Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 21 (2010) 2376-2384

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetasy

Preparation of indole-phosphine oxazoline (IndPHOX) ligands and their application in allylic alkylation

Yu Wang, Antti Hämäläinen, Jan Tois, Robert Franzén*

Department of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Laboratory of Chemistry, Tampere University of Technology, Korkeakoulunkatu 8, Tampere, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 22 July 2010 Accepted 12 August 2010 Available online 9 September 2010

Two classes of indole-phosphine oxazoline ligands have been prepared from readily available starting materials in good overall yields. These modular ligands include an indole skeleton with either a phosphine moiety or an oxazoline ring at the 2- or 3-position, respectively. The utility of these ligands was demonstrated in a catalytic asymmetric reaction: the palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation of 1,3-diphe-nyl-2-propenyl acetate with dimethyl malonate was performed with enantioselectivities as high as 98%. © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Asymmetric synthesis is a vital and challenging field due to the need for enantiomerically pure compounds in pharmaceuticals, biochemical research, and agrochemicals. Asymmetric induction via metal catalysts and chiral ligands is one of the most elegant and effective methods. Among the diverse chiral ligands reported to date, the oxazoline ring-containing ligands are one of the most versatile and widely used classes.¹ The large majority of these are synthesized from readily available chiral amino alcohols, and the stereogenic carbon atom is located next to the nitrogen atom coordinating with metals, thus having a direct influence on the stereochemistry.¹

A classic representative of oxazoline ligands is phosphino-oxazoline (PHOX) ligand (Fig. 1), pioneered by Pfaltz,^{2a} Helmchen,^{2b} and Williams.^{2c} These outstanding and tunable class of chiral *P*,*N*-ligands have been utilized in several metal-catalyzed transformations, such as Heck reactions,³ allylic substitutions,^{4,5} and hydrogenations.^{6,7} Due to the success of the PHOX ligands, a variety of derivatives have been prepared and used extensively in catalytic applications (Fig. 2).⁸ A successful strategy has been the replacement of the phenyl ring with a heterocycle bearing an oxazoline moiety. Several highly effective hetero PHOX (Het-PHOX) ligands have been prepared (Fig. 3), and utilized in asymmetric allylic alkylations,^{9a,9b} Heck reactions,^{9c} hydrogenations,^{9d,9e} and hydrosilylations.^{9f}

Although the indole core has been investigated for over a century, it has gained attention as a ligand only very recently. Excellent results for the utility of achiral indolylphosphine ligands in Pd-catalyzed reactions, such as Suzuki-Miyaura couplings,¹⁰ Hiyama cross-couplings,¹¹ cross-couplings utilizing organotitanium nucleophiles,¹² and aminations¹³ have been reported. Moreover,

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* robert.franzen@tut.fi (R. Franzén).



Tetrahedro

Figure 1. Phosphinooxazoline (PHOX) ligands.



Figure 2. Some PHOX ligand derivatives.

only a few chiral indole ligands have been prepared,^{14–16} and only three of them have been utilized in catalytic asymmetric reactions until now (Fig. 4).^{15,16a,17} In comparison with other benzofused heterocycles, the selective modification methods for indole are much more diverse and thus offer more possibilities for tuning the electronic and steric properties. Due to the accessible diversity, tunability, and potential activity in asymmetric reactions, we envisioned that indole-phosphine oxazoline (IndPHOX) ligands¹⁸ could be valuable additions to PHOX and Het-PHOX derivatives. Herein, we report the synthesis and application of eight novel IndPHOX ligands (Fig. 5), which were conveniently prepared from commercially available starting materials in good overall yields.



^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2010.08.008



Figure 4. Indole based ligands with chirality.

2. Results and discussion

Indole-2-carboxylic acid was converted into the corresponding acid chloride using oxalyl chloride with a catalytic amount of DMF, and the subsequent amidation with L-valinol provided 22. Oxazoline formation was accomplished by treatment of MsCl in the presence of DMAP under basic conditions to yield 23.¹⁴ Following N-functionalization with methyl or MOM group, the corresponding compounds were treated with sec-BuLi and TMEDA, followed by electrophilic quenching by ClPPh₂ or ClPCy₂, respectively. The desired products 13 and 14 were not formed, indicating that direct lithiation at the 3-postion was unsuccessful (Route A, Scheme 1). A literature survey revealed that the 3-position could be modified by a more powerful *P*-electrophile.¹⁹ Thus we treated the lithiated 24a and 24b with diphenylphosphinic chloride, and 25a and 25b were obtained in good yields. Reduction of 25a and 25b by trichlorosilane in the presence of Et₃N afforded ligands 13 and 14 in moderate yields (Route B).

In order to attempt the preparation of **15** and **16** by halogen– lithium exchange, compound **23** was brominated with NBS in CHCl₃ in 82% yield. After the N-functionalization, the bromine–lithium exchange of **27**, and treatment with CIPCy₂, ligands **15** and **16** were obtained (**Route C**).

Recently a new synthetic approach for PHOX ligands was reported by Tani et al.²⁰ The P-C bond was formed by an Ullmantype coupling developed by Buchwald.²¹ With compound **28a** in hand, we investigated this method preliminarily for IndPHOX ligand **13** (**Route D**). Although the yield was poor (14%), our opinion is that this methodology could offer a wide range of possibilities in the future. A similar synthetic strategy was utilized for ligand class II (Scheme 2). Indole-3-carboxylic acid 29 was prepared from indole in good yield.²² The 'acid chloride-approach' was found unsuitable for the preparation of **30**, and L-valinol was coupled to indole-3-carboxylic acid by EDC-activation, and 30 was obtained in 75% yield. Oxazoline formation at the 3-position was not accomplished in CH₂Cl₂, probably due to poor solubility, so the addition of DMF was found necessary. After optimization, 31 was obtained in 77% yield. After N-functionalization, direct lithiation at the 2-position and ClPR₂ treatment, ligands **17–20** were obtained.

Synthesized ligands **13–20** were investigated for the asymmetric allylic alkylation of 1,3-diphenyl-2-propenyl acetate **33** with dimethyl malonate [a standard model reaction for evaluation of



Figure 5. Indole-phosphine oxazoline ligands synthesized.

novel chiral ligands (Scheme 3)], and the results are summarized in Table 1.

For class I-type ligands, **13** and **14** with a diphenylphosphinegroup greatly increased the reaction rate compared to ligands **15** and **16** with dicyclohexylphosphine. All of the ligands provided excellent enantiomeric excess. In contrast, the different phosphorus substituents of class II have a bigger influence on the results than class I. Although ligands **17** and **18** resulted in good ee%, the yields were poor, while ligands **19** and **20** increased the yield, reaction rate, and enantioselectivity. The opposite configured ligand (*R*)-**20** was synthesized utilizing the developed route from p-valinol. When (*R*)-**20** was subjected to the alkylation reaction, **34** was obtained with an (*R*)-configuration (Table 1). Recrystallization of product **34** with *n*-hexane/diethyl ether further improved the enantiomeric excesses from 97% to 99%.

Compared to other Het-PHOX ligands utilized in the asymmetric allylic alkylation, most IndPHOX ligands provided similar yields and higher ee% at room temperature. The requirement for lower temperature, needed for ligands **5a–7a**, was found unnecessary. Ligands **13**, **14**, and **20** also greatly increased the reaction rate in comparison with other Het-PHOX ligands. In addition, compared to PHOX ligand **1a**, the IndPHOX ligands with diphenylphosphine achieved equally excellent ee values (Table 1).

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have designed and prepared two classes of novel chiral indole-phosphine oxazoline ligands. Ligands could conveniently be synthesized from commercially available starting materials in good overall yields. The ligands were utilized in the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of 1,3-dipheny-2-propenyl acetate with dimethyl malonate resulting in high enantioselectivities of up to 98%. IndPHOX ligands are in our opinion superior to the present related Het-PHOX ligands available for the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation. Our best results, when taking reaction time, yield, and enantiomeric purity into account, were achieved when the indole core was combined with a diphenylphosphine functionality. Further modification of the IndPHOX ligands and their applications in other asymmetric reactions are currently underway in our laboratory.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Solvent THF was distilled over sodium and benzophenone ketyl before use. Other solvents and reagents were used as received. TLC was performed on precoated (Silica Gel 60 F254) aluminum plates and visualization was performed by UV-light. Silica Gel 60, particle size 0.040–0.063 nm was used for column chromatography. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were measured at 300, 75 and 121 MHz, respectively, using CDCl₃ or DMSO-*d*₆ as solvents. Chemical shifts are reported in δ values (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane. Melting points were not corrected.

4.2. Preparation of ligands 13-16

4.2.1. (S)-N-(1-Hydroxy-3-methylbutan-2-yl)-1*H*-indole-2carboxamide 22

To a suspension of indole-2-carboxylic acid **21** (1.61 g, 10.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), oxalyl chloride (10 ml 2 mol/L, 20.0 mmol), and DMF (0.15 ml, 2.0 mmol) were added dropwise at 0 °C and further stirred 2.5 h at rt. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude acid chloride was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml), and added dropwise to a solution of L-valinol (1.08 g, 10.5 mmol) and Et₃N (2.8 ml, 20.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 3 h at rt, 1 M HCl was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed and the crude product was triturated with cold CH₂Cl₂ to afford a light yellow solid (1.54 g). An additional amount of product (0.40 g) was obtained from the filtrate after silica chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 2:1 to 1:1). Combined yield 79%. Mp 158–159 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -46.3$ (*c* 1.0, MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 0.89-0.94$ (2 × d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 1.91-1.98 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.56 (m, 2H), 3.84–3.87 (m, 1H), 4.65 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.00-7.05 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.20 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.22 (m, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.2, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 11.5 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 19.6, 20.7, 29.6, 57.1, 62.3, 103.7, 113.2, 120.6, 122.4, 124.1, 128.0, 133.0, 137.3,



Scheme 1. Synthesis of ligands 13-16 of class I.

162.0. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₁₄H₁₈N₂O₂Na, (M+Na)⁺ found 269.1276, calcd 269.1266.

4.2.2. (S)-2-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1H-indole 23

At first, MsCl (0.42 ml, 5.4 mmol) was added to a cooled mixture (0 °C) of **22** (1.21 g, 4.9 mmol), Et₃N (5.4 ml, 39.2 mmol) and DMAP (0.12 g, 0.98 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml). After 2 h at rt, the starting material was consumed according to TLC. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 5:1) to afford **23** as a light yellow solid (0.92 g, 82%). Mp 81–82 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +52.0$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.95$ (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.83–1.89 (m, 1H), 4.29–4.35 (m, 2H), 4.55–4.59 (m, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (td, *J* = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.9, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 11.5 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.5, 33.4, 71.0, 72.0, 106.4, 111.9, 120.3, 122.1, 124.4, 125.7, 127.9, 137.9, 159.8.

4.2.3. (S)-2-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 24a

Compound 23 (1.10 g, 4.8 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (0.29 g, 7.2 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and CH₃I (0.36 ml, 5.8 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water, and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. After concentration in vacuo, the crude product was filtered through a pad of silica using Et₂O as eluent. The product was obtained as a light vellow solid after concentration (1.09 g, 94%). Mp 91–92 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} =$ -55.8 (c 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.95$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.05 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.83–1.89 (m, 1H), 4.04– 4.18 (m, 5H), 4.35 (td, *J* = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (ddd, J = 6.8, 5.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.64 (dt, I = 8.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.2, 19.3, 32.1, 33.3. 69.2, 73.6, 107.4, 110.2, 120.4, 122.2, 124.1, 126.8, 127.2, 139.7, 158.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₁₅H₁₉N₂O, $(M+H)^{+}$ found 243.1483, calcd 243.1473.





Scheme 3. Enantioselective allylic alkylation.

4.2.4. (S)-2-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1*H*-indole 24b

Compound **23** (0.68 g, 3.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (0.18 g, 4.5 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and MOMCl (0.27 ml, 3.6 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 5:1) to afford **24b** as a light yellow oil (0.64 g, 78%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -37.3$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.97$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.82–1.88 (m, 1H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 4.04–4.17 (m, 2H), 4.34–4.39 (m, 1H), 6.13 (A, $J_{AB} = 10.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.19 (B, $J_{AB} = 10.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (ddd, J = 7.1, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (ddd, J = 7.1, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.66 (dt, J = 7.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.0, 19.3, 33.4,

56.10, 69.5, 73.7, 75.0, 109.4, 111.3, 121.3, 122.2, 124.8, 127.1, 127.2, 139.7, 157.8. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for $C_{16}H_{20}N_2O_2Na$, $(M+Na)^+$ found 295.1419, calcd 295.1422.

4.2.5. (*S*)-3-(Diphenylphosphoryl)-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 25a

Compound **24a** (1.00 g, 4.1 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 ml) with TMEDA (0.80 ml, 5.3 mmol) and treated with *s*-BuLi (4.1 ml 1.4 mol/L, 5.7 mmol) at -78 °C. After 0.5 h under the same temperature, diphenylphosphinic chloride (1.1 ml, 5.7 mmol) was added. The cooling bath was removed after 0.5 h, and the reaction was allowed to warm to rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 2:1 to 1:2) to afford a light yellow solid (1.50 g, 83%). Mp 172–174 °C;

Table 1 Asymmetric allylic alkylation with various ligands^a

Entry	Ligand	Time(h)	Isolated yield (%)	ee ^b (%)	Configuration
1	13	2	77 ^c	97	(<i>S</i>) ^d
2	14	2	79 ^c	97	(<i>S</i>)
3	15	24	42	93	(<i>S</i>)
4	16	24	63	90	(<i>S</i>)
5	17	24	17	72	(<i>S</i>)
6	18	24	17	86	(<i>S</i>)
7	19	24	55	97	(<i>S</i>)
8	20	0.5	95°	98	(<i>S</i>)
9	(R)- 20	0.5	77 ^c	98	(<i>R</i>) ^e
10 ^f	1a	1	98	98	(<i>S</i>)
11 ^g	5a	14	83	75	(<i>S</i>)
12 ^g	6a	14	86	88	(<i>S</i>)
13 ^g	7a	14	89	86	(S)

See the Experimental for details concerning the reaction conditions

Determined by chiral HPLC.

The conversion was 100%.

d

$$\begin{split} & [\alpha]_D^{20} = -17.8 \ (c \ 1.0, \ \text{DCM}). \\ & [\alpha]_D^{20} = +22.1 \ (c \ 1.05, \ \text{DCM}). \end{split}$$

f See Ref. 4h.

^g Reaction at -10 °C. See Ref. 9a.

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -88.9$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.82 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.54–1.59 (m, 1H), 3.38–3.45 (m, 1H), 3.48-3.58 (m, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 7.03 (ddd, J = 7.0, 5.9, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.51 (m, 9H), 7.81-7.88 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.0, 19.2, 31.8, 32.8, 69.9, 73.3, 105.8, 107.4, 110.3 (d, J_{CP} = 1.0 Hz), 121.7 (d, J_{CP} = 0.7 Hz), 122.8 (d, J_{CP} = 1.1 Hz), 124.2, 128.3 (2d, J_{CP} = 2.4, 3.3 Hz), 129.2 (d, J_{CP} = 8.5 Hz), 131.3-131.8 (7 lines), 132.8 (d, J_{CP} = 16.17 Hz), 134.0 (d, J_{CP} = 1.1 Hz), 135.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 1.1 \text{ Hz}$), 138.4 (d, $J_{CP} = 10.8 \text{ Hz}$), 156.4 (d, J_{CP} = 1.1 Hz); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): 20.7. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₇H₂₇N₂O₂PNa, (M+Na)⁺ found 465.1716, calcd 465.1708.

4.2.6. (S)-3-(Diphenylphosphoryl)-2-(4-isopropyl-4.5dihvdrooxazol-2-vl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1H-indole 25b

Compound 24b (1.00 g. 3.7 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 ml) with TMEDA (0.72 ml, 4.8 mmol) and treated with s-BuLi (3.7 ml 1.4 mol/L, 5.1 mmol) at -78 °C. After 0.5 h at the same temperature, diphenylphosphinic chloride (1.0 ml, 5.1 mmol) was added. The cooling bath was removed after 0.5 h, and the reaction was allowed to warm to rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 2:1 to 1:2) to afford a light yellow solid (1.40 g, 81%). Mp 123-124 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -79.5$ (c 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.80 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.50–1.54 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.40–3.48 (m, 3H), 5.78 (A, J_{AB} = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (B, J_{AB} = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (ddd, J = 7.2, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (ddd, J = 7.2, 7.1, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.50 (m, 7H), 7.55–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.80-7.89 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.9, 19.3, 32.8, 56.2, 70.1, 73.3, 75.2, 107.8, 109.4, 111.1 (d, J_{CP} = 1.0 Hz), 122.2 (d, J_{CP} = 0.7 Hz), 122.9 (d, J_{CP} = 1.1 Hz), 124.7, 128.3 (2d, J_{CP} = 12.4, 2.8 Hz), 129.4 (d, J_{CP} = 8.5 Hz), 131.5–131.9 (8 lines), 132.5 (d, J_{CP} = 16.3 Hz), 133.6 (d, J_{CP} = 3.8 Hz), 135.0 (d, J_{CP} = 3.9 Hz), 137.9 (d, J_{CP} = 10.6 Hz), 156.2 (d, J_{CP} = 1.2 Hz); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): 21.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₂₈H₂₉N₂O₃PNa, $(M+Na)^+$ found 495.1822, calcd 465.1814.

4.2.7. (S)-3-(Diphenylphosphino)-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1H-indole 13

To a mixture of 25a (0.88 g, 2.0 mmol) and Et₃N (1.1 ml, 8.0 mmol) in *m*-xylene (25 ml), trichlorosilane (0.81 ml, 8.0 mmol)

was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was heated to 90 °C for 3 h. The mixture was cooled on ice-bath, and the pH was adjusted to 10 by the addition of 2 M NaOH. The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 5:1) to afford a light yellow oil (0.43 g, 50%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -59.4$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.94$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.79-1.84 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 4.04-4.08 (m, 2H), 4.21-4.28 (m, 1H), 6.82-6.87 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.49 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.9, 19.3, 32.0, 33.2, 70.2, 73.4, 110.5, 120.6, 122.92 (d, *J*_{CP} = 2.2 Hz), 123.8, 128.1 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12.4 Hz), 128.4–128.5 (4 lines), 129.4 (d, J_{CP} = 2.0 Hz), 132.7, 133.0 (d, J_{CP} = 1.8 Hz), 133.2, 134.0, 134.5, 138.1 (d, J_{CP} = 9.8 Hz), 138.1 (d, J_{CP} = 9.8 Hz), 139.5 (d, J_{CP} = 2.6 Hz), 158.0 (d, J_{CP} = 1.0 Hz); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -25.2. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₂₇H₂₈N₂OP, (M+H)⁺ found 427.1946, calcd 427.1939.

4.2.8. (S)-3-(Diphenylphosphino)-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1H-indole 14

To a mixture of **25b** (0.71 g, 1.5 mmol) and Et₃N (0.83 ml, 6.0 mmol) in *m*-xylene (20 ml), trichlorosilane (0.61 ml, 6.0 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was heated to 90 °C for 3 h. The mixture was cooled on ice-bath, and the pH was adjusted to 10 by the addition of 2 M NaOH. The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 5:1) to provide light yellow oil (0.49 g, 71%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -53.1$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.93 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.76–1.80 (m,1H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 3.96–4.04 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.23 (m, 1H), 5.83 (A, J_{AB} = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (B, J_{AB} = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 6.83–6.89 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.54 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.0, 19.3, 33.2, 56.2, 70.3, 73.4, 75.3, 111.3, 112.6 (d, J_{CP} = 16.6 Hz), 121.3, 123.0 (d, J_{CP} = 2.0 Hz), 124.3, 128.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 11.0 \text{ Hz}$), 128.4–128.5 (4 lines), 129.8 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ = 2.0 Hz), 132.7, 133.0 (d, $J_{\rm CP}$ = 1.4 Hz), 133.2, 133.6, 134.1, 137.7 (d, $J_{CP} = 10.0 \text{ Hz}$), 137.9 (d, $J_{CP} = 10.0 \text{ Hz}$), 139.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}$, 157.7 (d, $J_{CP} = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$); ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -24.9. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₂₈H₃₀N₂O₂P, $(M+H)^+$ found 457.2051, calcd 457.2045.

4.2.9. (S)-3-Bromo-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1Hindole 26

Compound 23 (1.70 g, 7.4 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (30 ml), and NBS (1.32 g, 7.4 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred further at the same temperature for 2 h. After concentration, water was added, followed by Et₂O extraction. The organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was triturated with *n*-hexane/ ethyl acetate (5:1) to afford a light yellow solid (1.46 g). An additional amount of product (0.40 g) was obtained from the filtrate after silica chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 20:1 to 5:1). Combined yield 82%. Mp 122–124 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +60.4 (c \, 1.0, \text{DCM}); {}^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR}$ (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 0.87 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.75-1.79 (m, 1H), 4.05-4.19 (m, 2H), 4.42-4.48 (m, 1H), 7.15 (ddd, J = 7.0, 6.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (ddd, J = 7.2, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.48 (m, 1H), 12.03 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 19.1, 19.6, 33.2, 70.9, 72.6, 93.7, 113.6, 120.4, 121.7, 123.7, 125.8, 128.0, 136.8, 157.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₁₄H₁₅N₂OBrNa, (M+Na)⁺ found 329.0251, calcd 329.0265.

4.2.10. (S)-3-Bromo-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1methyl-1H-indole 27a

Compound 26 (0.86 g, 2.8 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was added to suspension of NaH (0.17 g, 4.2 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and CH₃I (0.21 ml, 3.4 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water, and the precipitate was filtered and triturated with water. Compound **27a** was obtained as a white solid (0.85 g, 94%). Mp 72–73 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -59.9$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.95$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.80–1.86 (m, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.10–4.14 (m, 2H), 4.34–4.42 (m, 1H), 7.16 (ddd, J = 5.9, 5.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.60 (dt, J = 8.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.7, 19.2, 32.8, 33.2, 70.0, 73.0, 95.7, 110.4, 120.9, 121.1, 124.9, 125.2, 127.2, 138.2, 157.3. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₁₅H₁₇N₂OBrNa, (M+Na)⁺ found 343.0406, calcd 343.0422.

4.2.11. (*S*)-3-Bromo-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1*H*-indole 27b

Compound 26 (0.61 g, 2 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was added to suspension of NaH (0.12 g, 3.0 mmol) in DMF (5 ml), at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and MOMCI (0.18 ml, 2.4 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water, and the precipitate was filtered and triturated with water. Compound 27b was obtained as a white solid (0.68 g, 97%). Mp 55–57 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -46.0$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.86-1.90 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 4.14-4.20 (m, 2H), 4.41-4.50 (m, 1H), 5.96 (A, J_{AB} = 10.7 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (B, J_{AB} = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (ddd, J = 7.0, 6.9, 0.9 Hz 1H), 7.37 (ddd, J = 7.1, 7.0, 1.2 Hz 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.0, 1H), 7.65(d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.8, 19.2, 33.3, 56.3, 70.1, 73.0, 75.7, 98.6, 111.3, 121.1, 122.0, 125.9, 127.7, 129.6, 138.1, 157.1. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₁₆H₁₉N₂O₂BrNa, (M+Na)⁺ found 373.0517, calcd 373.0528.

4.2.12. (*S*)-3-(Dicyclohexylphosphino)-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 15

A cooled solution (-78 °C) of 27a (0.64 g, 2.0 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was treated with *n*-BuLi (0.96 ml, 2.5 mol/L, 2.4 mmol). After 0.5 h under the same temperature, chlorodicyclohexylphosphine (0.55 ml, 2.4 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to gradually reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was guenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (n-hexane/ethyl acetate = 10:1 to 5:1) to afford a colorless oil (0.61 g, 70%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -36.5$ (c 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.00-$ 1.96 (m, 27H), 2.20-2.36 (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 4.15-4.18 (m, 2H), 4.44-4.53 (m, 1H), 7.14 (ddd, J=6.8, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (td, J = 6.7, 1.0 Hz 1H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$: 19.0, 19.5, 26.6 (d, J_{CP} = 1.3 Hz), 27.4–27.6 (8 lines), 30.4, 30.6 (d, J_{CP} = 2.6 Hz), 30.7, 31.8, 32.0 (d, J_{CP} = 7.5 Hz), 32.3 (d, J_{CP} = 7.5 Hz), 33.3, 34.2, 34.3 (d, J_{CP} = 4.1 Hz), 34.5, 70.3, 73.5, 109.8 (d, J_{CP} = 21.8 Hz), 110.4, 120.4, 122.9 (d, J_{CP} = 3.0 Hz), 123.4, 139.0 (d, J_{CP} = 2.2 Hz), 158.8; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -15.1. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₂₇H₄ON₂OP, (M+H)⁺ found 439.2875, calcd 439.2878.

4.2.13. (*S*)-3-(Dicyclohexylphosphino)-2-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxy-methyl)-1*H*-indole 16

A cooled solution $(-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ of **27b** (0.53 g, 1.5 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was treated with *n*-BuLi (0.72 ml, 2.5 mol/L, 1.8 mmol). After 0.5 h under the same temperature, chlorodicyclohexylphosphine (0.41 ml, 1.8 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to gradually reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over

MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 10:1 to 5:1) to afford a colorless oil (0.47 g, 66%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -33.8$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.00-2.00$ (m, 27H), 2.29-2.34 (m, 2H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 4.12–4.20 (m, 2H), 4.47–4.51 (m, 1H), 5.71 (A, *J*_{AB} = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (B, *J*_{AB} = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (ddd, *J* = 7.2, 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (ddd, *J* = 7.1, 7.1, 1.1 Hz 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.1, 19.6, 26.6 (d, *J*_{CP} = 1.5 Hz), 27.3–27.5 (5 lines), 30.4, 30.6 (d, *J*_{CP} = 1.6 Hz), 30.7, 32.1 (d, *J*_{CP} = 4.9 Hz), 32.4 (d, *J*_{CP} = 7.8 Hz), 33.3, 34.2, 34.4 (d, *J*_{CP} = 2.9 Hz), 34.5, 56.1, 70.4, 73.6, 75.2, 111.3, 112.0 (d, *J*_{CP} = 2.3 Hz), 121.2, 122.9 (d, *J*_{CP} = 2.8 Hz), 124.0, 138.7 (d, *J*_{CP} = 2.0 Hz), 158.5; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -15.4. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₈H₄₂N₂O₂P, (M+H)⁺ found 469.2980, calcd 469.2984.

4.3. Preparation of ligands 17-20

4.3.1. Indole-3-carboxylic acid 29

At first, indole (2.34 g, 20 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 ml) and trifluoroacetic anhydride (4.2 ml, 30 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 3 h at rt, water was added and the pink solid was filtered. The collected solid was treated with 20% NaOH (40 ml, 0.2 mol) at 50 °C overnight. After cooling to rt, the solution was extracted with Et₂O. The aqueous phase was acidified with concd HCl and the product was filtered. Compound **29** was obtained as a light yellow solid (2.47 g, 77%). Mp 194–196 °C (decomposed); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.14–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.50 (m, 1H), 8.00–8.06 (m, 2H), 11.85–11.97 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 108.4, 113.2, 121.6, 122.0, 123.2, 127.0, 133.3, 137.5, 167.0.

4.3.2. (S)-N-(1-Hydroxy-3-methylbutan-2-yl)-1H-indole-3-carboxamide 30

The EDC hydrochloride (2.30 g, 12 mmol) was added to a mixture of **29** (1.61 g, 10 mmol) and Et₃N (2.8 ml, 20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml), and stirred for 0.5 h under rt. Next, L-valinol (1.24 g, 12 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred overnight at rt. The precipitate formed was filtered and triturated with 1 M HCl and CH₂Cl₂. Compound **30** was obtained as a white solid (1.85 g, 75%). Mp 193–195 °C (decomposed); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -37.8$ (*c* 1.0, MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): $\delta = 0.89-0.93$ (2 × d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 6H), 1.90–1.97 (m, 1H), 3.50–3.54 (m, 2H), 3.80–3.82 (m, 1H), 4.60 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.06–7.16 (2 × td, *J* = 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.11–8.13 (m, 2H), 11.5 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 19.6, 20.7, 29.5, 56.3, 62.6, 111.8, 112.7, 121.1, 122.0, 122.7, 127.2, 128.6, 137.0, 165.5. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₁₄H₁₈N₂O₂Na, (M+Na)⁺ found 269.1254, calcd 269.1266.

4.3.3. (S)-3-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1H-indole 31

At first, MsCl (2.3 ml, 29.2 mmol) was added to a cooled mixture (0 °C) of **30** (2.88 g, 11.7 mmol), Et₃N (13.0 ml, 93.6 mmol), and DMAP (0.28 g, 2.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂/DMF (40 ml:10 ml). After 3 h at rt, the starting material was consumed. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 3:1 to 2:1) to afford **31** as a white solid (2.05 g, 77%). Mp 139–140 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -29.7$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.97$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.86–1.92 (m, 1H), 4.13–4.20 (m, 2H), 4.37–4.41 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.62 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 10.44 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.4, 19.2, 33.3, 69.6, 72.1, 104.8, 112.0, 121.4, 121.6, 123.0, 126.0, 128.6, 136.6, 162.0.

4.3.4. (S)-3-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 32a

Compound **31** (0.57 g, 2.5 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (0.12 g, 3.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and CH₃I (0.17 ml, 2.8 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 5:1 to 2:1) to afford **32a** as a light yellow oil (0.60 g, 99%). [α]_D²⁰ = -35.0 (*c* 0.8, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.84–1.88 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.04–4.11 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.38 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 8.21–8.25 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.5, 19.3, 33.4, 33.5, 69.3, 72.8, 104.2, 109.7, 121.4, 122.1, 122.8, 126.7, 132.1, 137.4, 160.6. HRMS-ESI (*m/z*) for C₁₅H₁₉N₂O, (M+H)⁺ found 243.1486, calcd 243.1497.

4.3.5. (*S*)-3-(4-Isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1*H*-indole 32b

Compound 31 (0.91 g, 4 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (0.24 g, 6 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at rt, the solution was recooled to 0 °C, and MOMCI (0.36 ml, 4.8 mmol) was added. After 2 h at rt, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layers were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 3:1) to provide **32b** as a light yellow oil (0.83 g, 76%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -31.2$ (c 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.96$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.85-1.89 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 4.05-4.15 (m, 2H), 4.33-4.36 (m, 1H), 5.42 (A, J_{AB} = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (B, J_{AB} = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 8.25-8.29 (m, 1H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.6, 19.3, 33.4, 56.3, 69.4, 72.9, 78.1, 105.7, 110.5, 122.1, 122.3, 123.4, 127.2, 131.2, 136.8, 160.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₁₆H₂₁N₂O₂, (M+H)⁺ found 273.1592, calcd 273.1603.

4.3.6. (*S*)-2-(Dicyclohexylphosphino)-3-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 17

A cooled solution (-78 °C) of 32a (0.48 g, 2 mmol) and TMEDA (0.36 ml, 2.4 mmol) in THF (8 ml) was treated with t-BuLi (1.4 ml, 2.4 mmol). After 0.5 h under the same temperature, chlorodicyclohexylphosphine (0.59 ml, 2.6 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 20:1) to afford a white solid (0.54 g, 61%). Mp 90-91 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -21.0$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.88-1.98 (m, 27H), 2.68-2.77 (m, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 4.04-4.13 (m, 2H), 4.36-4.44 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.31-7.34 (m, 1H), 8.20-8.23 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.1, 19.6, 26.5 (d, J_{CP} = 1.7 Hz), 27.0–27.3 (6 lines), 30.9, 31.0 (d, J_{CP} = 1.2 Hz), 32.2, 32.5 (d, J_{CP} = 5.0 Hz), 32.8 (d, J_{CP} = 4.7 Hz), 33.1, 33.6, 34.4, 34.5 (d, J_{CP} = 1.8 Hz), 34.7, 69.5, 73.1, 109.9 (d, J_{CP} = 1.2 Hz), 121.2, 121.9, 122.8, 127.9 (d, J_{CP} = 1.0 Hz), 138.7 (d, J_{CP} = 2.8 Hz), 161.3; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -16.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₇H₄₀N₂OP (M +H)⁺ found 439.2871, calcd 439.2878.

4.3.7. (*S*)-2-(Dicyclohexylphosphino)-3-(4-isopropyl-4,5-dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxy-methyl)-1*H*-indole 18

A cooled solution (-78 °C) of **32b** (0.11 g, 0.4 mmol) and TME-DA (0.07 ml, 0.48 mmol) in THF (2.5 ml) was treated with *t*-BuLi (0.28 ml, 0.48 mmol). After 0.5 h at the same temperature, chloro-

dicyclohexylphosphine (0.12 ml, 0.42 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was guenched with saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 20:1) to afford a colorless oil (0.13 g, 70%). $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -20.1$ (c 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.85-$ 2.00 (m, 27H), 2.63-2.80 (m, 2H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 4.07-4.15 (m, 2H), 4.39–4.42 (m, 1H), 5.94 (app d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.28 (2 × td, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.1, 19.5, 26.5 (d, J_{CP} = 1.6 Hz), 27.1–27.4 (5 lines), 30.8, 31.0 (d, J_{CP} = 1.4 Hz), 32.5, 32.8 (d, J_{CP} = 4.1 Hz), 33.1, 33.6, 34.8, 34.9, 35.0, 55.8, 69.6, 73.1, 74.6 (d, J_{CP} = 24.1 Hz), 110.6 (d, J_{CP} = 1.7 Hz), 121.9 (d, J_{CP} = 2.8 Hz), 123.4, 128.2, 138.6 (d, J_{CP} = 2.5 Hz), 140.0 (d, J_{CP} = 24.1 Hz), 161.1; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -17.1. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₈H₄₂N₂O₂P (M+H)⁺ found 469.2989, calcd 469.2984.

4.3.8. (S)-2-(Diphenylphosphino)-3-(4-isopropyl-4,5dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-methyl-1*H*-indole 19

A cooled solution (-78 °C) of 32a (0.34 g, 1.4 mmol) and TMEDA (0.25 ml, 1.7 mmol) in degassed THF (5 ml) was treated with t-BuLi (1.0 ml, 1.7 mol/L, 1.7 mmol). After 0.5 h at the same temperature, chlorodiphenylphosphine (0.32 ml, 1.8 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO4. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 10:1) to afford a white solid (0.36 g, 60%). Mp 52-53 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -75.6$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.89$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.68–1.73 (m, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.52-3.82 (m, 3H), 7.21-8.15 (m, 13H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.9, 19.4, 32.6 (d, J_{CP} = 11.2 Hz), 33.4, 69.5, 72.7, 109.6 (d, J_{CP} = 1.0 Hz), 121.4, 121.9 (d, $J_{CP} = 0.9 \text{ Hz}$), 123.9, 127.7 (d, $J_{CP} = 4.9 \text{ Hz}$), 128.4, 128.8 (d, J_{CP} = 6.7 Hz), 128.9, 132.2–133.2 (4 lines), 135.1 (d, J_{CP} = 10.0 Hz), 135.4 (d, J_{CP} = 10.0 Hz), 139.7 (d, J_{CP} = 1.7 Hz), 160.6; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -24.1. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₇H₂₈N₂OP (M+H)⁺ found 427.1941, calcd 427.1939.

4.3.9. (S)-2-(Diphenylphosphino)-3-(4-isopropyl-4,5dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1*H*-indole 20

A cooled solution (-78 °C) of **32b** (0.46 g, 1.7 mmol) and TME-DA (0.38 ml, 2.5 mmol) in degassed THF (5 ml) was treated with t-BuLi (1.5 ml, 1.7 mol/L, 2.5 mmol). After 0.5 h at the same temperature, chlorodiphenylphosphine (0.47 ml, 2.5 mmol) was added. The temperature was allowed to reach rt with stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (nhexane/ethyl acetate = 10:1) to afford a white solid (0.44 g, 57%). Mp 89–90 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -77.6$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 0.84$ (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.58– 1.63 (m, 1H), 2.91 (s, 3H), 3.42-3.46 (m, 2H), 3.53-3.57 (m, 1H), 5.68 (app d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.21–7.32 (m, 9H), 7.38–7.55 (m, 4H), 8.07-8.10 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 19.3, 19.4, 33.2, 55.5 (d, J_{CP} = 1.3 Hz), 69.3, 72.5, 75.4 (d, J_{CP} = 17.0 Hz), 110.6 (d, J_{CP} = 1.6 Hz), 114.0 (d, J_{CP} = 2.2 Hz), 121.6, 122.0, 124.3, 128.0-128.9 (8 lines), 132.6 (d, J_{CP} = 20.6 Hz), 133.7 (d, J_{CP} = 20.4 Hz), 134.3 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.4 \text{ Hz}$), 135.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}$), 136.6 (d, J_{CP} = 19.3 Hz), 139.2 (d, J_{CP} = 2.5 Hz), 160.1; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): -23.1. HRMS-ESI (m/z) for C₂₈H₃₀N₂O₂P $(M+H)^{+}$ found 457.2035, calcd 457.2045.

4.3.10. (*R*)-2-(Diphenylphosphino)-3-(4-isopropyl-4,5dihydrooxazol-2-yl)-1-(methoxymethyl)-1*H*-indole (*R*)-20

Mp 89–90 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +79.5$ (*c* 1.0, DCM); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.83$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.58–1.61 (m, 1H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 3.42–3.46 (m, 2H), 3.56–3.59 (m, 1H), 5.68 (app d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.56 (m, 13H), 8.08–8.11 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 18.9, 19.3, 33.1, 55.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 1.3$ Hz), 69.3, 72.5, 75.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 17.0$ Hz), 110.6 (d, $J_{CP} = 1.6$ Hz), 114.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 2.2$ Hz), 121.5, 122.0, 124.3, 128.0–128.8 (8 lines), 132.6 (d, $J_{CP} = 19.1$ Hz), 133.7 (d, $J_{CP} = 20.3$ Hz), 134.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.4$ Hz), 134.9 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.3$ Hz), 136.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 19.4$ Hz), 139.1 (d, $J_{CP} = 2.5$ Hz), 160.0; ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃): –23.2. HRMS-ESI (*m*/*z*) for C₂₈H₃₀N₂O₂P (M+H)⁺ found 457.2039, calcd 457.2045.

4.4. General procedure for allylic alkylation

IndPHOX ligand (4.8 mmol %), $[Pd(allyl)Cl]_2$ (1.6 mmol %), KOAc (4 mmol %), and 2 mL of THF were added into the flask, and stirred for 0.5 h at rt. Then **33** (0.10 g, 0.4 mmol) in 2 mL of THF, BSA (0.30 ml, 1.2 mmol), and dimethyl malonate (0.14 ml, 1.2 mmol) were added separately. After stirring at rt for 1–24 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate = 20:1) to afford **34** as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.51 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.96 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.34 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 49.5, 52.8, 52.9, 57.9, 126.7, 127.5, 127.9, 128.2, 128.8, 129.0, 129.4, 132.1, 137.1, 140.4, 168.1, 168.5. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC using a Chiralpak IA column: *i*-PrOH/hexane: 5:95; flow rate: 1 mL/min; UV at 254 nm; *t*_{R1} = 18.6 min, *t*_{R2} = 23.6 min.

Acknowledgment

The financial support of this work was provided by National Technology Agency of Finland (TEKES).

References

- For a review see: (a) Hargaden, G. C.; Guiry, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2505–2550; (b) McManus, H. A.; Guity, P. J. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 4151–4202. and references therein.
- (a) von Matt, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 566–568; (b) Sprinz, J.; Helmchen, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1769–1772; (c) Dawson, G. J.; Frost, C. G.; Coote, S. J.; Williams, J. M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3149– 3150.
- For Heck reactions see: (a) Loiseleur, O.; Hayashi, M.; Keenan, M.; Schmees, N.; Pfaltz, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **1999**, 576, 16–22; (b) Loiseleur, O.; Meier, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1996**, 35, 200–202; (c) Loiseleur, O.; Hayashi, M.; Schmees, N.; Pfaltz, A. Synthesis **1997**, *11*, 1338–1345; (d) Ripa, L.; Hallberg, A. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 595–602; (e) Kiely, D.; Guiry, P. J.J. Organomet. Chem. **2003**, 6872, 545–561.
- For allylic alkylations see: a review: (a) Helmchen, G.; Pfaltz, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 336–345; (b) Garcia-Yebra, C.; Janssen, J. P.; Rominger, F.; Helmchen, G. Organometallics 2004, 23, 5459–5470; (c) Blacker, J. A.; Matthew, C. L.;

Jonathan, W. M. J.; Michael, L. S. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 77, 913–914; (d) Reiser, O. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, 32, 547–549; (e) Pretot, R.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Pfaltz, A. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1998**, 70, 1035–1040; (f) Helmchen, G.; Kudis, S.; Sennhenn, P.; Steinhagen, H. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1997**, 69, 513–518; (g) Williams, J. M. J. *Synlett* **1996**, 8, 705–710; (h) Sprinz, J.; Kiefer, M.; Heimchen, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 1523–1526. See also Ref. 2.

- For allylic amination see: (a) von Matt, P.; Loiseleur, O.; Koch, G.; Pfaltz, A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1994**, 5, 573–584; (b) Constantine, R. N.; Kim, N.; Bunt, R. C. Org. Lett. **2003**, 5, 2279–2282; (c) Jumnah, R.; Williams, A. C.; Williams, J. M. J. Synlett **1995**, 8, 821–822; (d) Welter, C.; Koch, O.; Lipowsky, G.; Helmchen, G. Chem. Commun. **2004**, 7, 896–897.
- For Ru-catalyzed hydrogenation, see: (a) Langer, T.; Helmchen, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1381–1384; (b) Sammakia, T.; Stangeland, E. L. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6104–6105; (c) Naud, F.; Malan, C.; Spindler, F.; Ruggeberg, C.; Schmidt, A. T.; Blaser, H. U. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 47–50; (d) Nishibayashi, Y.; Takei, I.; Uemura, S.; Hidai, M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2291–2293; (e) Arikawa, Y.; Ueoku, M.; Matoba, K.; Nishibayashi, Y.; Hidai, M.; Uemura, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 572, 163–168.
- For Ir-catalyzed hydrogenation see: (a) Schnider, P.; Koch, G.; Pretot, R.; Wang, G.; Bohnen, F. M.; Kruger, C.; Pfaltz, A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 887–892; (b) Kainz, S.; Brikmann, A.; Leitner, W.; Pfaltz, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 6421–6429; (c) Lightfoot, A.; Schnider, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, *37*, 2897–2899.
- (a) Zhang, W.; Xie, F.; Yoshinage, H.; Kida, T.; Nakatsuji, Y.; Ikeda, I. Synlett 2006, 8, 1185–1188; (b) Frolander, A.; Lutsenko, S.; Privalov, T.; Moberg, C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 9882–9891; (c) Smidt, S. S.; Menges, F.; Pfaltz, A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2023–2026.
- (a) Tietze, L. F.; Lohmann, J. K. Synlett 2002, 12, 2083–2085; (b) Cozzi, P. G.; Zimmermann, N.; Hilgraf, R.; Schaffner, S.; Pfltz, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 450–454; (c) Fitzpatrick, M. O.; Coyne, A. G.; Guiry, P. Synlett 2006, 18, 3150– 3154; (d) Coyne, A. G.; Guiry, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 747–750; (e) Blanc, C.; Agbossou-Niedercorn, F.; Nowogrocki, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2159–2163; (f) Blanc, C.; Hannedouche, J.; Agbossou-Niedercorn, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6469–6473.
- (a) So, C. M.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2795–2798; (b) So, C. M.; Yeung, C. C.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7803–7806; (c) Choi, Y. L.; Yu, C.-M.; Kim, B. T.; Heo, J.-N. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3948–3951.
- 11. So, C. M.; Lee, H. W.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 317-320.
- Lee, H. W.; Lam, F. L.; So, C. M.; Lau, C. P.; Chan, A. S. C.; Kwong, F. Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7436–7439.
- Aminations of aryl mesylates, see: (a) So, C. M.; Zhou, Z. Y.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6402–6406; (b) Rataboul, F.; Zapf, A.; Jackstell, R.; Harkal, S.; Riermeier, T.; Monsees, A.; Dingerdissen, U.; Beller, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 2983–2990.
- 14. Oila, M. J.; Tois, J. E.; Koskinen, M. P. Synth. Commun. 2008, 38, 361-370.
- For hydrogenation and hydroformylation, see: (a) Wassenaar, J.; Reek, J. N. H. Dalton Trans. 2007, 34, 3750–3753; For allylic alkylation, see: (b) Wassenaar, J.; Zutphen, S.; van Mora, G.; Le Floch, P.; Siegler, M. A.; Spek, A. L; Reek, J. N. H. Organometallics 2009, 28, 2724–2734; For Ru-catalyzed hydrogenation, see: (c) Wassenaar, J.; Kuil, M.; Reek, J. N. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1610–1614.
- (a) Benincori, T.; Piccolo, O.; Rizzo, S.; Sannicolo, F. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8340– 8347; (b) Benincori, T.; Brenna, E.; Sannicolb, F.; Trimarco, L.; Antognazza, P.; Cesarotti, E.; Demartin, F.; Pilati, T.; Zotti, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 529, 445–453; (c) Berens, U.; Brown, J. M.; Long, J.; Seike, R. Tetrehedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 285–292.
- Mino, T.; Komatsu, S.; Wakui, K.; Yamada, H.; Saotome, H.; Sakamoto, M.; Fujita, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 21, 711–718.
- 18. Only one patent of IndPHOX ligands without applications was found in the literature: Nicole, E., Catherine, S., Ulrich, B., Giorgio, C. P. WO2003014133, Chem Abstr. 2003, 138, 170360; Moreover, only in a note with Ref. 9b, are they referred to but without any information about the synthesis nor applications.
- 19. Gray, M.; Chapell, B. J.; Taylor, N. J.; Snieckus, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1996**, 35, 1558–1560.
- Tani, K.; Behenna, D. C.; McFadden, R. M.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2529– 2531.
- 21. Gelman, D.; Jiang, L.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2315-2318.
- Min, P. C.; Young, K. S.; Kyu, P. W.; Sang, P. N.; Min, S. C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 3844–3847.