

Gold(III)-Catalyzed Halogenation of Aromatic Boronates with *N*-Halosuccinimides


Di Qiu, Fanyang Mo, Zhitong Zheng, Yan Zhang, and Jianbo Wang*

Beijing National Laboratory of Molecular Sciences (BNLMS) and Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

wangjb@pku.edu.cn

Received September 29, 2010

ABSTRACT



Aromatic boronates bearing halogen substituents in the aromatic ring can be synthesized by AuCl_3 -catalyzed halogenations with *N*-halosuccinimides.

Functionalized aromatic boronic acids and esters have attracted great attention in recent years because of their extensive application in organic synthesis as well as in other fields.^{1,2} Aromatic boronates bearing halogen substituents are particularly valuable because multiple Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reactions are possible from such compounds.³ Halogen-substituted arylboronic compounds have been commonly synthesized by selective monoborylation of aromatic substrates bearing more than one halide.⁴ Apparently, this approach is limited in scope by the availability of multihalo-substituted aromatic starting materials as well as by

the difficulties associated with the selective monoborylation. Recently, direct C–H borylation of mono- and dihaloarenes has been developed into a useful method for preparing haloarylboronates.⁵

On the other hand, halogenation of arylboronic compounds would be an attractive alternative approach to access these arylboronic compounds. Although arylboronic compounds are relatively stable in general, their further derivatization has been found difficult due to the chemical property of the boron group that makes them reactive toward commonly used organic reagents. In particular, protodeborylation or ipso substitution of the boron group easily occurs.⁶ For this reason, halogenation of arylboronic acid derivatives has been rarely documented in the literature. In 1961, Kuivila and co-workers reported AcOH -mediated bromination and chlorination of

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Suzuki, A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1982**, *15*, 178. (b) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457. (c) Miyaura, N. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *653*, 54. (d) Miyaura, N. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2008**, *81*, 1535. (e) Merino, P.; Tejero, T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7164.

(2) Hall, D. G. *Boronic Acids*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.

(3) (a) Wang, C.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5240.

(b) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3565.

(4) For examples, see: (a) Kabalka, G. W.; Sastry, U.; Sastry, K. A. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *259*, 269. (b) Ishiyama, T.; Murata, M.; Miyaura, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7508. (c) Baron, O.; Knochel, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3133. (d) Jiang, Q.; Ryan, M.; Zhichkin, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 6618. (e) Ablordeppey, S. Y.; Altundas, R.; Bricker, B.; Zhu, X. Y.; Eyunni, V. K.; Kumar, S.; Jackson, T.; Khan, A.; Roth, B. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *16*, 7291. (f) Konno, H.; Aimoto, S.; Smith, S. O.; Nosaka, K.; Akaji, K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *17*, 5769.

(5) For a recent review, see: Mkhali, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.; Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 890.

(6) For examples, see: (a) Kabalka, G. W.; Sastry, K. A. R.; Sastry, U.; Somayaji, V. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1982**, *14*, 359. (b) Thiebes, C.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. *Synlett* **1998**, *2*, 141. (c) Szumigala, R. H., Jr.; Devine, P. N.; Gauthier, D. R., Jr.; Volante, R. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 566. (d) Thompson, A. L. S.; Kabalka, G. W.; Akula, M. R.; Huffman, J. W. *Synthesis* **2005**, 547. (e) Murphy, J. M.; Liao, X.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15434. (f) Wu, H.; Hynes, J., Jr. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1192.

arylboronic acids. The reaction is only limited to methoxy-substituted arylboronic acids.⁷ More recently, Hall and co-workers reported a Ag(I)-mediated iodination and bromination of arylboronic acids.⁸ The reaction occurs under mild conditions (25 °C) and is regioselective. However, this reaction is generally restricted to arylboronic acids bearing electron-donating substituents, and moreover, a stoichiometric amount of silver salt is used.

We⁹ have recently reported a highly efficient AuCl₃-catalyzed halogenation of aromatics by *N*-bromo-, *N*-iodo-, and *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NBS, NIS, and NCS, respectively).^{10,11} The halogenation conditions are mild, and in particular, the catalyst loading of AuCl₃ is very low (0.01–1.0 mmol %). In this paper, we further demonstrate that AuCl₃ can also be used for the halogenation of arylboronates. The reaction is highly efficient and demonstrates high regioselectivities in most cases.

At the outset, we observed with delight that the bromination of pinacol benzylboronate with NBS occurs efficiently with 2 mol % AuCl₃ in ClCH₂CH₂Cl (DCE) at 60 °C (Table 1, entry 1). However, the regioselectivity is rather low, with

Table 1. Bromination of Pinacol Phenylboronate Using Various Catalysts^a

entry	catalyst (mol %)	conversion ^b (%)
1	AuCl ₃ (2)	100
2	FeCl ₃ (20)	51
3	FeBr ₃ (20)	12
4	BF ₃ •OEt ₂ (20)	<5
5	NH ₄ NO ₃ (20)	<5
6	AlCl ₃ (20)	<5
7	H ₂ SO ₄ (20)	<5
8	none	<5

^a Reaction conditions: PhBpin (1 mmol), NBS (1.2 mmol), DCE (2 mL), 60 °C, 6 h. ^b Conversion was determined by GC–MS with *n*-dodecane as the internal standard. DCE: 1,2-dichloroethane. NBS: *N*-bromosuccinimide.

the ratio of *ortho/meta/para* being nearly 1:1:1. We proceeded to compare other typical Lewis acid catalysts that have been widely used for bromination with NBS. The results summarized in Table 1 clearly demonstrate that AuCl₃ has much higher efficiency. Strong Lewis acids, such as FeCl₃

and FeBr₃, which are commonly used as Lewis acid catalysts in halogenation reactions, only afforded conversion of 51% and 12%, respectively, even with a catalyst loading of 20 mol % (Table 1, entries 2 and 3), while BF₃•OEt₂ only afforded a conversion of less than 5% (entry 4). NH₄NO₃, which has been an efficient catalyst in this type of reaction as reported by Tanemura and co-workers,^{10a} was not efficient (entry 5). Moreover, we found that neither AlCl₃ nor H₂SO₄ was active in this reaction (entries 6 and 7). Finally, control experiments in the absence of AuCl₃ demonstrate that Au catalyst is required for the halogenation (entry 8).

With the efficient AuCl₃-catalyzed halogenations being confirmed, we then proceeded to study the scope of the reaction.¹² A variety of arylboronate substrates have been subjected to the reaction and the data are shown in Table 2. The results show that AuCl₃ could catalyze a wide range of arylboronates, including these bearing heterocyclic rings (entries 13, 14, and 20). Moreover, the reaction works well with arylboronates bearing electron-withdrawing substituents on aromatic rings, although higher catalyst loading and reaction temperature as well as longer reaction times are required in these cases (entries 7–12). It was particularly noted that temperature was an important factor for the reaction. Depending on the substrates, the reaction temperature needs to be adjusted to enable complete bromination. Moreover, high temperature in some cases led to multi-halogenation. It has been noted that the 2-position of thiophene is more active so the bromination or iodination occurs at the 2-position preferentially (entries 13, 14, and 20). The low yield in the case of **1m** is due to the ipso substitution of the boron group by the bromine (entry 13).

The structures of the halogenation products have been established by spectra data.¹³ Moreover, four of them are further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analyses of the products (**2a**, **2f**) or their corresponding hydrolyzed acids (**2b'**, **2q'**) (Figure 1).

An interesting question in the halogenation of arylboronates concerns the property of the boronate group in affecting the halogenation activity and regioselectivity. We proceeded to investigate this issue by comparing the halogenation of benzene and pinacol phenylboronate under identical conditions. The data collected in Table 3 demonstrate that the pinacol boronate group is a weak activating group (compare entries 1–4). Next, we found that in the bromination of phenylboronate the corresponding products could be isolated in 75% yield as regioisomers. The ratio of *ortho/meta/para* is nearly 1:1:1 as detected by ¹H NMR. The directing effect of boron is obscure with the ratio of *ortho* + *para* versus *meta* being approximately 2:1, which means *meta* is slightly disfavored.

The experiments suggest that the pinacol boronate group is a weakly activating and *ortho/para*-directing group. This may be explained by the following reasoning. First, boron

(7) Kuivila, H. G.; Benjamin, L. E.; Murphy, C. J.; Price, A. D.; Poley, J. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1962**, 27, 825.

(8) Al-Zoubi, R. M.; Hall, D. G. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, 12, 2480.

(9) Mo, F.; Yan, J. M.; Qiu, D.; Li, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 2028.

(10) For examples of halogenations with NXS, see: (a) Tanemura, K.; Suzuki, T.; Nishida, Y.; Satsumabayashi, K.; Horaguchi, T. *Chem. Lett.* **2003**, 32, 932. (b) Zhang, Y.; Shibamoto, K.; Yamamoto, H. *Synlett* **2005**, 2837. (c) Zysman-Colman, E.; Arias, K.; Siegel, J. S. *Can. J. Chem.* **2009**, 87, 440.

(11) For examples of transition-metal-catalyzed halogenation with NXS, see: (a) Kalyani, D.; Dick, A. R.; Anani, W. Q.; Sanford, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, 8, 2523. (b) Kalyani, D.; Dick, A. R.; Anani, W. Q.; Sanford, M. S. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, 62, 11483.

(12) For the reaction scope of the boron group, see the Supporting Information.

(13) For details of the structure characterization, see the Supporting Information.

Table 2. AuCl₃-Catalyzed Halogenation of Pinacol Arylboronates^a

entry	substrate 1	AuCl ₃ (mol %)	temp	<i>t</i> (h)	product 2	yield (%) ^b	entry	substrate 1	AuCl ₃ (mol %)	temp	<i>t</i> (h)	product 2	yield (%) ^b
1 ^c		1	rt	6		93	12		4	60 °C	24		76
2 ^c		1	rt	6		91	13		2	rt	24		25
3		2	40 °C	24		63	14 ^d		2	70 °C	24		85
4		2	40 °C	24		65	15		2	40 °C	24		62
5		2	40 °C	6		83	16 ^c		1	rt	6		92
6 ^d		2	80 °C	15		75	17 ^c		1	rt	6		80
7		4	70 °C	24		84	18		2	40 °C	7		90
8		4	60 °C	24		83	19		2	rt	12		74
9		4	70 °C	24		83	20 ^d		2	70 °C	48		79
10		6	80 °C	48		70	21 ^c		3	80 °C	6		71
11		2	80 °C	24		80	22		4	80 °C	48		64

^a Reaction conditions: ArBpin (1 mmol), NXS (1.2 mmol), DCE (2 mL). ^b Isolated yield. ^c 1.0 equiv of NXS was used. ^d 2.4 equiv of NXS was used.

has smaller value of electronegativity than carbon (2.04 versus 2.55). Consequently, the inductive effect of boron is electron-donating. On the other hand, since boron has an empty p-orbital, the resonance effect is electron-withdrawing. However, the boron may be complexed with a Lewis base (such as carbonyl oxygen of succinimide) in the reaction system, which may counteract the electron-withdrawing effect.¹⁴

Since the electronic effect of the pinacol boronate group is rather weak, the regioselectivity of the Au-catalyzed bromination is controlled by other substituents on the aromatic ring in most cases. For example, even a weak directing group such as a methyl in the aromatic ring overrides the pinacol boronate group

(14) For a discussion of electronic effects of boron groups, see: Entwistle, C. D.; Marder, T. B. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 4574.




Figure 1. X-ray structures of **2a**, **2f**, **2b'**, and **2q'**.

Table 3. Comparison of the Reactivity in AuCl_3 -Catalyzed Bromination^a


$\text{R-Ph} + \text{NBS} \xrightarrow[\text{CICH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl, temp.}]{\text{AuCl}_3 (2 \text{ mol } \%)} \text{R-Ph-Br}$				
entry	substrate, R =	temp (°C)	t (h)	conversion ^b (%)
1	H	40	6	15
2	H	40	24	30
3	Bpin	40	6	24
4	Bpin	40	24	46
5	H	60	6	42
6	Bpin	60	6	>99

^a Reaction conditions: R-Ph (1 mmol), NBS (1.1 mmol), DCE (2 mL).

^b Conversion was determined by GC-MS with *n*-dodecane as the internal standard.

to control the regioselectivity of bromination (Table 2, entry 3). The bromination selectivity of the reaction with pinacol

Scheme 1. Application of Halogenated Arylboronic Compounds in Consecutive Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling



p-*tert*-butylphenylboronate **1o** indicates steric effects also play an important role (Table 2, entry 15). Interestingly, there is an obvious trend that halogenation occurs favorably at the position *ortho* to the boron substituent. A similar *ortho* directing effect has also been observed in Hall's Ag-mediated halogenation reaction.⁸

The halogenated arylboronic compounds are valuable in organic synthesis. To demonstrate the utility of the products, we have carried out experiments of consecutive Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions (Scheme 1). Thus, starting from **2h** and **2p**, substituted *o*-terphenyl products **4a–d** could be prepared in two steps in high yields.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient catalytic halogenation reaction of arylboronates. It has the advantages of low catalyst loading, mild halogenation conditions, and generally good yields and high regioselectivity. This reaction provides an easy approach toward functionalized arylboronates that are otherwise difficult to access.

Acknowledgment. This project was supported by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 20902005, 20832002, 20772003, 20821062) and 973 Program (No. 2009CB825300).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedure, characterization data, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL102350V