Letter

Synthesis of Colibactin Pyrrolidono[3,4-d]pyridones via Regioselective C(sp³)–H Activation

Agron Ilazi, Bin Huang, Valery de Almeida Campos, and Karl Gademann*

Cite This: https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02385

Read Online

ACCESSImage: Metrics & MoreImage: Article RecommendationsImage: Supporting InformationABSTRACT: The synthesis of pyrrolidono[3,4-d]pyridones of relevance to
putative genotoxic colibactin structures featuring a doubly conjugated 1,6-Michael
acceptor system is reported. We investigated and implemented a highly selective
Pd-catalyzed C(sp³)-H activation reaction as a key step and further functionalizedImage: Supporting Information

both acidic conditions and nucleophiles. T he term "human microbiota" refers to a wide range of different microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses residing in and on the human body that have been implied as humans' accord construct¹ Little is here we should

the pyridone core. Evaluating the role of this structural unit of relevance to colibactin, we found that this structure displayed a high degree of stability toward

viruses residing in and on the human body that have been implied as humans' second genome.¹ Little is known about their interkingdom interaction and their effect on human health or disease progression.² Recently, the bacteria *Helicobacter pylori* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* have been identified to induce colitis, albeit by different mechanisms.³ Over a decade ago, the Oswald group reported that certain commensal and extra-intestinal *Escherichia coli* strains of the phylogenetic group B2 can cause megalocytosis and cell cycle arrest.⁴ These scientists identified and linked cytotoxicity to a 54 kilobase *pks* genomic island harboring a hybrid non-ribosomal peptide synthetase—polyketide synthase (NRPS-PKS) and provided evidence for the whole gene cluster linked to its cytotoxicity.⁴

Over the last 15 years, the isolation and characterization of the small-molecule compounds resulting from this cluster (the so-called (pre)-colibactins) proved to be challenging, presumably because of their instability, difficulties in heterologous expression, and fermentation (Figure 1).⁵ A number of metabolites have been isolated, such as pyridone 1, monothiazole-substituted 2, bisthiazole-substituted 3, and complex macrocycle 4.⁵ Furthermore, lactam 5 was proposed to bear the colibactin warhead,^{5a} and the recently proposed active genotoxic metabolite 6^{5gh} has been characterized and linked to the pks island and/or its mutants. Seminal synthetic and reactivity studies by Herzon, Crawford, and their coworkers led them to conclude that compounds similar to lactam 6, albeit monomeric, and not pyridones such as 1-3, alkylate DNA, with the pyridones being stable.^{5j} All of these structures feature a cyclopropyl ring (Figure 1, highlighted) in conjugation with 1,4- or 1,6-Michael acceptors, thereby likely increasing their electrophilic character. Another feature of these compounds includes a prodrug mechanism, where a *clb*encoded peptidase cleaves the N-myristoyl-D-asparagine moiety to generate compounds with higher activity and



ΡĠ

3° C(sp³)-H 2° C(sp²)-H

Figure 1. Natural compounds isolated from the clb *E. coli* strain of putative relevance to the (pre)-colibactin structure of the *pks* islands.

reactivity.^{6,7} In the context of recent studies of pyridones as neuritogenic compounds by us and others⁸ and the prevalence of pyridone-containing natural products and pharmacophores,⁹ we directed our attention to pyrrolidono[3,4-*d*]pyridones 1–3, as these complex heterocyclic compounds have incorporated the cyclopropyl ring in a unique double 1,6-relationship through conjugation, rendering them structurally interesting Michael acceptors. In addition, Michael acceptors and other covalent modifiers have gained strong interest in drug discovery efforts over the last years.¹⁰ In addition to these

Received: July 17, 2020



biological questions and structural requirements for addition, we were also interested in identifying a novel route toward these compounds, which should facilitate access to the target structure and derivatives for chemical and biological evaluation. Therefore, we report on a novel access to this class of compounds via a regioselective, Pd-catalyzed $C(sp^3)$ – H activation approach.¹¹

The development and application of powerful C–H activation methodologies has gained tremendous interest and been successfully implemented in many synthetic endeavors.¹² Promising literature precedents for C–H functionalization of cyclopropyl amides (Scheme 1) led us to examine this

Scheme 1. C–H Functionalization of Cyclopropyl Amides via Pd Catalysis



synthetic approach. Fagnou and coauthors demonstrated the superiority of soluble organic additives like pivaloic acid for C-H activation protocols, and his group established a C-H functionalization of cyclopropyl carbamates leading to quinolines after oxidation.¹³ The group of Charette observed in 2013 that cyclopropyl benzamides are less prone to ring opening in the presence of external bases.¹⁴ Cramer and co-workers investigated the effect of ligands, and a 1:1 ratio between methine and methylene C-H bond cleavage was obtained with triphenylphosphine as the ligand and 1-adamantanecarboxylate as the base.¹⁵ In all previous literature studies, the desired fivemembered-ring compounds were never obtained as major products. From all of these observations, the following challenges had to be overcome in the context of this study: (1) the different electronic nature of pyridine amides compared with benzene derivatives; (2) use of chloro precursors instead of more reactive bromo precursors, (3) successfully addressing the regioselectivity bias to favor methine over methylene C-H activation; and (4) suppressing the inherent susceptibility of the cyclopropyl group for ring opening. The chloro substituent was preferred over the more reactive bromo or iodo substituents, as we sought to first introduce amide or carboxylate groups by cross-coupling or halogen-metal exchange on the C-Br bond before the C-H activation step (vide infra).

The challenges listed above were first investigated in the context of a model substrate that was synthesized in two steps (Scheme 2). Reductive amination of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (7) with cyclopropylamine using sodium triacetoxyborohydride gave secondary amine 8 in 72% yield.¹⁶ 4-Chloronicotinic acid (9) was converted in situ to the corresponding acyl chloride ((COCl)₂, catalytic DMF), and subsequent addition of 8 in the presence of triethylamine Scheme 2. Investigation of the C-H Activation Reaction on a Model Substrate (TMB = 3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzyl)



furnished amide **10** in 91% yield.¹⁷ With intermediate **10** in hand, the stage for the C–H functionalization was set, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Literature reports suggest

Table 1. Conditions for the Key C-H Activation Reaction on a Model Substrate

entry	ligand	time (h)	11a:11b:11c ^a (isolated yield)
1	PCy ₃ ·HBF ₄	21	1:5.5:0 (83%)
2	IPrCl	16	1:2:0
3	$SIPrBF_4$	16	1.2:1:0 (86%)
4 ^b	IAdBF ₄	17	2.2:0:1 (45%)
5	IPrBIANCl	16	1:2.8:n.d.
6	L1	22	n.d.
7	L2	22	n.d.
^a The notice wave determined by enducine of the resetion mintures by			

^aThe ratios were determined by analysis of the reaction mixtures by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^b105 °C for 1 h and 110 °C for 15 h.

that the ligand has the strongest influence on the outcome of the reaction, while other parameters such as the Pd source, base, additive, and solvent were based on recent findings.^{14,15} The following parameters were set: Pd₂dba₃, Cs₂CO₃, CsOPiv as a soluble organic base, toluene as the solvent, and generally a temperature of 100 °C, since it was sufficient for the reactivity. Electron-rich PCy3·HBF4 gave an inseparable mixture of 11a and 11b (1:5.5, 83% yield) in favor of the undesired six-membered ring (entry 1, Table 1), and no formation of the seven membered ring derivative 11c was observed. Despite the strong C-Cl bond in precursor 10, oxidative addition took place at 100 °C. The electronwithdrawing nitrogen atom activates the γ -position, thereby facilitating the oxidative addition. N-Heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands were investigated next: the ratio dropped to 1:2 when the ligand IPrCl was used, and when using the ligand SIPrBF₄ was used, the five-membered-ring compound 11a dominated (1.2:1, 86% yield) without any ring opening observed (entries 2 and 3, Table 1). This increase prompted us to evaluate other NHC ligands, and the ligand IAdBF4 was selective toward 11a in 31% yield along with the ring-opened compound 11c in 14% yield as separable compounds (entry 4, Table 1), albeit in the presence of other byproducts. The last ligand from the NHC series, IPrBIANCl, led to preferred formation of the six-membered-ring compound 11b (entry 5, Table 1). The TADDOL-based phosphonite and phosphoroamidite ligands did not yield products (entries 6 and 7, Table

1), and in general NHC ligands proved superior in this screening, although no clear trends could be obtained with respect to their electronic and steric properties.

We then proceeded to the desired substrate with the insight gained from the C-H activation screening (Scheme 3). 2,4-

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Colibactin Pyrrolidono[3,4d]pyridones via C-H Activation and Selective N-Alkylation (TMSE = Trimethylsilylethyl)



Dichloropyridine (12) was found to be a suitable starting material, and nucleophilic aromatic substitution with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanol provided the 2-substitued product 13 with high regioselectivity in 77% yield.¹⁸ Selective ortho bromination was achieved with 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin (DBDMH) and catalytic AgNO₃ to yield dihalogenated pyridine 14 (77%). Silver(I) was found to shorten the reaction time significantly. Mg halogen exchange following the procedure of Knochel19 and subsequent quenching of the magnesiated species with solid carbon dioxide yielded acid 15 (>95%). Propylphosphonic anhydride (T3P)-mediated coupling of acid 15 and secondary amine 8 furnished amide 16 in 95% yield. The Arduengo carbene IAd was selected as the optimal ligand, as it provided higher selectivity toward the desired five-membered ring in this substrate (data not shown). resulting in easier purification. On the other side, the fivemembered ring was the dominant product with the ligand SIPrCl, but other byproducts accompanied the transformation with this ligand. To this goal, cyclopropyl amide 16 was reacted with Pd₂dba₃, Cs₂CO₃, CsOPiv, and IAdBF₄ in toluene at a reaction temperature of 100 °C. The desired compound was formed exclusively according to analysis of the crude reaction mixture by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and after purification bicyclic pyrrolidono[3,4-d]pyridine 17 was isolated in 82% yield. The interplay between the bulky, electronrich ligand (IAd) and a bulky organic base (pivalate) facilitates the highly challenging $C(sp^3)$ -H activation. In this case, the formation of the six-membered palladacycle makes the ring opening impossible (intermediate A, Scheme 3). On the other side, the seven-membered ring (intermediate B, Scheme 3) can either undergo reductive elimination to give 18 or ring opening to afford 19a or its isomer 19b. The Charette group observed these pathways to be ligand-dependent, which is supported by the results outlined herein.¹⁴

The mono- and bisthiazole electrophiles were synthesized next (Scheme 4), as they were found in 2 and 3. The electrophile 20b is known in the literature,²⁰ and 20a was

Scheme 4. Functionalization of the Pyridone Core and Biological Evaluation

pubs.acs.org/OrgLett



synthesized in two steps from known aldehyde **21** (three steps from the literature).²¹ **21** was reduced with sodium borohydride to give the corresponding alcohol, which was converted to the chloride in the presence of thionyl chloride. This procedure gave the desired electrophile **20a** in 80% yield over two steps (Scheme 4).²⁰

To access the pyridone core, a one-pot deprotection/ alkylation protocol was developed (Scheme 4). Pyridine 17 was treated with tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF at 50 °C to remove the 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl protecting group, and after the reaction mixture cooled to rt, electrophiles 20a and 20b were directly added to the mixture. Single compounds were isolated for both cases, and the thermodynamically more stable N-alkylation was determined through 2D NMR spectroscopy (HSQC, HMBC).²² Saponification with lithium hydroxide in THF/water mixtures yielded the free acids 22 (91% yield over two steps) and 23 (75% yield over two steps). Removal of the 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl protecting group was targeted next. Acid 22 showed no deprotection or decomposition in trifluoroacetic acid as the solvent, but addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (25 equiv) showed full deprotection to give 22a as monitored by UHPLC-MS. This observation highlights the stability of 22, in particular the cyclopropyl group, toward acidic conditions. We further functionalized acid 22 with N-Boc-cadaverine under T3Pmediated coupling, followed by removal of the Boc and 3,4,5trimethoxybenzyl groups using a combination of TFA and [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene (PIFA) to yield 24 (47% yield over two steps). The addition of PIFA as the oxidant was crucial for smooth 3,4,5-TMB deprotection.²³ The additional amino functionality in 24 renders the compound more watersoluble. Surprisingly, the target cyclopropyl pyridone 24 resisted nucleophilic attack by deoxyadenosine, guanosine, and cysteine in water as monitored by UHPLC-MS. If these systems are sufficiently conjugated, ring opening of the cyclopropyl group by different nucleophiles like amines or water can occur,²⁴ but 24 shows an unusually high stability under the evaluated conditions and does not fit into the common experimental observations of related electrophiles.

In summary, we have presented a short and straightforward synthetic route to pyridones containing 1,6-Michael acceptors that are structurally related to precolibactin metabolites. En route, we developed a highly regioselective tertiary C–H functionalization of the cyclopropyl ring, contributing to its pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Letter

activation mode. Furthermore, a one-step protocol with selective *N*-alkylation after protecting group removal furnished the desired Michael acceptor. Future studies might shed light on the biological activity and chemical reactivity of these compounds.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02385.

Experimental procedures, characterization data, and copies of ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR spectra for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Karl Gademann – Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, CH 8057 Zürich, Switzerland; orcid.org/0000-0003-3053-0689; Email: karl.gademann@uzh.ch

Authors

Agron Ilazi – Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, CH 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

- Bin Huang Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, CH 8057 Zürich, Switzerland
- Valery de Almeida Campos Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, CH 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02385

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support by the University of Zurich and the Dr. Helmut Legerlotz Foundation. We thank Timea Szabo (University of Zurich) for skillful technical assistance and Dr. David Dailler and Prof. Dr. O. Baudoin (both University of Basel) for generous gifts of L1 and L2. We thank the NMR and MS service of the University of Zurich for their support.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Turnbaugh, P. J.; Ley, R. E.; Hamady, M.; Fraser-Liggett, C. M.; Knight, R.; Gordon, J. I. *Nature* 2007, 449, 804–810. (b) Biteen, J. S.; Blainey, P. C.; Cardon, Z. G.; Chun, M.; Church, G. M.; Dorrestein, P. C.; Fraser, S. E.; Gilbert, J. A.; Jansson, J. K.; Knight, R.; Miller, J. F.; Ozcan, A.; Prather, K. A.; Quake, S. R.; Ruby, E. G.; Silver, P. A.; Taha, S.; Van Den Engh, G.; Weiss, P. S.; Wong, G. C. L.; Wright, A. T.; Young, T. D. ACS Nano 2016, 10, 6–37. (c) Grice, E. A.; Segre, J. A. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 2012, 13, 151–170.

(2) Sharon, G.; Garg, N.; Debelius, J.; Knight, R.; Dorrestein, P. C.; Mazmanian, S. K. Cell Metab. 2014, 20, 719–730.

(3) (a) Amieva, M. R.; El-Omar, E. M. *Gastroenterology* **2008**, *134*, 306–323. (b) Schneditz, G.; Rentner, J.; Roier, S.; Pletz, J.; Herzog, K. A. T.; Bücker, R.; Troeger, H.; Schild, S.; Weber, H.; Breinbauer, R.; Gorkiewicz, G.; Högenauer, C.; Zechner, E. L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**, *111*, 13181–13186.

(4) Nougayrède, J.-P.; Homburg, S.; Taieb, F.; Boury, M.; Brzuszkiewicz, E.; Gottschalk, G.; Buchrieser, C.; Hacker, J.; Dobrindt, U.; Oswald, E. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 848–851.

(5) (a) Vizcaino, M. I.; Crawford, M. J. Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 411-417. (b) Bian, X.; Plaza, A.; Zhang, Y.; Müller, R. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 3154-3160. (c) Brotherton, C. A.; Wilson, M.; Byrd, G.; Balskus, E. P. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1545-1548. (d) Brachmann, A. O.; Garcie, C.; Wu, V.; Martin, P.; Ueoka, R.; Oswald, E.; Piel, J. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 13138-13141. (e) Li, Z. R.; Li, Y.; Lai, J. Y. H.; Tang, J.; Wang, B.; Lu, L.; Zhu, G.; Wu, X.; Xu, Y.; Qian, P. Y. ChemBioChem 2015, 16, 1715-1719. (f) Li, Z. R.; Li, J.; Gu, J. P.; Lai, J. Y.; Duggan, B. M.; Zhang, W. P.; Li, Z. L.; Li, Y. X.; Tong, R. B.; Xu, Y.; Lin, D. H.; Moore, B. S.; Qian, P. Y. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2016, 12, 773-775. (g) Healy, A. R.; Vizcaino, M. I.; Crawford, J. M.; Herzon, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5426-5432. (h) Xue, M.; Kim, C. S.; Healy, A. R.; Wernke, K. M.; Wang, Z.; Frischling, M. C.; Shine, E. E.; Wang, W.; Herzon, S. B.; Crawford, J. M. Science 2019, 365, No. eaax2685. (i) Li, Zh. R.; Li, J.; Cai, W.; Lai, J. Y. H.; McKinnie, S. M. K.; Zhang, W. P.; Moore, B. S.; Zhang, W.; Qian, P. Y. Nat. Chem. 2019, 11, 880-889. (j) Healy, A. R.; Nikolayevskiy, H.; Patel, J. R.; Crawford, J. M.; Herzon, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 15563-15570.

(6) Brotherton, A. C.; Balskus, E. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3359-3362.

(7) For reviews and a perspective on (pre)-colibactin, see:
(a) Balskus, E. P. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2015, 32, 1534–1540. (b) Healy,
A. R.; Herzon, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14817–14824.
(c) Faïs, T.; Delmas, J.; Barnich, N.; Bonnet, R.; Dalmasso, G. Toxins
2018, 10, 151. (d) Wernke, K. M.; Xue, M.; Tirla, A.; Kim, C. S.; Crawford, J. M.; Herzon, S. B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2020, 30, 127280.

(8) (a) Schmid, F.; Bernasconi, M.; Jessen, H. J.; Pfaltz, A.; Gademann, K. Synthesis **2014**, *46*, 864–870. (b) Jessen, H. J.; Schumacher, A.; Shaw, T.; Pfaltz, A.; Gademann, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 4222–4226. (c) Dash, U.; Sengupta, S.; Sim, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2015**, 2015, 3963–3970. (d) Schröder, P.; Förster, T.; Kleine, S.; Becker, C.; Richters, A.; Ziegler, S.; Rauh, D.; Kumar, K.; Waldmann, H. Angew. Chem. **2015**, *127*, 12575–12580.

(9) (a) Jessen, H. J.; Gademann, K. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1168–1185. (b) Witherington, J.; Bordas, V.; Gaiba, A.; Green, P. M.; Naylor, A.; Parr, N.; Smith, D. G.; Takle, A. K.; Ward, R. W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 2256–2259. (c) Jia, H.; Song, Y.; Yu, J.; Zhan, P.; Rai, D.; Liang, X.; Ma, C.; Liu, X. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 136, 144–153.

(10) (a) Jackson, P. A.; Widen, J. C.; Harki, D. A.; Brummond, K. M. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 839–885. (b) Gehringer, M.; Laufer, S. A. J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62, 5673–5742.

(11) For reviews of the CMD mechanism, see: (a) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Lett. **2010**, 39, 1118–1126. (b) Baudoin, O. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2011**, 40, 4902–4911. (c) Pedroni, J.; Cramer, N. Chem. Commun. **2015**, 51, 17647–17657.

(12) (a) Chen, D. Y. K.; Youn, S. W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 9452–9474. (b) Jazzar, R.; Hitce, J.; Renaudat, A.; Sofack-Kreutzer, J.; Baudoin, O. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2654–2672. (c) Karimov, R. R.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 4234–4241.

(13) (a) Lafrance, M.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 14570–14571. (b) Rousseaux, S.; Liégault, B.; Fagnou, K. Chem. Sci. **2012**, 3, 244–248.

(14) Ladd, C. L.; Roman, D. S.; Charette, A. B. *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 4479-4487.

(15) Pedroni, J.; Saget, T.; Donets, P. A.; Cramer, N. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5164–5171.

(16) Abdel-Magid, A. F.; Carson, K. G.; Harris, B. D.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Shah, R. D. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 3849–3862.

(17) Holstein, P. M.; Dailler, D.; Vantourout, J.; Shaya, J.; Millet, A.; Baudoin, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 2805–2809.

(18) Campeau, L. C.; Dolman, S. J.; Gauvreau, D.; Corley, E.; Liu, J.; Guidry, E. N.; Ouellet, S. G.; Steinhuebel, D.; Weisel, M.; O'Shea, P. D. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2011**, *15*, 1138–1148.

(19) Boymond, L.; Rottländer, M.; Cahiez, G.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1701–1703.

(20) Brindisi, M.; Maramai, S.; Grillo, A.; Brogi, S.; Butini, S.; Novellino, E.; Campiani, G.; Gemma, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 920–923.

(21) (a) Aulakh, V. S.; Ciufolini, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5750–5753. (b) Aulakh, V. S.; Ciufolini, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5900–5904.

(22) Mayr, H.; Breugst, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15380-15389.

(23) Watanabe, K.; Katoh, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 5395-5397.

(24) De Meijere, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 809-826.