DOI: 10.1002/ejic.200600625

"Isomerism" of Coordination Modes and Numbers in Pentanuclear Organozinc Hydroxylamides: An Exercise in Subtle Substituent Size Effects

Matthias Ullrich,^[a] Raphael J. F. Berger,^[a] Christian Lustig,^[a] Roland Fröhlich,^[b] and Norbert W. Mitzel^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Prof. Gerhard Erker on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Keywords: Zinc / Hydroxylamines / Organometallic / Coordination modes / Crystal structure

The first organometallic zinc hydroxylamides $[Zn(RZn)_4-(ONMe_2)_6]$ (1: R = Me; 2: R = *i*Pr) and $[Zn(EtZn)_4(ONEt_2)_6]$ (3) have been prepared by alkane elimination from dialkylzinc solutions upon treatment with *N*,*N*-dialkylhydroxylamines. The molecular structures of **1** and **2** reveal that a subtle change in the constitution of the aggregates can make a striking difference. By the simple exchange of the MeZn⁺ groups with *i*PrZn⁺ groups, the coordination number of the central Zn²⁺ nucleus increases from four (1) to six (2). The two cluster types are unprecedented in both hydroxylamine and organometallic chemistry. Compounds **1** and **3** adopt a doubly bridged fenestrane-like Zn₅N₆O₆ core with two dangling NR₂ moieties, whereas *i*PrZn species **2** comprises an octahedroid backbone with all donor atoms attached to the

Introduction

Compared to organometallics of the trivalent group 13 elements, zinc requires further contact to a donor atom to reach coordination number (CN) four because of its divalent nature. In metal alkoxide/aryloxide chemistry,^[1] an increase in aggregate dimensionality is already well established [compare the Al₂O₂ ring {(Me₂Al)[O(2,6-*i*Pr₂-C₆H₃)]}₂^[2] with the "double cube" [(MeZn)₃(OMe)₄]₂Zn^[3] (CN = 4, 6)].^[4] The highly diverse chemistry of the O–N double-donor array (hydroxylamines or oximes) provides a plethora of aggregation motifs, for example in group 13 complexes,^[5–9] and ought to be transferable to zinc for the exploration of similar extensions {compare the six-membered ring dimer [(Me₂Al)(ON=CMe₂)]₂^[5a,5b] with the tetrahedroid oximate [(MeZn)(ON=CMe₂)]₄^[10] (CN = 4)}.

- [b] Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster,
- Corrensstrasse 40, 48149 Münster, Germany
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.eurjic.org or from the author.

five Zn nuclei with the maintenance of the same heteroatom composition as **1** and **3**. Variable-temperature ¹³C NMR experiments of all-ethyl cluster **3** reveal that the R_2NO^- ligands rapidly exchange between the RZn⁺ and Zn²⁺ cations. This process is rationalised by a plausible model which also points out that the core structure of **3** could be an intermediate motif in the observed heteroatom connectivity exchange. In line with this, computational investigations reveal that both skeletons are very similar in energy. In sum, the coordination flexibility of the hydroxylamide ligand makes this group of organometallic zinc complexes a highly dynamic family of cluster compounds.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2006)

The high coordination flexibility of the hydroxylamide ligands that is demonstrated in Al and Ga organometallics (e.g. in the readily fluctuating tetracyclic Al₃ cluster $[{(Me_2Al)[ON(Me)]_2CH_2}_2(AlMe)]^{[6]}$) can be expected by hypothesis to allow for the construction of highly dynamic Zn-based multinuclear cluster compounds. Zinc, on its own accord, has a very rich alkoxide chemistry.^[1,3] However, hydroxylamide derivatives of divalent metals are rather poorly understood and encompass merely inorganic coordination compounds of zinc^[11] {e.g. [(H₂NOH)₂ZnCl₂], Crismer's salt^[12]}, but organometallic examples are completely unknown.

We report herein the initial evidence to support the above hypothesis. The reaction of N,N-dialkylhydroxylamines Me₂NOH or Et₂NOH with binary zinc alkyls affords three pentanuclear organozinc cluster compounds: [Zn(RZn)₄-(ONMe₂)₆] (1: R = Me; 2: R = *i*Pr) and [Zn(EtZn)₄-(ONEt₂)₆] (3, vide infra).

Results and Discussion

With the focus on compounds 1 and 2 (Scheme 1), it is elegantly revealed that the highly flexible coordinating O–N ligands allow Zn atoms to adopt a CN > 4. This

WILEY

 [[]a] Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Corrensstrasse 30, 48149 Münster, Germany Fax: +49-251-8336007 E-mail: mitzel@uni-muenster.de

SHORT COMMUNICATION

stands in contrast to the isoelectronically related organozinc hydrazides (N–N double-donor), where zinc is exclusively tetracoordinate.^[13]





Though the formulae of **1** and **2** (apart from the alkyl groups on Zn) are identical, their three-dimensional coordination frameworks differ markedly. The all-methyl cluster $[Zn(MeZn)_4(ONMe_2)_6]$ (**1**) adopts a fenestrane-like motif, as determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 1).^[14] Four O–N moieties coordinate around the central "inorganic" Zn²⁺ ion by their oxygen atoms and are themselves interconnected by RZn⁺ units via O–Zn–N linkages. The resulting dicationic heterofenestrane {[Zn(ONMe_2)_4-(ZnMe)_4]^{2+}} is then charge-compensated by two anionic μ^2 -O-bridging Me₂NO⁻ ligands. If the latter are neglected, the core of **1** is reminiscent of a handful of known complexes (e.g. in bioinorganics),^[15] but those complexes exhibit solely square-planar coordinated central metal ions and have no



Figure 1. Crystal structure of 1 (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted; symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: -x + 1, y, -z + 3/2). Selected distances and angles [Å/°]: Zn1–O3 1.923(3), Zn2–O1 2.100(2), Zn3–N1 2.175(3), O3–N3 1.435(4), Zn2–C2 1.966(4), N3–C32 1.464(5); O1–Zn2–O2 86.8(1), O1–Zn1–O1' 132.5(1), O3–Zn3–N1 85.8(1), O2–Zn2–N3' 103.5(1), Zn1–O1–Zn2 100.0(1), Zn2–O2–Zn3 121.9(1), Zn1–O1–N1 107.9(2), Zn3–O2–N2 123.8(2), Zn3–N1–O1 107.3(2), Zn2–N3'–O3' 111.6(2), Zn2–N3'–C32' 110.2(3), O2–N2–C21 107.2(3), C21–N2–C22 109.8(4).

M–C bonds. The aggregation motif of **1** (all Zn atoms: CN = 4) is unprecedented in both hydroxylamine and organometallic chemistry.

With a subtle size change of the alkyl group at zinc (from Me to *i*Pr), the core structure of the aggregate completely shifts as revealed by the crystal structure of ether solvate 2. OEt₂ (Figure 2).^[14] In this cluster, the ether molecule fills a void but does not contact any of the Zn atoms. The hydroxylamide cluster $[Zn(ONMe_2)_6(ZniPr)_4]$ (2) adopts an octahedral-based structure with all six O-N ligands Obound to the central Zn^{2+} (CN = 6). Charge compensation of this [Zn(ONMe₂)₆]⁴⁻ fragment is achieved by four *i*PrZn⁺ groups, which bind to the six O-N units either in an N,O,Oor in an N,N,O mode. Because all of the O and N atoms are now coordinated to Zn, this contrasts the situation in 1 which has two dangling NMe2 groups - one key feature of the observed coordination "isomerism". Consequently, cluster 2 is one of the limited examples of Zn species that exhibit mixed CNs, whether in organometallic^[1,3] or in inorganic chemistry.^[16]



Figure 2. Solid-state structure of **2** in a crystal of the composition **2**·OEt₂ (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms and the Et₂O solvate molecule are omitted; symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: -x + 1, -y + 2, -z). Selected distances and angles [Å/°]: Zn2–O4' 1.974(2), Zn1–O2 2.205(2), Zn3–N4 2.135(2), O4–N4 1.433(2), Zn2–C201 1.987(3), N3–C32 1.467(3); O2–Zn1–O3 78.0(1), O2–Zn1–O3' 102.0(1), O4'–Zn2–N12 85.2(1), O3–Zn3–N4 106.0(1), Zn1–O12–Zn3 102.1(1), Zn2–O3–Zn3 119.3(1), Zn3–O3–N3 108.5(1), Zn2–O3–N3 122.8(1), Zn3–N4–O4 112.2(2), Zn3–N4–C41 109.0(2), O3–N3–C33 106.9(2), C41–N4–C43 110.8(3).

Although the structures of **1** and **2** are not the same, their core compositions are, and thus, the question arises whether a pathway for the interconversion between the two cluster types exists. VT-NMR spectroscopy sheds some light onto this and onto the molecular dynamics of the aggregates. Between 300 and 200 K, the ¹H NMR spectra of **1** show some signal broadening but no substantial change to indicate structural variations; the ¹³C NMR spectra give a similar picture. A drawback to these measurements is the limited solubility of **1** especially at low temperatures, which is most probably due to its minimal alkyl periphery.

Fortunately, the all-ethyl analogue $[Zn(EtZn)_4(ONEt_2)_6]$ (3, Scheme 2) is much more soluble and represents an exquisite VT-NMR substitute for all-methyl cluster 1 because it adopts the same core motif (Figure 3). Furthermore, the fact that 1 and 3 exhibit the same coordination framework underlines that the structural differences between 1 and 2 cannot be accounted for merely by means of the steric congestion of the "organic sphere" about the Zn₅N₆O₆ heteroatom core. The number of carbon atoms in that sphere doubles from 16 (compound 1) to 32 (compound 3) without any effect on the aggregation motif. Exchange of the MeZn⁺ groups (compound 1) by $iPrZn^+$ groups (compound 2) increases that number only by 8 (from 16 to 24). A simple focus on group sizes - though obvious when only differences between 1 and 2 are examined based on the literature findings of EtZn boryloxides^[17] or Stephan's bulky Me₂Al aryloxide^[2] (compared to "normal" Al-O organyls with CN $> 4^{[1]}$) – is not a definite reason for the varied CN of the present organozinc hydroxylamides. In fact, if bulkiness of the group had an influence on the CN of the central "inorganic" Zn²⁺ nucleus, the opposite trend in the CN would



Scheme 2.



Figure 3. Crystal structure of **3** (thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms and the disorder of the ethyl group at Zn2 are omitted). Selected distances and angles $[Å/^{\circ}]$: Zn1–O11 1.944(7), Zn2–O3 2.041(7), Zn4–N11 2.186(9), O2–N2 1.444(11), Zn5–C501 1.972(12), N2–C23 1.461(15); O5–Zn5–O11 88.7(3), O11–Zn1–O12 139.7(3), O2–Zn2–N12 86.2(3), O3–Zn3–N4 107.8(3), Zn1–O11–Zn5 102.3(3), Zn4–O5–Zn5 117.7(3), Zn1–O12–N12 109.7(5), Zn4–O5–N5 121.9(6), Zn4–N11–O11 104.5(5), Zn5–N2–O2 112.9(6), Zn5–N2–C21 112.6(7), O2–N2–C23 106.0(8), C21–N2–C23 110.8(10).

be expected. The overall packing in the aggregation motif found for 2 is more compact than the one found for 1 and 3.

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3** at 298 K exhibits broad features for the nitrogen-bound ethyl substituents in the regions between ca. 50 and 60 ppm and between ca. 10 and 15 ppm (Figure 4). At 213 K however, all five resonances are well-resolved and observable. This is in accordance with a C_2 molecular symmetry with freely rotating O–N bonds to the dangling NEt₂ groups. The ethyl groups at zinc give two sets of sharp resonances at both low and ambient temperatures. Obviously, the R₂NO⁻ units in compounds 1 and 3 exchange between the five Zn nuclei in a highly fluxional manner.



Figure 4. Details of the ¹³C NMR spectra of $[Zn(ZnEt)_4(ONEt_2)_6]$ (3) at 298 K (in $[D_6]$ benzene) and at 213 K (in $[D_8]$ toluene, DEPT 135 mode).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** (Figure 5) displays one prominent resonance for the Me₂NO⁻ units at 300 K, which indicates an exchange on the NMR time scale comparable in magnitude to that found for the R₂NO⁻ units of **1** and **3**. At temperatures below 270 K, this peak splits into a complex set of signals of rather low resolution. Thus, a drop in the symmetry of the molecule below that of the crystalline state (C_2) can be assumed since the latter would require six chemically different *N*–Me groups. From the complexity of the NMR spectrum, the presence of more than one isomeric species in solution is reasonable. The two motifs found in the solid state for **1** and **2** are two likely possibilities.

The observed highly dynamic behaviour is consistent with rapidly isomerising aggregates. A plausible model is illustrated in Scheme 3a with the heteroatom core of **1**. The process by which this core is transformed into itself can be reasoned by the division of the process into four single steps.^[18,19] The first two steps involve the bond formation between the peripheral noncoordinated N atom and an RZn⁺ group [colour code: green (1)]; in addition, there is a change in connectivity of the O atom of the hydroxylamide from a peripheral Zn atom to the central Zn atom [colour code: orange (2)]. The central Zn atom then becomes hexa-

SHORT COMMUNICATION



Figure 5. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of **2** (in $[D_8]$ toluene) in the chemical shift region of the ONMe₂ moieties. For clarity, the highlighted temperature range around the coalescence point is provided in steps of 10 K.

coordinate, and this finalises the transformation of the core structure from the type adopted by compound 1 to that of 2 in the crystalline state.



Scheme 3. a) Plausible model for the fluctuation of the O–N ligands. The overall C_2 symmetry compels each step to occur twice. b) The isomeric heteroatom cluster core as found for **2** in the solid state – an "intermediate" of the periodical fluctuation.

Two further steps that are reverse in nature to the preceding two allow the ligands to rearrange about the RZn⁺ and Zn²⁺ atoms to reconstruct the heteroatom connectivity pattern as found in the solid state of 1 {the O atom of one O–N unit moves from the central Zn²⁺ nucleus to a peripheral RZn⁺ group [colour code: blue (3)], and the N atom of the same O–N unit disconnects from the organozinc residue to become the dangling NR₂ group [colour code: red (4)]}.

In order to gain more detailed insight into the energetics of these systems, we calculated the energies of the two cluster types represented by 1 and 2 in the crystalline state for the most simple cases, all-methyl compound 1 and the MeZn⁺ analogue of 2, because both are unaffected by substituent conformations. The computations were carried out at the SCF/SV(P) and RI-BP/SV(P) levels of theory and reproduce the core structure parameters of the experimentally determined geometries well. At the SCF/SV(P) level, the aggregation adopted by compound 1 is slightly preferred by 5.4 kcalmol⁻¹. At the RI-BP/SV(P) level, the reverse was found; the structure of compound **2** was preferred with an energy difference of 1.2 kcalmol^{-1} .^[20]

The small differences in the theoretical calculations along with the temperature-dependent NMR studies reveal both aggregation motifs to be very comparable in energy. This explains the sensitivity of the observed aggregation and coordination modes on small substituent effects and the highly dynamic behaviour of the species. Thus, pentanuclear organozinc hydroxylamides 1–3 disclose for the first time that a metal can be flexibly coordinated by O–N ligands not only in terms of coordination modes but also numbers. On the basis of the experimental findings and the unique ability of the hydroxylamide ligand to be flexibly coordinating, this group of organometallic zinc complexes forms a highly dynamic family of cluster compounds.

Experimental Section

CAUTION: Pure zinc organyls may spontaneously ignite upon contact to air or moisture!

Materials and Methods: All manipulations were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere with standard Schlenk and high-vacuum techniques with double manifolds or in a glove box (MBraun Lab Master 130) operated under an argon atmosphere. All solvents were purified by standard procedures immediately prior to use. Me₂Zn was obtained in its pure form by the fractional distillation of a commercial solution (2.0 M in toluene, Aldrich). Et₂Zn (Crompton GmbH, Bergkamen, Germany) was transferred into glassware in the glove box and used without further purification. *i*Pr₂Zn was synthesised according to the literature^[21] and further purified by fractional condensation (removal of trace Et₂O from synthesis). It was stored in the dark at -78 °C because it decomposes quite quickly upon exposure to light or ambient temperature. Me₂NOH was obtained from its hydrochloride salt (Aldrich) by treatment with excess NH3 at -78 °C and separated from the NH₄Cl residue by distillation.^[22] Et₂NOH (Aldrich) was purified by fractional distillation.

General Synthetic Protocol: R_2Zn (R = Me, Et or *i*Pr) was condensed into a round-bottomed flask and then diluted with *n*-hexane to generate a ca. 1 M solution. With heavy stirring, a solution of Me₂NOH or Et₂NOH in THF (ca. 1 M) was slowly added at 0 °C. The ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred until gas evolution had ceased. All volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the colourless to light yellow viscous solid was extracted with *n*-hexane/Et₂O and filtered. The resulting solution was carefully concentrated under reduced pressure and stored at -26 °C (1 and 3) or -45 °C (2) for several days to yield colourless crystals.

1: From Me₂Zn (15.8 mmol, 1.51 g) in *n*-hexane (15 mL), and Me₂NOH (19.0 mmol, 1.16 g, 1.30 mL) in THF (20 mL). Colourless cubes. Yield 1.35 g (1.80 mmol, 57%). ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz, C₆D₅CD₃): $\delta = -0.68$ to -0.15 (m, 12 H, $4 \times \text{ZnCH}_3$), 2.20–3.00 [m, very br., 36 H, $6 \times \text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50.3 MHz, C₆D₅CD₃): $\delta = 3.4$, 5.7 [2 × (2 C, 2 × ZnCH₃)], 51–61 [very br., 12 C, $6 \times \text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$] ppm. C₁₆H₄₈N₆O₆Zn₅ (747.47): calcd. C 25.71, H 6.47, N 11.24; found C 25.34, H 6.32, N 11.12.

2: From iPr_2Zn (17.4 mmol, 2.64 g) in *n*-hexane (20 mL), and Me₂NOH (21.0 mmol, 1.28 g, 1.44 mL) in THF (20 mL). Colourless blocks. Yield 2.70 g (2.89 mmol, 83%). ¹H NMR (200.1 MHz,

CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.53$ [sept, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.5$ Hz, 4 H, 4×ZnCH(CH₃)₂], 1.25 [d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.5$ Hz, 24 H, 4×ZnCH(CH₃)₂], 2.70 [s, 36 H, 6×N(CH₃)₂] ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.6$ [4 C, 4×ZnCH(CH₃)₂], 24.6 [8 C, 4×ZnCH(CH₃)₂], 52.9 [12 C, 6×N(CH₃)₂] ppm. MS (EI = 70 eV): m/z (%) = 648 (100) {M + H -(*i*Pr) -[(*i*Pr)ZnONMe₂]}*. C₂₄H₆₄N₆O₆Zn₅ (859.66): calcd. C 33.53, H 7.50, N 9.78; found C 33.24, H 7.43, N 9.65.

3: From Et₂Zn (19.0 mmol, 2.35 g) in *n*-hexane (20 mL), and Et₂-NOH (22.9 mmol, 2.04 g, 2.35 mL) in THF (20 mL). Colourless blocks. Yield 3.36 g (3.46 mmol, 91%). ¹H NMR (599.8 MHz, 298 K, C₆D₅CD₃): δ = 0.37, 0.37 [2×(q, ³J_{H,H} = 8.1 Hz, 4 H, $2 \times \text{ZnCH}_2(\text{CH}_3)$], ca. 0.85–1.25 [m, very br., 24 H, $4 \times \text{N}_{\text{coord}}(\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_3)_2)$], 1.25 [t, ${}^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ = 7.2 Hz, 12 H, $2 \times \text{N}_{\text{uncoord}}(\text{CH}_2(\text{CH}_3)_2)$], 1.51, 1.53 [$2 \times (\text{t}, {}^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ = 8.1 Hz, 6 H, $2 \times ZnCH_2CH_3$], ca. 2.70–3.20 [m, very br., 24 H, $6 \times N(CH_2 (CH_3)_2$ ppm. ¹H NMR (599.8 MHz, 213 K, $C_6D_5CD_3$): $\delta = 0.40-$ 0.57 (m, 8 H, $4 \times \text{ZnCH}_2\text{CH}_3$), 0.75, 0.86 ($2 \times \{t, br., 2 \times [3 H, t]\}$ 2×Nuncoord(CH₂CH₃)₂]}), 1.18, 1.21, 1.27, 1.28 (4×{t, br., 2×[3 H, $4 \times N_{coord}(CH_2CH_3)_2$), 1.67, 1.69 [$2 \times (t, br., {}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 6$ H, $2 \times \text{ZnCH}_2\text{CH}_3$], 2.27 (q, br., ${}^3J_{H,H}$ = 6.1 Hz), 2.64 (q, br.), 2.69 (q, br., ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.3$ Hz), 2.81 (q, br., ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.9$ Hz), 2.83 (q, br., ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H}$ = 6.9 Hz), 2.88 (q, br., ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H}$ = 6.4 Hz), 2.97 (q, br., ${}^{3}J_{\rm H,H}$ = 6.2 Hz), 3.08 (q, br.), 3.80 (m, br.) $\{8 \times (2 \text{ H}, N_{\text{coord}}CH_2CH_3);$ $1 \times [4 \times 2 \text{ H}, 2 \times N_{\text{uncoord}}(CH_2CH_3)_2]$ ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (50.3 MHz, 298 K, C₆D₆): δ = 3.4, 5.7 [2×(2 C, 2×ZnCH₂CH₃)], ca. 11-17 [very br., 8 C, 4×N_{coord}(CH₂CH₃)₂], 13.1 [4 C, $2 \times N_{uncoord}(CH_2CH_3)_2]$, 14.1, 14.4 [$2 \times (2 \text{ C}, 2 \times ZnCH_2CH_3)$], ca. $2 \times N_{uncoord}(CH_2CH_3)_2$] ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150.8 MHz, 213 K, $C_6D_5CD_3$): $\delta = 2.4, 5.4 [2 \times (2 \text{ C}, 2 \times \text{Zn}CH_2CH_3)], 12.3, 13.0 [2 \times (2 \text{ C}, 2 \times \text{Zn}CH_2CH_3)]$ C, $2 \times \text{ZnCH}_2\text{CH}_3$], 13.6, 13.8, 13.9, 14.2, 14.7 { $4 \times [2 \text{ C},]$ $2 \times N_{coord}(CH_2CH_3)_2$; $1 \times [4 C, 2 \times N_{uncoord}(CH_2CH_3)_2]$, 51.8, 53.8, 55.1, 56.4, 61.2 { $4 \times [2 C, 2 \times N_{coord}(CH_2CH_3)_2]$; $1 \times [4 C,$ 2×N_{uncoord}(CH₂CH₃)₂]} ppm. C₃₂H₈₀N₆O₆Zn₅ (971.87): calcd. C 39.55, H 8.30, N 8.65; found C 38.93, H 8.07, N 8.41.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are indebted to the NRW Graduate School of Chemistry at Münster, GSC-MS (Ph.D. stipend grant for M. U.), and to Dr. K. Bergander and Dr. A. Hepp for conducting the NMR measurements.

- D. C. Bradley, R. C. Mehrotra, I. P. Rothwell, A. Singh, *Alkoxo* and *Aryloxo Derivatives of Metals*, Academic Press, London, San Diego, 2001.
- [2] A. V. Firth, J. C. Stewart, A. J. Hoskin, D. W. Stephan, J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 591, 185.
- [3] a) J. Lewiński, W. Marciniak, J. Lipkowski, I. Justyniak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12698; b) M. L. von Ziegler, J. Weiss, Angew. Chem. 1970, 82, 931; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1970, 9, 905.
- [4] Note that within the metal alkoxides of group 13 (especially those of Al), a strong tendency of the metal/metal complex fragment to be bound by at least one additional donor unit is common and gives the metal a CN >4 (see ref.^[1]). This is only suppressed if ligands of elevated steric demand are incorporated (see ref.^[2]). Nevertheless, this tendency is mainly due to the Lewis-acidity and/or oxophilicity of the group 13 elements and cannot be accounted for by means of core shell saturation demands, as it is the case with Zn.
- [5] a) N. W. Mitzel, C. Lustig, M. Woski, Z. Naturforsch. 2003, 58b, 363; b) J. R. Jennings, K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 1333; c) I. Pattison, K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 2618.

- [6] N. W. Mitzel, C. Lustig, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 4521; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4390.
- [7] a) N. W. Mitzel, C. Lustig, M. Woski, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 397;
 b) H.-D. Hausen, G. Schmöger, W. Schwarz, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978, 153, 271.
- [8] M. Ullrich, N. W. Mitzel, K. Bergander, R. Fröhlich, *Dalton Trans.* 2006, 714.
- [9] N. Voiculescu, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 16, 569.
- [10] N. A. Bell, H. M. M. Shearer, C. B. Spencer, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1984, 40, 613.
- [11] a) V. A. Kuksa, S. M. S. V. Wardell, P. K. T. Lin, R. A. Howie, *Acta Crystallogr, Sect. E* 2002, 58, m68; b) R. Reichenbach-Klinke, M. Zabel, B. König, *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 141.
- [12] J. E. Walker, D. M. Howell, *Inorg. Synth.* 1967, 9, 2, and references therein.
- [13] S. Jana, R. Fröhlich, N. W. Mitzel, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 592.
- [14] Crystallographic details: Single-crystals of compounds 1-3 were mounted under inert perfluoro-polyether at the tip of a glass fibre and cooled in the cryostream of the diffractometer. The crystallographic data set of 1 was collected at 173(2) K with a Nonius Turbo CAD4 diffractometer; the data sets of 2 and 3 were measured at 198(2) K with a Nonius KappaCCD (both operated with graphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation; $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data collection and reduction was performed with Express^[23a] and MolEN^[23b] (1), or with Collect^[23c] and Denzo-SMN^[23d] (2 and 3). The structures were solved by direct methods and refined against F^2 by full-matrix least-squares with SHELXS-97 (1)[23e] and SHELXL-97 (2 and 3).^[23f] In the case of 2, absorption correction was performed with SORTAV.^[23g] CCDC-609256 (1), CCDC-609255 (2) and CCDC-617869 (3) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. 1: (C₁₆H₄₈N₆O₆Zn₅): M_r = 747.47, monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 12.910(1), b =11.851(2), c = 20.324(2) Å, $\beta = 90.02(1)^{\circ}$, V = 3109.2(7) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd.} = 1.597$ gcm⁻³, F(000) = 1536, $\mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 3.837$ mm⁻¹. A total of 4837 reflections were measured in the range $4.00^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 53.88^{\circ}$, of which 3101 were unique ($R_{int} =$ 0.041). Final R indices: $R_1 = 0.034 [I > 2\sigma(I)], wR_2 = 0.084$ (all data); max./min. residual electron density $0.89/-0.37 \text{ e}\cdot\text{Å}^{-3}$. **2**: $(C_{24}H_{64}N_6O_6Zn_5 \cdot C_4H_{10}O)$: $M_r = 933.78$, orthorhombic, space group Pccn, a = 19.870(1), b = 12.360(1), c =17.052(1) Å, V = 4187.9(5) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{calcd.} = 1.481$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1960, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 2.867 mm⁻¹. A total of 21700 reflections were measured in the range $3.88^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 56.52^{\circ}$, of which 5139 were unique ($R_{int} = 0.028$). Final R indices: $R_1 =$ $0.031 \ [I > 2\sigma(I)], \ wR_2 = 0.074$ (all data); max./min. residual electron density $0.64/-0.54 \text{ e}\cdot\text{Å}^{-3}$. 3: (C₃₂H₈₀N₆O₆Zn₅): M_r = 971.87, monoclinic, space group Cc, a = 16.480(1), b =11.595(1), c = 25.073(1) Å, $\beta = 108.54(1)^\circ$, V = 4542.4(5) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{\text{calcd.}} = 1.421 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, F(000) = 2048, $\mu(\text{Mo-}K_{\alpha}) =$ 2.644 mm⁻¹. A total of 9839 reflections were measured in the range 6.04° $\leq 2\theta \leq 55.88^{\circ}$, of which 9386 were unique ($R_{\text{int}} =$ 0.071). Final R indices: $R_1 = 0.071 [I > 2\sigma(I)], wR_2 = 0.199$ (all data); max./min. residual electron density $1.83/-1.12 \text{ e}\cdot\text{Å}^{-3}$.
- [15] This research area mainly encompasses pentanuclear Cu²⁺ species; see a) J. J. Bodwin, V. L. Pecoraro, *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 39, 3434; b) J. A. Halfen, J. J. Bodwin, V. L. Pecoraro, *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, 37, 5416; c) B. Kurzak, E. Farkas, T. Glowiak, H. Kozlowski, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1991, 163, and references cited therein.
- [16] For example, see N. Lalioti, C. P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, A. E. Aliev, S. P. Perlepes, I. P. Gerothanassis, E. Manessi-Zoupa, *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1513.
- [17] Compare the heterocubane [μ³-(9-BBN-9-O)(ZnEt)]₄: S. Luliński, I. Madura, J. Serwatowski, J. Zachara, *Inorg. Chem.* 1999, 38, 4937 with [μ²-(Mes₂B-O)(ZnEt)]₂: R. Anulewicz-Ostrowska, S. Luliński, E. Pindelska, J. Serwatowski, *Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 41, 2525.

SHORT COMMUNICATION

- [18] For clarity, the single steps of isomerisation are discussed as if they occurred one after the other. By logic, a nearly simultaneous course of bond formation and disconnection is most likely to exist.
- [19] In principle, the whole process of isomerisation of the heteroatom array into itself would consist of six such periods (if the single atoms of a same element were labelled distinguishable). After one period, a molecule equal by connectivity, but of inverted stereochemistry would be furnished. Thus, after three periods, the starting molecule would be reattained in its enantiomeric form. Conversion of this *ent*-molecule back to its original would then call for another three periods.
- [20] Supporting Information for computational details is available (see footnote on the first page of this article).
- [21] a) I. D. Gridnev, J. M. Serafimov, H. Quiney, J. M. Brown, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 3811; b) A. Boudier, C. Darcel, F.

Flachsmann, L. Micouin, M. Oestreich, P. Knochel, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 2748; c) H. Soroos, M. Morgana, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1944**, *66*, 893.

- [22] N. W. Mitzel, B. A. Smart, S. Parsons, H. E. Robertson, D. W. H. Rankin, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1996, 2727.
- [23] a) Nonius B. V., 1994; b) K. Fair, Enraf Nonius B. V., 1990;
 c) Nonius B. V., 1998; d) Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, *Methods Enzymol.* 1997, 276, 307; e) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr, Sect Sect. A* 1990, 46, 467; f) G. M. Sheldrick, Universität Göttingen, 1997; g) R. H. Blessing, *Acta Crystallogr, Sect Sect. A* 1995, 51, 33; R. H. Blessing, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 1997, 30, 421.

Received: May 30, 2006 Published Online: September 14, 2006