Article

Enantioselective Oxidation of Alkenes with Potassium Permanganate Catalyzed by Chiral Dicationic Bisguanidinium

Chao Wang, Lili Zong, and Choon-Hong Tan

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 03 Aug 2015

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 3, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Enantioselective Oxidation of Alkenes with Potassium Permanganate Catalyzed by Chiral Dicationic Bisguanidinium

Chao Wang*, Lili Zong, Choon-Hong Tan*

Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, Singapore 637371

ABSTRACT: Chiral anion-controlled ion-pairing catalysis was demonstrated to be a wide-ranging strategy that can utilize a variety of cationic metal species. In a similar manner, we envision a complementary strategy using chiral cation in partnership with inorganic anionic metal salts. Herein, we report, a chiral dicationic bisguanidinium-catalyzed asymmetric oxidation reaction of alkenes with potassium permanganate. Chiral induction is attributed to ion-pairing interaction between chiral cation and enolate anion. The success of the current permanganate oxidation reaction together with mechanistic insights should provide inspiration for expansion to other anionic metal salts and would open up new paradigms for asymmetric transition metal catalysis, phase-transfer catalysis and ion-pairing catalysis.

INTRODUCTION

Asymmetric catalysis is one of the most efficient strategies to generate enantiomerically pure small molecules that are commercially important in the chemical and pharmaceutical industries¹. Asymmetric phase-transfer catalysis is of particular interest because of its operational simplicity, ease of scalability and environmental benignity²⁻⁴. Most asymmetric phasetransfer reactions are Brønsted base reactions; inorganic hydroxide bases are shuttled from the aqueous phase to generate an onium carbanion intermediate, which is subsequently trapped by electrophilic substrates². Other inorganic salts were also used in phase-transfer reactions; however, they met with relatively less success in asymmetric versions³. High level of stereocontrol was restricted to only few transformations, such as asymmetric Strecker reaction using cyanide salt⁵ and epoxidation with hypochlorite salt⁶. Asymmetric phase-transfer reactions are but a sub-set of a more general strategy of ionpairing catalysis using chiral cation and a functional anion. A remarkable example of this approach is reported by Ishihara, in which, the anionic (hypo)iodite reagent was utilized in catalytic amount and regenerated through in situ oxidation with co-oxidant⁷. Highly enantioselective cycloetherification can be accomplished together with the Maruoka catalyst, vielding enantio-enriched 2-acyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofurans. More recently, this (hypo)iodite catalysis was extended to an efficient, high turn-over protocol for the synthesis of tocopherols⁸.

On the other hand, chiral anion-controlled ion-pairing catalysis has experienced tremendous progress recently owing mainly to the advances made in binaphthyl-derived chiral phosphate anion⁹⁻¹² and chiral thiourea anion-binding chemistries¹³⁻¹⁴. Along this line, the advent of chiral anion phasetransfer catalysis is particularly interesting¹⁰, demonstrating the versatility of ion-pairing catalysis. More significantly, the strategy of using cationic metal species controlled by chiral anions, thus forge a new direction for asymmetric metal catalyzed reactions (Fig. 1a)^{11,12,15-19}. Inspired by these advancements, we envision a complementary strategy to control anionic metal species with chiral cations (Fig. 1b). Chiral ammonium salts have been used in palladium catalyzed asymmetric allylation, wherein cationic metal intermediate is involved²⁰⁻²¹; this strategy is dependent on the ammonium salt and metal catalyst to function fairly independent of each other. Conceptually on the other extreme, ion-pairing catalysis using chiral cation and anionic metal species is dependent on the synergistic interaction between them. It also represents a different approach to exploit asymmetric organocatalysis to induce chirality with metals²²⁻²⁵.



Figure 1. Two distinct modes of chiral ion-pairing catalysis. a, Cationic metal species and chiral phosphate anion. b, Anionic metal species and chiral bisguanidinium cation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Metal-centered anionic reagents using achiral quaternary ammonium salts have had precedence in the literature. Carbonylation reaction using cobalt tetracarbonyl anion $[Co(CO)_4]^{2^6}$ and oxidation reactions with perruthenate anion $[RuO_4]^{2^7}$ are such examples. The use of phase-transfer conditions for permanganate $[MnO_4]$ oxidations is also known; stoichiometric amount of chiral *Cinchonidinium* salt was used to effect asymmetric dihydroxylation of alkenes but these reactions suffered from moderate enantioselectivities and low yields²⁸.

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Inspired by these works, we embark to demonstrate this ionpairing strategy using permanganate oxidation as a platform.

It was observed that chiral Cinchonidinium salt decomposed under permanganate dihydroxylation condition. We realized that identification of a chiral cation that is compatible with permanganate anion is prerequisite to achieve catalytic permanganate dihydroxylation. Our group has been interested in developing N-sp² hybridized pentanidinium salts as chiral phase-transfer catalysts²⁹⁻³⁰ and a novel dicationic bisguanidinium (BG) evolved from this work serendipitously (Fig. 1b). It is easily accessed in three synthetic steps from commercially available chiral diamine (Fig. S1). We anticipated that the dicationic moiety, with its highly positive charge localized in small volume, would facilitate interactions with anionic reagents or intermediates. These interactions will enhance the ability of the catalyst to achieve high stereocontrol. We were thrilled to find out that these chiral bisguanidiniums are stable under permanganate oxidation condition and promoted the dihydroxylation of α,β -unsaturated ketones catalytically in high conversion. We are aware of the significance of this reaction, as it is potentially a complementary approach to the renowned Sharpless Asymmetric Dihydroxylation (AD)³¹⁻³³

Table 1. Optimization of Asymmetric Permanganate Dihydroxylation Conditions^a



^{*a*}Reactions were conducted on 0.025 mmol scale in 0.5 ml solvent and 0.05 ml aqueous additive. ^{*b*}Enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*}20 wt% aqueous KI was used.

After an extensive study, we decided to use α , β -unsaturated esters as model substrates for our investigation and were encouraged by the preliminary results (Table 1). Using 2 mol% of dicationic bisguanidinium salt **BG-I**, *tert*-butyl 2-phenylacrylate **1a** was fully consumed, yielding dihydroxylation product **2a** in satisfactory yield and promising enantiose-lectivity, together with C-C cleavage product **3a** as the only detectable byproduct. A survey of solvents revealed that *tert*-butyl methyl ether (TBME) is the best choice (entries 1-4).

Interestingly, we found that using different aqueous salts as additives has an impact on the reactivity and stereoselectivity of the reaction. Further structure modification of the bisguanidinium catalysts, in particular, introduction of fluorosubstitution on the side arms, led to increased levels of enantioselectivity (entries 5-8). Catalyst **BG-IV** together with 20 wt% aqueous potassium iodide (KI) provided the most ideal conditions (92% ee) (see Table S1 for more details).

Scheme 1. Substrate Scope of α-Aryl Acrylates for Asymmetric Permanganate Dihydroxylation^{*a*}



^{*a*}Reactions were carried out on 0.2 mmol scale at -60 °C for 12 hours unless otherwise noted; yield values refer to isolated yields after purification and ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*b*}Reaction for **2f** and **2h** were carried out at -70°C for 36 hours. ^{*c*}For absolute configuration determination, see Fig. S4.

With the optimized condition in hand, *tert*-butyl acrylates with different α -aryl groups were investigated (Scheme 1). Generally, the substrates with electron-neutral or electron-rich arenes showed high reactivity, provided diols **2a-2k** in high enantioselectivities with moderate yields. The remaining yields are manifested as the C-C cleavage byproduct. Electron-rich arenes resulted in higher enantioselectivities in contrast with electron-deficient ones (Fig. S2). Interestingly, methylthio ether group in diol **2h** was well tolerated under the current dihydroxylation condition; although it was reported to be oxidized by permanganate under certain conditions^{34,35}. Acrylates with an α -alkyl group underwent reaction smoothly albeit with moderate enantioselectivities (Fig. S2).

In the next stage, trisubstituted enoates were investigated and subjected to the permanganate oxidation condition (Table 2). We found that when a mixture of Z, E-trisubstituted enoates 4a were oxidized, both diastereomers 5a and 5a' were obtained in high enantioselectivities together with significant amount of C-C cleavage product 3g. Catalyst BG-III showed the highest catalytic activity and provided products with highest enantioselectivities (entry 1-2). When we were trying to improve the yields of the desired diols, we were pleasantly surprised that under acidic conditions, an unexpected 2hydroxy-3-oxocarboxylic ester 6a was detected. The yield of 6a was influenced by the concentration of hydrochloric acid (entries 3-4). When acetic acid was used, 6a was obtained in both high yield and high enantioselectivity; both diols and C-C cleavage product formation were efficiently suppressed (entry 5) (see Table S2 for more details). As far as we are aware, there are only a few reports describing this transformation $^{36,3'}$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59 60 We named this transformation oxohydroxylation as it is analogous to dihydroxylation. The high enantioselectivity observed indicate that both Z- and E-trisubstituted enoates **4a** were transformed to the same enantiomer **6a** under oxohydroxylation condition.

 Table 2. Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Trisubstituted Enoates^a



^{*a*}The reaction was conducted on 0.05 mmol scale in 1 ml TBME and 0.05 ml aqueous additive; For substrate and products configuration determination, see Fig. S3 and S4. ^{*b*}Ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*c*}ee was determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*}Isolated yield of **6a**.

A series of trisubstituted enoates was then investigated under the oxohydroxylation condition (Scheme 2). Substrates with different alkyl groups, including long alkyl chain and secondary isopropyl group, uniformly gave high levels of enantioselectivity in good to excellent yields (6a-6j). Notably, isolated terminal double bond is well tolerated (6j). Different α-aryl groups were also investigated; as anticipated, electronrich aryl groups showed higher reactivity and selectivity (6k-**6n**). Interestingly, trisubstituted enoates with α -heteroarene substituents including furyl and thienyl underwent oxohydroxylation in high enantioselectivity. As a further extension to this methodology, we found that methoxy-substituted enoates E-4-**OMe** were transformed to α -hydroxymalonates in high enantioselectivities (60-6q). This transformation demonstrated that asymmetric oxohydroxylation could be used to prepare chiral a-hydroxy carbonyl compounds from hetero-substituted alkenes. In order to demonstrate the practicality of this new methodology, a four-mmol reaction using 1 mol% BG-III was conducted, yielding 6a in 81% yield and 92% ee.

The difference between asymmetric permanganate dihydroxylation and Sharpless AD was then investigated. When an equal amount of two alkenes, acrylate **1a** and α -methyl styrene **1l**, was subjected to the permanganate dihydroxylation condition, only diol **2a** was obtained and α -methyl styrene was recovered intact. In contrast, under standard Sharpless AD condition³², α -methyl styrene was dihydroxylated preferentially, giving diol *ent-***2a** and **2l** in a ratio of 1:2.9 (Scheme 3a). This newly developed permanganate dihydroxylation, thus provides complementary selectivity to Sharpless AD.





^{*a*}Reactions were carried out on 0.2 mmol scale at -60 °C with isolated yields reported and ee determined by chiral HPLC. A four-mmol scale reaction of **4a** (1 gram) was conducted using 1 mol% of **BG-III**, yielding **6a** in 81% yield and 92% ee. ^{*b*}For alkyl substituted enoates, *Z*, *E*-mixtures were used as substrates; for configuration determination, see Fig. S3; for *Z/E* ratio, see Fig. S7; ^{*c*}*E*-methoxy substituted enoates *E*-**4-OMe** were used.

When ketone **6a** was subjected to reduction with sodium borohydride, both diol **5a** and **5a'** were obtained in high optical purity (Scheme 3b). Moreover, reduction with NaBH₄/ZnCl₂ yielded diol **5a** in excellent selectivity of 10:1 ratio and in excellent yield. On the other hand, when a Z/E-mixture of **4a** was subjected to standard Sharpless AD condition, it furnished diol **5a** in 65% ee and *ent*-**5a'** in 96% ee (Scheme 3c). This comparison indicated that asymmetric permanganate oxohydroxylation/reduction sequence provides a formal asymmetric dihydroxylation that is complementary to Sharpless AD and would be useful for some challenging substrates.

Mechanistically, it is widely recognized that a cyclic manganate diester 7 is the common intermediate that generates different products through diverse pathways^{34,35,38} (Scheme 4). This is consistent with the experimental result that absolute configuration of the α -chiral center of oxidation products from both dihydroxylation and oxohydroxylation is the same. Although the mechanism for oxohydroxylation from cyclic manganate diester is currently unclear, the possibility of *in situ* oxidation of dihydroxylation product to generate oxohydroxylation product can be ruled out. When the dihydroxylated alkenes were isolated and re-introduced to the reaction condiScheme 3. Comparison between Asymmetric Permanganate Oxidation and Sharpless AD^a



^{*a*}All the ratios were determined with crude product by ¹H NMR analysis. ^{*b*}Absolute configuration of **21** was determined according to reference³².

Scheme 4. Transformation of Cyclic Manganate Diester Intermediate 7 under Diverse Pathways



We then attempted to understand the origin of stereoinduction of this asymmetric permanganate oxidation. In Sharpless AD, chiral complex is formed through a covalent bond between chiral tertiary amine and osmium tetroxide³³. However, it is unlikely for the bisguanidinium cation to form similar covalent type of chiral entity with the permanganate anion. On the other hand, most asymmetric phase-transfer catalyzed reactions are enantioselective nucleophilic addition reactions² Previously, Jew and Park demonstrated a dimeric Cinchonacatalyzed epoxidation reaction and the authors proposed a transition state in which the two cationic centers of the catalyst interact with the anionic nucleophile and neutral electrophile simultaneously³⁹. It seems also not likely for the bisguanidinium dication to form such transition complex, as it is oblivious to the configuration of trisubstituted alkenes as well as the size of alkyl substituents. Notably, we also did not observed E/Zisomerization under the permanganate oxidation conditions (Fig. S6).

Scheme 5. Working Model



Lee and co-workers reported a mechanistic study of the reaction between methyl cinnamate and quaternary ammonium permanganate⁴⁰. The authors found that the rate of reaction is fastest for those ions, which permit interionic distance in the quaternary ammonium ion pair to be minimized. Stabilization of the enolate transition state through ion pair formation was proposed for the rate enhancement. Based on this prior work, we propose that in the current catalytic system, the chiral catalyst accelerate oxidation reaction through the formation of an intimate ion pair with enolate anion in transition state (TS-I and TS-II) and chiral information is imparted through this interaction (Scheme 5). After shuttling to the organic phase, the permanganate anion dynamically coordinates to double bond from both prochiral faces of the substrate. The cationic centers of bisguanidinium are deeply buried in a chiral pocket and as a result, only the matched transition state TS-I (Si face approach) allows the formation of intimate ion pair and reaction through this pathway is accelerated selectively. In mismatched TS-II (Re face approach), the interionic distance between enolate anion and cationic centers is much larger, which results in a higher energy transition state. This working model is in accordance with the experimental results that absolute configuration of α -chiral center of oxidation products from both Z- and E-enoates is identical.

Scheme 6. Comparison of Selectivity Using TBA⁺MnO₄⁻ and KMnO₄ as Oxidant



Based on the working model, we speculated that rate acceleration through ion-pairing formation rather than phase separation is crucial for reaction to go through the asymmetric pathway selectively. We envisaged that high level of asymmetric induction might be still applicable with an organic phase soluble permanganate source. In order to test this hypothesis, a control reaction using tetrabutylammonium permanganate as oxidant was conducted. With only 2 mol% chiral catalyst and 1.5 equivalent of tetrabutylammonium permanganate, the reaction provided high level of chiral induction (83% ee vs 92% ee) (Scheme 6). This demonstrates that the

59 60

1

2

Page 4 of 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 chiral bisguanidinium dication is much better in stabilizing the active anionic intermediates than tetrabutylammonium cation. This might be partially ascribed to its dicationic character, which forms stronger electrostatic interaction with enolate anion in the transition states.

CONCLUSIONS

It is generally explained that phase-transfer catalysts accelerate reactions by enhancing organic solubility and nucleophilicity of the anionic reagents². In this newly developed methodology, strong evidence suggests that rate acceleration is mainly attributed to transition state stabilization through attractive cation-anion interaction. This stereoselective rate acceleration makes the desired asymmetric pathway much favored. Charge-acceleration effect has been previously proposed in quaternary Cinchonidinium-catalyzed epoxidation reaction⁴¹. More recently, Jacobsen demonstrated that transition state charge stabilization strategy through multiple noncovalent interactions could be utilized in chiral anion-bonding catalysis to induce stereoselectivity⁴². Although the type of non-covalent interactions involved in this methodology remains to be elucidated, the enzyme-like mode of bisguanidinium, with active center deeply buried in a chiral pocket, should provide implications for catalyst design. A similar approach has already been utilized by List with imidodiphosphoric acid, which contains anionic sites buried within hydrophobic groups⁴³.

In addition, the disclosure of asymmetric permanganate oxidation reaction together with mechanistic insights should provide inspiration for expansion to other anionic metal salts. Given the frequent occurrence of transition metal-centered anions in coordination complexes, the current chiral cation ion-pairing strategy would open up new paradigms for asymmetric transition metal catalysis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

Experimental details and characterization data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*choonhong@ntu.edu.sg

*Wang_Chao@ntu.edu.sg

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledge Nanyang Technological University (M4080946.110, M4011372.110) for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) Walsh, P. J.; Kozlowski, M. C. Fundamentals of Asymmetric Catalysis; University Science Books, 2009.

- (2) Maruoka, K. Asymmetric Phase Transfer Catalysis; Wiley, 2008.
- (3) Ooi, T.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4222-4266.
- (4) Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4312-4348.

(5) Ooi, T.; Uematsu, Y.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2548-2549.

(6) Ooi, T.; Ohara, D.; Tamura, M.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6844-6845.

(7) Uyanik, M.; Okamoto, H.; Yasui, T.; Ishihara, K. Science 2010, 328, 1376-1379.

(8) Uyanik, M.; Hayashi, H.; Ishihara, K. Science 2014, 345, 291-294.

(9) Mayer, S.; List, B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4193-4195.
(10) Rauniyar, V.; Lackner, A. D.; Hamilton, G. L.; Toste, F. D. Science 2011, 334, 1681-1684.

(11) Phipps, R. J.; Hamilton, G. L.; Toste, F. D. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 603-614.

(12) Mahlau, M.; List, B. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 518-533.
(13) Xu, H.; Zuend, S. J.; Woll, M. G.; Tao, Y.; Jacobsen, E. N. Science 2010, 327, 986-990.

- (14) Brak, K.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 534-561.
- (15) Llewellyn, D. B.; Adamson, D.; Arndtsen, B. A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4165-4168.

(16) Mukherjee, S.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 11336-11337.

- (17) Hamilton, G. L.; Kang, E. J.; Mba, M.; Toste, F. D. Science 2007, 317, 496-499.
- (18) Hatano, M.; Moriyama, K.; Maki, T.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3823-3826.
- (19) Ohmatsu, K.; Ito, M.; Kunieda, T.; Ooi, T. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 473-477.

(20) Chen, G.; Deng, Y.; Gong, L.; Mi, A.; Cui, X.; Jiang, Y.; Choi, M. C. K.; Chan, A. S. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 1567-1571.

(21) Nakoji, M.; Kanayama, T.; Okino, T.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. **2001**, *3*, 3329-3331.

(22) Han, Z.-Y.; Wang, C.; Gong, L.-Z. In *Science of Synthesis: Asymmetric Organocatalysis*; Maruoka,K., Ed.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 2012; Vol. 2, p 697-740.

(23) Shao, Z.; Zhang, H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2745-2755.

(24) Zhong, C.; Shi, X. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2999-3025.

(25) Rueping, M.; Koenigs, R. M.; Atodiresei, I. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 9350-9365.

- (26) Alper, H.; Eisenstat, A.; Satyanarayana, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7060-7061.
- (27) Griffith, W. P.; Ley, S. V.; Whitcombe, G. P.; White, A. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1987**, 1625-1627.
- (28) Bhunnoo, R. A.; Hu, Y.; Lainé, D. I.; Brown, R. C. D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3479-3480.
- (29) Ma, T.; Fu, X.; Kee, C. W.; Zong, L.; Pan, Y.; Huang, K.-W.; Tan, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2828-2831.
- (30) Zong, L.; Ban, X.; Kee, C. W.; Tan, C.-H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11849-11853.
- (31) Jacobsen, E. N.; Marko, I.; Mungall, W. S.; Schroeder, G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 1968-1970.
 - (32) Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L.; Crispino, G. A.;

Hartung, J.; Jeong, K. S.; Kwong, H. L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 2768-2771.

(33) Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483-2547.

(34) Fatiadi, A. J. Synthesis 1987, 85-127.

(35) Dash, S.; Patel, S.; Mishra, B. K. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 707-739.

(36) Srinivasan, N. S.; Lee, D. G. Synthesis 1979, 520-521.

(37) Crout, D. H. G.; Rathbone, D. L. Synthesis 1989, 40-42.

(38) Lee, D. G. In *PATAI'S Chemistry of Functional Groups*; Rapport, Z. Ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2009; p 419-488.

(39) Jew, S.-s.; Lee, J.-H.; Jeong, B.-S.; Yoo, M.-S.; Kim, M.-J.; Lee, Y.-J.; Lee, J.; Choi, S.-h.; Lee, K.; Lah, M. S.; Park, H.-g. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1383-1385.

- (40) Lee, D. G.; Brown, K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5076-5081.
 - (41) Corey, E. J.; Zhang, F.-Y. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1287-1290.

(42) Knowles, R. R.; Jacobsen, E. N. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.

2010, *107*, 20678-20685. (43) Coric, I.; List, B. *Nature* **2012**, *483*, 315-319.

