

Tetrahedron 55 (1999) 4369-4376

TETRAHEDRON

# Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis of Allylic Thioacetates. A Convenient Access to Allylic Thiols

Sunil Divekar,<sup>a</sup> Mohamed Safi,<sup>ab</sup> Mohamed Soufiaoui,<sup>c</sup> Denis Sinou<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de Synthèse Asymétrique, associé au CNRS, CPE Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

<sup>b</sup>Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, BP 146, Cité Yasmina, Mohammedia, Morocco

'Université Mohamed V, Faculté des Sciences, avenue Ibn Batouta, Rabat, Morocco

Received 13 November 1998; accepted 8 February 1999

Abstract: Palladium(0)-catalyzed alkylation of various allylic acetates and carbonates by potassium thioacetate allowed an easy access to allylic thioacetates in rather good yields. The reaction was regioselective with substitution at the less hindered side of the intermediate  $\pi$ -allyl system, and diastereoselective with net retention of configuration. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Palladium; alkylation; potassium thioacetate; allylic thioester.

## INTRODUCTION

Palladium(0)-catalyzed allylic alkylation is now a common tool in organic synthesis. This wide application is due to the facile reaction of the allylic substrates and the very high stereo- and chemoselectivity generally observed in this reaction.<sup>1</sup> While carbon, oxygen and nitrogen nucleophiles are commonly used in this catalytic reaction in the presence of phosphine-palladium complexes, sulfur nucleophiles are not so common in such a reaction. Bosnisch obtained allyl alkyl sulfides from *O*-allyl *S*-alkyl dithiocarbonate substrates in the presence of palladium(0) in rather good yields.<sup>2</sup> Silylated thiols reacted also with allylic carbonates to give the corresponding allyl alkyl sulfides.<sup>3</sup> We<sup>4</sup> and Moreno-Mañas<sup>5</sup> have shown that thiols reacted cleanly and quantitatively with allylic carbonates in the presence of a catalytic amount of palladium(0) to give the corresponding allylic alkyl thiols, and more recently an asymmetric version of this methodology was described.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Fax: 33 4 72448160; e-mail: sinou@univ-lyon1.fr

The use of sodium salts of carboxylic acids as nucleophiles in palladium-catalyzed alkylation reaction has also been described.<sup>7</sup> However there is no example of the use of salts of thiocarboxylic acids in this reaction. Our continuing interest in the palladium-catalyzed formation of sulfur-carbon bond was spurred by the idea that salts of thiocarboxylic acids could be good nucleophiles in such a reaction, leading to the formation of allylic thiocarboxylic esters; since these substrates could be saponified very easily into thiols, this methodology would be a very convenient access to allylic thiols. We describe in this paper the use of potassium thioacetate as the nucleophile in the palladium alkylation reaction.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Firstly substitution of various cinnamyl compounds with potassium thioacetate was carried out using palladium complexes combined with various ligands as the catalyst in order to compare the reactivity of these allylic compounds and the different palladium-complexes (Scheme 1).



As shown in Table 1, reaction of cinnamyl ethyl carbonate 1a with potassium thioacetate proceeded quantitatively in a THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (9/1) mixture in the presence of  $Pd_2(dba)_3$ -CHCl<sub>3</sub> associated with various ligands to give the allylic thioester 2 in good yields after column chromatography (Table 1, entries 1-8), whatever the ligand used [PPh<sub>3</sub>, 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane or dppe, 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane or dppp, 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane or dppb, 1,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)pentane or dpppe, 1,6-bis(diphenylphosphino)hexane or dpph and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene or dppf]. Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entry 9) or Pd(acac)<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entry 10) were as efficient as  $Pd_2(dba)_3$  catalyst precursor, although PdCl<sub>2</sub> or Pd(CN)<sub>2</sub> gave no reaction at all (Table 1, entries 11 and 12).

Alkylation of cinnamyl acetate 1b, cinnamyl benzoate 1c and cinnamyl diethyl phosphate 1d also occurred quantitatively (Table 1, entries 13-15); on the other hand, cinnamyl phenyl ether 1e seemed less reactive (Table 1, entry 16).

In order to compare the reactivities of cinnamyl derivatives 1a-e, some competitive reactions were carried out (Table 2). When carbonate 1a (1 equiv) and acetate 1b (1 equiv) were reacted with potassium thioacetate (1 equiv) in the presence of palladium(0)-dppb catalyst, carbonate 1a was quantitatively alkylated, although acetate 1b was recovered unchanged (Table 2, entry 1). The same behaviour was observed using benzoate 1c instead of acetate 1b (Table 2, entry 2). Reaction of a 1:1 mixture of acetate 1b and benzoate 1c proceeded at 50 °C to give quantitatively the alkylated product 2, 38% of 1b and 62% of 1c being recovered.

| Entry | Substrate  | Catalyst                | Conversion % (Yield %) <sup>b</sup> |  |  |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 8 PPh_3$ | 100 (69) <sup>c</sup>               |  |  |
| 2     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppe$  | 100 (68)                            |  |  |
| 3     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppp$  | 100 (82)                            |  |  |
| 4     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppb$  | 100 (85)                            |  |  |
| 5     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dpppe$ | 100 (90)                            |  |  |
| 6     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dpph$  | 100 (82)                            |  |  |
| 7     | 1a         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppf$  | 100 (74)                            |  |  |
| 8     | <b>1a</b>  | $Pd(OAc)_2 + 4 PPh_3$   | 100 (81)                            |  |  |
| 9     | 1a         | $Pd(acac)_2 + 4 PPh_3$  | 100 (87)                            |  |  |
| 10    | <b>1</b> a | $PdCl_2 + 4 PPh_3$      | 0                                   |  |  |
| 11    | 1a         | $Pd(CN)_2 + 4 PPh_3$    | 0                                   |  |  |
| 12    | 1b         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppb$  | 100 (87)                            |  |  |
| 13    | 1c         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppb$  | 100 (84)                            |  |  |
| 14    | 1d         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppb$  | 100 (71)                            |  |  |
| 15    | 1e         | $Pd_2(dba)_3 + 4 dppb$  | 95 (38)                             |  |  |

Table 1. Palladium-Catalyzed Substitution of Cinnamyl Derivatives by Potassium Thioacetate.\*

\* [1]:[CH<sub>3</sub>COSK]:[Pd] = 25:35:1; [1] = 0.25 mol.1<sup>-1</sup>; solvent THF:H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1); 50 °C; 24 h. <sup>b</sup> Conversion determined by G. C.; chemical yield of pure product after column chromatography and not optimized. <sup>c</sup> The same result was obtained using a ratio [Pd]:[PPh<sub>3</sub>] = 4

| Table 2. Competitive Palladium-Catalyzed Substitution Using Potas | sium Thioacetate.* |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|

| Entry | Substrates (ratio)   | Products (%) <sup>b</sup>                              |  |  |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1     | <b>1a + 1b</b> (1:1) | <b>2</b> (100 %) + <b>1b</b> (100 %)                   |  |  |
| 2     | <b>1a + 1c</b> (1:1) | <b>2</b> (100 %) + 1c (100 %)                          |  |  |
| 3     | <b>1b + 1c</b> (1:1) | <b>2</b> (100 %) + <b>1b</b> (38 %) + <b>1c</b> (62 %) |  |  |
| 4     | <b>1b + 1d</b> (1:1) | <b>2</b> (100 %) + <b>1b</b> (100 %)                   |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> [1]:[CH<sub>3</sub>COSK]:[Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>]:[dppb] = 40:20:0.5:2; [1] = 0.25 mol.1<sup>-1</sup>; solvent THF:H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1); 50 °C; 24 h. <sup>b</sup> Determined by G. C.

This means that cinnamyl acetate 1b is more reactive than cinnamyl benzoate 1c. Finally reaction of a 1:1 mixture of acetate 1b and phosphate 1d gave the alkylated product 2, acetate 1b being recovered quantitatively at the end of the reaction.and 4 reacted with CH<sub>3</sub>COSK to give almost identical mixtures of regio and stereoisomeric allylic thioesters 12 and 13 (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). The more substituted alkene 12 is predominant (95% vs 5%), a mixture of *E* and *Z* isomers being obtained as shown by the NMR spectrum of the mixture. We observed the chemical shifts for the allylic carbons of the major isomer at higher field ( $\delta$  31.4

| Entry | Allylic substrate                                                | Product(s)                                                                                           | Yield % <sup>b</sup><br>(ratio) <sup>c</sup> |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1     | C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> OCO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> 3 | $C_{3}H_{7}$ SCOCH <sub>3</sub> 12<br>( <i>E/Z</i> : 77/23)<br>SCOCH <sub>3</sub><br>$C_{3}H_{7}$ 13 | 68<br>(95/5)                                 |
| 2     | C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> 4                                  | <b>12</b> ( <i>E/Z</i> : 86/14) + <b>13</b>                                                          | 51<br>(95/5)                                 |
| 3     | BnOOAc 5                                                         | BnO14<br>~SCOCH <sub>3</sub><br>( <i>E/Z</i> : 88/12)                                                | 56                                           |
| 4     |                                                                  | SCOCH <sub>3</sub> 15                                                                                | 70                                           |
| 5     | Ph Ph 7                                                          | Ph Ph 16                                                                                             | 22                                           |
| 6     | OAc 8                                                            | ( <i>E/Z</i> : 94/6)                                                                                 | 79                                           |
| 7     | 9<br>OAc                                                         | <b>17</b> ( <i>E/</i> Z : 17/86)                                                                     | 68                                           |
| 8     | OAc 10                                                           | <b>17</b> ( <i>E/</i> Z : 56/44)                                                                     | 91                                           |
| 9     |                                                                  | 18<br>SCOCH <sub>3</sub>                                                                             | 57                                           |

| Table 3. Palladium-Catalyzed Substitution of Allylic Acetates and Carbonates by Potassium Thioacetate. | Table 3 | . Palladium | -Catalyzed S | Substitution | of Allylic | Acetates and | Carbonates by | Potassium | Thioacetate. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|

\* [Substrate]:[CH<sub>3</sub>COSK]:[Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>]:[dppb] = 25:35:0.5:2; [substrate] = 0.25 mol.l<sup>-1</sup>; solvent THF:H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1); 50 °C; 24 h. \* Chemical yield of pure product after column chromatography and not optimized. \* Determined by NMR and GC. and 34.3 ppm) than those of the minor isomer ( $\delta$  22.5 and 29.2 ppm), characteristic of a *E* and *Z* stereochemistry, respectively. The branched allylic thioester 13 was also characterized by <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, the signal of the allylic carbon appearing at  $\delta$  46.3 ppm.

The Z allylic carbonate 5 gave a 88/12 mixture of E and Z allylic thioesters 14 (Table 3, entry 3), whose configuration was mainly based on <sup>13</sup>C-NMR data. The signals of the allylic carbons corresponding to the major isomer are at lower field ( $\delta$  30.8 and 69.9 ppm) than those corresponding to the minor isomer ( $\delta$  26.4 and 65.5 ppm).

Cyclohexyl ethyl carbonate 6 is also alkylated to give the corresponding thioester 15 in good yield (Table 3, entry 4), although acetate 7 was alkylated in low yield (Table 3, entry 5).

The reaction of geranyl acetate 8, neryl acetate 9 and linalyl acetate 10 under these conditions gave a mixture of geranyl and neryl thioester 17 in 79, 68 and 91% yield, respectively (Table 3, entries 6-8). Surprisingly, geranyl acetate 8 gave a 94/6 mixture of 17 (*E*) and 17 (*Z*) and 18, although neryl acetate 9 gave a 14/86 mixture, and linalyl acetate 9 a 55/45 mixture. Following the reaction by gas chromatography showed that the reaction was quite fast and that the E/Z ratio was the same throughout the process; this could be due to a very fast alkylation process compared to the  $\sigma = \pi = \sigma$  equilibrium and probably a poisoning of the catalyst at the end of the reaction.

Finally the reaction was extended to farnesyl acetate 11 to give farnesyl thioacetate 18 in 57% yield (Table 3, entry 9).

In order to gain an insight into the stereochemistry of this reaction, the unsaturated acetate 19 was used as the  $\pi$ -allyl precursor (Scheme 2). Unsaturated carbohydrate 20 was obtained as the sole product in 73% yield. The *trans* stereochemistry of compound 20 was determined from the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum with a coupling constant  $J_{4,5} = 10.7$  Hz, characteristic of a *trans* relationship between H-4 and H-5. So the reaction occured with an overall retention of configuration as usually observed with soft nucleophiles.



#### Scheme 2

## CONCLUSION

Reaction of potassium thioacetate with allylic carbonates or acetates in the presence of a palladium(0)complex provides allylic thioacetates in quite good yields. The reaction is regioselective with the formation of the less substituted allylic thioacetate, and stereospecific with overall retention of configuration. Since these compounds could be saponified without racemization, this methodology is a very valuable solution to the preparation of allylic thiols.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PART**

All reactions that involved palladium complexes were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in Schlenk tubes. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel, Merck, grade 60 (230-400 mesh, 60 Å). GC analyses were recorded with a capillary gas chromatograph GIRDEL DELSI 330 equipped with a capillary column OV 101 (25 m x 0.32 mm). All compounds were characterized through their <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent and Me<sub>4</sub>Si or chloroform-d<sub>1</sub> as internal standard; carbon multiplicities were obtained from DEPT experiments. The following chemicals were from commercial sources and used as received: Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>-CHCl<sub>3</sub>, Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, PdCl<sub>2</sub>, Pd(CN)<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>COSK, cinnamyl acetate, (*E*)-2-hexen-1-ol, 1-hexen-3-ol, (*Z*)-4-benzyloxy-2-buten-1-ol, 2-cyclohexen-1-ol, geranyl acetate, neryl acetate, linalyl acetate, farnesyl acetate, ethyl 4,6-di-*O*-acetyl-2,3-dideoxy- $\alpha$ -D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside, PPh<sub>3</sub>, dppe, dppb, dpppe, dpph and dppf. The alcohols were converted to the corresponding acetate, carbonate, benzoate, phosphate or phenyl ether in good yields using standard procedures. Thioesters 2<sup>8</sup>, 15<sup>9</sup> and 18<sup>10</sup> have already been described.

General procedure for the palladium-catalyzed reaction of potassium thioacetate with allylic substrates. A mixture of palladium complex  $Pd_2(dba)_3$ -CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mol%) and the monophosphine (16 mol%) or the diphosphine (8 mol%) was stirred in THF (8 mL) for 0.5 h. Potassium thioacetate (3.5 mmol) in 2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O was added, followed by the allylic substrate (2.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for the indicated time. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the desired product(s).

(*E*)- and (*Z*)-Thioacetic acid S-(hex-2-enyl)ester 12 and thioacetic acid S-[3-(n-propyl)prop-2-enyl] ester 13. These compounds were characterized in the mixture. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$  0.88 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub> of *E*-12), 0.94 (t, 3H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub> of *Z*-12), 1.30-1.45 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.98 (dt, 2H, J = 7.0 and 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH= of *E*-12), 2.08 (dt, 2H, J = 7.0 and 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH= of *Z*-12), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.49 (bd, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>S of *E*-12), 3.56 (bd, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>S of *Z*-12), 5.08 (d, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>=CH- of 13), 5.21 (d, 1H, J = 16.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>=CH- of 13), 5.30-5.60 (m, 1H, -CH=), 5.60-5.75 (m, 1H, -CH=), 580-5.90 (m, 1H, -CH=CH<sub>2</sub> of 13). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz): for *E*-12  $\delta$  13.5, 22.2, 30.4, 31.4, 34.3, 124.5, 134.5 and 195.4; for *Z*-12  $\delta$  13.7, 22.5, 26.2, 29.2, 30.3, 123.7, 133.7 and 195.4; for 13  $\delta$  14.0, 20.1, 30.4, 36.0, 46.3, 115.89, 137.8 and 195.3. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>14</sub>OS: C, 60.73; H, 8.85. Found: C, 60.16; H, 8.89.

(*E*)- and (*Z*)-Thioacetic S-[(4-benzyloxy)but-2-enyl)] ester 14. Characterized as a *E*/Z mixture. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz):  $\delta$  2.32 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.53 (d, 2H, J = 5.9 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>S), 3.98 (d, 2H, J = 5.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>O of *E*-14), 4.15 (d, 2H, J = 6.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>O of *Z*-14), 4.48 (s, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph of *E*-14), 4.51 (s, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph of *Z*-14), 5.62-5.85 (m, 2H, =CH-), 7.25-7.33 (m, 5H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz): for *E*-14  $\delta$  30.4, 30.8, 69.9, 72.1, 127.5, 127.7, 128.4, 130.1 and 194.9; for *Z*-14  $\delta$  26.4, 30.4, 65.5, 72.3, 127.5, 127.7, 128.0, 128.4, 129.6, 138.2 and 194.9. Anal. calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 66.08, H, 6.77. Found: C, 65.90; H, 6.94.

(*E*)-Thioacetic acid S-(1,3-diphenylallyl) ester 16. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz):  $\delta$  2.3 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.46 (d, 1H, J = 7.1 Hz, CHS), 6.45 (dd, 1H, J = 15.7 and 7.1 Hz, -CH=), 6.61 (d, 1H, J = 15.7, -CH=), 7.10-7.50 (m, 10H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz):  $\delta$  30.4, 50.4, 126.5, 126.6, 127.5, 128.4, 128.7, 131.8, 136.5 and 201.6.

*Thioacetic acid S-geranyl ester and thioacetic acid S-neryl ester 17.* These compounds were characterized in the mixture. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz):  $\delta$  1.59 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.67 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.68 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.95-2.10 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=), 2.30 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.50 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>S), 5.00-5.30 (m, 2H, =CH-). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz): for (*E*)-17  $\delta$  16.1, 17.6, 25.6, 26.5, 27.3, 30.2, 39.5, 118.4, 123.8, 139.5, 139.9, 195.6; for (*E*)-17  $\delta$  17.6, 23.3, 25.6, 26.4, 27.3, 30.2, 31.9, 119.14, 123.8, 131.95, 140.0, 195.6.

*Ethyl 6-acetoxy-4-thioacetoxy-2,3,4-trideoxy-\alpha-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside 20*. R<sub>y</sub> 0.44 (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate 4:1). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz):  $\delta$  1.25 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.57 (dq, 1H, J = 9.6 and 7.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>-), 3.82 (dq, 1H, J = 9.6 and 7.0 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>-), 4.02 (ddd, 1H, J = 10.7, 5.2 and 2.1 Hz, H-5), 4.16 (dd, 1H, J = 12.2 and 2.1 Hz, H-6), 4.30 (dd, 1H, J = 12.2 and 5.2 Hz, H-6), 4.35 (bd, 1H, J = 10.7 Hz, H-4), 5.05 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.83 (s, 2H, H-2, H-3). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz):  $\delta$  15.3, 20.8, 30.5, 38;0, 63.6, 63.9, 68.3, 93.9, 127.2, 130.4, 170.8, 193.5. Anal. Calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S: C, 52.54; H, 6.56. Found: C, 52.57; H, 6.75.

Acknowledgements: S. D. thanks the Région Rhône-Alpes for a postdoctoral fellowship.

### REFERENCES

- a) Trost, B. M.; Verhoeven, T. R. in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G.; Stone, F. G. A.; Abel, E. W. Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1982, 8, pp. 799-938. b) Trost, B. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 385-393; c) Trost, B. M. Chemtracts-Organic Chemistry 1988, 1, 415-435. d) Trost, B. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1173-1192. e) Goldeski, S. A. in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M. Ed.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991, 4, pp. 585-661. f) Tsuji, J. Organic Synthesis with Palladium Compounds; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1980. g) Tsuji, J.; Minami, I. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 140-145. h) Tsuji, J. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 4361-4401. i) Heck, R. F. Palladium Reagents in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: London, 1985. j) Consiglio, G.; Waymouth R. M. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 257-276. k) Frost, C. G.; Horwarth, J.; Williams, J. M. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 1089-1122. l) Malleron J. L.; Fiaud, J. C.; Legros, J. Y. Handbook of Palladium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions; Academic Press: London, 1997.
- 2. Auburn, P. R.; Whelan, J.; Bosnich, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 146-147.
- 3. Trost, B. M.; Scalan, T. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4141-4144.
- a) Goux, C.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 8099-8103. b) Goux, C.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 10321-10330. c) Goux, C.; Lhoste, P.; Sinou, D.; Muzart, J. Sulfur Letters 1994, 18, 1-8.
- a) Moreno-Mañas, M.; Pleixats, R.; Villarroya, M. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1457-1464. b) Arredondo, Y.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Pleixats, R.; Villarroya, M. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1465-1470.

- 6. Frank, M.; Gais, H. -J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 3353-3357.
- a) Deardoff, D. R.; Myles, D. C.; Mac Ferrin, K. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5615-5618. b) Trost, B. M.; Organ, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10320-10321.
- 8. Volante, R. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3119-3122.
- 9. Oehrlein, R.; Jeschke, R.; Ernst, B.; Bellus, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3517-3520.
- 10. Gilbert, B. A.; Tan, E. W.; Perez-Sala, D.; Rando, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3966-3973.