

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Cyclic Alkenylsulfonyl Fluorides: Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis and Functionalization of Compact Multi-functional Reagents

Authors: Terry Shing-Bong Lou, Scott W Bagley, and Michael C. Willis

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201910871 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201910871

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201910871 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201910871

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

WILEY-VCH

Cyclic Alkenylsulfonyl Fluorides: Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis and Functionalization of Compact Multi-functional Reagents

Terry Shing-Bong Lou,^[a] Scott W. Bagley^[b] and Michael C. Willis*^[a]

Abstract: A series of low molecular weight, compact and multifunctional cyclic alkenylsulfonyl fluorides are efficiently prepared from the corresponding alkenyl triflates. Palladium catalyzed sulfur dioxide insertion, using the surrogate reagent DABSO, achieves sulfinate formation, before trapping with an F-electrophile delivers the sulfonyl fluorides. A broad range of functional groups can be tolerated, and a correspondingly large collection of derivatization reactions are possible on the products, including substitution at sulfur, conjugate addition, and N-functionalization. Together, these attributes suggest new applications in chemical biology will be forthcoming.

The attractive balance of reactivity and stability that is harnessed in sulfonyl fluorides has propelled these functional groups to the vanguard of new applications in medicinal chemistry and chemical biology.^[1] While they also continue to be of interest as intermediates in synthetic chemistry, it is their tolerance to aqueous media and physiological conditions that is responsible for their popularity in biological contexts.^{[2],[3],[2b, 2d, 4]} Classically. sulfonyl fluorides are prepared from the corresponding sulfonyl chlorides by way of chloride-fluoride exchange, achieved using potassium bifluoride (KHF2)^[5] or the combination of KF with 18crown-6, which is inconvenient to handle due to hygroscopicity.^[6] The required sulfonyl chlorides, typically prepared via the chlorosulfonation of arenes,[7] are moisture sensitive electrophiles and as such are inherently limited by their stability and availability, which is a particular concern in discovery chemistry (Scheme 1a). Hyatt,^[8] Sharpless, and others, reported the use of ethenesulfonyl fluoride (ESF) for incorporating sulfonyl fluoride groups through conjugate addition or Pd-catalyzed Heck-type coupling reactions using aryl iodides, boronic acids or diazonium salts (Scheme 1b).^[9] These reactions work well, but are fundamentally limited to the synthesis of sulfonyl fluorides with only ethyl or ethylene linkers. The Willis laboratory reported the Pd-catalyzed synthesis of (hetero)arylsulfonyl fluorides from the corresponding aryl bromides, DABSO and NFSI,^[10] and the Ball laboratory has also reported a closely related protocol (Scheme 1c).[11] Unsurprisingly, these latter two reports focus exclusively on planar arene and hetero-arene substrates. A recent report has described the electrochemical coupling of thiols and potassium fluoride as a route to sulfonyl fluorides.^[12]

There is an increasing demand for functionalized 3D-rich molecules for use in medical chemistry and chemical biology applications,^[13] and while there are isolated reports of 3D-sulfonyl

[a]	T. SB. Lou and Prof. M. C. Willis	
	Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford	
	Chemistry Research Laboratory	
	Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA (UK)	
	E-mail: michael.willis@chem.ox.ac.uk	
[b]	S. W. Bagley.	

Global Medicine Design, Pfizer Inc., Eastern Point Road, Groton, CT 06340, (USA)

Supporting Information for this article is given via a link at the end of this document.

fluorides, their synthesis involves multi-step routes and proceeds via unstable sulfonyl chloride intermediates.[3e] We conceived of a family of small, densely functionalized alkenylsulfonyl fluorides as attractive reagents for possible applications in medical chemistry and chemical biology (Scheme 1d). Such molecules would contain multiple sp3-centres and numerous sites for further functionalization, adding a valuable application to the SuFEx click chemistry toolkit.^[14] Importantly, the excellent functional group tolerance achieved in Pd-catalyzed arylsulfonyl fluoride syntheses suggested that these reactions could be engineered to the preparation of alkenylsulfonyl fluorides from suitable alkenyl (pseudo)halide precursors. In this Communication we report that this is the case, and detail the efficient preparation of a broad range of low molecular weight, functionalized alkenylsulfonyl fluorides. We also demonstrate the diverse derivatization reactions possible on these new reagents.

Scheme 1. Common strategies for sulfonyl fluoride syntheses and the approach and reagents reported here.

a) Classical sulfonyl fluoride synthesis



Considering substrate availability we selected alkenyl halides^{[10],[15]} or pseudohalides as the starting materials, in preference to alkenyl boronic acids^[16] or preformed organometallic reagents.^[17] We employed cycloheptenyl iodide (1, Table 1) as our test substrate and adopted our previously reported protocol for the sulfination of aryl iodides,^[15a] followed by electrophilic fluorination using NFSI, as the initial reaction conditions. Using these conditions only a low 17% yield of the cycloheptenylsulfonyl fluoride **5a** was obtained (entry 1). Reaction monitoring established that alkenyl iodide **1** was fully consumed and alkenylsulfinate **4a** was formed in the first hour of reaction, with the concentration of **4a** decreasing over time. Prolonged reaction time for the fluorination step was also found to diminish the yield of alkenylsulfonyl fluoride **5a**. We speculated that the

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

excess base and alcoholic solvent in use was leading to the decomposition of alkenylsulfinate **4** and alkenylsulfonyl fluoride **5** under these reaction conditions. By limiting the reaction time for both steps to one hour, and performing a solvent switch to CH₃CN for the fluorination, the yield was improved to 56% (entries 2-3). Substrates with different leaving groups were then examined, with alkenyl bromide (**2**) and alkenyl triflate (**3a**) providing similar yields when PdCl₂(AmPhos)₂ was used as catalyst (entry 4-6). Alkenyl triflates were chosen for further investigation due to their ease of preparation from the corresponding readily available ketones. Finally, several solvents were evaluated for the fluorination step (entry 6-8) with ethyl acetate providing the best yield (70%).

Table 1. Selected optimization studies.[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: (step 1) Alkenyl (pseudo)halide (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv), DABSO (0.6 equiv), Et₃N (3 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%), PAd₂(*n*-Bu) (7.5 mol%), *i*-PrOH [0.25 M], 75 °C, 16 h; (step 2) NFSI (1.5 equiv), solvent [0.25 M], rt, 1 h. Yields determined by ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy with internal standard. [b] Isolated yield.

With alkenyl triflates selected as the substrates and optimized conditions identified, we next examined the substrate scope for the reaction (Table 2). While the parent cyclohexenylsulfonyl fluoride proved to be too volatile for straight-forward isolation, a broad range of cyclohexenyl triflate derivatives were examined in this reaction. A variety of functional groups at 4-position were tolerated, including phenyl (5b), tert-butyl (5c), geminal difluoro (5d), ethyl ester (5e), methoxy ether (5f), Boc-protected amine (5q) and spiro-dioxolane (5h). Viability for scale up was illustrated by the gram-scale reaction to form sulfonyl fluoride 5h; one gram of alkenyl triflate 3d (3.5 mmol) delivered 0.57 g (2.57 mmol) of 5h in 73% yield, which is comparable to the 74% yield obtained for a 0.3 mmol scale reaction. Substrates with substituents at 3position were also converted into alkenylsulfonyl fluorides efficiently, with electron-rich heteroaromatic 1-methylindole (5i) and 2-methylfuran (5k) tolerated to give alkenylsulfonyl fluorides in 52% and 68% yield, respectively. A substrate with a 6-methyl substituent was slower to react and required heating to 95 °C for the sulfination step, ultimately providing sulfonyl fluoride 51 in 43% yield. Heterocyclic substrates were then explored, with sulfone and dihydropyran containing products being efficiently obtained (5m,n). Protected amines at both the 4- and 5-positions could also be incorporated (5o-s). Of these, the substrates featuring carbamate (5o-q) and sulfonamide (5s) groups were most efficient, with the basic N-benzyl derivative requiring the addition of Hünig's base in the fluorination step (5r). The X-ray structure of

sulfonyl fluoride **5s**, featuring a sulfonamide protected amine, is shown, and illustrates the expected half-chair conformation common to cyclohexenes as well as the relatively small C-SO₂-F bond-angle of 100.3° .^{[18],[19]} Tetralone-derived alkenyl triflates were converted into alkenylsulfonyl fluorides (**5u-x**) in moderate to good yields. Interestingly, whilst substrate **3x** bears both an alkenyl and an aryl triflate, only the alkenyl triflate was converted with the latter being preserved. It followed that aryl triflates are inert to the present reaction conditions, with 96% recovery of the biphenyl triflate and no formation of sulfonyl fluoride **5y** occurring. Five-member alkenyl triflates (**3j**,**t**) were poor substrates, as was an acyclic example (**3z**), with the latter undergoing decomposition under the reactions conditions.

Table 2. Scope of the cyclic alkenyl sulfonyl fluorides.[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: Alkenyl triflate **3** (0.3 mmol, 1 equiv), DABSO (0.6 equiv), Et₃N (3 equiv), PdCl₂(AmPhos)₂ (5 mol%), *i*-PrOH [0.25 M], 75 °C, 0.5-10 h; then NFSI (1.5 equiv), EtOAc [0.25 M], rt, 1 h. [b] step 1: 80 °C. [c] step 1: μ W, 95 °C. [d] step 2: DIPEA (3 equiv) was added after solvent switch and prior to the addition of NFSI. [e] step 1: PdCl₂(AmPhos)₂ (5 mol%), DABSO (1 equiv), Et₃N (3 equiv), *i*-PrOH/1,4-dioxane (2:1) [0.25 M], 80 °C, 0.5-1.5 h. [f] 96% recovery of biphenyl triflate.

With success in the preparation of a broad range of cyclic functionalized alkenylsulfonyl fluorides achieved, we then investigated derivatization reactions. As shown in Scheme 2, nucleophilic substitution at sulfur was achieved by the reaction of alkenylsulfonyl fluoride **5b** with *p*-methoxyphenol to form sulfonate ester **6** in 84% yield, and with pyrrolidine to form sulfonamide **7** in 51% yield. With the aid of stoichiometric

substrate with 4 N hydrochloric acid in dioxane, a hydrochloride

salt of the deprotected amine 13 was isolated in 92% yield as a

bench-stable white solid. The secondary ammonium salts 13 and

14 were able to react with various electrophiles; for example,

reaction with phenyl isocyanate formed urea 17 in 74% yield, and

reaction with phenyl isothiocyanate generated thiourea 18 in 84%

yield. Notably, using this strategy, a fluorophore could be attached

to the alkenylsulfonyl fluoride by the reaction with fluorescein

isothiocyanate (FITC) isomer I, giving 19 in 65% yield. Ammonium

salt 13 underwent reductive amination with nicotinaldehyde to

form tertiary amine 15 in 78% yield, illustrating the tolerance of

alkenylsulfonyl fluoride to such reductive conditions. In addition,

ammonium salt 14 could be combined with an amino acid using

T3P as coupling agent to form amide 20; biotin was conjugated to

ammonium 13 using HATU to form the biotinylated alkenylsulfonyl

fluoride 16. Together, the alkyne-, fluorophore- and biotin-

derivatized alkenylsulfonyl fluorides are examples of multi-

functional reagents primed for application to biological problems.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Ca(NTf₂)₂ as Lewis acid,^[3d] anilines could also be used as nucleophiles to form alkenyl sulfonamides 8a and 8b in good yields. Alternatively, softer sulfur nucleophiles such as thiols and thiophenols underwent base-catalyzed conjugate addition to the electron-poor alkene in quantitative yields (9a-c). Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation of the alkene was also viable using balloon pressure H₂, forming the saturated sulfonyl fluoride 10 in 71% yield, demonstrating an efficient method to access saturated derivatives. Alkenylsulfonyl fluoride 5r, featuring a N-Bn group, could undergo an efficient one-pot debenzylation/acylation using propargyl chloroformate,^[20] in quantitative yield. The resultant alkynyl-bearing alkenylsulfonyl fluoride 11 could then perform the "click" copper(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) with benzyl azide, providing triazole 12. The Boc protecting group of sulfonyl fluoride 5p could be cleaved using 20% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dichloromethane, forming ammonium salt 14, which could then be further derivatized upon removal of the of the excess acid in vacuo. Alternatively, by treating the same

Scheme 2. Functionalization of alkenylsulfonyl fluorides.

1,2-addition on S Ő ЭМе 0 0 PG = Boc. R = Me 8a, 76% PG = Ts. R = H 8b. 55% Ph **7**, 51% 6,84% b 1,4-addition on C SO₂F Hydrogenation SO 0 C d 99% d.r. 3.5:1 PG = Boc. n = 0. R = F 9a = Ts, n = 0, R = F 9b 99% d.r. 2.2:1 PG PG = Ts. n = 1. R = OMe 9c 98% dr 2 9 1 10, 71% g SO₂F N-debenzylation/ acylation/CuAAC ŞO₂F **N**-deprotection SO₂F HCI •HN TFA 13. 92% 11. 98% 12, 99% 1 m n Ì SO₂F SO₂F SO₂F PhHN BocHN ноос 15, 78% 20, 75% Y = O 17.74% SO₂F SOJ Reductive amination Amide coupling = S 18. 84% Un a/thiourea formation 16. 40% 19.65% **N**-functionalisation Biotinylation FITC labelling

Reaction conditions: Unless specified, 1 equiv of alkenylsulfonyl fluoride **5** was used. [a] X = CHPh; phenol (1.1 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (2 equiv), CH_3CN [0.2 M], rt, air, 1 h. [b] X = CHPh; pyrrolidine (5 equiv), CH_3CN [0.1 M], 70 °C, air, 3 h. [c] X = NPG; aniline (2.2 equiv), $Ca(NTf_2)_2$ (1.1-2.0 equiv), *t*-amyl-OH [0.2 M], 60 °C, 16 h. [d] X = NPG; thiol (1.1 equiv), DBU (10 mol%), DCM [0.2 M], air, rt, 1-2 h. [e] $X = C(OCH_2CH_2O)$; Pd/C (30 mol%), EtOAc [0.2 M], H₂ (balloon), 40 °C, 24 h. [f] X = NBG; thiol (4 N in dioxane) (10 equiv), 1,4-dioxane [0.2 M], rt, 16 h. [g] X = NBG; 20% (v/v) TFA in DCM [0.1 M], rt, 30 min. [h] X = NBG; propargyl chloroformate (1.8 equiv), CHCl₃ [0.33 M], -20 °C to 60 °C, 2 h. [j] Benzyl azide (1.1 equiv), CUSO₄-5H₂O (5 mol%), sodium ascorbate (10 mol%), *t*-BuOH/H₂O (1:1) [0.23 M], air, 16 h. [j] Aldehyde (3 equiv), Et₃N (1 equiv), NaBH(OAc)₃ (3 equiv), DCM [0.2 M], rt, 16 h. [m] Et₃N (1 equiv), Biotin (1.0 equiv), HATU (1.05 equiv), Et₃N (2.5 equiv), DMF [0.08 M], N₂, rt, 16 h. [j] Et₃N (1 equiv), T3P (50% in EtOAc) (2 equiv), DMF [0.1 M], 0 °C, 16 h.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

In conclusion, we have reported an efficient and general synthesis of multifunctional alkenylsulfonyl fluorides. These Pdcatalyzed reactions proceed from alkenyl triflates readily formed from commonly available ketones, and display good functional group tolerance. We have shown that templates in this new class of sulfonyl fluoride are able to undergo a variety of orthogonal derivatization processes, including nucleophilic substitution at sulfur, conjugate addition to the alkene, Pd-catalyzed hydrogenation of the olefin, N-functionalization, and a CuAAC click reaction. We anticipate that these attributes will result in these compact, low molecular weight and densely functionalized reagents being exploited in a variety of chemical biology, synthetic and medicinal chemistry applications.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Croucher Foundation (to T.S.-B.L.), and the EPSRC for their support of this study. S.W.B. thanks Ed Conn, John Curto and Alyn Davies (all Pfizer) for helpful discussions and Ivan Samadjiev (Pfizer) for assistance with X-ray crystallographic studies.

Keywords: sulfur • fluoride • catalysis • synthetic methods • multifunctional

References

- a) C. G. Swain, C. B. Scott, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 246-248; b) [1]
- M. E. Aberlin, C. A. Bunton, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1825-1828. a) M. P. Kamps, S. S. Taylor, B. M. Sefton, *Nature* **1984**, *310*, 589-592; b) D. A. Shannon, C. Gu, C. J. McLaughlin, M. Kaiser, R. A. van der [2] Hoorn, E. Weerapana, Chembiochem 2012, 13, 2327-2330; c) E. C. Hett, H. Xu, K. F. Geoghegan, A. Gopalsamy, R. E. Kyne, C. A Menard, A. Narayanan, M. D. Parikh, S. Liu, L. Roberts, R. P. Robinson, M. A. Tones, L. H. Jones, ACS Chem. Biol. 2015, 10, 1094-1098; d) A. Narayanan, L. H. Jones, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2650-2659.
- [3] a) T. Ikawa, T. Nishiyama, T. Nosaki, A. Takagi, S. Akai, Org. Lett. 2011, *13*, 1730-1733; b) A. V. Bogolubsky, Y. S. Moroz, P. K. Mykhailiuk, S. E. Pipko, A. I. Konovets, I. V. Sadkova, A. Tolmachev, *ACS Comb. Sci.* 2014, *16*, 192-197; c) M. K. Nielsen, C. R. Ugaz, W. Li, A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 9571-9574; d) P. Mukherjee, C. P. Woroch, L. Cleary, M. Rusznak, R. W. Franzese, M. R. Reese, J. W. Tucker, J. M. Humphrey, S. M. Etuk, S. C. Kwan, C. W. Am Ende, N. D. Ball, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 3943-3947; e) S. A. Zhersh, O. P. Blahun, I. V. Sadkova, A. A. Tolmachev, Y. S. Moroz, P. K. Mykhailiuk, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 8343-8349.
 D. E. Fahrney, A. M. Gold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 997-1000.
- [4]
- a) J. Dong, L. Krasnova, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. [5] Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9430-9448; b) Q. Zheng, J. Dong, K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 11360-11362.
- T. A. Bianchi, L. A. Cate, J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2031-2032. [6] [7] a) O. Lindner, L. Rodefeld, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, 2000; b) J. P. Bassin, R. J. Cremlyn, F. J. Swinbourne Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements 1991, 56, 245-275.
- [8] J. J. Krutak, R. D. Burpitt, W. H. Moore, J. A. Hyatt, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3847-3858.
- a) H.-L. Qin, Q. Zheng, G. A. L. Bare, P. Wu, K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 14155-14158; b) G. F. Zha, Q. Zheng, J. Leng, [9] P. Wu, H. L. Qin, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4849-4852; c) P. K. Chinthakindi, K. B. Govender, A. S. Kumar, H. G. Kruger, T. Govender, T. Naicker, P. I. Arvidsson, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 480-483.
- A. T. Davies, J. M. Curto, S. W. Bagley, M. C. Willis, Chem. Sci. 2017, [10] 8, 1233-1237
- A. L. Tribby, I. Rodriguez, S. Shariffudin, N. D. Ball, J. Org. Chem. [11] 2017, 82, 2294-2299
- G. Laudadio, A. A. Bartolomeu, L. Verwijlen, Y. Cao, K. T. de Oliveira, [12]
- G. Laudadi, J. A. R. Barbonnet, E. Verwijeri, T. Sao, N. T. de Griveira, T. Noel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11832-11836.
 a) P. A. Clemons, N. E. Bodycombe, H. A. Carrinski, J. A. Wilson, A. F. Shamji, B. K. Wagner, A. N. Koehler, S. L. Schreiber, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 2010, 107, 18787-18792; b) F. Lovering, J. Bikker, C. [13] Humblet, J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 6752-6756; c) T. J. Ritchie, S. J. Macdonald, Drug Discov. Today 2009, 14, 1011-1020.
- [14] A. S. Barrow, C. J. Smedley, Q. Zheng, S. Li, J. Dong, J. E. Moses, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 4731-4758.

- a) E. J. Emmett, B. R. Hayter, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, [15] 53, 10204-10208; b) B. Nguyen, E. J. Emmett, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16372-16373.
- [16] a) Y. Chen, P. R. D. Murray, A. T. Davies, M. C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 8781-8787; b) Y. Chen, M. C. Willis, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 3249-3253; c) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, 55, 747-750; d) V. Vedovato, E. P. A. Talbot, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5493-5496.
- [17] a) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, A. J. Hennessy, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 150-153; b) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, M. C. Willis Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1168-1171; c) E. J. Emmett, B. R Hayter, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12679-12683; d) D. C. Lenstra, V. Vedovato, E. Ferrer Flegeau, J. Maydom, M. C. Willis, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2086-2089.
- For an example of an arylsulfonyl fluouride X-ray structure, see: D. [18] Jantke, A. N. Marziale, T. Reiner, F. Kraus, E. Herdtweck, A. Raba, J. Eppinger, J. Organomet. Chem. 2013, 744, 82-91.
- Deposition Number 1944829 contains the supplementary [19] crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures
- [20] R. G. Bhat, Y. Ghosh, S. Chandrasekaran, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7983-7985.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

T. S.-B. Lou, S. W. Bagley, and M. C. Willis* _____ Page – Page

Cyclic Alkenylsulfonyl Fluorides: Palladium-Catalyzed Synthesis and Functionalization of Compact Multifunctional Reagents



A family of compact low molecular weight cyclic alkenylsulfonyl fluorides are readily prepared from the corresponding alkenyl triflates using palladium catalysis. These densely functionalized reagents undergo a diverse range of derivatization reactions, including substitution at sulfur, conjugate addition, and N-functionalization.