#### Tetrahedron Letters 55 (2014) 861-864

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron Letters** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

# Formation of bi-aryls via a domino palladium catalysis

## J. Krishna, A. Gopi Krishna Reddy, G. Satyanarayana\*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Hyderabad, Ordnance Factory Estate Campus, Yeddumailaram 502 205, Medak District, Andhra Pradesh, India

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 October 2013 Revised 3 December 2013 Accepted 9 December 2013 Available online 12 December 2013

Keywords: [Pd]-catalysis Bi-aryls Domino 1-(2-Bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1ones (2-Bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones

## ABSTRACT

Synthesis of bi-aryls via a domino Pd-catalyzed reaction of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones/ (2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones is presented. The mechanism of the reaction is believed to proceed through a five membered palladacycle that combines with a second molecule of halo-arene to yield the bi-aryls. This method is quite successful to deliver highly sterically crowded bi-aryls with dense functionalities on the aromatic rings.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The development of sustainable synthetic methods is a significant task in synthetic organic chemistry. In this regard, transition-metal catalysis is identified as a potent tool for constructing C–C bonds most efficiently. In this context, palladium is recognized as being among the most used metals suitable for a wide variety of reactions, namely, coupling reactions such as Heck,<sup>1</sup> Stille,<sup>2</sup> Suzuki,<sup>3</sup> Sonogashira,<sup>4</sup> and Buchwald–Hartwig.<sup>5</sup> In particular, C– H activation reactions through organo-palladium intermediate species have also become popular in the field of organic synthesis.<sup>6,7</sup>

In continuation of our ongoing research interest on transitionmetal catalysis,<sup>8</sup> particularly on domino one-pot<sup>8f-h</sup> and sequential domino one-pot<sup>8d,e</sup> processes, very recently, we have reported a novel domino Pd-catalysis for the synthesis of novel 7-methyl-5*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*c*][7]annulen-5-ones,<sup>8g</sup> a carbon core structure of colchicinoid natural products.

Herein, we present an interesting domino palladium-catalyzed reaction for the synthesis of bi-aryls. In this Letter, we present an interesting observation that the alkyl group of 1-(2-bromophe-nyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones/(2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones **3a–h/6a–h** plays an important role, wherein the isopropyl/ cyclohexyl ketone moiety in the presence of a Pd-catalyst enters into a different mechanistic path and diverts the reaction after bi-aryl coupling unlike the previous report on 1-(2-bromphe-nyl)ethanones (Scheme 1).<sup>8g</sup>

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +91 (40) 2301 6032. E-mail address: gysatya@iith.ac.in (G. Satyanarayana). The bi-aryl is an important structural core present in some biologically active natural products. For example, (+)-isoschizandrin,<sup>9</sup> which is a lignin from *Schizandra chinesis*, has been used in Chinese traditional medicines as an antitussive. Another naturally occurring compound, steganone,<sup>10</sup> was found to inhibit tubulin polymerization both in vitro and in vivo. The derivatives of valoneaic acid<sup>11</sup> like ellagitannins, which are widely distributed in many kinds of higher plants, possess interesting biological activities like antioxidant and anti tumor properties (Fig. 1).

The 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one precursors 3ah required for this study have been accessed from the corresponding ortho-bromobenzaldehydes **1a-h** using isopropyl Grignard addition and oxidation of the resulted secondary alcohols 2a-h (for details, see: Supporting information). Having obtained the requisite 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones 3a-h, the Pd-catalysis for bi-aryl formation was explored. However, the reaction was unsuccessful under the optimized conditions that were established in the case of 1-(2-bromophenyl)ethanones.<sup>8g</sup> Surprisingly, with a slight modification of the reaction conditions (i.e., with base K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and solvent toluene), the reaction progressed well in a very controlled fashion and furnished only the bi-aryl product 4a in excellent yield (Table 1). The selective formation of **4a** is justified on the basis that the mild base K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> would not be strong enough to deprotonate the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen of isopropyl ketone **3a**, therefore, the assumed simple sp<sup>3</sup> C–H activation would be triggered by the initially formed aryl Pd(II) species, for the formation of five-membered palladacycle. This cyclic





CrossMark

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.12.030



Scheme 1. Illustration of the influence of an alkyl group on the out-come of Pd-catalysis.



Figure 1. Naturally occurring bi-aryl compounds.

Pd(II) species would in turn couple with the second molecule of 3a to establish the bi-aryl bond and finally would undergo fast reductive syn-\beta-elimination (due to the availability of β-hdrogens) than the intramolecular aldol reaction (for details, see; Scheme 2). In the case of 2-bromoacetophenones possessing comparatively more acidic hydrogens than that of the isopropyl ketone **3a**, relatively strong base K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> was found to be successful. This base is reasonably strong enough to pick-up easily the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen(s) as proton(s), facilitate the formation of five membered palladacycle followed by bi-aryl coupling and undergo exclusively intramolecular aldol condensation (due to non-availability of  $\beta$ -hydrogens) to furnish the 7-methyl-5*H*dibenzo[*a*,*c*][7]annulen-5-ones.<sup>8g</sup> After the accomplishment of 4a, to check the scope and limitations of the present method, these optimized conditions were applied to the other systems of 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones **3b**-**h**. Agreeably, it was observed that the optimized conditions are amenable to the other 1-(2-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ones 3b-h and furnished the bi-aryl products 4b-h in very good to excellent yields (Table 1). However, in case of **4h**, the reaction was found to be slower and took a longer time when compared to other systems, therefore, furnished the product **4h** in moderate yield (Table 1). This can be justified because of steric hindrance of

Table 1Domino Pd-catalyzed bi-aryl coupling<sup>a,b</sup>



 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  Reaction conditions: **4a–h** (100 mg, 0.27–0.44 mmol), 0.14–0.22 M in toluene.  $^{\rm b}$  Yields in the parentheses are isolated yields of chromatographically pure products.

the di-*ortho*-substituents on either aromatic rings of the bi-aryl product **4h**.

In addition to the spectroscopic structural elucidation of the biaryls **4**, the skeletal structure of **4a** has been further unambigu-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Isolated yield of chromatographically pure product based on the starting material recovery.



Scheme 2. Plausible catalytic cycle for the formation of 4.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 4a. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level.

ously confirmed by the single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 2). $^{12}$ 

After the accomplishment of bi-aryls **4a–h**, we have turned our focus to extend the scope and limitations of the method (the requisite precursor ketones **6a–h** were prepared using standard cyclohexyl Grignard reagent addition to the 2-bromobenzaldehydes **1** and oxidation of the resulted secondary alcohol **5**, see: Supporting information). Therefore, Pd-catalysis on (2-bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanones **6a–h**, was attempted for the formation of the expected bi-aryls. Interestingly, the method was also quite successful and gave **7a–h** in very good yields as shown in Table 2. Once again, the effectiveness of substrate **7h** was lowered when compared to the other starting materials applied.

The plausible mechanistic paths (paths a and b) for the formation of **4a** are described in Scheme 2. Initially, an oxidative insertion of Pd(0)-catalyst (i.e., via path a) leads to aryl-palladium(II) species A,<sup>13</sup> which on intramolecular activation of sp<sup>3</sup> C–H bond [in this present case, the mild base K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> would not be strong enough to deprotonate  $\alpha$ -hydrogen of isopropyl ketone, therefore, simple sp<sup>3</sup> C-H activation would be triggered by Pd(II) species of intermediate A is assumed] of the ketone and concomitant elimination of HBr, might lead to a five-membered palladacycle B. Now the key palladacycle B combines with a second molecule of 3a via oxidative C-Br bond insertion and simultaneous bi-aryl bond formation would yield Pd(II)<sup>13</sup> complex C. Finally, expulsion of a Pd-species via reductive *syn*-β-elimination might furnish the bi-aryl product 4a. Alternatively, chelation of aryl Pd(II)-species of A (i.e., via path b) would chelate with the oxygen of ketone and generate the five membered palladacycle D. Coupling of palladacycle D with a second molecule of **3a** would furnish the bi-aryl intermediate E, which upon isomerization could meet the intermediate C that has been formed via path a.

In summary, we have developed a domino Pd-catalysis for the synthesis of bi-aryls via homo-coupling, a carbon core structure present in biologically active bi-aryl natural products. The method is efficient to deliver the bi-aryls with dense functionalization on the aromatic moieties.

#### Acknowledgments

Financial support by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research [(CSIR), 02(0018)/11/EMR-II], New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged. J.K., A.G.K.R., thank CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of a research fellowship.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data (spectral data and copies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013. 12.030.

## Table 2

Domino Pd-catalyzed bi-aryl coupling<sup>a,b</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **7a-h** (100 mg, 0.25–0.37 mmol), 0.12–0.18 M in toluene. <sup>b</sup> Yields in the parentheses are isolated yields of chromatographically pure products.

<sup>c</sup> Isolated yield of chromatographically pure product based on the starting material recovery.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) Beller, M.; Riermeier, T. H.; Stark, G. In Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis In ; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998; Vol. 1, p 208; (b) Bräse, S.; de Meijere, A. In Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998. Chapter 3; (c) Link, J. T.; Overman, L. E. In Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998. Chapter 6; (d) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3009–3066; (e) Poli, G.; Giambastiani, G.; Heumann, A. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 5959–5989; (f) Link, J. T. Org. React. 2002, 60, 157–534; (g) Lee, D.-H.; Taher, A.; Hossain, S.; Jin, M.-J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5540–5543; (h) Xu, H.-J.; Zhao, Y.-Q.; Zhou, X.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 8036–8041; (i) Wang, Z.; Feng, X.; Fang, W.; Tu, T. Synlett 2011, 951–954; (j) Rossy, C.; Fouquet, E.; Felpin, F.-X. Synthesis 2012, 37–41; (k) Werner, E. W.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9692–9695.
- (a) Farina, V.; Krishnamurthy, V.; Scott, W. J. Org. React. 1997, 50, 1–652; (b) Duncton, M. A. J.; Pattenden, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1235–1246; (c) Gonthier, E.; Breinbauer, R. Mol. Diver. 2005, 9, 51–62; (d) Echavarren, A. M. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4028–4031. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3962– 3965; (e) Huang, H.; Jiang, H.; Chen, K.; Liu, H. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5599– 5602.
- For some reviews, see: (a) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483; (b) Chemler, S. R.; Trauner, D.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 4676–4701. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4544–4568; (c) Darses, S.; Genet, J.-

P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 4313–4327; (d) Bellina, F.; Carpita, A.; Rossi, R. Synthesis 2004, 2419–2440; (e) Suzuki, A. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4759–4763; (f) Nicolaou, K. C; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4516–4563. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4442–4489; (g) Kantchev, E. A. B; O'Brien, C. J.; Organ, M. G. Aldrichim. Acta 2006, 39, 97–111; (h) Saito, B.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9602–9603; (i) Peh, G.-R; Kantchev, E. A. B; Er, J.-C.; Ying, J. Y. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 14, 4010–4017; (j) Lu, Z.; Wilsily, A.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8154–8157; (k) Dreher, S. D.; Lim, S.-E.; Sandrock, D. L.; Molander, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3626–3631.

- (a) Casser, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 93, 253–257; (b) Dieck, H. A.; Heck, F. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 93, 259–263; (c) Sonogashira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 31, 4467–4470; (d) Sonogashira, K. In Comp. Org. Synth.; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergmon: Oxford, 1991; 32, pp 521–549; (e) Negishi, E.-I.; Anastasia, L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1979–2017; (f) Lipshutz, B. H.; Chung, D. W.; Rich, B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3793–3796; (g) Huang, H.; Liu, H.; Jiang, H.; Chen, K. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3518–3521.
- 5. For Buchwald-Hartwig cross coupling reactions: (a) Buchwald, S. L.; Muci, A. Top. Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 133–209; (b) Hartwig, J. F. Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1417-1423; (c) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 852-860; (d) Lindley, J. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1433-1456; (e) Biehl, E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2619-2622; (f) Kosugi, M.; Kameyama, M.; Migita, T. Chem. Lett. 1983, 927-928; (g) Guram, A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7901–7902; (h) Louie, J.; Hartwig, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 3609-3612; (i) Guram, A.; Rennels, R.; Buchwald, S. L.; Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 4708– 4709; (j) Paul, F.; Baranano, D.; Richards, S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 3626-3633; (k) Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7217-7218; (I) Driver, M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8232-8245; (m) Wagaw, S.; Rennels, R.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8451-8458; (n) Louie, J.; Driver, M.; Hamann, B.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1268-1273; (o) Mann, G.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 62, 5413-5418; (p) Old, D. W.; Wolfe, J.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 9722-9723; (q) Hamann, B.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7369-7370; (r) Kawatsura, M.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1473-1478; (s) Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3417-3419; (t) Kosugi, M.; Kameyama, M.; Migita, T. Chem. Lett. 1983, 927-928; (u) Guram, A. S.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7901-7902; (v) Guram, A. S.; Runnels, R. A.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 1456-1459. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 1348-1350; (w) Khartulyari, A. S.; Maier, M. E. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 317–324; (x) Satyanarayana, G.; Maier, M. E. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 356-363.
- For some reviews, see: (a) Kakiuchi, F.; Chatani, N. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 1077–1101; (b) Dunina, V. V.; Gorunova, O. N. Russ. Chem. Rev. 2004, 73, 309–350; (c) Godula, K.; Sames, D. Science 2006, 312, 67–72.
- 5. For some recent illustrative examples, see: (a) Ohno, H.; Yamamoto, M.; luchi, M.; Tanaka, T. Angew. Chem. **2005**, 117, 5233–5236. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, 44, 5103–5106; (b) Bertrand, M. B.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 3073–3075; (c) Rudolph, A.; Rackelmann, N.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem. **2007**, 119, 1507–1510. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 1485–1488.
- (a) Reddy, A. G. K.; Krishna, J.; Satyanarayana, G. Synlett 2011, 1756–1760; (b) Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Mahendar, L.; Ramulu, B. V.; Satyanarayana, G. Synlett 2012, 375–380; (c) Suchand, B.; Krishna, J.; Ramulu, B. V.; Dibyendu, D.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Mahendar, L.; Satyanarayana, G. Tetrahedron 1212, 53, 3861–3864; (d) Reddy, A. G. K.; Satyanarayana, G. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 8003– 8010; (e) Reddy, A. G. K.; Krishna, J.; Satyanarayana, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 5635–5640; (f) Mahendar, L.; Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Ramulu, B. V.; Satyanarayana, G. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 628–631; (g) Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Satyanarayana, G. Synlett 2013, 967–972.
- (a) Molander, G. A.; George, K. M.; Monovich, L. G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9533– 9540; (b) Ayres, D. C.; Loike, J. D. Chemistry & Pharmocology of Natural Products: Lignans; Chemical, Biological, and Chemical Properties; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K., 1990; (c) Whiting, D. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1985, 2, 191– 211; (d) Whiting, D. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1987, 4, 499–525; (e) Tanaka, M.; Mukaiyama, C.; Mitsuhashi, H.; Maruno, M.; Wakamatsu, T. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4339–4352.
- (a) Monovich, L. G.; Huérou, Y. L.; RÖnn, M.; Molander, G. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 52–57; (b) Kupchan, S. M.; Britton, R. W.; Zeigler, M. F.; Gilmore, C. J.; Restivo, R. J.; Bryan, R. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, 95, 1335–1336; (c) Tomioka, K.; Ishiguro, T.; Mizuguchi, H.; Komeshima, N.; Koga, K.; Tsukagoshi, S.; Tsuruo, T.; Tashiro, T.; Tanida, S.; Kishi, T. *J. Med. Chem.* 1991, 34, 54–57; (d) Wang, R. W.-J.; Rebhun, L. I.; Kupchan, S. M. *Cancer Res.* 1977, 37, 3071–3079; (e) Zavala, F.; Guenard, D.; Robin, J.-P.; Brown, E. *J. Med. Chem.* 1980, 23, 546–549; (f) Wickramaratne, D. B. M.; Pengsuparp, T.; Mar, W.; Chai, H. B.; Chagwedera, T. E.; Beecher, C. W. W.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Kinghorn, A. D.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Cordell, G. A. *J. Nat. Prod.* 1993, 56, 2083–2090; (g) Dhal, R.; Brown, E.; Robin, J.-P. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 2787–2794.
- (a) Yoshida, T.; Hatano, T.; Ito, H. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2004, 62, 500–507; (b) Okuda, T.; Yoshida, T.; Hatano, T. In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; Herz, W., Kirby, G. W., Moore, R. E., Steglich, W., Tamm, C., Eds.; Springer: Wein, 1995; Vol. 66, pp 1–117; (c) Miyamoto, K.; Nomura, M.; Murayama, T.; Furukawa, T.; Hatano, T.; Yoshida, T.; Koshiura, R.; Okuda, T. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 1993, 16, 379–387.
- 12. CCDC number for the compound **2a**: CCDC 910647.
- (a) Dyker, G. Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 1079–1081. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1992, 31, 1023–1025; (b) Dyker, G. Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 117–119. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1992, 33, 103–105.