pubs.acs.org/joc

Indanones and Indenols from 2-Alkylcinnamaldehydes via the Intramolecular Friedel-Crafts Reaction of Geminal Diacetates

Gary B. Womack,* John G. Angeles, Vincent E. Fanelli, Brinda Indradas, Roger L. Snowden, and Philippe Sonnay

Corporate R&D Division, Firmenich, Inc., P.O. Box 5880, Princeton, New Jersey 08543

gary.womack@firmenich.com

Received May 1, 2009



When treated with Ac_2O at rt in the presence of $4-6 \mod \%$ FeCl₃, 2-alkylcinnamaldehydes are converted to 2-alkyl-1H-inden-1-yl acetates through the intermediacy of gemdiacetates. Methanolysis of the indenyl acetates yields the corresponding indenols. Saponification yields 2-alkylindanones, providing, in effect, an intramolecular acylation employing catalytic levels of acid.

Geminal dicarboxylates, or acylals, are prepared by the acid-catalyzed reaction between aldehydes and noncyclic anhydrides of carboxylic acids. The reaction is easily accomplished under mild conditions using a variety of Brønsted or Lewis acid catalysts.^{1,2} A convenient, solventless procedure is to treat aldehydes with excess acetic anhydride and catalytic quantities of FeCl₃ at 0 °C.³ Acylals have been proposed

(3) Kochhar, K. S.; Bal, B. S.; Deshpande, R. P.; Rajadhyaksha, S. N.; Pinnick, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1765–1767.
 (4) Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protective Groups in Organic

Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1999; pp 184-185.

as aldehyde protecting groups.^{2,4} Other synthetic uses are concerned mainly with substitution reactions of a carboxvlate group with carbon nucleophiles.^{1,5} Acetic acid elimination from the diacetate acylals of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes produces 1-acetoxy-1,3-dienes, which have been used in Diels-Alder reactions.⁶ Acylals of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes also have been employed as substrates in Pd-catalyzed allylic substitutions.⁷ In the presence of Lewis acids, the acylals of acrolein and 2-alkylacroleins will alkylate aromatic rings, yielding the enol acetates of 3-arylpropanals, the Scriabine reaction.⁸ This reaction, which provides a route to 3-arylpropanals, was described by Igor Scriabine in 1961. The transformation was effected by treating the unsaturated acylals with an excess of arene and 1 equiv of TiCl₄ in combination with BF₃·Et₂O. More recent procedures developed for industrial purposes accomplish the reaction using substoichiometric levels of Lewis acid.8e,8f

Recently, we reported that in situ generated dimethyl acetals of (E)-2-alkylcinnamaldehydes cyclize in the presence of catalytic quantities of FeCl₃, yielding 1-alkoxy-2-alkyl-1H-indenes (1).^{9,10} Typically, indene formation was effected using 5–10 mol % FeCl₃ in refluxing MeOAc. These indenes were then transformed in two steps (base-catalyzed doublebond migration to form the enol ether and acid-catalyzed hydrolysis) into 2-alkylindanones (2). Formally, the transformation corresponds to an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation achieved with catalytic quantities of Lewis acid.¹¹ Traditional Friedel-Crafts acylations require stoichiometric amounts of Lewis acid to proceed to completion because of coordination of Lewis acid with the resulting aryl ketones. On the basis of the precedents of our acetal cyclization and the Scriabine reaction, it seemed plausible that

(9) Womack, G. B.; Angeles, J. G.; Fanelli, V. E.; Heyer, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7046-7049.

(10) The formation of $\mathbf{1}$ has also been reported using a stoichiometric amount of BF3. Et2O: (a) Jobashi, T.; Kawai, A.; Kawai, S.; Maeyama, K.; Oike, H.; Yoshida, Y.; Yonezawa, N. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 5717-5724. (b) Jobashi, T.; Maeyama, K.; Noguchi, K.; Yoshida, Y.; Yonezawa, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2006, 79, 627-633.

Published on Web 07/02/2009

DOI: 10.1021/jo900910b © 2009 American Chemical Society

5738 J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5738–5741

⁽¹⁾ For a review of the acylal preparation and chemistry, see: Sydnes, L. K.; Sandberg, M. PINSA-A: Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad., Part A 2002, 68, 141-174.

⁽²⁾ For recent examples of acylal preparations, see: (a) Karimi, B.; Maleki, J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4951–4954. (b) Yin, L.; Zhang, Z.-H.; Wang, Y.-M.; Pang, M.-L. Synlett 2004, 1727–1730. (c) Aggen, D. H.; Arnold, J. N.: Haves, P. D.: Smoter, N. J.: Mohan, R. S. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 3675–3679. (d) Kavala, V.; Patel, B. K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 441–451. (e) Wang, J.; Yan, L.; Qian, G.; Yang, K.; Liu, H.; Wang, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 8309–8312. (f) Pahman, M. A. F. M.; Jahng, Y. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *3*79–383. (g) Hajipour, A. R.; Zarei, A.; Ruoho, A. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 2881–2884. (h) Satam, J. R.; Jayaram, R. V. *Catal. Commun.* **2007**, *8*, 1414–1420. (i) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Sreedhar, P.; Kondaji, G.; Nagaiah, K. *Catal. Commun.* **2008**, *9*, 590–593.

 ^{(5) (}a) Sydnes, L. K.; Sandberg, M. *Tetrahedron* 1997, *53*, 12679–12690.
 (b) Sandberg, M.; Sydnes, L. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, *39*, 6361–6364.
 (c) Sandberg, M.; Sydnes, L. K. *Org. Lett.* 2000, *2*, 687–689. (d) Sydnes, L. K.; Pedersen, G. S.; Holmelid, B.; Sanberg, M. Synthesis 2007, 3692-3696. (e) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, G. S. K. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2695-2697. (f) Kryshtal, G. V.; Bogdanov, V. S.; Yanovskaya, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3607-3610.

^{(6) (}a) Snider, B. B.; Amin, S. G. *Synth. Commun.* **1978**, *8*, 117–125. (b) Banks, R. E.; Miller, J. A.; Nunn, M. J.; Stanley, P.; Weakley, T. J. R.; Zakir, U. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 1096–1102. (c) Blanc, P.-Y. Helv. Chim. Acta 1961, 44, 1–12.

 ^{(7) (}a) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3671–3686.
 (b) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L.; Lee, C. B. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2171–2187. (c) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B.; Weiss, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 7247–7248. (d) Trost, B. M.; Vercauteren, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 131– 134. (e) Nay, B.; Peyrat, J.-F.; Vercauteren, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 2231– 2234. (f) Lu, X.; Huang, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 268, 185–190. (g) Gravel, D.; Benoit, S.; Kumanovic, S.; Sivaramakrishnan, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1403–1406. (h) van Heerden, F. R.; Huyser, J. J.; Williams, D.

B. G.; Holzapfel, C. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5281–5384.
 (8) (a) Scriabine, I. Bull. Chem. Soc. Fr. 1961, 1194–1198. (b) Hurlbert, B. S.; Valenti, B. F. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11, 708–710. (c) Skouroumounis, G.; Winter, B. Helv. Chim. Acta 1996, 79, 1095-1109, (d) Valentine, R. H.: Brandman, H. A. Process for the Preparation of Dihydrocinnamaldehyde Derivatives. U.S. Patent 4,389,527, June 21, 1983. (e) Shirai, M.; Yoshida, Y. Sadaike, S. Process for Producing 1-Acetoxy-3-(substituted phenyl)propene Compound. U.S. Patent 7,173,148 B2, Feb 6, 2007. (f) Snowden, R. L.; Birkbeck, A.; Womack, G. B. Catalytic Scriabine Reaction. U.S. Patent Appl. 2008/0091042 A1, April 17, 2008.

⁽¹¹⁾ For other examples of intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylations employing catalytic levels of the Lewis acid, see: (a) Fillion, E.; Fishlock, D.; Wilsily, A.; Goll, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1316–1327. (b) Fillion, E.; Fishlock, D. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4653–4656. (c) Cui, D.-M.; Zhang, C.; Kawamura, M.; Shimada, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1741-1745.

acylals of cinnamaldehydes (**3**) also would undergo an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction to yield 2-alkyl-1*H*-inden-1-yl acetates (**4**) and that saponification of **4** would lead directly to **2**. Of particular interest to us was the potential of such a methodology for developing an industrial synthesis of 2,6-dimethylindan-1-one (**5**), which can be used to make 2,5-dimethyl-2-indanmethanol (**6**), a perfumery compound with a powerful lily-of-the-valley, floral-type odor.¹² Previous approaches to **5** required either heating of the requisite 3-arylcarboxylic acid in polyphosphoric acid¹³ or treatment of the corresponding acid chloride with 1 equiv of AlCl₃.¹⁴



Both Pinnick et al.³ and Trost et al.^{7a,7b} reported the preparation of the diacetate acylal of (E)-cinnamaldehyde by treatment of the enal with an excess of acetic acid anhydride and a catalytic quantity of FeCl₃ at 0 °C. We also observed acylal formation when we treated cinnamaldehyde with excess acetic anhydride and 4 mol % of FeCl₃ at rt or 75 °C. GC-MS analysis showed no evidence for the formation of an indene product in either case. Polymerization to higher molecular weight materials occurred in both reaction mixtures, and after just a few hours at 75 °C, all of the enal and acylal were consumed. However, when we treated (E)-2-methylcinnamaldehyde with 1.5 equiv of acetic anhydride and 4 mol % of FeCl₃ at rt, the anticipated indenyl acetate product (4a) was formed. GC analysis of the reaction mixture after 3 h showed the presence of both 4a and the acylal in about equivalent amounts. After 1 day of stirring, 4a was the major product and was isolated in 36% yield (Table 1, entry 1). In contrast, the (E)-2-alkylcinnamaldehydes with larger alkyl groups reacted more efficiently to form the indenyl acetates in yields of 78-84% with complete consumption of the starting enals (entries 2-7). A 1-day reaction period is not necessary because 4e was isolated in 88% yield after a 2-h reaction period (entry 5). For 2-methylcinnamaldehyde, increasing the level of acetic anhydride to 3 equiv and the catalyst level to 6 mol % resulted in the complete consumption of the enal and acylal but only modestly improved the yield of 4a to 48% (entry 1).

Identification of the acylal in the **4a** reaction mixture indicated that indenyl acetates were formed by cyclization of an initially formed acylal intermediate. However, another possible pathway was that 2-alkylcinnamaldehydes cyclized to indenol intermediates, which then were acetylated under



entry	R	enal (E/Z)	product	isolated yield (%)
1	Me	100:0	4a	$36, 48^{b}$
2	Et	96:4	4b	79, 84^{b}
3	Pr	96:4	4c	78
4	ⁱ Pr	60:40	4d	82
5	Bu	95:5	4e	83, 88 ^c
6	pentyl	92:8	4 f	84
7	hexyl	91:9	4 g	$80, 82^d$

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1.5 equiv of Ac_2O , 4 mol % FeCl₃, rt, 1 day. ^{*b*}Reaction conditions: 3 equiv of Ac_2O , 6 mol % FeCl₃. ^{*c*}Reaction conditions: 2 h at rt. ^{*d*}Reaction conditions: 3 equiv of Ac_2O , 2 h at rt.

SCHEME 1. Mechanism for the Formation of 4



the reaction conditions. The acid-catalyzed cyclization of some 3-aryl-2-alkyl-2-propenals into 2-alkylindenols has been reported but only in cases where the aryl ring bore electron-donating groups.¹⁵ We found no evidence for indenol intermediates in our reaction mixtures, and no indene products were observed in the absence of acetic anhydride. In the case of 2-hexylcinnamaldehyde, treating the enal with less catalyst (1 mol %) at 0 °C allowed the preparation of the acylal (**3g**). However, even under these conditions, cyclization to **4g** occurred, so the reaction mixture was worked up after just 30 min to afford **3g** in 59% yield (E/Z = 85:15). When a CH₂Cl₂ solution of **3g** was treated with 4 mol % FeCl₃ at rt, the acylal was completely consumed within 1 h and **4g** was isolated in 87% yield.

On the basis of these results, we propose an intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction in which an allylic oxocarbenium ion, derived from the in situ generated acylal, alkylates the phenyl group to form the indane skeleton (Scheme 1). This process is analogous to that proposed for cyclization of the dimethyl acetals of 2-alkylcinnamaldehydes.^{9,10} In order for cyclization to occur, the stereochemical integrity of the enal *E*-configured double bond must be lost. While this requirement was recognized previously in the case of acetal cyclization, a pathway to account for it was not proposed. A potential mechanism would be an allylic rearrangement of the acylal to a 1,3-diacetoxy-1-propene intermediate 7 (Scheme 1). This intermediate could regenerate either the

 ^{(12) (}a) Vial, C.; Bernardinelli, G.; Schneider, P.; Aizenberg, M.; Winter,
 B. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2005, 88, 3109–3117. (b) Winter, B.; Gallo-Flückiger, S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2005, 88, 3118–3127.

⁽¹³⁾ Fukuoka, M.; Yoshihira, K.; Natori, S.; Mihashi, K.; Nishi, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1983, 31, 3113–3128.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Bachmann, W. E.; Cook, J. W.; Hewett, C. L.; Iball, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1936, 54–61.

^{(15) (}a) Gupton, J. T.; Clough, S. C.; Miller, R. B.; Lukens, J. R.; Henry,
C. A.; Kanters, R. P. F.; Sikorski, J. A. *Tetrahedron* 2003, *59*, 207–215.
(b) Singh, P. P.; Reddy, P. B.; Sawant, S. D.; Koul, S.; Taneja, S. C.; Kumar,
H. M. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, *47*, 7241–7243.

SCHEME 2.





Preparation of 2 and 8

E- or *Z*-acylal, resulting in isomerization of the double bond, or it could lead directly to the cationic species needed for the intramolecular Friedel–Crafts reaction. While we did not observe intermediate 7, the generation of 1,3-diacetoxy-1-propenes from diacetate acylals of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes is known.¹⁶ These compounds also were noted as minor byproducts in the FeCl₃-catalyzed preparation of the diacetate acylals of 2-hexenal and crotonaldehyde.^{7a}

The only previously known member of the **4** series is **4a**. It was prepared in a five-step process involving conversion of benzaldehyde to 2-methylindenone, NaBH₄/CeCl₃ reduction to 2-methyl-1-indenol (**8a**), followed by acetylation.¹⁷ Our new method for the direct conversion of 2-alkylcinna-maldehydes to **4** is a much more convenient and direct route. Convenient routes to 2-alkylindenols **8** and 2-alkylindanones **2** were realized by the acid-catalyzed methanolysis or saponification of **4**, respectively (Scheme 2).

Indenois 8a-d were isolated in good yields after treating 4 with methanol and H₂SO₄ at rt. All proved to be solids, but only 8a could be recrystallized. Base-catalyzed hydrolysis of 4 was expected to yield 2 via the initial formation of 8, followed by double-bond migration to the enol. The basecatalyzed conversion of indenols to indanones is known,¹⁸ and the migration of the indenvl double bond is believed to proceed by a series of suprafacial 1,5-H shifts.¹⁹ Treatment of 4g with methanolic NaOH (3 equiv) at rt resulted in the complete consumption of indenyl acetate within 5 h and the formation of two products. From this mixture, 8d and 2d were isolated in 62% and 36% yields, respectively. Indanone was obtained in 88% yield as the only product after heating the reaction mixture at 60 °C for 1 day. Indanones 2a-c were isolated in yields of 72-92% after being treated with 3 equiv of NaOH at rt for 24 h.

Interested in a route to indanone **5**, we prepared indenyl acetates from 3-aryl-2-methylpropenals **9** and 3-aryl-2-ethylpropenals **10** with substituents on the aromatic ring (Table 2). The enals were treated with 3 equiv of Ac_2O and 4-6 mol % FeCl₃ at rt. As was found for the simple 2-alkylcinnamalde-hydes (Table 1), the 2-methylenals **9** required a 1-day reaction period to completely consume the starting enal and afforded only moderate yields (42-49%) of indenyl acetates (entries 1–3), while the corresponding 2-ethylenals **10** were



entry	aryl group	R	enal	product	isolated yield (%)		
1	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Me	9a	11a	49		
2	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	Me	9b	11b	44		
3	4- ^t BuC ₆ H ₄	Me	9c	11c	42		
4	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Me	9d	11d	0^b		
5	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Et	10a	12a	82		
6	2-MeC ₆ H ₄	Et	10b	12b	82		
7	$4^{t}BuC_{6}H_{4}$	Et	10c	12c	89		
8	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Et	10d	12d	25^c		
9	$4-ClC_6H_4$	Et	10e	12e	82		
^{<i>a</i>} Departian conditional 2 equiv of $A = O(A + 6mol \theta)/E_0 C_1$ is calculated							

"Reaction conditions: 3 equiv of Ac₂O, $4-6 \mod \%$ FeCl₃, no solvent, rt, 1 day (R = Me), 2-6 h (R = Et). ^bTrace level of **11d** detected by GC– MS analysis. ^cReaction conditions: 6 mol % FeCl₃, rt, 8 h.

consumed within 2-8 h and resulted in indenyl acetate yields of 82–89% (entries 5–7). A *p*-methoxy group on the aryl ring resulted in significant decomposition and subsequently low yields of the cyclized products (entries 4 and 8). In the case of the 2-methylenal 9d, GC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture indicated only a low level of the expected indenyl acetate 11d and incomplete consumption of the starting enal and acylal intermediate. Bulb-to-bulb distillation of this reaction mixture showed that the experiment yielded mostly a polymeric residue. Cyclization of the ethyl analogue 10d was more efficient, but the catalyst level was increased to 6% and the mixture stirred for 8 h to ensure consumption of the starting enal. 12d was isolated in only 25% yield, with the reaction producing mostly a polymeric residue. For 2-ethyl*p*-chlorocinnamaldehyde, the reaction proceeded normally (6 mol % FeCl₃ used) to yield **12e** in 82% yield (entry 9).

Treatment of **11a** with NaOH (rt, 1 day) yielded **5** in 81% yield, the structure of which was confirmed by a comparison with the literature NMR data for both **5** and 2,5-dimethylindanone.¹³ The exclusive formation of **5** proves that cyclization of the acylal proceeded without scrambling of the acetate group between C(1) and C(3) of the resulting indene.

Because 11a was formed in only 49% yield, the overall isolated yield of 5 from 9a was just 40%. Therefore, we sought to improve the yield of the cyclization reaction. Other acids (SnCl₄, BF₃·Et₂O, ZnCl₂, AlCl₃, EtAlCl₂, TiCl₄, and H_2SO_4) were screened using our typical conditions (Table 2) while monitoring the product yield by GC analysis with dodecane as an internal standard. While SnCl₄ and BF₃. Et₂O were comparable to FeCl₃, none of the tested catalysts proved superior. While we remained focused on FeCl₃, other reaction parameters were varied using the readily available 2-methylcinnamaldehyde as the substrate. Conditions were developed that improved the isolated yield of 4a from 48% (Table 1, entry 1) to 75% while reducing the catalyst level from 6 mol % to just 0.5 mol %. This was achieved by heating the enal/acetic anhydride (4 equiv) reaction mixture at 116 °C for 30 min while adding the catalyst (0.5 mol % FeCl₃·6H₂O in 1 equiv of acetic anhydride) dropwise. Conversion of 4a to 2a in 92% yield (Scheme 2) resulted in an overall 69% yield of 2a from 2-methylcinnamaldehyde.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Smith, C. W.; Norton, D. G.; Ballard, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 5282–5284.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Pincock, J. A.; Young, I. S. Can. J. Chem. 2003, 81, 1083–1095.

^{(18) (}a) Clark, W. M.; Tickner-Eldridge, A. M.; Huang, G. K.; Pridgen,
L. N.; Olsen, M. A.; Mills, R. J.; Lantos, I.; Baine, N. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1998, 120, 4550–4551. (b) Gevorgyan, V.; Quan, L. G.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4089–4092. (c) von Criegee, R. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1930, 481, 263–302.

^{(19) (}a) Friedrich, E. C.; Taggart, D. B. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 720–723.
(b) Almy, J.; Hoffman, D. H.; Chu, K. C.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 1185–1190.

Applying the same cyclization conditions to **9a** improved the yield of **11a** from 49% to 68% for an overall yield of 55% **5** from the enal.

In conclusion, we have found that the in situ generated acylals of 2-alkylcinnamaldehydes undergo an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts reaction to yield indenyl acetates at rt in the presence of catalytic amounts of $FeCl_3$ (4–6 mol %). Yields from 2-methylcinnamaldehydes were moderate (36-49%), while those from the corresponding 2-ethylcinnamaldehydes were good (82-89%). In the case of 2-methylcinnamaldehyde, the yield of 4a was improved to 75% and the catalyst level reduced to just 0.5 mol % by heating the reaction mixture (116 °C) for 30 min while adding the catalyst dropwise. Saponification of the indenyl acetates afforded 2-alkylindanones in high yields. The net effect of these two steps is acylation of the aryl ring using catalytic quantities of the Lewis acid. In contrast, a traditional Friedel-Crafts acylation route to 1-indanones requires at least a stoichiometric level of the acid promoter.²⁰

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Preparation of Indenyl Acetates. A mixture of 2-alkylcinnamaldehyde aldehyde (50 mmol) and acetic anhydride (75–150 mmol) was cooled in an ice bath. Anhydrous FeCl₃ (0.3 g, 1.8 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was removed from the cold bath and stirred on the bench for 2–24 h. It was then diluted with 100 mL of diethyl ether and washed with water (2 × 50 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The products were isolated directly by bulb-to-bulb distillation to yield acetates as pale-yellow liquids. In a few cases, the distillates were further purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc).

2-Methyl-1*H***-inden-1-yl Acetate (4a).** A mixture of 2-methylcinnamaldehyde (2.92 g, 20 mmol), acetic anhydride (6.1 g, 60 mmol), and FeCl₃ (0.2 g, 1.2 mmol) was stirred at rt for 1 day. After workup, bulb-to-bulb distillation (140 °C, 0.025 mmHg) afforded 1.8 g (9.6 mmol, 48% yield) of **4a** as a yellow oil. NMR data matched that reported in the literature:¹⁷ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.97 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 6.41 (s, 1H), 7.07 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 14.0 (q), 21.0 (q), 78.4 (d), 120.3 (d), 124.2 (d), 125.1 (d), 128.8 (d), 129.3 (d), 142.1 (s), 143.7 (s), 144.4 (s), 171.5 (s).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-Alkylindenols. In a typical procedure, 39 mmol of 2-alkyl-1*H*-inden-1-yl acetate

was mixed with 50 mL of methanol and 0.7 g (7 mmol) of concentrated H_2SO_4 . The mixture was stirred for 2 days at room temperature and then neutralized with 1 g of Na_2CO_3 . The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was subjected to bulb-to-bulb distillation or flash chromatography (95:5 hexane/ethyl acetate) to yield **8** as a pale-yellow solid.

2-Methyl-1*H***-inden-1-ol (8a).** From 3.0 g (16 mmol) of 4a, 1.7 g (11.6 mmol, 73% yield) of 8a was isolated by bulb-to-bulb distillation (120 °C, 0.03 mmHg) as a pale-yellow solid. Recrystallization from pentane/EtOAc afforded white crystals; mp = 83-85 °C (lit.¹⁷ mp 84–86 °C). NMR data matched that reported in the literature:¹⁷ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 2.00 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 6.27 (s, 1H), 7.04–7.19 (m, 3H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 13.7 (q), 78.7 (d), 120.0 (d), 123.3 (d), 124.8 (d), 126.8 (d), 128.4 (d), 143.0 (s), 145.2 (s), 148.4 (s).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-Alkylindanones. In a typical procedure, 20 mmol of indenyl acetate was mixed with 30 mL of MeOH and 20 mL of 3 M NaOH. In the case of 4a, 4d, 4e, and 9a, the mixtures were stirred at rt for 1 day. For 4g, the reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 1 day. After neutralization with 21 mL of 1.5 M H_2SO_4 and dilution with 100 mL of water, the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether. The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated. The residue was subjected to bulb-to-bulb distillation or flash chromatography (98:2 hexane/ethyl acetate) to yield indanones 2 and 5.

2-Methylindanone (2a). A mixture of **4a** (21.3 mmol), MeOH (25 mL), and 3 M NaOH (22 mL) was stirred for 1 day and then neutralized with 1.5 M H₂SO₄ (23.1 mL). After workup, bulb-to-bulb distillation afforded 2.85 g (19.5 mmol, 92% yield) of **2a** as a pale-yellow oil. NMR data matched that reported in the literature:^{21 1}H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.31 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 2.66–2.74 (m, 2H), 3.39 (dd, J = 8.2 and 17.4 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dt, J = 7.5 and 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H)); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 16.3 (q), 34.9 (t), 42.0 (d), 123.9 (d), 126.6 (d), 127.3 (d), 134.7 (d), 136.3 (s), 153.5 (s), 209.4 (s).

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Dr. Estelle Delort (Firmenich) and Erik Decorzant (Firmenich) for measuring the high-resolution mass spectrometry data and to Robert Brauchli (Firmenich) for assistance with NMR measurements and interpretation.

Supporting Information Available: Details of the alternate, reduced-catalyst experimental procedure for preparing **4a** and **11a**, procedure for preparing **3g**, and compound characterization data and copies of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for compounds **2**, **3g**, **4**, **8**, **11**, and **12**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

(21) (a) Crich, D.; Yao, Q. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3566–3570.
(b) Boykin, D. W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1418–1423.

⁽²⁰⁾ For reviews on intramolecular acylation yielding 1-indanones, see: (a) Heaney, H. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1991; Vol. 2, pp 753–768. (b) Larock, R. *Comprehensive Organic Transformations*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; pp 1422–1433. (c) Popp, F. D.; McEwen, W. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1958**, *58*, 321– 401. (d) Johnson, W. S. *Org. React.* **1944**, *2*, 114–177.