

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

- Title: N,N-Dialkylhydrazones as Versatile Umpolung Reagents in Enantioselective Anion-Binding Catalysis
- Authors: Melania Gómez-Martínez, María del Carmen Pérez-Aguilar, Dariusz G. Piekarski, Constantin G. Daniliuc, and Olga García Mancheño

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202013380

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202013380

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

N,N-Dialkylhydrazones as Versatile Umpolung Reagents in Enantioselective Anion-Binding Catalysis

Melania Gómez-Martínez,^{[a]‡} María del Carmen Pérez-Aguilar,^{[a]‡} Dariusz G. Piekarski,^[a] Constantin G. Daniliuc^[a] and Olga García Mancheño^{*[a]}

Dedication ((optional))

Abstract: A novel enantioselective anion-binding organocatalytic approach with versatile N,N-dialkylhydrazones (DAHs) as umpolung nucleophiles is presented. For the application of this concept, a highly ordered hydrogen bond network between a carefully selected CF₃-substituted triazole-based multidentate HB-donor catalyst, the ionic substrate and the hydrazone in the supramolecular chiral ion pair complex was herein envisioned. This was further supported by both experimental and computational studies, showing the crucial role of the anion as template unit. The asymmetric Reissert-type reaction of quinolines has been selected as model test reaction, delivering chemoselectively high enantiomerically enriched hydrazones (up 95:5 e.r.) that can easily be further derivatized to value-added compounds with up to three stereocenters.

The ability to efficiently synthesize enantioselective complex molecules employing simple modes of activation has long been considered an essential goal for asymmetric organocatalysis.^[1] Among different approaches, enantioselective anion-binding catalysis,^[2] which is based on the activation of an ionic substrate towards nucleophilic attack upon binding the anion by a catalyst and formation of a chiral contact ion pair, has recently emerged as a powerful synthetic tool. Since the pioneering work by Jacobsen et. al.^[3] using chiral thioureas as hydrogen bond (HB) donor catalysts,^[4] many applications have been reported in this field.^[2] However, the implied non-covalent interactions for the anion recognition are less directional and more difficult to control than those implying covalent bonds.^[5] For this reason, over the last few years there have been tremendous efforts for the design of new and original chiral catalysts^[6] with the ability for multipleinteractions to effectively spatially locate the reaction partners and, hence, achieve high stereochemical control with challenging reagents.^[7] In this context, we have developed a novel family of chiral triazole-based organocatalysts,^[8] which present multicoordination sites, great modulating capacity and the flexible structure leading to a more effective fixation of the ionic substrates. In consequence, a highly chirality transfer has been achieved in a number of enantioselective reactions such as nucleophilic dearomatization of N- and O-heteroarenes.[8-9] In fact, computational studies on the action of these catalysts have

 [a] Dr. M. Gómez-Martínez, Dr. M. C. Pérez-Aguilar, Dr. D. G. Piekarski, Dr. C. G. Daniliuc, Prof. Dr. Olga García Mancheño Organic Chemistry Institute Münster University Corrensstraße 36 E-mail: <u>olga.garcia@uni-muenster.de</u>
‡ These authors contributed equally

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

recently revealed an interesting role of the anion as bridging motif between the catalyst and polarized nucleophiles to achieve an effective orientation of the reactants.^[10] This finding opens new possibilities by the careful choice of appropriate nucleophiles able to interact via H-bonding with the anions of the contact ion-pair complex.

Inspired by the anion-binding properties of ammonium salts via H-bonding with the C-H bonds alpha to the N-atom and its reliable use in asymmetric catalysis,^[11] we reasoned that N,Ndialkylhydrazones (DAHs) could similarly interact with anions (Figure 1a), providing a more rigid HB-network and an efficient stereocontrol with such reagents. Moreover, hydrazones are considered an important building block in organic synthesis since they allow the introduction of a broad variety of functionalities in complex molecules.^[12] Particularly, DAHs have acquired increasing interest in the past years due to their amphiphilic behavior at the azomethine carbon.[13] However, despite the versatility of these compounds as umpoled nucleophilic reagents, until now only two different activation modes in organocatalysis, *H-bonding*^[4] and *chiral counteranion catalysis*^[14] (Figure 1b), have been efficiently employed.^[15] In fact, the use of hydrazones as nucleophiles through an anion-binding activation approach has only been recently envisioned.^[16] Hence, we herein report on the first use of DAHs as suitable umpoled nucleophiles for anionbinding catalysis by embracing an anion-bridging strategy to allow for the facile and direct access to enantiomerically enriched adducts (Figure 1c).

a) Hydrazones as anion binders ¦ b) Organocatalytic activation strategies



anion-binding catalysis

Figure 1. Postulated anion-binding properties and asymmetric organocatalytic approaches with umpoled *N*,*N*-dialkylhydrazones (DAHs).

In order to evaluate our hypotheses, the enantioselective Reissert-type dearomatization of quinolines was selected as model test reaction (Table 1).^{[8],[17]} We start our study by

COMMUNICATION

investigating the reaction of quinoline (2a). 2.2.2trichloroethoxycarbonyl chloride (TrocCl) as acylating agent and the commercially available formaldehyde dimethylhydrazone 3a as nucleophile,^[18] in the presence of our most versatile triazole catalyst 1a^[8-10] (10 mol%) in toluene at -78 °C (entry 1). Although it proceeded with a complete regioselectivity to the desired 1,2addition product 4a, an unexpected low enantioselectivity was observed. Bearing in mind the challenging stereocontrol with this type of hydrazones, we further envisaged the need of an additional fixation point of the nucleophile to the chiral catalyst. Thus, we replaced the alkyne substitution in 1a for a CF3 group in 1b, aiming at enhancing the catalyst polarization and anionbinding affinity, as well as allowing a new F-H-bond between this group at the catalyst and the carbonylic H-atom of the formaldehyde hydrazone 3a. As predicted, the enantioselectivity could be increased to 79:21 e.r. (entry 2).

Table 1. Optimization screening for the model reaction with 2a^[a]



[a] Conditions: **2a** (1 equiv.) and TrocCl (1 equiv.) were stirred in the corresponding solvent (0,1 M) at 0 °C for 1 h; then the catalyst **1** and **3a** (2 equiv.) were added at the appropriate temperature and reacted overnight. [b] Isolated yields. [c] e.r. determined by chiral SFC. [d] Reaction using dried C_6F_6 over 4Å MS and freshly distilled **2a** and **3a**. [e] Reaction performed in 0.05 M.

At this point, based on the work of MacMillan *et al.*,^[19] we predicted that the use of perfluoroarenes as solvents should disfavor the possible cation π -interactions between the corresponding quinolinium generated in the media and the

solvent and, in consequence, an improvement in the enantioselectivity of the process should be observed. In fact, when a mixture of hexafluorobenzene (C₆F₆) and toluene was employed, the enantiomeric ratio was slightly enhanced to 81:19 e.r., allowing to perform the reaction at 0 °C (entry 3). Next, tetrakistriazole catalysts 1c-f bearing different substituents at the aryl moiety were tested, providing good yields but lower enantioselectivities (entries 4-7), which supports the favorable effect of the CF_3 substitution at this position. In other to further improve the enantioselectivity, other types of catalysts such as thioureas 1h-i and squaramide 1j were tested (entries 8-10). However, disappointing results were obtained with these stronger HB-donors (<10% e.e.), which should bind more tightly the chloride anion to form a chiral contact ion pair. Finally, the regioisomeric CF3-tetrakistriazole 1g was investigated since some reports on triazole anion-receptors showed higher anion affinities with this type of isomers.^[20] This structural change was translated to a further improvement on the enantioinduction, providing 4a in 88:12 e.r. (entry 11), and 92:8 e.r. when only C₆F₆ was used as r.t. (entry 12). Finally, the use of freshly distilled C₆F₆ at 4 °C gave rise to the best enantiomeric ratio of 94:6 (entry 13).

With the optimized conditions in hand (see S.I. for a complete optimization study), catalyst 1g (10 mol%) in C₆F₆ at 4 °C, the substrate scope on the hydrazone 3 was explored (Scheme 1). Symmetrically and non-symmetrically substituted N,N-dialkylhydrazones provided good yields and moderate to good enantioselectivities (4-7;9-11a), while a substantial drop in the yield and a loss of enantioinduction was observed with an aromatic substitution (8a). N-Monoalkyl and C1 substituted hydrazones led to no reactivity or undesired products such as N-Troc- and 1,4-addition adducts, respectively (see S.I.) Moreover, as is shown in the Scheme 1, the substitution on the N-atom of the hydrazone affected significantly to the enantioselectivity, which is in line with our hypothesized participation of the N-alkyl groups in the formation of the key chiral contact ion pair. Thus, the replacement of the methyl group for longer linear alkyl chains (6a) or benzyl (7a), and bulky groups such as i-Pr (5a) or t-Bu (11a) led to lower enantioselectivities (70:30 - 85:15 vs. 94:6 e.r.).



Scheme 1. Screening of the substitution on the hydrazone 3.

Conversely, the employment of the freshly prepared 1piperidine and 1-pyrrolidine hydrazones, gave rise the products **9a** and **10a** with good to excellent enantioselectivities (up to 95:5 e.r.). However, for practical reasons the subsequent investigations were mostly performed with the commercially

10.1002/anie.202013380

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

available dimethyl hydrazone 3a. Thus, the scope on the guinoline substrate 2 was carried out (Scheme 2). First of all, it is worthy to mention that the reaction could be conducted in an up to 2 mmol scale with no significant erosion in the activity or selectivity of the process (4a; 79%, 93:7 e.r.). The substitution at different positions of the quinoline was well tolerated, except for the C3- (4d) and C8-positions (4e) that led to significant lower enantioselectivity or no reaction, respectively. Moreover, the method showed a good functional group compatibility, allowing many groups such as halogens, methoxy, alkyl, alkenyl, alkynyl, nitro, amides or esters to give the products 4f-s and 9b,g,l,n (R'2= (CH2)5) in good to high enantioselectivities (up to 94:6 e.r.). Furthermore, the absolute configuration of the new stereocenter could be determined as (S) upon X-ray structure analysis of the crystalline product 4s.[21] It is especially remarkable the excellent chemoselectivity observed with guinolines substituted with an additional electrophilic species such as an aldehyde or a nitro-Michael acceptor (4t, 9t and 4u). In these cases, the reaction gave exclusively the 1,2-addition product at the quinoline moiety within good enantioselectivities (up to 92:8 e.r.). Remarkably, the reaction also proceeded in the presence of a boronic ester, leading to the product 4v with an 80:20 e.r. Lastly, phenanthridine, a diazarene and less reactive, more challenging pyridines could also be enrolled, leading to the hydrazone products 12-14 in up to 90:10 e.r.



Scheme 2. Substrate scope.^[a,b]



Scheme 3. Recycling of the catalyst 1g and synthetic applications.

Lastly, aiming at gaining insight into the key interactions within the catalyst **1g** and the mechanism of the reaction, the standard reaction of **2a** and TrocCl with hydrazone **3a** was investigated in more detail (Figure 2). The monitoring of the reaction course was performed at room temperature in an NMR tube in C₆F₆/toluene*d*₈ (4:1) due to solubility issues (Figure 2a, see S.I.). This revealed a fast transformation, requiring just 1 h to reach **4a** in ~60% yield (6 h, 76%) and ≤5 min for the final enantioselectivity of 92:8 e.r. Moreover, the chloride anion affinity of the catalyst **1g** was determined by NMR titration with tetrabutylammonium chloride (TBACI) as chloride source in a constant [2 mM] of **1g** (see S.I. for details). As predicted, the central CF₃ groups boosted the affinity to ~3100 M⁻¹ (and ~1875 M⁻¹ for its regioisomer **1b** vs. ~500 M⁻¹ for **1a**).^[10] Based on that, a plausible mechanism considering the formation of a tight catalyst:substrate contact ion

COMMUNICATION



Figure 2. a) Reaction monitoring and binding constant for **1g**: $CI^{[22]}$ b) proposed mechanism, and c) computed **TS** for the model reaction of **2a** with **3a** in C₆F₆ at r.t.. Zoom-in: F–H (red), CH–CI (black), and Cl– π interactions (blue); new C–C bond (grey). See S.I. for details.

pair complex is shown in Figure 2b. Hence, the real substrate quinolinium salt I is formed in situ by treatment of 2a with TroCl. This species forms a chiral contact ion pair II with the catalyst 1g. Then, the nucleophilic attack of the hydrazone 3a delivers the intermediate III, which provides the final product upon deprotonation by Cl⁻ or another molecule of 3a, with concomitant formal elimination of HCI and regeneration of the catalyst 1g.[18] Finally, the transition state (TS) of the reaction was computed at DFT-B3LYP^[23]-GD3BJ^[24]/def2tzvp^[25]//AM1^[26] level of theory including the solvent effect (C6F6) with COSMOS-RS^[27] at BP86^[28]/def2tzvp (Figure 2c, see S.I. for more details). The 1g:Cl⁻ complex in I is stabilized by four HBs between Cl⁻ and the C-H bonds of the central triazoles and arenes. Moreover, the approach of the nucleophile 3a is directed by a F-H bond between a CF3 group of 1g and a carbonyl H of 3a (see weakly bounded II...3a). In the TS, however, only one arms of the catalyst participates in the HB-interactions with Cl⁻, while additional stabilization via F-H bonds with the CF₃ group and both the substrate and 3a, as well as a CI- π interaction between the Troc-group and the other arm of the catalyst, takes place. The nucleophilic addition involves a small barrier of 2.7 kcal mol-1, leading to the more stable intermediate III (-13.5 kcal mol⁻¹) that further evolves to the final product 4a. Moreover, the H-bonding network and pre-orientation in the TS explained a more favorable Si-face attack and the observed absolute configuration (S) of the product.

In conclusion, an enantioselective nucleophilic addition of umpoled formaldehyde *N*,*N*-dialkylhydrazones to quinolinium

chloride salts embracing a novel catalytic anion-binding approach has been developed. The synthetic versatility of the method was also demonstrated by derivatization of the obtained chiral hydrazones into value-added heterocyclic compounds with up to three stereocenters. The use of a multidentate triazole-based Hdonor as catalyst bearing CF3 groups in the central aromatic units allows for the formation of a supramolecular tight chiral contact ion pair complex by a highly ordered HB-network between the catalyst, ionic substrate and nucleophilic hydrazone to ensure a high enantioselectivity even at room temperature. Based on experimental and computational observations, the chloride anion has an important role as it acts as junction between all components of the reaction. Moreover, the nature of N-alkyl group on the hydrazone is also crucial, since it participates in the HBnetwork in the TS to fix the reagent. Further catalytic strategies based on such anion-templated HB-assemblies are currently being investigated in our group.

Acknowledgements

The European Research Council (ERC-CG 724695) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the SFB858 are gratefully acknowledged for generous support. L. Schifferer is thanked for providing some catalysts precursors.

Keywords: Hydrazones • Umpolung • Anion-binding catalysis • Enantioselective catalysis • Heterocycles

COMMUNICATION

- A. Moyano, Activation Modes in Asymmetric Organocatalysis (Ed.: R. Rios Torres). Stereoselective Organocatalysis John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2013, pp. 11-80.
- Selected reviews: a) Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 1187-1198; b) R. J. Phipps, G. L. Hamilton, F. D. Toste, *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 603-614; c) S. Beckendorf, S. Asmus, O. García Mancheño, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 926-936; d) M. Mahlau, B. List, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 518-533; e) K. Brak, E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 534-561; f) D. Seidel, *Synlett* 2014, 25, 783-794; g) M. D. Visco, J. Attard, Y. Guan, A. E. Mattson, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2017, 58, 2623-2684.
- Pioneer works: a) M. S. Taylor, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10558-10559; b) I. T. Raheem, P. S. Thiara, E. A. Peterson, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13404-13405.
- Selected reviews in HB-donor catalysis: a) P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2003, 32, 289-296; b) P. M. Pihko, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 2062-2064; c) M. S. Taylor; E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 1520-1543; d) A. G. Doyle, E. N. Jacobsen, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 5713-5743; e) P. M. Pihko, Hydrogen Bonding in Organic Chemistry; WileyVCH: Weinheim, 2009; f) E. N. Jacobsen, R. R. Knowles, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2010, 107, 20678-20685; g) Y. Nishikawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2018, 59, 216-223.
- Selected reviews of covalent activation modes: a) B. List, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 5590 b) C. Palomo, A. Mielgo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 7876-7880; c) S. Mukherjee, J. W. Yang, S. Hoffmann, B. List, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 5471-5569; d) A. Mielgo, C. Palomo, *Chem. Asian J.* 2008, 3, 922-948.
- [6] Selected examples: a) A. G. Schafer, J. M. Wieting, T. J. Fisher, A. E. Mattson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11321-11324; b) J. Attard, K. Osawa, Y. Guan, J. Hatt, S. Kondo, A. E. Mattson, *Synthesis* **2019**, *51*, 2107-2115; c) Y. Park, K. C. Harper, N. Kuhl, E. E. Kwan, R. Y. Liu, E. N. Jacobsen, *Science*, **2017**, *355*, 162-166; d) K. Eichstaedt, J. Jaramillo-Garcia, D. A. Leigh, V. Marcos, S. Pisano, T. A. Singleton J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, *139*, 9376-9381.
- Selected examples: a) E. V. Beletsky, J. Schmidt, X.-B. Wang, S. R. Kass, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18534-18537; b) A. Borovika, P.-I. Tang, S. Klapman, P. Nagorny, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13424-13428; c) D. D. Ford, D. Lehnherr, C. R. Kennedy, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7860-7863; d) A. B. Mayfield, J. B. Metternich, A. H. Trotta, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 4061-4069; e) R. Ning, H. Zhou, S.-X Nie, Y.-F Ao, D.-X. Wang, Q.-Q. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 10894-10898.
- [8] M. Zurro, S. Asmus, S. Beckendorf, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, O. García Mancheño, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13999-14002.
- a) O. García Mancheño, S. Asmus, M. Zurro, T. Fischer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 8823-8827; b) M. Zurro, S. Asmus, J. Bamberger, S. Beckendorf, O. García Mancheño, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 3785-3793; c) T. Fischer, Q.-N. Duong, O. García Mancheño, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 5983-5987; d) M. Zurro, O. García Mancheño, *Chem. Rec.* 2017, *17*, 485-498; d) Q.-N. Duong, L. Schifferer, O. García Mancheño, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 5452-5461; e) T. Fischer, J. Bamberger, M. Gómez-Martínez, D. G. Piekarski, O. García Mancheño, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, *58*, 3217-3221.
- [10] D. G. Piekarski P. Steinforth, M. Gómez-Martínez, J. Bamberger, F. Ostler, M. Schönhoff, O. García Mancheño, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020 DOI:10.1002/chem.202003994.
- [11] Pioneer work: S. Shirakawa, S. Liu, S. Kaneko, Y. Kumatabara, A. Fukuda, Y. Omagari, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 15767-15770. Selected review: T. Nakamura, K. Okuno, R. Nishiyori, S. Shirakawa, *Chem. Asian J.* 2020, *15*, 463-472.
- [12] For Hydrazones in synthesis, see: a) S. Kim, J.-Y Yoon in *Science of Synthesis, Vol.* 27 (Eds: A. Padwa), Georg Thieme, Stuttgart, New York, 2004, pp 671-722. For bioactivity of the hydrazones: b) A. Xavier, M. Thakur, M. Thakur, J. Marie, *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* 2012, *4*, 986-990; c) G. Verma, A. Marella, M. Shaquiquzzaman, M. Akhtar, M. R. Ali, M. M. Alam, *J. Pharm. BioAllied. Sci.* 2014, *6*, 69-80.
- [13] For the pioneer work using DAHs in organocatalysis, see: a) R. Brehme, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 1131-1134. For selected reviews about hydrazones in asymmetric organocatalysis, see: b) R. Fernández, J. M.

Lassaletta, *Synlett* **2000**, 1228-1240; c) R. Brehme, D. Enders, R. Fernandez, J. M. Lassaletta, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 5629-5660; d) R. Lazny, A. Nodzewska, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1386-1434; e) M. G. Retamosa, E. Matador, D. Monge, J. M. Lassaletta, R. Fernández, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 13430–13445. For selected works using DAHs in metal catalysis, see: f) M. Rueping, M. Sudan Maji, H. Bas, P. Küçük, I. Atodiresei, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12864-12868; g) C. Soldi, K. N. Lamb, R. A. Squitieri, M. González-López, M. I J. Di Maso, J. T. Shaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 15142-15145; j) S. Breitler, E. M. Carreira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5296-5299; k) Y. Pang, Q. He, Z.-Q. Li, J.-M. Yang, J.-H. Yu, S.-F. Zhu, Q.-L. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 10663-10668; I) K. Choi, H. Park, C. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 10407-10411.

- [14] Reviews on chiral counteranion catalysis: a) M. Mahlau, B. List, Asym. Synt. II, 2012, 79-85; b) E. P. Avila, G. W. Amarante, ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 1713-172. See also ref. 2d.
- [15] Selected examples of HB-donor catalysis employing DAHs: a) D. J. Dixon, A. L. Tillman, *Synlett* 2005, 2635-2638; b) D. Pettersen, R. P. Herrera, L. Bernardi, F. Fini, V. Sgarzani, R. Fernandez, J. M. Lassaletta, A. Ricci, *Synlett* 2006, 239-242; c) R. P. Herrera, D. Monge, E. Martín-Zamora, R. Fernández, J. M. Lassaletta, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 3303-3306; d) T. Hashimoto, M. Hirose, K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 7556-7557; e) T. Hashimoto, H. Kimura, K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 6844-6847. Selected examples of DAHs in organocatalysis: f) M. Rueping, E. Sugiono, T. Theissmann, A. Kuenkel, A. Köckritz, A. Pews-Davtyan, N. Nemati, M. Beller, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 1065-1068; g) Y. Wang, Q Wang, J. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56, 5612; h) N. Zabaleta, U. Uria, E. Reyes, L. Carrillo, J. L. Vicario, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 8905-8908.
- [16] During the preparation of this manuscript, a complementary related method with isoquinolines and NH'Bu-hydrazones to form chiral azoderivatives appeared: E. Matador, J. Iglesias-Sigüenza, D. Monge, P. Merino, R. Fernández, J. M. Lassaletta, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202012861.
- [17] Selected Reissert examples by anion-binding catalysis: a) M. S. Taylor, N. Tokunaga, E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 6700-6704; b) K. Frisch, A. Landa, S. Saaby, K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 6058-6063; c) Y. Yamaoka, H. Miyabe, Y. Takemoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 6686-6687; d) Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, *38*, 1187-1198; e) A. R. Choudhury, S. Mukherjee, *Chem. Sci.* 2016, *7*, 6940-6945.
- [18] 2 equiv. of 3 were used to achieve faster, cleaner and higher yielding reactions (e.g. 97%, 87:13 e.r vs. 68%, 88:12 e.r. with 1.05 equiv. 3a, see Table S1). The use of stoichiometric 3 led to no poisoning of the catalyst by the *in situ* formed HCI, since it is also quenched by the product.
- [19] a) C. Liu, Z. Oblak, M. N. V. Wal, A. K. Dilger, D. K. Almstead, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2134-2137. For works about *cation π-interactions*: b) J. C. Ma, D. A. Dougherty, *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 1303-1324; c) D. A. Dougherty, *Science* **1996**, *271*, 163-168.
- See e.g.: a) H. Juwarker, J. M. Lenhardt, D. M. Pham, S. L. Craig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 3740-3743; b) Y. Li, A. H. Flood, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 2649–2652; c) Y. Hua, A. H. Flood, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010, 39, 1262-1271.
- [21] CCDC-2034269 contains the crystallographic data of (S)-4s. These data are provided free of charge by The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See also the S.I. for the X-ray crystal structure.
- [22] The binding constant was determined using the program BindFit v0.5, available at http://app.supramolecular.org/bindfit.
- [23] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- [24] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- [25] A. Schäfer, C. Huber, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 100, 5829-5835.
- [26] M. J. S. Dewar, E. G. Zoebisch, E. F. Healy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3902-3909.
- [27] a) COSMOtherm, Version C3.0, Release 17.01; COSMOlogic GmbH and Co. KG, http://www.cosmologic.de; b) A. Klamt, J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 2224-2235.
- [28] a) A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100; b) J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 1986, 33, 8822-8824.

5

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents



Networking for success! Umpoled *N*,*N*-dialkylhydrazones have been identify for the first time as versatile nucleophiles with multiplenetworking ability for self-assembled chiral contact ion pair complexation in enantioselective anion-binding catalysis, leading to a highly ordered non-covalent interactions network with the anion as central template motif that allows high enantiomeric inductions in a model Reissert-type reaction with quinolines.