ChemComm

This article is part of the

New advances in catalytic C–C bond formation via late transition metals web themed issue

Guest editor: Professor Michael Krische

All articles in this issue will be gathered together online at <u>www.rsc.org/catalytic_CC</u>.



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7815-7817

COMMUNICATION

Au-catalyzed synthesis of 2-alkylindoles from N-arylhydroxylamines and terminal alkynes[†][‡]

Yanzhao Wang, Longwu Ye and Liming Zhang*

Received 17th April 2011, Accepted 9th May 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1cc12212f

The first gold-catalyzed addition of *N*-arylhydroxylamines to aliphatic terminal alkynes is developed to access *O*-alkenyl-*N*arylhydroxylamines, which undergo facile *in situ* sequential 3,3rearrangements and cyclodehydrations to afford 2-alkylindoles with regiospecificity and under exceptionally mild reaction conditions.

The Fischer indole synthesis¹ is perhaps the most versatile method for the construction of indole rings² and has been applied extensively in the synthesis of various indole alkaloids³ since the original report by Fischer⁴ more than one hundred years ago. This annulation between an arylhydrazine and a ketone relies on a key 3,3-sigmatropic rearrangement of an *N*-alkenyl-*N'*-arylhydrazine intermediate. While it has been subjected to various modifications/improvements,⁵ there are still notable deficiencies including the often difficulty in achieving excellent regioselectivities with non-symmetric ketones and demanding reaction conditions such as strong acidities and/ or elevated reaction temperatures.

Similarly, 3,3-rearrangements of *O*-vinyl-*N*-arylhydroxylamines or their derivatives⁶ can lead to indole synthesis upon subsequent cyclodehydration. A notable example is the Bartoli indole synthesis.⁷ While this rearrangement typically proceeds at much lower temperatures than in the case of the Fischer indole synthesis, there is a lack of general and straigthforward methods⁶ for the generation of *O*-alkenyl-*N*-arylhydroxyamines. We envisioned that these intermediates could be formed *via* metal-promoted additions of the HO groups of *N*-arylhydroxylamines onto C–C triple bonds (Scheme 1). By using alkynes as substrates, this indole synthesis may provide solutions to some of the deficiencies in the Fischer indole synthesis. Surprisingly, this strategy has not been realized although related ones using propiolates and hydroxamic acids in the presence of bases have been reported.⁸

Efficient additions of various nucleophiles (NuH) to C-C triple bonds have been realized in gold catalysis;⁹ however,

hydroxyamine have not been used as nucleophiles.¹⁰ In continuation of our research on gold catalysis, we anticipated that gold complexes could be employed in Scheme 1 to promote the formation of *O*-alkenyl-*N*-arylhydroxylamine **1** via activation of alkynes toward nucleophilic attack. Herein, we disclose a regiospecific synthesis of 2-alkylindoles via the annulation of *N*-arylhydroxylamines and terminal alkynes under mild reaction conditions.

We started by using N-phenylhydroxylamine and 1-dodecyne (2 equiv) as substrates and Ph₃PAuNTf₂ as the catalyst. To our delight, 2-n-decylindole $3a^{11}$ was indeed formed after reacting at room temperature for 18 h (Table 1, entry 1), and methyl ketone 4a was the major side product. Due to the disproportionation of the hydroxylamine substrate, some aniline and trace amount of diazene N-oxide 5a were observed. We attributed the formation of ketone 4a partly to the competitive N-H addition of the hydroxylamine to 2a followed by subsequent hydrolysis (eqn (1)) and partly to direct gold-catalyzed hydration. H₂O consumed in these processes should mostly come from the cyclodehydrative indole formation. Screening different gold catalysts (entries 2–7) revealed that phosphite-based cationic gold(I) complex, $(ArO)_{3}PAuNTf_{2}$ (Ar = 2,4-di-*tert*-butylphenyl), gave the best result (entry 4). Somewhat to our surprise, DCE was a better solvent than DCM, and the yield was up to 94% (entry 8). Additional solvents (entries 10-14) were examined, and both diethyl ether (entry 10) and toluene (entry 13) were excellent choices as well. The reaction yield decreases as the amount of the alkyne decreases (entries 15 and 16). With 1.8 equivalents of 1-dodecyne (entry 15), the reaction yield was still very good, and the isolated yield was 84%.



Scheme 1 Formation of *O*-alkenyl-*N*-phenylhydroxylamines *via* HO addition to alkynes en route to indoles.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. E-mail: zhang@chem.ucsb.edu; Fax: (+01) (805) 893-4120

[†] This article is part of a ChemComm web-based themed issue on new advances in catalytic C–C bond formation *via* late transition metals. [‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental procedure, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and the X-ray structure of compound **6a**. See DOI: 10.1039/c1cc12212f



^{*a*} Anhydrous solvents were used; [*N*-phenylhydroxylamine] = 0.1 M; under nitrogen. ^{*b*} Estimated by ¹H NMR using diethyl phthalate as an internal reference; the yield for methyl ketone **4a** is calculated based on *N*-phenylhydroxylamine for comparison purposes although it was formally formed *via* hydration of **2a**. ^{*c*} Ar = 2,4-di-*tert*-butylphenyl. ^{*d*} 1.8 Equiv of 1-dodecyne. ^{*e*} 84% isolated yield. ^{*f*} 1.6 Equiv of 1-dodecyne.

$$\begin{array}{c} Ph_{N} \stackrel{OH}{\longrightarrow} H_{+} \stackrel{Fh_{N}}{=} \stackrel{Fh_{N}}{\xrightarrow} R \stackrel{[Au]}{\longrightarrow} H_{+} \stackrel{Ph_{N}}{\xrightarrow} R \stackrel{OH}{\longrightarrow} H_{+} \stackrel{Ph_{N}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{OH}{\longrightarrow} H_{2} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} H_$$

As shown in Table 2, this indole synthesis worked well with various cycloalkylacetylenes (entries 1-3) including cyclopropylacetylene (entry 3). Linear aliphatic terminal alkynes containing various functional groups also reacted well. These functional groups include phenyl (entry 4), protected HO groups (entries 5 and 6), chloro (entry 7), carboxylic acid (entry 8) and a protected amino group (entry 9). Of particular note is the tolerance of the acid labile THP group, confirming the exceptionally mild nature of this indole synthesis; in contrast, the Fischer indole synthesis is typically performed under highly acidic environment. These mild reaction conditions also permit the preparation of chloroindole 3h without affecting with the chloro moiety. A methyl (entry 10) or electronwithdrawing substituents (entries 11-15) at the benzene ring para or meta to the hydroxylamine moiety permitted good to efficient reactions; however, an ortho-Me led to little indole product (<10%), and N-(4-methoxyphenyl)hydroxylamine¹² was not stable to try this chemistry. Attempts to extend this chemistry to aliphatic internal alkynes and arylacetylenes, however, yielded little products.

An important feature of all the above cases is the exclusive selectivity toward 2-alkylindoles, and no 3-alkylindoles were observed. This regioselectivity stems from the Markovnikov additions of hydroxamic acids to the gold-activated terminal
 Table 2
 Reaction scope studies^a



^{*a*} [hydroxylamine] = 0.1 M; Ar = 2,4-di-*tert*-butyl. ^{*b*} Toluene as solvent. ^{*c*} 4-Cl/6-Cl = 1/1.3.

alkynes. In contrast, with corresponding methyl ketones as substrates in the Fischer indole synthesis, strongly acidic mediums (*e.g.*, 5% P_2O_5 /neat MsOH¹³ or neat PPA)¹⁴ are necessary to favor 2-alkylindoles with low to moderate regioselectivities.

In conclusion, we have developed a novel access to *O*-alkenyl-*N*-arylhydroxylamines *via* the first gold-catalyzed addition of *N*-arylhydroxylamines to aliphatic terminal alkynes. This mild gold catalysis is coupled *in situ* with sequential facile 3,3-rearrangement and cyclodehydration, affording 2-alkylindoles regiospecifically in typically good yields and under exceptionally mild reaction conditions.

We gratefully thank NIH (R01 GM084254) and UCSB for generous financial support. LZ is a Alfred P. Sloan fellow.

Notes and references

- (a) B. Robinson, *The Fischer indole synthesis*, Wiley, Chichester, New York, 1982; (b) R. S. Downing and P. J. Kunkeler, in *Fine chemicals through heterogenous catalysis*, ed. R. A. Sheldon and H. Bekkum, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, New York, 2001, pp. 178–183; (c) D. L. Hughes, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 1993, **25**, 607–632.
- 2 G. R. Humphrey and J. T. Kuethe, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 2875-2911.
- (a) J. Bonjoch, J. Catena and N. Valls, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 7106–7115; (b) R. Iyengar, K. Schildknegt and J. Aube, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 1625–1627; (c) C. W. Roberson and K. A. Woerpel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 11342–11348; (d) T. Gan, R. Liu, P. Yu, S. Zhao and J. M. Cook, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 9298–9304;

 (e) H. Ueda, H. Satoh, K. Matsumoto, K. Sugimoto, T. Fukuyama and H. Tokuyama, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 7600–7603.
 4 (a) E. Fischer and F. Jourdan, *Ber.*, 1883, 16, 2241–2245;

- (b) E. Fischer and O. Hess, *Ber.*, 1884, 17, 559–568.
- 5 (a) T. Lipin'ska, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 2001, 37, 231–236;
 (b) C.-Y. Chen, C. H. Senanayake, T. J. Bill, R. D. Larsen, T. R. Verhoeven and P. J. Reider, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 3738–3741; (c) A. R. Katritzky, S. Rachwal and S. Bayyuk, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1991, 23, 357–363; (d) M. Inman and C. J. Moody, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 788–790;
 (e) B. Narayana, B. V. Ashalatha, R. K. K. Vijaya, J. Fernandes and B. K. Sarojini, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2005, 13, 4638–4644.
- 6 J. A. Joule, Sci. Synth., 2000, 10, 380-383.
- 7 For a review, see: R. Dalpozzo and G. Bartoli, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **9**, 163–178.
- 8 (a) M. M. A. Pereira, S. Prabhakar and A. M. Lobo, J. Nat. Prod., 1996, **59**, 744–747; (b) J. R. Hwu, H. V. Patel, R. J. Lin and M. O. Gray, J. Org. Chem., 1994, **59**, 1577–1582; (c) M. Toyota and K. Fukumoto, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992, 547–552; (d) M. Toyota and K. Fukumoto, Heterocycles, 1990, **31**, 1431–1433.
- For reviews, see: (a) A. Corma, A. Leyva-Pérez and M. J. Sabater, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1657–1712; (b) M. Rudolph and A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, DOI: 10.1039/clcc10780a; (c) S. Wang, G. Zhang and L. Zhang, *Synlett*, 2010, **2010**, 692–706; (d) S. M. Abu Sohel and R.-S. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2269–2281; (e) A. Arcadi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3266–3325; (f) A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3180–3211; (g) A. Fürstner and P. W. Davis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3410–3449; (h) L. Zhang, J. Sun and S. A. Kozmin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 2271–2296.
- 10 For an intramolecular case using hydroxamic acids, see: H.-S. Yeom, E. So and S. Shin, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, 1764–1767.
- 11 The regiochemistry is supported by the chemical shift at 6.24 ppm at its ¹H NMR spectrum, and the observed nOe between the 3- and 4- protons in the NOESY1D spectrum of product **3n**.
- 12 N. R. Ayyangar, K. C. Brahme, U. R. Kalkote and K. V. Srinivasan, *Synthesis*, 1984, **1984**, 938,941.
- 13 D. L. Hughes and D. Zhao, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 228-233.
- 14 J. Bosch, J. Bonjoch, A. Diez, A. Linares, M. Moral and M. Rubiralta, *Tetrahedron*, 1985, 41, 1753–1762.