

Curcuminoid-derived 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles - Mechanochemical synthesis and antioxidant activity

DAISY R SHERIN* and KALLIKAT N RAJASEKHARAN

Department of Chemistry, University of Kerala, Kariavattom, Thiruvananthapuram 695 581, Kerala, India e-mail: sherindr84@gmail.com

MS received 25 April 2016; revised 26 May 2016; accepted 28 May 2016

Abstract. Mechanochemical synthesis of curcuminoid-derived 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles and their antioxidant activities are reported.

Keywords. Curcuminoids; 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles; antioxidant

1. Introduction

Curcumin, the active ingredient of turmeric, is a diarylheptanoid natural product that is endowed with much bioactivity;¹⁻³ yet it has limited applications as a drug due to its low bioavailability.⁴ Structural modification of curcumin has been explored much as a strategy to circumvent this inadequacy. Among such structurally modified curcumin derivatives, the curcumin-derived pyrazoles and isoxazoles have been investigated extensively.⁵⁻¹³ With our long standing interest in the synthesis and bioactivity studies on curcumin, its analogs and derivatives,^{14–18} we have also investigated the structural modification approach.^{12,19} The natural curcumin is a mixture of three compounds, namely 1,7-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,6-heptadiene-3,5-dione (1) (curcumin I), its demethoxy (curcumin II) and bisdemethoxy (curcumin III) derivatives. Recently, we have reported the rapid, mechanochemical synthesis and antioxidant assay of 3,5-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl) pyrazole (2a), its 1-aryl derivatives (2b) and 3,5-bis(4hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl)isoxazole (2c) prepared from curcumin I (1).¹⁹ In continuation, we have now extended the above method for the synthesis of 3,5-bis(styryl) isoxazoles (4a-g) from other, differently substituted curcuminoids (3a-g), with a view to understand how the substituents on the aryl ring would affect the antioxidant activity, in the light of the continued interest on the antioxidant activity of curcumin, its derivatives and analogs.^{20–22} The thermal methods that have been reported earlier for the synthesis of curcumin-derived isoxazoles take, in general, 6-40 h for completion.^{6-9,13}

2. Experimental

2.1 General

Reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) and Preparative Thin Layer Chromatography (PTLC) were performed on glass plates (20×75 mm and 20×20 cm, respectively) coated with TLC grade silica gel-G (E. Merck, India). The spots or bands were visualized directly or under UV light. The C, H, N analyses were carried out on Vario EL Elementar analyzer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR were recorded on Bruker Topspin and Bruker AV, 500 MHz (500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C NMR), Bruker Avance III, 400 MHz (400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C NMR) at room temperature. Chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to TMS as an internal standard. HRMS were recorded on JEOL JMS 600H mass spectrometer. The absorbance values were recorded on a Jasco V-550 spectrophotometer. The curcuminoids (3ag) were obtained from suitably substituted benzaldehydes by adopting the method reported earlier by us and their identities were established by spectral characterization and comparison with samples available with us.^{12,14,23}

2.2 *General procedure for the synthesis of 3,5-bis* (*styryl*)*isoxazoles* (**4a-g**)

A mixture of curcuminoid **3a-g** (0.1 mmol), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.1 mmol) and glacial acetic acid (0.1 mL) were vigorously ground in an agate mortar for 1–2 mins. The crude product, obtained in a powder form, was then purified by PTLC (CHCl₃: EtOAc, 3:2) to afford the 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**).

^{*}For correspondence

2.2a 3,5-Bis(4-hydroxystyryl)isoxazole (4a): Yield 87%; M.p. 198°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 5.62 (s, 1H, 4-H), 5.94 (s, 2H, ArOH), 6.64 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.14–7.15 (dd, 4H, ArH), 7.37 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 16.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.6, 162.1, 148.1, 147.9, 147.8, 136.3, 134.7, 127.3, 126.9, 121.4, 121.2, 115.6, 115.5, 112.6, 110.4, 110.3, 110.1, 97.8; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₁₉H₁₅NO₃, (M+H): 306.11302; Found: 306.11308; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₁₉H₁₅NO₃: C, 74.74; H, 4.95; N, 4.59; Found (%): C, 74.53; H, 5.17; N, 4.69.

2.2b 3,5-Bis(3-hydroxy-4-methoxystyryl)isoxazole (**4b**): Yield 85%; M.p. 168°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetoned₆): δ 3.82 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 5.60 (s, 1H, 4-H), 5.92 (s, 2H, ArOH), 6.64 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.14-7.16 (dd, 2H, ArH), 7.36 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 16.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.3, 162.1, 148.1, 147.9, 147.9, 147.8, 136.3, 134.7, 127.3, 126.9, 121.6, 121.2, 115.6, 115.5, 112.6, 110.4, 110.3, 110.1, 97.8, 55.7, 55.6 HRMS (ESI): Calcd. for C₂₁H₁₉NO₅, (M+Na): 388.11609; Found: 388.11608; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₂₁H₁₉NO₅: C, 69.03; H, 5.24; N, 3.83; Found (%): C, 68.98; H, 5.28; N, 3.96.

2.2c 3,5-*Bis*(2-*hydroxystyryl*)*isoxazole* (*4c*): Yield 84%; M.p. 152°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 5.64 (s, 1H, 4-H), 5.97 (s, 2H, ArOH), 6.64 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.14-7.15 (dd, 4H, ArH), 7.37 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 16.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.9, 162.1, 148.3, 147.9, 147.8, 147.6, 136.1, 134.7, 127.6, 126.9, 121.4, 121.2, 115.5, 115.3, 112.8, 110.4, 110.3, 110.1, 97.6; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₁₉H₁₅NO₃, (M+H): 306.11302; Found: 306.11306; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₁₉H₁₅NO₃: C, 74.74; H, 4.95; N, 4.59; Found (%): C, 74.51; H, 5.12; N, 4.66.

2.2d 3,5-Bis(4-methoxystyryl)isoxazole (4d): Yield 85%; M.p. 143°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 3.84 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 5.64 (s, 1H, 4-H), 6.64 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.14-7.15 (dd, 4H, ArH), 7.36 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 16.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSOd₆): δ 168.7, 162. 9, 148.1, 147.9, 147.8, 136.3, 134.3, 127.3, 126.1, 121.6, 121.2, 115.6, 115.4, 112.6, 110.5, 110.3, 110.1, 55.71, 55.67; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₂₁H₁₉NO₃, (M+Na): 356.12626; Found: 356.12628; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₂₁H₁₉NO₃: C, 75.66; H, 5.74; N, 4.20; Found (%): C, 75.52; H, 5.79; N, 4.06. 2.2e 3,5-Bis(3,4-dimethoxystyryl)isoxazole (4e): Yield 82%; M.p. 16°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 3.84 (s, 12H, OCH₃), 5.64 (s, 1H, 4-H), 6.64 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.86 (d, 2H, ArH, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.14-7.15 (dd, 2H, ArH), 7.36 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 16.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 168.9, 162.1, 148.3, 147.9, 147.1, 136.7, 134.3, 127.3, 126.8, 121.5, 121.2, 115.6, 115.4, 112.6, 110.6, 110.3, 110.1, 55.7, 55.6HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₂₃H₂₃NO₅, (M+Na): 416.14739; Found: 416.14736; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₂₃H₂₃NO₅: C, 70.21; H, 5.89; N, 3.56; Found (%): C, 70.06; H, 6.13; N, 3.83.

2.2f 3,5-Bis(3,4-methylenedioxystyryl)isoxazole (4f): Yield 82%; M.p. 152°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetoned₆): δ 5.55 (s, 1H, 4-H), 5.99 (s, 4H, CH₂), 6.59 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.90 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.11 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 15.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 150.1, 148.6, 141.8, 128.1, 123.6, 122.3, 116.2, 111.1, 106.5, 92.6, 91.5; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₂₁H₁₅NO₅, (M+H): 362.10285; Found: 362.10286; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₂₁H₁₅NO₅: C, 69.80; H, 4.18; N, 3.88; Found (%): C, 69.98; H, 4.39; N, 3.52.

2.2g 3,5-Bis(styryl)isoxazole (4g): Yield 83%; M.p. 128°C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 5.54 (s, 1H, 4-H), 6.59 (d, 2H, 2,6-H, J = 16.0 Hz), 6.90 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.11 (m, 8H, ArH), 7.52 (d, 2H, 1,7-H, J = 15.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, Acetone-d₆): δ 141.1, 133.0, 128.0, 123.4, 122.3, 116.1, 111.6, 108.1; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for C₁₉H₁₅NO, (M+Na): 296.10513; Found: 296.10511; Anal. Calcd. (%) For C₁₉H₁₅NO: C, 83.49; H, 5.53; N, 5.12; Found (%): C, 83.67; H, 5.75; N, 5.23.

2.3 Antioxidant capacity assays

2.3a *DPPH assay*: Methanolic solutions of 3,5-bis (styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**), at six different concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 mM), were prepared. These test solutions (0.04 mL) containing bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**) were added to DPPH solution in methanol (10^{-5} M; 2.8 mL). The absorbance was monitored at 517 nm, after the reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min. From the absorbance values monitored, the percentage inhibition was calculated and the result is expressed in terms of EC₅₀. The percentage of inhibition of DPPH by the antioxidant at a particular time was calculated as % inhibition of DPPH = [(Ab_c – Ab_s)/Ab_c] × 100, where Ab_c is the absorbance of the control and Ab_s is the absorbance of the sample.

2.3b Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay: Freshly prepared FRAP reagent was used for the analysis. It was obtained by mixing acetate buffer (300 mM each of acetic acid and sodium acetate), tripyridyltriazine (10 mM in 40 mM aqueous hydrochloric acid solution) and FeCl₃.6H₂O (20 mM) aqueous solutions in the ratio 10:1:1. Methanolic solution of FeSO₄.6H₂O was prepared at different concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 mM). The solutions of bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a**-**g**) were also prepared in methanol (0.5 mM) and after adding these (0.04 mL) to the FRAP reagent (3 mL), the reaction mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The absorbance was measured at 596 nm and the results were expressed as micro molar Fe²⁺ equivalents.

2.3c β -Carotene bleaching assay: β -Carotene (0.2 mg), linoleic acid (0.02 mL) and Tween 20 (0.18 mL) were mixed with chloroform (0.5 mL) and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue obtained was mixed with distilled water (50 mL) and the resulting emulsion (4 mL aliquots each) was added to 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**) in methanol (0.2 mL, 1 mmol). Absorbance was measured at 470 nm, using a mixture of the emulsion (4 mL) and methanol (0.2 mL) as the control. The absorbance was again recorded after incubation for 1 h at 50°C in the dark. The antioxidant activity (AA) was evaluated in terms of bleaching of the β -carotene using the formula AA = $[1 - (A_o - A_t)/(A_o^o - A_t^o)] \times 100$, where A_o and A_o^o are the absorbance values measured at zero time of the incubation for test sample and control, respectively. A_t and A_t^o are the absorbance values of the sample and control after incubation time.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Synthesis

The precursor curcuminoids (3a-g) were obtained by microwave assisted synthetic protocol²³ by the modification of a conventional thermal method developed earlier by our group.¹⁴ Sui *et al.*, seemingly in the first report on the synthesis of an isoxazole derivative (2c) of a curcuminoid, had reacted curcumin I (1) with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in ethanol under reflux for 16 h.¹³ The methods subsequently reported for this reaction could be seen to generally take 6-40 h for the completion under conventional heating.^{5–9} In continuation to our work on mechanochemical synthesis of curcumin derivatives,¹⁹ we have now reacted curcuminoids (3ag) with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in presence of catalytic amount of acetic acid. The room temperature mechanical grinding in an agate mortar for about 1-2 minutes rapidly afforded the 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (4a-g) in powder form. We observed that the compounds obtained in better purity and yield than reported earlier, as judged by TLC. The formation and identity of these isoxazoles were confirmed by physical and spectral methods. It appears that the initially formed oxime derivatives proceeded to cyclize affording the isoxazole ring (scheme 1). Among the 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles



Scheme 1. Structures of curcumin I (1) and its 3,5-bis(styryl)azole derivatives.

(4a-g) now synthesized, five examples (4b, 4c, 4d, 4f and 4g) appear to be hitherto unreported.

3.2 Antioxidant Studies

The antioxidant (AO) activities of the 3,5-bis(styryl) isoxazoles (4a-g) were evaluated by 2,2-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and β -carotene assays. The AO activity by the DPPH assay is expressed in terms of EC₅₀ values, calculated by plotting the percentage inhibition of DPPH against various test concentrations (figure 1, table 1). We have earlier shown that the isoxazole derivative of curcumin I (2c) exhibits better AO capacity than the parent curcumin I (1) in DPPH assay under similar experimental conditions;¹⁹ the corresponding EC_{50} values for the percentage inhibition of DPPH being 8 \pm 0.011 μ mol and 40 \pm 0.06 μ mol, respectively for (2c) and (1). A comparison of this data with those obtained now for the 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (4a-g) shows that the isoxazoles (4a-g) are less active than the isoxazole derivative of curcumin I (2c). However, two among these, **4a** and **4b**, are more active than curcumin I (1). Thus, the presence of a hydroxy group juxtaposed with



Figure 1. Percentage inhibition of DPPH (10^{-2} mM) at various concentrations of 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**).

Table 2. The FeSO₄ equivalent of 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**) in the presence of FRAP reagent and the Antioxidant Activity (AA) % of **4a-g** in β -carotene assay.

Entry	FeSO ₄ equivalents (μ mol)	AA %	
4a	1182		
4b	1195	90.91	
4 c	997	76.99	
4d	883	69.52	
4 e	592	65.31	
4f	1036	80.23	
4 g	475	61.12	

a methoxy group on the terminal aryl rings enhances the AO activity of curcuminoid derived isoxazoles.

The results of FRAP assay are expressed as micromolar Fe²⁺ equivalents (table 2); a higher value of ferrous sulphate equivalent being indicative of a better AO capacity. Our earlier sttudies¹⁹ have shown that under identical assay conditions, the curcumin I derived isoxazole (**2c**) and curcumin I (**1**) showed Fe²⁺ equivalent of 1304 μ mol and 1164 μ mol, respectively. The present results based on FRAP assay is now seen to follow a similar pattern as seen in the DPPH assay, wherein the presence of a hydroxy group along with a methoxy group on the terminal aryl rings is found to be beneficial. A similar conclusion could now be drawn based on the β -carotene bleaching assay of the 3,5bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**) (table 2) and in the light of our earlier study.¹⁹

4. Conclusions

We report the synthesis of curcuminoid-derived 3,5bis(styryl)isoxazoles (**4a-g**) by mechanochemical grinding of the respective curcuminoid and hydroxylamine hydrochloride catalyzed by gl. acetic acid. The antioxidant studies of **4a-g** revealed that hydroxy and methoxy groups present in the terminal aryl moieties of 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles improve their anti-oxidant activity.

Table 1. Percentage inhibition of DPPH (10^{-2} mmol) by 3,5-bis(styryl)isoxazoles (4a-g).

	Percentage inhibition by 3,5-bis(styryl)pyrazoles (4a-g) at various concentrations (mM)								
Entry	0.005	0.01	0.025	0.05	0.075	0.1	EC ₅₀ (μ mol)		
4a	23.91 ± 0.21	37.15 ± 0.06	49.98 ± 0.31	60.29 ± 0.18	79.08 ± 0.12	87.42 ± 0.16	28 ± 0.19		
4b	27.12 ± 0.18	40.36 ± 0.11	54.25 ± 0.22	64.29 ± 0.37	82.13 ± 0.19	91.05 ± 0.16	20 ± 0.11		
4 c	18.28 ± 0.23	26.32 ± 0.09	33.74 ± 0.16	39.62 ± 0.22	47.64 ± 0.07	63.29 ± 0.34	80 ± 0.48		
4d	16.27 ± 0.16	19.11 ± 0.23	27.18 ± 0.17	31.13 ± 0.25	40.77 ± 0.06	53.02 ± 0.14	96 ± 0.52		
4e	15.03 ± 0.29	18.20 ± 0.18	24.09 ± 0.08	28.78 ± 0.24	35.43 ± 0.17	48.78 ± 0.09	102 ± 0.49		
4f	19.26 ± 0.33	29.88 ± 0.17	38.56 ± 0.24	47.23 ± 0.29	55.64 ± 0.07	74.28 ± 0.13	60 ± 0.31		
4g	13.26 ± 0.11	16.27 ± 0.21	21.78 ± 0.09	25.98 ± 0.12	33.06 ± 0.19	46.52 ± 0.17	108 ± 0.22		

Acknowledgements

SDR thanks UGC and MHRD, Govt. of India for Maulana Azad National Fellowship. KNR thanks UGC for UGC-BSR Faculty and Emeritus Fellowships. The authors also thank SAIF-STIC at CUSAT, Kochi and NIIST Trivandrum, for elemental analysis, NMR and MS spectra.

References

- Esatbeyoglu T, Huebbe P, Ernst I M, Chin D, Wagner A E and Rimbach G 2012 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 51 5308
- Gupta S C, Prasad Y, Kim J H, Patchva S, Webb L J, Priyadarsini I K and Aggarwal B B 2011 *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 28 1937
- Teiten M-H, Eifes S, Dicato M and Diederich M 2010 Toxins 2 128
- 4. Anand P, Kunnumakkara A B, Newman R A and Aggarwal B B 2007 *Mol. Pharm.* **4** 807
- Narlawar R, Pickhardt M, Leuchtenberger S, Baumann K, Krause S, Dyrks T, Weggen S, Mandelkow E and Schmidt B 2008 *Chem. Med. Chem.* 3 165
- 6. Mishra S, Karmodiya K, Surolia N and Surolia A 2008 *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **16** 2894
- 7. Changtam C, Hongmanee P and Suksamrarn A 2010 *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **45** 4446
- Amolins M W, Peterson L B and Blagg B S J 2009 Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17 360

- 9. Das J, Pany S, Panchal S, Majhi A and Rahman G M 2011 *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **19** 6196
- Liu Y, Dargusch R, Maher P and Schubert D 2008 J. Neurochem. 105 1336
- 11. Maher P, Akaishi T, Schubert D and Abe K 2010 Neurobiol. Aging **31** 706
- Mayadevi M, Sherin D R, Keerthi V S, Rajasekharan K N and Omkumar R V 2012 *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 20 6040
- 13. Sui Z, Salto R, Li J, Craik C and Montellano P R O 1993 Biorg. Med. Chem. 1 415
- 14. Babu K V D and Rajasekharan K N 1994 Org. Prep. Procedures Intl. 26 674
- Ruby A J, Kuttan G, Babu K V D, Rajasekharan K N and Kuttan R 1995 *Cancer Lett.* 94 79
- Devasena T, Rajasekharan K N and Menon V P 2002 Pharmcol. Res. 46 39
- Kiran M S, Sameerkumar V B, Viji R I, Sherin G T, Rajasekharan K N and Sudhakaran P R 2008 J. Cell Physiol. 215 251
- Manju M, Sherin G T, Rajasekharan K N and Oommen O V 2009 Fish Physiol. Biochem. 35 413
- Sherin D R and Rajasekharan K N 2015 Arch. Pharm. 348 1
- 20. Ak T and Gulcin I 2008 Chemico-Biol. Inter. 174 27
- 21. Chakraborti S, Dhar G, Dwivedi V, Das A, Poddar A, Chakraborti G, Basu G, Chakrabarti P, Surolia A and Bhattacharyya B 2013 *Biochemistry* **52** 7449
- 22. Puneeth H R and Chandrashekariah S A 2015 Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 7 244
- 23. Sherin D R, Thomas S G and Rajasekharan K N 2015 *Het. Commun.* **21** 381