, Y.-Z.; Shi, Z.-J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 1473.

(6) Kang, F.-A.; Sui, Z.; Murray, W. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 11300 and references cited therein.

(7) Kang, F.-A.; Lanter, J. C.; Cai, C.; Sui, Z.; Murray, W. V. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 1347.

(8) For a review, see: Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 107, 174.

(9) (a) Li, C.-J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 335. (b) Li, B.-J.; Tian, S.-L.; Fang, Z.; Shi, Z.-J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 1115. (c) Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. *Science* **2007**, 316, 1172.

(10) (a) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 9792. (b) Campeau, L.-C.; Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2007**, 40, 7.




Figure 1. Pyrrolophenanthridine based *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids.

attention to accomplish this goal. In this context, the synthesis of biaryls through direct C–H bond functionalization¹⁰ is of significant interest because of their wider abundance in natural products, pharmaceuticals, and materials. Recently, Itami et al.¹¹ first described an unprecedented account on KO^tBu-mediated biaryl coupling of aryl halides and electron-deficient heterocyclic substrates in the absence of a transition metal catalyst. Following this report, a few pioneering reports also revealed that the biaryl couplings could be promoted in the presence of KO^tBu and a diamine ligand.¹² These pairs presumably initiate single electron transfer (SET) to a C–X bond at elevated temperatures,^{13a} initially providing a radical anion that gives rise to a radical species for further propagation.^{13b}

The impetus for syntheses of biaryl compounds lies in their exhaustive use as building blocks in many alkaloids and natural products. Specifically, here, we have targeted the indole based alkaloids of the *Amaryllidaceae* family

(11) (a) Yanagisawa, S.; Ueda, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Itami, K. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4673. (b) For coupling of nitrogen heteroaromatics with cycloalkanes, see: Deng, G.; Ueda, K.; Yanagisawa, S.; Itami, K.; Li, C.-J. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 333. (c) Yanagisawa, S.; Itami, K. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 827.


(12) (a) Liu, W.; Cao, H.; Zhang, H.; Chung, K. H.; He, C.; Wang, H.; Kwong, F. Y.; Lei, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16737. (b) Sun, C.-L.; Li, H.; Yu, D.-G.; Yu, M.; Zhou, X.; Lu, X.-Y.; Huang, K.; Zheng, S.-F.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 1044. (c) Shirakawa, E.; Itoh, K.-i.; Higashino, T.; Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 15537. (d) Roman, D. S.; Takahashi, Y.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3242. (e) For a KO^tBu-cuproine promoted synthesis of biaryls, see: Sun, C.-L.; Gu, Y.-F.; Huang, W.-P.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 9813.

(13) (a) For a KO^tBu-mediated Mizoroki–Heck type reactions, see: Shirakawa, E.; Zhang, X.; Hayashi, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4671. (b) For an essay on organocatalytic cross-coupling, see: Studer, A.; Curran, D. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 5018.

(14) (a) Ghosal, S.; Lochan, R.; Ashutosh, K.; Yatendra, S.; Radhey, S. *Phytochemistry* **1985**, *24*, 1825. (b) Ghosal, S.; Rao, P. H.; Jaiswal, D. K.; Kumar, Y.; Frahm, A. W. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 2003. (c) Harayama, T.; Akamatsu, H.; Okamura, K.; Miyagoe, T.; Akiyama, T.; Abe, H.; Takeuchi, Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2001**, 523. (d) Harayama, T.; Akiyama, T.; Nakano, Y.; Shibaike, K.; Akamatsu, H.; Hori, A.; Abe, H.; Takeuchi, Y. *Synthesis* **2002**, 237. (e) Bellocchi, D.; Macchiarulo, A.; Costantino, G.; Pellicciari, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 1151. (f) Ishida, J.; Hattori, K.; Yamamoto, H.; Iwashita, A.; Mihara, K.; Matsuoka, N. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 4221.

(15) For synthesis of pyrrolophenanthridone based *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids, see: (a) Hartung, C. G.; Fecher, A.; Chapell, B.; Snieckus, V. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1899. (b) Ganton, M. D.; Kerr, M. A. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4777. (c) Mentzel, U. V.; Tanner, D.; Tønder, J. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 5807. (d) Robbins, D. W.; Boebel, T. A.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4068. (e) Umamoto, H.; Dohshita, M.; Hamamoto, H.; Miki, Y. *Heterocycles* **2011**, *83*, 1111. (f) Miki, Y.; Umamoto, H.; Dohshita, M.; Hamamoto, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 1924 and references cited therein.

Table 1. Optimization of Organocatalytic Dehydrohalide Coupling



entry ^a	catalyst	base	solvent	temp	time	yield (%) ^b
1	2a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	toluene	100 °C	6 h	45
2	2a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	benzene	80 °C	7 h	51
3	2a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	6 h	63^c
4	2b (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	9 h	21
5	2c (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	8 h	52
6	2d (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	6 h	30
7	3a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	7 h	36
8	3b (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	9 h	23
9	3c (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	7 h	21
10	4a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	6 h	64^d
11	4a (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	benzene	80 °C	8 h	53
12	4b (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	8 h	23
13	4c (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	7 h	58
14	4c (40 mol %)	KO ^t Bu	benzene	80 °C	9 h	45
15	2a (40 mol %)	NaO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	8 h	trace
16	4a (40 mol %)	NaO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	7 h	trace
17	no catalyst	NaO ^t Bu	mesitylene	80 °C	9 h	trace
18	no catalyst	KO ^t Bu	mesitylene	100 °C	6 h	67^e
19	no catalyst	KO ^t Bu	benzene	80 °C	6 h	62

^a Reactions were carried out with 0.25 mmol of **5a**, 0.10 mmol of catalyst, and 0.75 mmol of KO^tBu in 2 mL of solvent in a sealed tube at 80–100 °C for a specified time, unless otherwise stated. ^b In most of the cases the reactions were associated with the cleavage of amides, yielding 18–20% of indoline. ^c Condition A. ^d Condition B. ^e Condition C.

having a pyrrolophenanthridinone core such as **1a–j** (Figure 1) due to their interesting biological activities, such as cytotoxicity and inhibition of male fertility.^{14,15} Herein, we demonstrate a methodology for intramolecular biaryl synthesis from *N*-dihydroindolyl/benzyl amine derivatives, having a halogen at the *ortho*-position, using KO^tBu as the sole coupling promoter in the presence or absence of a catalytic amount of organic molecules. Utilizing this coupling strategy, we were able to synthesize several pyrrolo- and dihydrophenanthridines which are vital structures for several *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids, through addition of aryl radicals to arene derivatives.

Our studies began with 2-bromobenzoylindoline (**5a**) as the substrate in the presence of **2–4** and KO^tBu to access corresponding pyrrolophenanthridine (**6a**) (Table 1). After extensive optimization, 40 mol % of DMEDA **2a** with 3 equiv of KO^tBu in mesitylene (condition A) as solvent afforded the required product in 63% yield (entry 3, Table 1). Thus, mesitylene was chosen for further optimization studies (entries 1–3). Under similar conditions, **2b**, **2c–d**,




Figure 2. Initial exploration of the substrate scope.

and **3a–c** afforded products in 21–52% yields (entries 4–9). The reaction could be performed with almost similar efficiency using 40 mol % of 1,10-phenanthroline **4a** (condition B) and bipyridine **4c** (entries 10 and 13, respectively). However, dppp **4b** was found to be inferior in terms of catalytic activity (entry 12). The reactions were associated with 18–20% of indoline due to cleavage of the amide linkage of the substrate in the presence of KO^tBu. A noteworthy observation was that the reaction could also be performed only in the presence of KO^tBu (condition C) to afford products with similar efficiency (entry 18). This demonstrated that KO^tBu is solely responsible for the biaryl coupling.¹⁶

Three sets of reaction conditions were chosen *viz.* KO^tBu with **2a** (condition A) and **4a** (condition B) as well as KO^tBu alone (condition C) in mesitylene, and the results obtained are summarized in Figure 2. Noticeably, 2-haloarylamides prepared from indoline (**5a–b**, **5e–f**, **5i–j**, and **5m**) and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (**5c–d**, **5g–h**, **5k–l**, and **5n**) underwent smooth reactions to afford a wide range of products. As a preview of the usefulness of this methodology, we could successfully carry out the total syntheses of oxoasosanine (**1b**) and anhydrolycorinone (**1d**) (Figure 2). Natural products **1b** and **1d** are in fact advanced intermediates for the synthesis of pratosine (**1i**) and hippadine (**1f**), respectively.

To make our strategy practically viable, the reaction was also conducted with 8 mmol of **5a**, affording **6a** in 55% yield along with 20% of indoline.

To further explore the strategy, a few *N*-substituted isatins **5q–r** and 2-oxindoles **5o–p** were subjected under the optimized conditions to afford the biaryl products (Figure 3). However, contrary to our assumption, **5o–p** afforded tetracyclic *O*-arylated products **6g–h** instead of *C*-arylated products. In these cases, *O*-arylation takes place presumably due to the presence of a sufficiently acidic proton at the 3-position of 2-oxindole derivatives. The X-ray crystal structure of tetracyclic **6g** provided unambiguous proof of this unusual *O*-arylation process. Surprisingly, under identical conditions **5q** and **5r** yielded a mixture of products.




Figure 3. Substrates scope for biaryl syntheses.

To show the versatility of our approach, the strategy was further utilized for the synthesis of dihydrophenanthridines, structurally similar to a number of *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids (see **7a–c**; Figure 4).

To our delight, under optimized conditions B and C the *N*-aryl-2-bromobenzylamines (**5s–da**) afforded various dihydrophenanthridines (**6i–p**) in moderate to good yields (up to 70% yield) as shown in Figure 5.^{12e} Interestingly, just the presence of KO^tBu was sufficient to effect this coupling (condition C; Figure 5). However, condition A was found to be unsuitable for this reaction yielding products in poor yields (~12–24% for **5s–t**). The strategy provides a ready access to 5,6-dihydrobicolorine (**7c**) in up to 70% yield starting from **5y–z**. On extending the reaction to substrates **5ca–da** with electron-withdrawing groups, we found that only **5ca** affords product **6p** in 34% yield under condition B, whereas **5da** simply leads to

(16) For reviews of HAS with aryl radicals, see: (a) Bolton, R.; Williams, G. H. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1986**, *15*, 261. (b) Fosse, J.; Lefort, D.; Sorba, J. *Free Radicals in Organic Chemistry*; John Wiley and Sons: Chichester, 1995; Chapter 14, pp 166–180. (c) Studer, A.; Bossart, M. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; Vol. 2, Chapter 1.4, pp 62–80. (d) Bowman, W. R.; Storey, J. M. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1803. (e) For a review on nucleophilic substitution reactions by electron transfer, see: Rossi, R. A.; Pierini, A. B.; Peññory, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 71. (f) Bhakuni, B. S.; Kumar, A.; Balkrishna, S. J.; Sheikh, J. A.; Konar, S.; Kumar, S. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2838.




Figure 4. Phenanthridine based *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids.




Figure 5. Synthesis of dihydropyrananthridines.

decomposition (Figure 5), indicating the process is probably facilitated by the presence of electron-donating groups.

A tentative mechanism involving SET has been proposed in Figure 6 based on recent mechanistic proposals by Shirakawa–Hayashi et al.^{12c} Aryl halides [Ar–X] are transformed to aryl radicals via radical anions (such as 8a) by reacting with a single electron donor such as metal *tert*-butoxides (9a).¹⁶ The radical anion 8a is then converted into aryl radical 8b to add intramolecularly at the seventh position of indoline derivatives, providing cyclohexadienyl radical 8c. Single electron oxidation of 8c by radical cation 9b (generated from 9a or KO^tBu) leads to cyclohexadienyl cation 8d, which is then deprotonated by *tert*-butoxide to afford coupling product 6 and *t*-BuOH. Cationic metal species 9c or K⁺ reacts with KO^tBu to regenerate metal chelated complex 9a, which further continues the catalytic cycle. On the other hand, *O*-arylation (6g–h, Figure 3) could possibly occur when the intermediate aryl radical 10a forms a C–O bond with the neighboring carbonyl group to form radical 10b. This is followed by single electron oxidation of 10b by 9b (generated from 9a or KO^tBu),




Figure 6. Proposed mechanism of intramolecular biaryl synthesis.

leading to carbocation 10c. The latter can be stabilized by neighboring nitrogen and oxygen as intermediates 10d and 10e, respectively. Ultimately, a deprotonation/aromatization of 10c affords *O*-arylated product 6g–h (Figure 6).

To conclude, we report an efficient KO^tBu mediated intramolecular homolytic aromatic substitution (HAS) reaction with or without the aid of a catalytic amount of bidentate organic ligands. Mechanistically, an aryl radical intermediate seems to be involved in the HAS process. The utility of our synthetic protocol is illustrated by the total synthesis of pyrrolophenanthroline based *Amaryllidaceae* alkaloids oxoassoanine (1b) and anhydrolicorinone (1d) as well as dihydropyrananthridine based skeletons such as 5,6-dihydrobicolorine (7c). Further studies in this direction are currently ongoing.

Acknowledgment. A.B. sincerely thanks Prof. Vinod K. Singh, Director, IISER Bhopal for his constant encouragement and providing research facilities. We also thank Dr. Sanjit Konar, Asst. Prof. of Chemistry, IISER Bhopal for assistance with X-ray crystallography. A.B. thanks the BRNS, DAE, India, for a Young Scientist Research Award and the DST, Government of India, for research grants. S.D., S.G., S.B., and J.A.S. thank the CSIR, New Delhi, for doctoral fellowships. We sincerely thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

Supporting Information Available. General experimental procedures and characterization of all new compounds, including a CIF file of 6g. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.