

**Table IV—Antibacterial Activity of Ethyl *N*-Aryl-*S*-(triphenylstannyl)isothiocarbamates and Ethyl *N*-Phenyl-*S*-tritylisothiocarbamate**

Compound	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> <sup>a</sup>			<i>Escherichia coli</i>			<i>Micrococcus agilis</i>			<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>		
	1 <sup>b</sup>	10	100	1	10	100	1	10	100	1	10	100
IIIa	—	+	+	—	—	+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+
IIIb	—	+	2+	—	—	+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+
IIIc	—	+	2+	—	—	+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+
IIId	—	+	2+	—	—	+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+
IIIe	—	+	2+	—	—	+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+	2+
IV	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	+	2+	—	—	+

<sup>a</sup> Bacteria were obtained from the culture collection of the Department of Biological Sciences, St. John's University. <sup>b</sup> Indicates concentration of compounds employed in micrograms per milliliter; — indicates no inhibition of growth, + indicates partial inhibition of growth, and 2+ indicates complete inhibition of growth.

and filtered to give 2.98 g (65%) of triethylammonium iodide, mp 173–175° [lit. (12) mp 181°].

The benzene was evaporated from the filtrate below 35°, and the mixture was stirred with *n*-heptane and filtered to give 10.88 g (95%) of IIIc, mp 96–106°. Recrystallization from *n*-pentane gave 8.11 g (71%) of IIIc, mp 95–98°. Further recrystallization from *n*-pentane did not change the melting point.

The other compounds in Table I were prepared in a similar manner.

**Ethyl *N*-Phenyl-*S*-tritylisothiocarbamate (IV)**—A mixture of trityl chloride (5.58 g, 0.02 mole), ethyl *N*-phenylthiocarbamate (13) (3.63 g, 0.02 mole), triethylamine (4.05 g, 0.04 mole), and acetonitrile (200 ml) was stirred at 25° for 47 hr. The solvent was evaporated, the residue was stirred with benzene (200 ml), and the mixture was filtered to give 2.69 g (98%) of triethylammonium chloride, mp 255° [lit. (12) mp 253–254°].

The benzene was evaporated from the filtrate, the residue was stirred with *n*-heptane (100 ml), and the mixture was cooled and filtered to give 7.82 g (92%) of IV, mp 126–135°. Recrystallization from *n*-pentane gave 4.82 g (57%) of IV, mp 133–137°; IR: 1626 s (C=N) cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Anal.—Calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NOS: C, 79.40; H, 5.95; N, 3.31; S, 7.57. Found: C, 79.47; H, 6.05; N, 3.48; S, 7.44.

**Biological Methods**—The compounds were individually dissolved in tetrahydrofuran except for IIId, which was solubilized in benzene. The preparation of sterile solutions of the compounds, the fungi employed, the antimicrobial testing procedures, and the determination of growth inhibition were reported previously (14).

The compounds also were investigated for antibacterial activity according to the procedure reported earlier (14).

## REFERENCES

- (1) E. J. Kupchik, M. A. Pisano, A. V. Raghunath, R. A. Cardona, N. Formaini, and C. Alleguez, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, **64**, 1259 (1975).
- (2) C. J. Cattenach and E. F. Mooney, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, **24**, 407 (1968).
- (3) L. Verdonck and G. P. van der Kelen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **40**, 135 (1972).
- (4) R. C. Poller, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **22**, 935 (1966).
- (5) H. Schumann, I. Schumann-Ruidisch, and M. Schmidt, in "Organotin Compounds," vol. 2, A. K. Sawyer, Ed., Dekker, New York, N.Y., chap. 6.
- (6) J. R. May, W. R. McWhinnie, and R. C. Poller, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, **27**, 969 (1971).
- (7) T. N. Srivastava and S. K. Tandon, *ibid.*, **27**, 593 (1971).
- (8) T. N. Srivastava, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **16**, P53 (1969).
- (9) I. Wharf, J. Z. Lobos, and M. Onyszczuk, *Can. J. Chem.*, **48**, 2787 (1970).
- (10) N. S. Dance, W. R. McWhinnie, and R. C. Poller, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, **1976**, 2349.
- (11) E. E. Reid, "Organic Chemistry of Bivalent Sulfur," vol. 4, Chemical Publishing Co., New York, N.Y., 1962, p. 274.
- (12) I. Heilbron, "Dictionary of Organic Compounds," 4th ed., vol. 5, Oxford, London, England, 1965, p. 3126.
- (13) E. E. Reid, "Organic Chemistry of Bivalent Sulfur," vol. 4, Chemical Publishing Co., New York, N.Y., 1962, p. 272.
- (14) E. J. Kupchik, M. A. Pisano, D. K. Parikh, and M. A. D'Amico, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, **63**, 261 (1974).

## Antifungal Properties of Halofumarate Esters

HERMAN GERSHON\* and LARRY SHANKS

Received May 31, 1977, from Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Yonkers, NY 10701.

Accepted for publication July 26, 1977.

**Abstract** □ Alkyl esters (C<sub>1</sub>–C<sub>4</sub>) of the four halofumaric acids were tested for antifungal activity against *Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Mucor mucedo*, and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* at pH 5.6 and 7.0 in the absence and presence of 10% beef serum in Sabouraud dextrose agar. The most toxic compound to each organism was: *C. albicans*, ethyl iodofumarate (0.054 mmole/liter); *A. niger*, methyl bromofumarate (0.090 mmole/liter); *M. mucedo*, methyl fluorofumarate (0.037 mmole/liter); and *T. mentagrophytes*, ethyl iodofumarate (0.020 mmole/liter). The

order of overall activity of the six most toxic compounds was: ethyl iodofumarate > ethyl chlorofumarate > methyl iodofumarate = methyl bromofumarate > methyl chlorofumarate > ethyl bromofumarate.

**Keyphrases** □ Halofumarate alkyl esters, various—antifungal activity evaluated □ Antifungal activity—various halofumarate alkyl esters evaluated □ Structure–activity relationships—various halofumarate alkyl esters evaluated for antifungal activity

Interest in developing agents for activity against infections due to opportunistic fungi in debilitated and immunosuppressed patients led to a search for potentially useful classes of compounds (1–3). The fungi that are the most frequent invaders include species of *Candida*, *Aspergillus*, *Mucor*, and *Cryptococcus* (4).

## DISCUSSION

A previous study of the fungitoxicity of 2-bromo-3-fluorosuccinate esters and related compounds indicated that a systematic examination of the halofumarate esters would be worthwhile (5). Fluorofumaric (6), chlorofumaric (7), bromofumaric (8), and iodofumaric (9) acids were esterified by heating under reflux with methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol,

Table I—Halofumaric Acid Esters (ROOCCX=CHCOOR)

Compound	R	X	Yield, %	Boiling Point (mm) or Observed	Melting Point <sup>a</sup> Literature Value	$n_D^{25}$	$\nu$ neat, cm <sup>-1</sup> C=O C=C	Formula	Analysis, %	
									Calc.	Found
Ia	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	F	52	62° (0.3)	— <sup>b</sup>	1.4309	1740 1675	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>11</sub> FO <sub>4</sub>	50.52 5.83 9.99	50.23 5.95 10.21
Ib	n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	F	63	79° (0.2)	—	1.4349	1740 1685	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> FO <sub>4</sub>	55.04 6.93 8.71	54.76 6.91 8.65
Ic	n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	F	67	94.5–95.0° (0.1)	—	1.4379	1742 1690	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>19</sub> FO <sub>4</sub>	58.52 7.78 7.71	58.54 7.46 7.71
Id	CH <sub>3</sub>	Cl	78	60° (0.2)	108° (15.0) <sup>c</sup>	1.4711	1742 1638	—	—	—
Ie	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Cl	75	110–112° (4.0)	119° (12.0) <sup>c</sup>	1.4600	1742 1638	—	—	—
If	n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	Cl	80	100° (0.3)	131–137° (7.0) <sup>c</sup>	1.4624	1740 1642	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> ClO <sub>4</sub>	51.18 6.44 15.11	51.06 6.40 15.27
Ig	n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	Cl	59	130–131° (0.08)	166–210° (9.0) <sup>d</sup>	1.4625	1748 1640	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>19</sub> ClO <sub>4</sub>	54.86 7.29 13.44	54.97 7.32 13.40
Ih	CH <sub>3</sub>	Br	45	30°	27.5–28.5° <sup>c</sup>	—	1750 1638	—	—	—
Ii	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Br	72	91–92° (0.07)	145–155° (11.0) <sup>e</sup>	1.4801	1744 1632	—	—	—
Ij	n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	Br	73	104° (0.07)	—	1.4770	1742 1632	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> BrO <sub>4</sub>	43.03 5.42 28.63	43.32 5.29 28.46
Ik	n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	Br	84	122–123° (0.1)	170–172° (8.0) <sup>e</sup>	1.4735	1750 1630	—	—	—
Il	CH <sub>3</sub>	I	85	51–52°	52–52.5° <sup>f</sup>	—	1736 1618	—	—	—
I m	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	I	56	111–112° (1.0)	—	1.5139	1730 1610	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>11</sub> IO <sub>4</sub>	32.23 3.72 42.57	32.36 3.70 42.69
In	n-C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	I	80	118–119° (0.2)	—	1.5048	1730 1616	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> IO <sub>4</sub>	36.83 4.62 38.91	37.06 4.61 39.02
Io	n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	I	76	140° (0.4)	—	1.4994	1740 1620	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>19</sub> IO <sub>4</sub>	40.69 5.41 35.83	41.04 5.41 35.53

<sup>a</sup> Analytical sample. <sup>b</sup> For ethyl fluoroethylenedicarboxylate, Ref. 10 reported bp 74° (1.5 mm) and  $n_D^{20}$  1.4330. <sup>c</sup> Reference 8. <sup>d</sup> Reference 11. <sup>e</sup> Reference 12. <sup>f</sup> Reference 9.

and 1-butanol, respectively, using thionyl chloride as the catalyst. Of the 16 esters prepared, the following were reported previously: methyl fluoro-fumarate (5), ethyl fluoroethylenedicarboxylate (10), methyl chloro-fumarate (8), ethyl chlorofumarate (8), *n*-propyl chlorofumarate (11), *n*-butyl chlorofumarate (11), methyl bromofumarate (8), ethyl bromo-fumarate (12), *n*-butyl bromofumarate (12), and methyl iodofumarate (9). Although *n*-propyl and *n*-butyl chlorofumarates appear in the literature (11), they were not adequately characterized, and the isomeric form of ethyl fluoroethylenedicarboxylate was not established.

The data characterizing the halofumarate esters are contained in Table

I. The purity of the esters was verified by GLC.

The compounds were tested against *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231), *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC 1004), *Mucor mucedo* (ATCC 7941), and *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* (ATCC 9129) in Sabouraud dextrose agar<sup>1</sup> at pH 5.6 and 7.0 in the absence and presence of 10% beef serum<sup>2</sup> according to published methods (1, 13) (Table II). Because these compounds are potential medicinal agents, the highest level tested was 100

<sup>1</sup> Difco.

<sup>2</sup> Miles Laboratories.

Table II—Antifungal Activity of Diesters of Halofumaric Acids at pH 5.6 and 7.0 in Sabouraud Dextrose Agar in the Presence and Absence of Beef Serum<sup>a</sup>

Compound	Level of Inhibition <sup>b</sup>											
	<i>C. albicans</i>				<i>A. niger</i>				<i>M. mucedo</i>			
	pH 5.6	pH 7.0	+	—	pH 5.6	pH 7.0	+	—	pH 5.6	pH 7.0	+	—
Methyl fluorofumarate <sup>d</sup>	0.025	0.024	0.037	0.12	0.084	0.084	0.12	0.084	0.025	0.037	0.025	0.025
Ia	0.021	0.053	0.074	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.063
Ib	0.018	0.064	0.023	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023
Ic	0.012	0.065	0.024	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.041	0.041	0.041	0.041
Id	0.090	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034
Ie	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.068	0.096	0.096	0.096	0.096	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
If	0.026	0.077	0.068	0.21	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
Ig	0.053	0.19	0.11	0.19	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.063	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
Ih	0.054	0.063	0.081	0.090	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.072	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Ii	0.020	0.056	0.028	0.12	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
Ij	0.011	0.018	0.032	0.14	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.057	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
Ik	0.029	0.16	0.033	0.23	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026
Il	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.052	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.037	0.037	0.037	0.037
Im	0.017	0.020	0.047	0.054	0.054	0.054	0.054	0.054	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.040
In	0.0092	0.028	0.021	0.12	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031
Io	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

<sup>a</sup> *C. albicans* and *M. mucedo* were incubated at 37° for 20 hr, and *T. mentagrophytes* and *A. niger* were incubated at 28° for 5 days. <sup>b</sup> Minimal concentrations of compound in millimoles per liter causing 100% inhibition of test organisms by recalculation of test data obtained in micrograms per milliliter. Activity to 10 µg/ml was obtained in increments of 1 µg/ml; activity from 10 to 20 µg/ml was obtained in increments of 2 µg/ml; and activity from 20 to 100 µg/ml, the highest level tested, was obtained in increments of 10 µg/ml. All tests were carried out in duplicate in 11-plate petri dishes. c — and + = absence and presence of 10% beef serum, respectively. <sup>d</sup> Data taken from Ref. 5. e — = not inhibitory below 100 µg/ml, the lowest level tested.

µg/ml; the results of greatest interest were those obtained at pH 7.0 in the presence of 10% beef serum.

Adjustment of the test medium from pH 5.6 to 7.0 and addition of 10% beef serum resulted in the reduction of antifungal activity in most cases. The methyl and ethyl esters of the halofumaric acids were more active than the *n*-propyl and *n*-butyl homologs. The most toxic compound to each organism was: *C. albicans*, ethyl iodofumarate (0.054 mmole/liter); *A. niger*, methyl bromofumarate (0.090 mmole/liter); *M. mucedo*, methyl fluorofumarate (0.037 mmole/liter); and *T. mentagrophytes*, ethyl iodofumarate (0.020 mmole/liter).

The first six most toxic compounds against each organism were ranked in order of activity. After averaging the ranks, the following order of overall antifungal activity was obtained: ethyl iodofumarate > ethyl chlorofumarate > methyl iodofumarate = methyl bromofumarate > methyl chlorofumarate > ethyl bromofumarate.

Methyl and ethyl chlorofumarates, methyl, ethyl, and *n*-butyl bromofumarates, and methyl iodofumarate were reported to possess antifungal properties (12–14). The mechanism of action was attributed to interference with the Krebs cycle (12). All four halofumaric acids are fumarase substrates (15).

### EXPERIMENTAL<sup>3</sup>

To prepare *n*-propyl fluorofumarate, fluorofumaric acid (6) (1.5 g, 0.011 mole), 1-propanol (15 ml), and thionyl chloride (0.5 ml) were heated under reflux overnight. Sufficient water was added to cause the ester to come out of solution. The mixture was extracted (chloroform), the extract was washed (water) and dried (sodium sulfate), and the solvent was removed in a rotary still. Then the residue was fractionated under reduced pressure.

### REFERENCES

- (1) H. Gershon and L. Shanks, *Can. J. Microbiol.*, **21**, 1317 (1975).
- (2) H. Gershon, L. Shanks, and D. Gawiak, *J. Med. Chem.*, **19**, 1069 (1976).
- (3) H. Gershon and L. Shanks, *Can. J. Microbiol.*, **22**, 1198 (1976).
- (4) A. S. Levine, R. G. Graw, Jr., and R. C. Young, *Semin. Hematol.*, **9**, 141 (1972).
- (5) H. Gershon and L. Shanks, *J. Med. Chem.*, **20**, 606 (1977).
- (6) M. S. Raasch, R. E. Miegel, and J. E. Castle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **81**, 2678 (1959).
- (7) W. H. Perkin, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **53**, 695 (1888).
- (8) K. v. Auwers and L. Harres, *Chem. Ber.*, **62**, 1678 (1929).
- (9) J. Thiele and W. Peter, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **369**, 119 (1909).
- (10) I. L. Knunyants, B. L. Dyatkin, and L. S. German, *Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **124**, 1065 (1959); through *Chem. Abstr.*, **53**, 14920 (1959).
- (11) M. Sumi, K. Konishi, and S. Kotera, Japanese pat. 68 29,571 (1968); through *Chem. Abstr.*, **70**, 67660d (1969).
- (12) J. F. Grove, *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **35**, 37 (1948).
- (13) H. Gershon and R. Parmegiani, *J. Med. Chem.*, **10**, 186 (1967).
- (14) I. Muirhead, *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **36**, 250 (1949).
- (15) R. L. Hill and J. W. Teipel, in "The Enzymes," vol. 5, P. D. Boyer, Ed., Academic, New York, N.Y., 1971, pp. 559–562.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank A. T. Grefig for the IR spectra.

<sup>3</sup> Melting points were taken in a Thomas-Hoover melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. GLC was performed on a Varian Aerograph model 1200 gas chromatograph with a flame-ionization detector to which was attached a Varian Aerograph model 20 recorder. The purity of the acids was established by GLC on a column of 3% Dexsil 400 on Anachrom A (90–100 mesh) purchased from Analabs, New Haven, Conn. All samples tested microbiologically were at least 95% pure. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer model 221 spectrophotometer, and refractive indexes were taken with an Abbe-3L B&L refractometer.