

## Construction of Segregated Arrays of Multiple Donor and Acceptor Units Using a Dendritic Scaffold: Remarkable Dendrimer Effects on Photoinduced Charge Separation

Wei-Shi Li,<sup>\*,†</sup> Kil Suk Kim,<sup>§</sup> Dong-Lin Jiang,<sup>†</sup> Hiroyuki Tanaka,<sup>#</sup> Tomoji Kawai,<sup>#</sup>  
Jung Ho Kwon,<sup>§</sup> Dongho Kim,<sup>\*,§</sup> and Takuzo Aida<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

Contribution from the ERATO-SORST Nanospace Project, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), National Museum of Emerging Science and Innovation, 2-41 Aomi, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-0064, Japan, The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research (ISIR), Osaka University, 8-1, Mihogaoka, Ibaragi, Osaka 567-0047, Japan, National Creative Research Initiatives, Center for Ultrafast Optical Characteristics Control and Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea, and Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, School of Engineering, and Center for NanoBio Integration, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Received May 3, 2006; E-mail: li@nanospace.miraikan.jst.go.jp; dongho@yonsei.ac.kr; aida@macro.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

**Abstract:** Dendritic molecules appended with multiple zinc porphyrin units (DP<sub>m</sub>, *m* [number of zinc porphyrin units] = 6, 12, and 24) trap bipyridine compounds carrying multiple fullerene units (Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>n</sub>, *n* [number of C<sub>60</sub> units] = 1–3), affording coordination complexes DP<sub>m</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>n</sub> having a photoactive layer consisting of spatially segregated donor and acceptor arrays on their surface. Complexes DP<sub>m</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>n</sub> are stable enough (*K* [average binding affinity] =  $1.1 \times 10^6$ – $4.4 \times 10^6$  M<sup>−1</sup> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C) to be isolated by gel permeation chromatography. UHV–STM microscopy enables clear visualization of a petal-like structure of DP<sub>12</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>. Photoexcitation of the zinc porphyrin units in DP<sub>m</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>n</sub> results in a zinc porphyrin-to-fullerene electron transfer to generate a charge separation. The charge-separation rate constant (*k*<sub>CS</sub>) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 20 °C increases from  $0.26 \times 10^{10}$  to  $2.3 \times 10^{10}$  s<sup>−1</sup> upon increment of *m* and *n*, whereas the charge-recombination rate constant (*k*<sub>CR</sub>) remains almost unchanged at  $4.5 \times 10^6$ – $6.7 \times 10^6$  s<sup>−1</sup>. Consequently, DP<sub>24</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> furnishes the largest ratio of *k*<sub>CS</sub>/*k*<sub>CR</sub> (3400) among the family.

### Introduction

In biological photosynthesis, photoinduced electron transfer (PET) is one of the most essential events for conversion of solar energy into chemical energy. Molecular design of artificial photosynthetic systems involving restricted spatial arrangements of covalently<sup>1</sup> and noncovalently<sup>2</sup> linked electron donor (D) and acceptor (A) units has long been a central interest and now attracts even greater attention for the development of optoelectronic devices such as solar cells.<sup>3</sup> For the fabrication of those materials, charge-transfer complexations between D and A units, leading to rapid quenching of charge-carrier transports, must be avoided, while D and A units are required to assemble individually to form their segregated arrays.<sup>4</sup> Having this context

in mind, we were motivated to achieve at the molecular level such segregated arrays of multiple D and A units. Although a variety of D/A systems having multiple D or A units have been reported,<sup>5</sup> those containing large numbers of both D and A units are unprecedented. In the present paper, we report novel photofunctional dendrimers consisting of spatially segregated arrays of multiple D and A units on their surface.

Our molecular design strategy (Scheme 1) made use of petal-like dendritic structures as scaffolds<sup>6</sup> to realize a wheel-like or spherical arrangement of multiple zinc porphyrin (P) units (DP<sub>m</sub>; *m* = 6, 12, and 24),<sup>7</sup> which are capable of ligating multiple molecules of bipyridine compounds having 1–3 fullerene (F) units (Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>n</sub>; *n* = 1–3). Since the zinc porphyrin moieties in DP<sub>m</sub> are located in the outermost dendritic layer, and the

<sup>†</sup> ERATO-SORST Nanospace Project, JST.

<sup>‡</sup> The University of Tokyo.


<sup>§</sup> Yonsei University; responsible for time-resolved spectroscopies.

<sup>#</sup> Osaka University; responsible for scanning tunneling microscopy.

- (1) For books and reviews: (a) Kavarnos, G. J. *Fundamentals of Photoinduced Electron Transfer*; VCH: New York, 1993. (b) Wasielewski, M. R. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 435–461. (c) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 40–48. (d) Guldi, D. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2002**, *31*, 22–36. (e) Imahori, H. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2004**, *2*, 1425–1433.
- (2) For reviews: (a) El-Khously, M. E.; Ito, O.; Smith, P. M.; D'Souza, F. J. *Photochem. Photobiol., C* **2004**, *5*, 79–104. (b) D'Souza, F.; Ito, O. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *249*, 1410–1422.
- (3) (a) Nunzi, J.-M. C. R. *Phys.* **2002**, *3*, 523–542. (b) Gregg, B. A. J. *Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107*, 4688–4698. (c) Grätzel, M. J. *Photochem. Photobiol., C* **2005**, *4*, 145–153.


- (4) (a) Yu, G.; Gao, J.; Hummelen, J. C.; Wudl, F.; Heeger, A. J. *Science* **1995**, *270*, 1789–1791. (b) Halls, J. J. M.; Walsh, C. A.; Greenham, N. C.; Marseglia, E. A.; Friend, R. H.; Moratti, S. C.; Holmes, A. B. *Nature* **1995**, *376*, 498–500. (c) Peumans, P.; Yakimov, A.; Forrest, S. R. J. *Appl. Phys.* **2003**, *93*, 3693–3723.
- (5) (a) Sadamoto, R.; Tomioka, N.; Aida, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 3978–3979. (b) Kuciauskas, D.; Liddell, P. A.; Lin, S.; Johnson, T. E.; Weghorn, S. J.; Lindsey, J. S.; Moore, A. L.; Moore, T. A.; Gust, D. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 8604–8614. (c) Choi, M.-S.; Aida, T.; Luo, H.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O.; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4060–4063. (d) Imahori, H.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Kashiwagi, Y.; Sato, T.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O.; Yamada, H.; Fukuzumi, S. *Eur. Chem. J.* **2004**, *10*, 3184–3196. (e) Jiang, D.-L.; Choi, C.-K.; Honda, K.; Li, W.-S.; Yuzawa, T.; Aida, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc. Chem.* **2004**, *126*, 12084–12089.

**Scheme 1.** Molecular Structures of Zinc Complexes of Multiporphyrin Dendrimers  $DP_m$  ( $m = 6, 12$ , and  $24$ ), Fullerene-Appended Bipyridine Ligands  $Py_2F_n$  ( $n = 1-3$ ), and Reference Compounds  $P_1$  and  $Py_2$ , and Schematic Representation of the Complexation of  $DP_{24}$  with  $Py_2F_3$



bipyridine and fullerene units in  $Py_2F_n$  are apart by roughly 2–3 nm from one another, the coordination of  $Py_2F_n$  to  $DP_m$  is expected to form a photoactive layer consisting of spatially segregated arrays of multiple donor (zinc porphyrin) and acceptor (fullerene) units on the dendrimer surface. Molecular design of  $DP_m$  was inspired by the unique structures of light-harvesting antenna complexes such as LH1 and LH2 in purple

bacteria,<sup>8</sup> where multiple bacteriochlorophyll units are spatially arranged like a wheel and ensure efficient harvesting of dilute photons. The excitation energy captured by one of the bacteriochlorophyll unit migrates, without dissipation, to neighboring chlorophyll units in the same and connecting wheel-like chromophore arrays and is subsequently funneled to the photosynthetic reaction center, thereby triggering an electron



**Figure 1.** (a) Absorption spectral change of DP<sub>24</sub> ( $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M) upon titration with Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> ( $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}] = 0, 1.1, 2.3, 4.6, 6.8, 9.1, 11, 16, 23, 34, 52, 80, 126, 194,$  and  $240$ ) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. (b) Change in absorbance ( $A_0 - A$ ) of DP<sub>24</sub>, monitored at 415.0 nm, as a function of  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]$  ( $= 2 \times [\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]$ ), and its fitting profile. (c) Binding affinities ( $\log K$ ) of the pyridine units in Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (red), Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (green), and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (blue) toward the zinc porphyrin units in P<sub>1</sub>, DP<sub>6</sub>, DP<sub>12</sub>, and DP<sub>24</sub> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C. (d) A snapshot of gel permeation chromatography (GPC) of a mixture of DP<sub>24</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> ( $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}] = 25$ ) with CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an eluent.

transfer. We and other groups have reported that some dendritic multiporphyrin arrays including DP<sub>*m*</sub>, upon photoexcitation, display highly efficient energy migration characteristics analogous to those of the biological light-harvesting systems.<sup>6a,9</sup> Hence, we decided to make use of zinc complexes of multiporphyrin dendrimers DP<sub>*m*</sub> with a certain structural rigidity for an attempt to construct a concentric double layer of spatially segregated arrays composed of multiple D and A units (Scheme 1). As an electron acceptor for DP<sub>*m*</sub>, we chose a fullerene such as C<sub>60</sub> because of its small reorganization energy and excellent electron-accepting properties.<sup>10</sup> Thus, we synthesized Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> having 1–3 fullerene units ( $n = 1–3$ ), where the bipyridine (Py<sub>2</sub>) unit was expected to coordinate strongly in a bidentate fashion to two neighboring zinc porphyrin units in DP<sub>*m*</sub>, thereby allowing the formation of a fullerene array outside of the zinc porphyrin array on the dendrimer surface (DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>). Here, one can change the packing densities of these D and A units in the photoactive layer by varying the *m* and *n* values in the DP<sub>*m*</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> components, respectively, and might therefore be able to modulate the photoinduced charge-separation event.

## Results and Discussion

Zinc complexes of multiporphyrin dendrimers DP<sub>*m*</sub> ( $m = 6, 12,$  and  $24$ ) were synthesized according to a method analogous to that reported previously for chiroptical sensing of chiral bipyridine compounds.<sup>7</sup> On the other hand, fullerene-appended bipyridine ligands Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> ( $n = 1–3$ ) were synthesized by coupling of a fullerene-containing carboxylic acid precursor with

bipyridine-terminated alcohols bearing 1–3 hydroxyl groups.<sup>11</sup> In CHCl<sub>3</sub>, DP<sub>6</sub> showed an absorption spectral profile typical of 5,15-diarylporphyrin zinc complexes, having a Soret absorption band centered at 414 nm and Q-bands at 542 and 578 nm. Compared with DP<sub>6</sub>, DP<sub>24</sub> showed a broad Soret absorption band centered at 415 nm, while DP<sub>12</sub> showed a blue-shifted shoulder at 398 nm along with a major Soret band at 411 nm. The latter observation suggests that DP<sub>12</sub> may adopt a planar geometry with a H-aggregate-type arrangement of the zinc porphyrin units along its periphery.<sup>7</sup> On the other hand, Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>–Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> all displayed an electronic absorption band centered at 326 nm.<sup>11</sup> Upon excitation at 326 nm, all Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> fluoresced at 694 nm from their fullerene units.<sup>10,11</sup>

As expected, DP<sub>*m*</sub> ( $m = 6, 12,$  and  $24$ ) bound Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> ( $n = 1–3$ ) strongly to form stable DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>. For example, upon titration with Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C, DP<sub>24</sub> ( $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M) displayed a large spectral change in the Soret and Q-bands (Figure 1a), characteristic of the axial coordination of zinc porphyrins, with a clear saturation profile at a molar ratio  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}]$  exceeding 12 (Figure 1b). This spectral change profile did not give distinct isosbestic points possibly due to a large effect of the multivalency of the complexation between DP<sub>24</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>. However, the average binding affinity (*K*), as estimated by simply assuming a one-to-one coordination between the individual zinc porphyrin and pyridine units, was  $1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ .<sup>11</sup> This value is more than 2 orders of magnitude greater than association constants reported for monodentate coordination between zinc porphyrins and pyridine derivatives.<sup>12</sup> Other combinations of DP<sub>*m*</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> for the titration all showed analogous spectral change profiles with a marked saturation tendency at a mole ratio  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n]/[\text{DP}_m]$  close to  $m/2$ .<sup>11</sup> Figure 1c shows average binding affinities *K* between the zinc porphyrin and pyridine units, which are almost comparable to one another in a range  $1.1 \times 10^6$ – $4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$  irrespective of the *m* and *n* values in DP<sub>*m*</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>, respectively. We also found that resulting complexes DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> are all stable under conditions for gel permeation chromatography (GPC). For example, a CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution (0.5 mL) of a mixture of DP<sub>24</sub> and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> ( $[\text{DP}_{24}] = 1.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ ,  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}] = 25$ ) was loaded onto a Bio-beads S-X1 GPC column and then eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. As shown in Figure 1d, the chromatographic profile

- (6) For dendritic multiporphyrin arrays: (a) Yeow, E. K. L.; Ghiggino, K. P.; Reek, J. N. H.; Crossley, M. J.; Bosman, A. W.; Schenning, A. P. H. J.; Meijer, E. W. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 2596–2606. (b) Choi, M.-S.; Aida, T.; Yamazaki, T.; Yamazaki, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3194–3198. (c) Choi, M.-S.; Aida, T.; Yamazaki, T.; Yamazaki, I. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 2667–2678. (d) Benites, M. R.; et al. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2002**, *12*, 65–80. (e) Choi, M.-S.; Yamazaki, T.; Yamazaki, I.; Aida, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 150–158. (f) Imahori, H. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 6130–6143. (g) Solladié, N.; Soombar, C.; Herschbach, H.; Strub, J.-M.; Leize, E.; Van Dorsselaer, A.; Talarico, A. M.; Ventura, B.; Flamigni, L. *New J. Chem.* **2005**, *29*, 1504–1507. (7) Li, W.-S.; Jiang, D.-L.; Suna, Y.; Aida, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7700–7702. (8) (a) McDermott, G.; Prince, S. M.; Freer, A. A.; Hawthornthwaite-Lawless, A. M.; Papiz, M. Z.; Cogdell, R. J.; Isaacs, N. W. *Nature* **1995**, *374*, 517–521. (b) Karrasch, S.; Bullough, P. A.; Ghosh, R. *EMBO J.* **1995**, *14*, 631–638. (c) Pullerits, T.; Sundström, V. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 381–389. (9) (a) Cho, S.; Li, W.-S.; Yoon, M.-C.; Ahn, T. K.; Jiang, D.-L.; Kim, J.; Aida, T.; Kim, D. *Chem. Eur. J.*, in press. (b) Fujitsuka, M.; Hara, M.; Tojo, S.; Okada, A.; Troiani, V.; Solladié, N.; Majima, T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 33–35. (10) (a) Guldí, D. M.; Prato, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 695–703. (b) Guldí, D. M. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 321–327. (c) Thomas, K. G.; George, M. V. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2005**, *88*, 1291–1308.


(11) See Supporting Information.

(12) Sanders, J. K. M.; Bampos, N.; Clyde-Watson, Z.; Darling, S. L.; Hawley, J. C.; Kim, H.-J.; Mak, C. C.; Webb, S. J. In *Axial Coordination Chemistry of Metalloporphyrins*; Kadish, K. M., Smith, K. M., Guillard, R., Eds.; The Porphyrin Handbook; Academic Press: San Diego, 2000; Vol. 3, p 30.



**Table 1.** Average Numbers of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  Bound to Single  $\text{DP}_m$  Molecule in  $\text{DP}_m\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , upon Mixing  $\text{DP}_m$  with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  ( $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n]/[\text{DP}_m] = m$ ) in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  at 25 °C, Followed by GPC Separation with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  as an Eluent (Figure 1d)<sup>11</sup>


	$\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$	$\text{Py}_2\text{F}_2$	$\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$
$\text{DP}_6$	2.9	2.6	2.6
$\text{DP}_{12}$	5.3	5.5	5.3
$\text{DP}_{24}$	9.0	9.6	9.5



**Figure 2.** UHV-STM micrographs of (a, b)  $\text{DP}_{12}$  in the presence of 6 equiv of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$ , (c)  $\text{DP}_6$  in the presence of 3 equiv of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$ , and (d)  $\text{DP}_{24}$  in the presence of 12 equiv of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$ , on a Au(111) surface at a liquid nitrogen temperature. Conditions: (a)  $I = 1$  pA,  $V_s = +3$  V; (b)  $I = 1$  pA,  $V_s = +2$  V; (c)  $I = 2$  pA,  $V_s = +4.5$  V; (d)  $I = 1$  pA,  $V_s = +2$  V.

displayed two colored fractions. By means of absorption spectroscopy, the first fraction was found to contain both  $\text{DP}_{24}$  and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  at a molar ratio  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}]$  of 9.5 (Table 1), whereas the second fraction included  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  alone.<sup>11</sup> The observed ratio of  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{24}]$  is fairly close to an expected ratio of 12 for the bidentate ligation of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  to the zinc porphyrin units in  $\text{DP}_{24}$ . As summarized in Table 1, we likewise isolated by GPC  $\text{DP}_m\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  formed from the other combinations of  $\text{DP}_m$  and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  and confirmed from their compositions that almost all the zinc porphyrin units in  $\text{DP}_m$  participate in the bidentate ligation with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ . In contrast,  $P_1$ , a nondendritic zinc porphyrin reference (Scheme 1), incapable of bidentate ligation with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , exhibited much smaller binding affinities ( $\sim 2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ) toward  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$ – $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  (Figure 1c).

We succeeded in visualizing some of the coordination complexes between  $\text{DP}_m$  and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  by scanning tunneling microscopy under ultrahigh vacuum conditions (UHV-STM). For example, when a  $\text{CHCl}_3$  solution of a mixture of  $\text{DP}_{12}$  and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  ( $[\text{DP}_{12}] = 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $[\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]/[\text{DP}_{12}] = 8.2$ ) was deposited on a Au(111) surface by pulse injection,<sup>13</sup> UHV-STM at a liquid nitrogen temperature displayed petal-like patterns with a uniform diameter of 7 nm, assignable to  $\text{DP}_{12}$  adopting a planer conformation on the substrate surface (Figures 2a and 2b). UHV-STM also exhibited many bright spots at the periphery of  $\text{DP}_{12}$  molecules, which are most likely fullerene clusters of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$ . While  $\text{DP}_6\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$  showed a petal-like pattern




**Figure 3.** Cyclic voltammograms of (a)  $\text{DP}_6$  (green),  $\text{DP}_{12}$  (blue), and  $\text{DP}_{24}$  (red) alone, (b) those in the presence of  $\text{Py}_2$  ([pyridine]/[zinc porphyrin] = 1), (c)  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$  (red),  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_2$  (green), and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  (blue) alone, and (d) those in the presence of  $\text{DP}_{12}$  ([pyridine]/[zinc porphyrin] = 1). Conditions: 0.1 M  $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+\text{PF}_6^-$  as a supporting electrolyte, scan rate: 100 mV/s, Pt electrodes, in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at 25 °C.

(Figure 2c), the complex likely lost most of the ligating  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$  molecules during the process for pulse injection. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 2d,  $\text{DP}_{24}\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$  in UHV-STM developed a rather obscure molecular image, possibly due to a difficulty of large  $\text{DP}_{24}$  in flattening on the substrate surface.


Electrochemical properties of  $\text{DP}_m$ ,  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , and reference complexes for  $\text{DP}_m\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  were investigated by means of cyclic voltammetry (CV). In  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at 25 °C,  $\text{DP}_6$ ,  $\text{DP}_{12}$ , and  $\text{DP}_{24}$  displayed nearly identical redox properties to one another with the first oxidation potential ( $E_{\text{Ox}}^{0/+}$ ) at around 0.40 V versus  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$  (Figure 3a). For the evaluation of the redox potentials of the pyridine-ligating zinc porphyrin units in  $\text{DP}_m\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , we utilized, in place of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , reference bipyridine compound  $\text{Py}_2$  without fullerene units (Scheme 1). Upon addition of 3 equiv of  $\text{Py}_2$  to a  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  solution of  $\text{DP}_6$ , the oxidation peak, as expected, showed a cathodic shift down to  $E_{\text{Ox}}^{0/+}$  of 0.28 V due to an electron donation from ligating  $\text{Py}_2$  to the zinc porphyrin units (Figure 3b). A similar electronic effect of  $\text{Py}_2$  was observed for the oxidation potentials of  $\text{DP}_{12}$  and  $\text{DP}_{24}$ , although the extent of such a cathodic shift was a little more explicit as the steric congestion of the dendrimer became larger:  $E_{\text{Ox}}^{0/+} = 0.26$  ( $\text{DP}_{12}$ ) and 0.23 V ( $\text{DP}_{24}$ ). On the other hand,  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  showed the first reduction potential ( $E_{\text{Red}}^{0/-}$ ) at  $-1.08$  ( $n = 1$ ),  $-1.10$  ( $n = 2$ ), and  $-1.10$  ( $n = 3$ ) versus  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (Figure 3c), which remained virtually intact upon complexation with  $\text{DP}_m$  such as  $\text{DP}_{12}$  (Figure 3d).

Photoinduced electron transfer in  $\text{DP}_m\supset\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  was confirmed by means of steady-state emission spectroscopy and nanosecond flash photolysis measurements. For example, excitation of a  $\text{CHCl}_3$  solution of  $\text{DP}_{24}$  ( $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M) at 550 nm resulted in a fluorescence emission from the zinc porphyrin units at 591 and 635 nm (Figure 4a). Upon titration with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$ , the fluorescence stepwise decreased in intensity and was quenched almost completely in the final stage. Stern–Volmer constants

(13) (a) Tanaka, H.; Kawai, T. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **1997**, *15*, 602–604. (b) Tanaka, H.; Kawai, T. *Surf. Sci.* **2003**, *539*, L531–L536.




**Figure 4.** (a) Fluorescence spectral change and Stern–Volmer plot (inset) of DP<sub>24</sub> ( $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  M) upon excitation at 550 nm in the presence of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> ([Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]/[DP<sub>24</sub>] = 0, 0.85, 1.7, 2.6, 3.4, 5.1, 7.7, 11, and 16 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C under Ar. (b) Stern–Volmer constants of DP<sub>6</sub>, DP<sub>12</sub>, and DP<sub>24</sub> in the presence of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (red), Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (green), and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (blue).



**Figure 5.** Nanosecond transient absorption spectra at 20 °C of a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of DP<sub>6</sub> ( $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M) containing 3 equiv of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> upon photoexcitation at 532 nm.

( $K_{SV}$ , Figure 4b),<sup>11</sup> evaluated for the combination of DP<sub>*m*</sub> (*m* = 6, 12, and 24) and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> (*n* = 1–3), were roughly comparable to their average binding affinities *K* (Figure 1c), indicating that the fluorescence quenching of DP<sub>*m*</sub> is caused by the coordination of electron-accepting Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>. By means of transient absorption spectroscopy, we confirmed the occurrence of an electron transfer from the photoexcited zinc porphyrin units in DP<sub>*m*</sub> to the fullerene units in ligating Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>. Excitation of a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of DP<sub>6</sub> ( $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  M) at 532 nm in the presence of 3 equiv of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> resulted in a transient absorption spectrum (Figure 5) with bands at around 680 and 1000 nm assignable to the cation and anion radicals of the zinc porphyrin and fullerene units, respectively. Broad absorption bands, observed at 750–900 nm, are due to the excited triplet states of these two units. Since the fluorescence spectral profiles of DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> such as DP<sub>6</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> under the conditions employed here did not show any sign of energy transfer from the photoexcited zinc porphyrin units in DP<sub>*m*</sub> to the fullerene units of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>,<sup>11,14</sup> we conclude that the quenching of the zinc porphyrin fluorescence observed for DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> (Figure 4) is mostly due to the intra-complex photoinduced electron transfer between them.

Time-resolved emission spectroscopy was employed for investigating the charge-separation event in DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>.<sup>11</sup> Upon excitation at 420 nm in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 20 °C, the fluorescence of DP<sub>6</sub> ( $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M) in the presence of 3 equiv of fullerene-free bipyridine displayed a monoexponential decay profile at 590 nm with a lifetime ( $\tau_f$ ) of 1.6 ns. On the other hand, when



**Figure 6.** (a) Charge-separation quantum yields ( $\Phi_{CS}$ ), (b) charge-separation rate constants ( $k_{CS}$ ), (c) charge-recombination rate constants ( $k_{CR}$ ), and (d)  $k_{CS}/k_{CR}$  of DP<sub>6</sub>, DP<sub>12</sub>, and DP<sub>24</sub> in the presence of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (red), Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (green), and Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (blue) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 20 °C.

fullerene-appended Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> was used in place of Py<sub>2</sub>, a fast-decay fluorescing component with  $\tau_f$  of 320 ps appeared in addition to the slow-decay component ( $\tau_f = 1.1$  ns). This fast-decay component obviously originates from the charge-separation process caused by the photoinduced electron transfer from DP<sub>6</sub> to Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>. In the presence of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> or Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> instead of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>, the lifetime of the fast-decay component of DP<sub>6</sub> became much shorter;  $\tau_f = 170$  (Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>) and 150 ps (Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>). In contrast with DP<sub>6</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>, DP<sub>12</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub> and DP<sub>24</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub> exhibited a biexponential fluorescence decay at 590 nm possibly due to a dense packing of the zinc porphyrin units (vide ante) on the dendrimer surface.<sup>11</sup> Use of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> in place of Py<sub>2</sub> to allow complexation with DP<sub>12</sub> or DP<sub>24</sub> resulted in an additional decay component with a much shorter  $\tau_f$  value, owing to the electron transfer from DP<sub>*m*</sub> to Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub>.<sup>11</sup> On the basis of the fluorescence decay profiles, the charge-separation rate constants ( $k_{CS}$ ) and quantum yields ( $\Phi_{CS}$ ) were evaluated according to the following equations by comparison of the shortest  $\tau_f$  components of DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> with average  $\tau_f$  values of DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>:

$$k_{CS} = \left( \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)_{DP_m \supset Py_2 F_n} - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)_{DP_m \supset Py_2}$$


$$\Phi_{CS} = \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)_{DP_m \supset Py_2 F_n} - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)_{DP_m \supset Py_2} \right] / \left( \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)_{DP_m \supset Py_2 F_n}$$

The  $\Phi_{CS}$  values thus evaluated are all high, ranging from 0.82 to 0.94 (Figure 6a). Thus, the photoinduced electron transfer in DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> occurred very efficiently, irrespective of the magnitudes of *m* and *n*. Of further interest, the  $k_{CS}$  value increases following the order of Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> < Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> < Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> and enhances, to a much greater extent, from DP<sub>6</sub> to DP<sub>12</sub> and then to DP<sub>24</sub> (Figure 6b). For example, the  $k_{CS}$  value of  $2.3 \times 10^{10}$  s<sup>−1</sup>, as evaluated for DP<sub>24</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, is an order of magnitude greater than that of DP<sub>6</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> ( $0.26 \times 10^{10}$  s<sup>−1</sup>) and obviously the largest among those of the DP<sub>*m*</sub>⊃Py<sub>2</sub>F<sub>*n*</sub> family. These trends indicate an interesting possibility that dense packing of both the zinc porphyrin and fullerene units on the dendrimer surface

(14) Kuciauskas, D.; Lin, S.; Seely, G. R.; Moore, A. L.; Moore, T. A.; Gust, D.; Drovetskaya, T.; Reed, C. A.; Boyd, P. D. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 15926–15932.

plays an essential role in facilitating the charge-separation process. As expected, nondendritic zinc porphyrin reference P1 in the presence of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  showed much smaller  $k_{\text{CS}}$  values such as  $0.031 \times 10^{10}$  ( $n = 1$ ),  $0.12 \times 10^{10}$  ( $n = 2$ ), and  $0.13 \times 10^{10}$   $\text{s}^{-1}$  ( $n = 3$ ).

We also investigated the charge-recombination events in  $\text{DP}_m\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ , using the decay profiles in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  of the transient absorption band at 1000 nm due to  $\text{C}_{60}^{\cdot-}$  (Figure 5).<sup>11</sup> In every case, the decay profile was satisfactorily fitted with two-exponential components, where the faster decay is attributed to the charge-recombination process, while the slower decay originates from the excited triplet species. The charge-recombination rate constants ( $k_{\text{CR}}$ , Figure 6c) thus obtained are all within a narrow range from  $4.5 \times 10^6$  to  $6.7 \times 10^6$   $\text{s}^{-1}$ , and the lifetimes of the charge-separation state ( $\tau_{\text{RIP}}$ ; 150–220 ns) are comparable to one another.<sup>11</sup> These trends are quite reasonable since the donor–acceptor distance in  $\text{DP}_m\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  should not be much dependent on the degrees of steric congestion of the two ligating components but is primarily determined by the length of the spacer unit between the bipyridine and fullerene units in  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$ . The successful determination of the  $k_{\text{CS}}$  values for  $\text{DP}_m\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  allowed us to compare the ratios of  $k_{\text{CS}}/k_{\text{CR}}$ , which have often been used as a measure for the excellence of photoinduced electron-transfer systems.<sup>15</sup> Of interest, the values of  $k_{\text{CS}}/k_{\text{CR}}$  (Figure 6d) are all large in a range from 450 ( $\text{DP}_6\text{Py}_2\text{F}_1$ ) to 3400 ( $\text{DP}_{24}\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$ ). In particular, the  $k_{\text{CS}}/k_{\text{CR}}$  ratio for  $\text{DP}_{24}\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  is more than an order of magnitude greater than those reported for precedent porphyrin–fullerene supramolecular dyads and triads.<sup>15</sup> It is obvious that a larger number of the fullerene units in  $\text{DP}_{24}\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  could enhance the probability of the electron transfer from the zinc porphyrin units. However, in addition to this, one can also presume that an efficient energy migration along the densely packed zinc porphyrin array<sup>9</sup> may enhance the opportunity for this electron transfer. When the degrees of fluorescence quenching ( $1 - I/I_0$ ) [I and  $I_0$ : fluorescence intensities with and without the quencher, respectively], observed for  $\text{DP}_6$ ,  $\text{DP}_{12}$ , and  $\text{DP}_{24}$  upon titration with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$ , are plotted against the ratio of the numbers of the fullerene and zinc porphyrin units ([fullerene]/[zinc porphyrin]),<sup>11</sup> it is clear that the quenching of the excited singlet state of the zinc porphyrin units by  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  is more efficient as  $m$  in  $\text{DP}_m$  is larger from 6 to 12 and then to 24 (Figure 7). For example, the degree of fluorescence quenching of 0.6 can be attained for  $\text{DP}_{24}$  only at [fullerene]/[zinc porphyrin] = 0.19, whereas it requires a much larger ratio of [fullerene]/[zinc porphyrin] such as 0.64 for  $\text{DP}_6$ . Since the average binding affinities of  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  toward  $\text{DP}_6$  and  $\text{DP}_{24}$  are not much different



**Figure 7.** Plots of degrees of fluorescence quenching ( $1 - I/I_0$ ) versus [fullerene]/[zinc porphyrin] upon titration of  $\text{DP}_6$  (green),  $\text{DP}_{12}$  (blue), and  $\text{DP}_{24}$  (red) ([zinc porphyrin] =  $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$  M) with  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  at 25 °C. Concentrations of fullerene and zinc porphyrin units are given by  $3 \times [\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3]$  and  $m \times [\text{DP}_m]$ , respectively.

from one another (Figure 1c), it is obvious that the energy migration along the zinc porphyrin array in  $\text{DP}_{24}$ <sup>9</sup> greatly facilitates the electron transfer to the fullerene units.

## Conclusions

By means of a multivalent surface ligation of dendritic macromolecules,<sup>16</sup> we constructed, using  $\text{DP}_m$  ( $m = 6, 12$ , and 24) and  $\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  ( $n = 1-3$ ), a photoactive layer, consisting of electron-donating zinc porphyrin and electron-accepting fullerene arrays on the dendrimer surface. Upon increment of the numbers of these donor and acceptor units, the electron transfer reaction was remarkably facilitated, while the recombination of the resulting charge-separated state remained virtually intact. Consequently, among the  $\text{DP}_m\text{Py}_2\text{F}_n$  family,  $\text{DP}_{24}\text{Py}_2\text{F}_3$  accommodating 24 zinc porphyrin units and roughly 30 fullerene units on the dendrimer surface (Table 1) achieved the largest ratio of the charge-separation to charge-recombination rate constants (3400), which is even 1 order of magnitude greater than those of precedent examples. Application of this molecular design strategy to the development of optoelectronic materials is one of the subjects worthy of further investigations.

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to Dr. Yohei Yamamoto for STM measurements. D.K. acknowledges the financial support through the Star Faculty Program of the Ministry of Education and Human Resources.

**Supporting Information Available:** Details for synthesis, characterization, and spectral data (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>. See any current masthead page for ordering information and Web access instructions.

JA063081T

- (15) (a) D'Souza, F.; Gadde, S.; Zandler, M. E.; Arkady, K.; El-Khouly, M. E.; Fujitsuka, M.; Ito, O. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2002**, *106*, 12393–12404. (b) D'Souza, F.; Deviprasad, G. R.; Zandler, M. E.; El-Khouly, M. E.; Fujitsuka, M.; Ito, O. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2003**, *107*, 4801–4807. (c) D'Souza, F.; Smith, P. M.; Gadde, S.; McCarty, A. L.; Kullman, M. J.; Zandler, M. E.; Itou, M.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 11333–11343. (d) D'Souza, F.; El-Khouly, M. E.; Gadde, S.; McCarty, A. L.; Karr, P. A.; Zandler, M. E.; Araki, Y.; Ito, O. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 10107–10114.

- (16) (a) Fréchet, J. M. J. *Proc. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.* **2002**, *99*, 4782–4787. (b) Broeren, M. A. C.; van Dongen, J. L. J.; Pitterlkow, M.; Christensen, J. B.; van Genderen, M. H. P.; Meijer, E. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3557–3562. (c) van Baal, I.; Malda, H.; Synowsky, S. A.; van Dongen, J. L. J.; Hackeng, T. M.; Merckx, M.; Meijer, E. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5052–5057. (d) Smith, D. K. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 34–44.