## Rhodium-Catalyzed [2+2+2] Cycloaddition of Oximes and Diynes To Give Pyridines

### Fen Xu, Chunxiang Wang, Dongping Wang, Xincheng Li, and Boshun Wan\*<sup>[a]</sup>

Transition-metal-catalyzed [2+2+2] cycloaddition reactions are valuable for the synthesis of pyridine derivatives with high atom efficiency.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Despite numerous studies in this field,<sup>[3,4]</sup> the nitrogen source is restricted to nitriles (Scheme 1 a). Therefore the development of a straightfor-



Scheme 1. Synthesis of pyridines through either cycload dition or C–H bond functionalization.

ward and efficient strategy for the generation of pyridines is a formidable challenge in this field. An alternative nitrogen source, oximes, can be easily accessed from hydroxylamine and carbonyl compounds (for example, aldehydes and ketones). The reactivity of the C=N bond makes oximes an alternative coupling partner for cycloaddition reactions; however, only the reaction of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated oximes and alkynes has been reported to generate substituted pyridines (Scheme 1b).<sup>[5]</sup> In these cases, either intramolecular [4+2] cycloaddition<sup>[5a]</sup> or C-H bond functionalization<sup>[5b-d]</sup> was involved, both of which occurred using a rhodium catalyst. Although there are a few reports that show that imines bearing

#### directing groups can undergo [2+2+2] cycloaddition to afford 1,2-dihydropyridines under conditions of high temperature (100 °C),<sup>[6]</sup> the low reactivity of the C=N bond remains a challenging problem for simple oximes to participate in metal-catalyzed [2+2+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1c). In addition, avoiding metal-catalyzed rearrangements<sup>[7]</sup> and the Beckmann rearrangement<sup>[8]</sup> of oximes to give the corresponding amides are challenges that need to be overcome. Furthermore, water, which would be generated in situ from the dehydration of the initial cycloadduct (for example, Nhydroxy-1,2-dihydropyridine) may greatly affect the efficiency of metal catalyzed cycloaddition reaction. Despite these challenges, we surmised that precious metals that could tolerate stoichiometric amounts of water could be used as catalysts for the effective activation of the oxime substrates. Herein, we report the first example of a rhodium-catalyzed cycloaddition of oximes and divnes that gives substituted pyridines (Scheme 1c). Moreover, the formation of pyridines from a one-pot reaction of an aldehyde, a hydroxylamine, and a divne, as well as a possible reaction pathway are also discussed.

Based on our previous work on [2+2+2] cycloaddition reactions,<sup>[4]</sup> we used a combination of  $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$  and binap as a catalyst system. Initially, the reaction of diyne 1a with (E)-benzaldehyde oxime **2a** was conducted in the presence of a catalytic amount of [Rh(cod)<sub>2</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> and binap. The solvent is critical for this reaction. The use of MeOH and CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH afforded **3aa** in 26% and 31% yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). However, only a trace amount of 3aa was obtained when the reaction was conducted in either toluene, EtOH, dioxane, or DMF (Table 1, entries 1, 2, 5, and 6). Other bidentate phosphine ligands in combination with  $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$  were screened for catalytic activity (Table 1). We were pleased to find that the use of dppf as a ligand led to the highest catalytic activity, and pyridine 3aa was obtained in moderate yield (Table 1, entry 8). Subsequently, we found that increasing the loading of [Rh- $(cod)_2$ ]BF<sub>4</sub> and dppf to 10 mol% and then to 20 mol% led to a decrease in yield (Table 1, entries 13 and 14). Increasing the catalyst-to-ligand ratio from 1:1 to 1:1.2 resulted in a slight improvement in the yield (Table 1, entry 15). Importantly, the efficiency of the reaction could be drastically enhanced by increasing the amount of 2a from 2 to 4 equivalents (69% vield as determined using HPLC, Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Therefore, the optimized reaction conditions were as follows:  $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$  (5 mol%), dppf (6 mol%),

 <sup>[</sup>a] F. Xu, C. Wang, Dr. D. Wang, Dr. X. Li, Prof. Dr. B. Wan Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023 (P. R. China) Fax: (+86)411-8437-9223 E-mail: bswan@dicp.ac.cn

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201203909.

**A EUROPEAN JOURNAL** 

PPh<sub>2</sub> MeO

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions for pyridine formation.<sup>[a]</sup>



PPh<sub>2</sub>

PPh2

PPh₂

PPh<sub>2</sub>

	segphos	MeO-biphep	xantphos	dppf
Entry	Solvent	<i>x</i> / <i>y</i>	Ligand	Yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup>
1	toluene	5/5	binap	trace
2	EtOH	5/5	binap	trace
3 <sup>[c]</sup>	MeOH	5/5	binap	26
4	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	binap	31
5	dioxane	5/5	binap	trace
6	DMF	5/5	binap	trace
7	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	segphos	25
8	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	dppf	44
9	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	dppe	trace
10	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	xantphos	trace
11	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	MeO-biphep	27
12	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/5	(R)-Tol-binap	24
13	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	10/10	dppf	41
14	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	20/20	dppf	27
15	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/6	dppf	53
16 <sup>[d]</sup>	CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	5/6	dppf	69

[a] Reaction conditions: diyne 1a (0.125 mmol), oxime 2a (2 equiv, 0.25 mmol),  $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$  (x mol%), ligand (y mol%), 4 Å molecular sieves (200 mg), solvent (3 mL) at 80 °C for 48 h. [b] Determined by HPLC with 1-methylnaphthalene as internal standard. [c] 60 °C. [d] 2a (4 equiv). binap=2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl, cod=1,5cyclooctadiene, dppe=1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, Ts = p-toluenesulfonyl.

CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH as solvent, and conducting the reaction at 80 °C in the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves (200 mg/0.125 mmol diyne).

With optimized reaction conditions established, we explored the substrate scope using various diynes and oximes (Table 2). In general, a range of electron-rich, electron-neutral, and electron-deficient aryl oximes were tolerated to afford the corresponding products in good yields (Table 2, entries 1-12, 61-88%). Oximes bearing electron-withdrawing groups at the para position gave better results than those with electron-donating groups (Table 2, entries 2-6). Notably, the reaction of sterically demanding substrate 2k  $(R=2-MeC_6H_4, Table 2, entry 11)$  and divide **1a** led to the corresponding product in a yield that was lower than the reaction of substrate **2h** (R = 3-MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, Table 2, entry 8). Importantly, 2-naphthaldehyde oxime 21 and heteroaryl oxime 2m can also be used for this reaction, thus furnishing the pyridine product 3al and 3am in 69% and 60% yield, respectively (Table 2, entries 12 and 13). The reaction of diyne 1b with oxime 2e gave the cycloadduct 3be in 74% yield (Table 2, entry 15). When we applied this method to the cycloaddition of C-tethered diynes and an O-tethered diyne, we fortunately found that 3ce, 3de, and 4ea were formed in COMMUNICATION

Table 2. Synthesis of pyridines from divnes (1a-1e) and oxime (2a-2n).<sup>[a]</sup>



Entry	Diyne	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Yield [%] <sup>[c]</sup>
1	1a	$C_{6}H_{5}(2a)$	69 ( <b>3aa</b> )
2	1a	$4\text{-MeOC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2b}\right)$	67 ( <b>3 ab</b> )
3	1a	$4-MeC_{6}H_{4}(2c)$	69 ( <b>3ac</b> )
4 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	$4\text{-}\mathrm{ClC}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2d}\right)$	75 ( <b>3ad</b> )
5 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	$4\text{-FC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}(2e)$	88 ( <b>3ae</b> )
6	1a	$4-CF_{3}C_{6}H_{4}(2f)$	76 ( <b>3af</b> )
7	1a	$3-BrC_{6}H_{4}(2g)$	78 ( <b>3ag</b> )
8 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	$3-MeC_{6}H_{4}(2h)$	81 ( <b>3ah</b> )
9 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	3-CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> (2i)	61 ( <b>3 ai</b> )
10	1a	3-FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>2j</b> )	78 ( <b>3aj</b> )
11 <sup>[b]</sup>	1a	$2-MeC_{6}H_{4}(2\mathbf{k})$	67 ( <b>3ak</b> )
12	1a	2-napthyl (21)	69 ( <b>3al</b> )
13	1a	4-pyridyl (2m)	60 ( <b>3am</b> )
14	1a	0 2a	41 ( <b>3an</b> )
15	TsNCH <sub>3</sub> 1b	$4\text{-FC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}(2e)$	74 ( <b>3be</b> )
16	$EtO_2C \xrightarrow{CH_3} CH_3$ $EtO_2C \xrightarrow{CH_3} CH_3$	$4\text{-FC}_{6}\text{H}_{4}(2e)$	40 ( <b>3ce</b> )
17		$4\text{-}\mathrm{FC}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{2e}\right)$	26 <b>(3de)</b>
18	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	$C_{6}H_{5}(2a)$	46 ( <b>4ea</b> )

[a] 1a-1e (0.25 mmol), 2a-2n(1 mmol), [Rh(cod)<sub>2</sub>]BF<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%), dppf (6 mol%), and CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH (6 mL) in the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves (0.4 g); then stirred for 48 h. [b] 1a was added slowly by syringe. [c] Yield of isolated product.

moderate yield (Table 2, entries 16-18). Notably, the use of a one-pot procedure (Scheme 2) was successful for the synthesis of pyridine 3aa from aldehyde 4a, hydroxylamine, and divne 1a, albeit with a yield that was lower than that of the two-step protocol (60% versus 69% yield; Table 2,



Scheme 2. One-pot formation of pyridines from aldehyde 4a, hydroxylamine, and diyne 1a.

www.chemeurj.org

Pathway A: dehydration/cycloaddition

$$\underset{R}{\overset{N \xrightarrow{\sim} OH}{\longrightarrow}} [R \xrightarrow{=} N] \xrightarrow{[2+2+2]} \underset{R}{\overset{N}{\longrightarrow}} \underset{R}{\overset{N}{\longrightarrow}} R$$

Pathway B: cycloaddition/dehydration

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^{OH}}} \xrightarrow{[2+2+2]} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^{OH}} \xrightarrow{H_{2}O} \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Scheme 3. Possible pathways to form pyridines.

entry 1); this lower yield might be due to the influence of residual aldehyde<sup>[9]</sup> and the relatively low amount of oxime.

Two possible pathways for the formation of pyridines are shown in Scheme 3: 1) pathway A: dehydration of the oxime to generate the corresponding nitrile followed by the cycloaddition of the nitrile and the alkynes to afford the pyridine; 2) pathway B: cycloaddition of the oxime and the alkynes to form intermediate **5**, which then undergoes dehydration (Scheme 3). To determine which one of these hypotheses was more likely, two experiments were first carried out (Scheme 4). The reaction of oxime **2a** under standard



Scheme 4. Control experiments for determining the feasibility of pathway A.

reaction conditions (Table 2) only gave a trace amount of benzonitrile (<5%, Scheme 4a). In addition, when benzonitrile was subjected to the reaction with diyne **1a** instead of oxime **2a** (Scheme 4b), the corresponding pyridine product **3aa** was detected in less than 20% yield (compare with 69% yield, Table 2, entry 1) together with a side product derived from dimerization of the diyne. Therefore, pathway A in Scheme 3 is not feasible for the formation of pyridines.

Although attempts to isolate the key intermediate, *N*-hydroxy-1,2-dihydropyridine, did not succeed, on the basis of the cycloaddition of an imine reported by both Ogoshi et al.<sup>[6b]</sup> and Yoshikai and co-workers,<sup>[6c]</sup> we suspected that this reaction would begin with the oxidative coupling between the alkyne and the oxime, thus affording five-membered azametallacycle **E** (Scheme 5). Subsequent alkyne insertion could afford seven-membered aza-metallacycle **G**, which could then undergo reductive elimination and dehydration to provide the final pyridine product, **J**. Alternatively, the reaction could start with intramolecular oxidative cyclization of two alkyne moieties to obtain metallacyclopentadiene intermediate **K**. The coordination of oxime **A** could then be



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism for the [2+2+2] cycloaddition of alkynes and oximes.

followed by its incorporation into the metallacycle either by a [4+2] cycloaddition or an insertion process. Finally the product, **J**, could be obtained by regeneration of the catalyst and dehydration.

In conclusion, we have developed a new method for the preparation of pyridine derivatives; the method involves a rhodium-catalyzed [2+2+2] cycloaddition of diynes and oximes, can be conducted under mild conditions, and gives the pyridine products in moderate to high yields. This transformation complements traditional metal-catalyzed cycloaddition reactions used for the synthesis of pyridines. Moreover, the ability to form these pyridines by using a one-pot reaction of an aldehyde, a hydroxylamine, and a diyne makes this method a more practical alternative because simple aldehydes can be used as starting materials. Investigations of other cycloaddition reactions involving oximes are currently underway.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Representative procedure:**  $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$  (5.1 mg, 0.0125 mmol) and dppf (8.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) were dissolved in CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH (6 mL) in the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Oxime was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 30 min. To this solution was added diyne (0.25 mmol). Then the mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 48 h. The 4 Å molecular sieves were filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated. The oily residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (4:1 to 1:2) as eluent to afford products.

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB833300) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21172218) is gratefully acknowledged.

**Keywords:** cycloaddition • heterocycles • oximes • pyridines • rhodium

- For recent reviews on metal-catalyzed pyridine formation through [2+2+2] cycloaddition, see: a) K. P. C. Vollhardt, Angew. Chem. **1984**, 96, 525-541; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1984**, 23, 539-556; b) H. Bönnemann, Angew. Chem. **1985**, 97, 264-279; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1985**, 24, 248-262; c) J. A. Varela, C. Saá, Chem. Rev. **2003**, 103, 3787-3802; d) P. R. Chopade, J. Louie, Adv. Synth. Catal. **2006**, 348, 2307-2327; e) B. Heller, M. Hapke, Chem. Soc. Rev. **2007**, 36, 1085-1094; f) J. A. Varela, C. Saá, Synlett **2008**, 2571-2578; g) M. R. Shaaban, R. E. Sayed, A. H. M. Elwahy, Tetrahedron **2011**, 67, 6095-6130; h) G. Domínguez, J. P. Castells, Chem. Soc. Rev. **2011**, 40, 3430-3444; i) N. Weding, M. Hapke, Chem. Soc. Rev. **2011**, 40, 4525-4538; j) R. Hua, M. V. A. Abrenica, P. Wang, Curr. Org. Chem. **2011**, 15, 712-729; k) K. Tanaka, Heterocycles **2012**, 85, 1017-1043; l) S. Okamoto, Heterocycles **2012**, 85, 1579-1602; m) C. X. Wang, B. S. Wan, Chin. Sci. Bull. **2012**, 57, 2338-2351.
- [2] a) G. D. Henry, *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 6043–6061; b) M. D. Hill, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 12052–12062.
- [3] For recent examples, see: a) R. Tanaka, A. Yuza, Y. Watai, D. Suzuki, Y. Takayama, F. Sato, H. Urabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7774-7780; b) Y. Yamamoto, K. Kinpara, R. Ogawa, H. Nishiyama, K. Itoh, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5618-5631; c) B. M. Trost, A. C. Gutierrez, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1473-1476; d) T. Shibata, T. Uchiyama, K. Endo, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3906-3908; e) Y. Komine, K. Tanaka, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1312-1315; f) C. Yuan, C. T. Chang, A. Axelrod, D. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5924-5925; g) A. L. McIver, A. Deiters, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1288-1291; h) M. Hapke, K. Kral, C. Fischer, A. Spannenberg, A. Gutnov, D. Redkin, B. Heller, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3993-4003; i) M. Hapke, N. Weding, A. Spannenberg, Organometallics 2010, 29, 4298-4304; j) N. Weding, R. Jackstell, H. Jiao, A. Spannenberg, M. Hapke, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3423-3433; k) Y. K. Sugiyama, S. Okamoto, Synthesis 2011, 2247-2254; I) B. R. D'Souza, T. K. Lane, J. Louie, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2936-2939; m) Y. Zou, Q. Y. Liu, A. Deiters, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4352-4355; n) P. Kumar, S. Prescher, J. Louie, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 10882-10886; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10694-10698; o) R. M. Stolley, M. T. Maczka, J. Louie, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 3815-3824; p) M. Ohashi, I. Takeda, M. Ikawa, S. Ogoshi, J. Am.

# COMMUNICATION

Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18018-18021; q) P. Garcia, Y. Evanno, P. George, M. Sevrin, G. Ricci, M. Malacria, C. Aubert, V. Gandon, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 4337-4344; r) G. Onodera, Y. Shimizu, J. Kimura, J. Kobayashi, Y. Ebihara, K. Kondo, K. Sakata, R. Takeuchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10515-10531; s) K. Tanaka, N. Suzuki, G. Nishida, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 3917-3922; t) T. K. Lane, B. R. D'Souza, J. Louie, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 7555-7563; u) R. M. Stolley, H. A. Duong, D. R. Thomas, J. Louie, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15154-15162; v) S. Medina, G. Domínguez, J. P. Castells, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4982-4985; w) Y. Yamamoto, K. Kinpara, T. Saigoku, H. Takagishi, S. Okuda, H. Nishiyama, K. Itoh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 605-613; x) K. Kase, A. Goswami, K. Ohtaki, E. Tanabe, N. Saino, S. Okamoto, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 931-934; y) A. Geny, N. Agenet, L. Iannazzo, M. Malacria, C. Aubert, V. Gandon, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1842-1845; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1810-1813.

- [4] a) C. X. Wang, X. C. Li, F. Wu, B. S. Wan, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7300–7304; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7162–7166; b) F. Xu, C. X. Wang, X. C. Li, B. S. Wan, ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 854–857.
- [5] a) A. Saito, M. Hironaga, S. Oda, Y. Hanzawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 6852–6855; b) K. Parthasarathy, M. Jeganmohan, C. H. Cheng, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 325–328; c) T. K. Hyster, T. Rovis, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11846–11848; d) R. M. Martin, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2501–2507.
- [6] a) P. A. Wender, T. M. Pedersen, M. J. C. Scanio, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15154–15155; b) S. Ogoshi, H. Ikeda, H. Kurosawa, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5018–5020; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4930–4932; c) L. Adak, W. C. Chan, N. Yoshikai, Chem. Asian J. 2011, 6, 359–362.
- [7] For recent examples, see: a) R. S. Ramón, J. Bosson, S. Díez-González, N. Marion, S. P. Nolan, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1197–1202;
  b) C. L. Allen, R. Lawrence, L. Emmett, J. M. J. Williams, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 3262–3268; c) S. K. Sharma, S. D. Bishopp, C. L. Allen, R. Lawrence, R. J. Bamford, A. A. Lapkin, P. Plucinski, R. J. Watson, J. M. J. Williams, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4252–4255, and references therein.
- [8] a) E. Beckmann, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* 1886, *19*, 988–993; b) J. Clayden, N. Greeves, S. Warren, P. Wothers, *Organic Chemistry*, 1st ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2001; pp. 997–1000; c) R. E. Gawley, *Org. React.* 1988, *35*, 1–420.
- [9] For rhodium-catalyzed cycloaddition reactions involving aldehydes, see: a) M. Ishida, Y. Shibata, K. Noguchi, K. Tanaka, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 12578–12581; b) Y. Miyauchi, M. Kobayashi, K. Tanaka, *Angew. Chem.* 2011, 123, 11114–11118; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 10922–10926; c) Y. Otake, R. Tanaka, K. Tanaka, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 2737–2747.

Received: November 1, 2012 Published online: December 27, 2012

www.chemeurj.org