Article

1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Reactivities of Perfluorinated Aryl Azides with Enamines and Strained Dipolarophiles

Sheng Xie, Steven A Lopez, Olof Ramstrom, Mingdi Yan, and K. N. Houk

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/ja511457g • Publication Date (Web): 30 Dec 2014

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on January 6, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Reactivities of Perfluorinated Aryl Azides with Enamines and Strained Dipolarophiles

Sheng Xie,^{†a} Steven A. Lopez,^{§a} Olof Ramström,[†] Mingdi Yan,^{*†,‡} and K. N. Houk ^{*§}

[†]Department of Chemistry, KTH - Royal Institute of Technology, Teknikringen 36, Stockholm, Sweden.

^{*}Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854, USA.

[§]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA.

^aThese authors contributed equally to this paper

ABSTRACT: The reactivities of enamines and pre-distorted (strained) dipolarophiles towards perfluoroaryl azides (PFAAs) were explored experimentally and computationally. Kinetic analyses indicate that PFAAs undergo 3+2 cycloadditions with enamines up to four orders of magnitude faster than phenyl azide reacts with these dipolarophiles. DFT calculations were used to identify the origin of this rate acceleration. Orbital interactions between the cycloaddends are larger due to the relatively low-lying LUMO of PFAAs. The triazolines resulting from PFAA-enamine cycloadditions rearrange to amidines at room temperature, while 3+2 cycloadditions of enamines and phenyl azide yield stable, isolable triazolines. The 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of norbornene and DIBAC also show increased reactivity towards PFAAs over phenyl azide, but are slower than enamine-azide cycloadditions

Introduction

Perfluorinated aryl azides (PFAAs) have been extensively utilized for the functionalization materials and surfaces¹ and for photoaffinity labeling.² PFAAs are easily converted to nitrenes by photolysis or thermolysis. They are also relatively electrophilic due to the presence of strongly electronegative fluorine atoms. PFAAs have been further used as photocoupling agents, primarily by the Yan group, to functionalize surfaces and nanomaterials³ and are relatively stable to elevated temperatures (Table S1). Here we report a theoretical and experimental exploration of the 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of PFAAs to expand the reagents that can be used for surface functionalization. of to enamines and strained dipolarophiles such as norbornene and dibenzoazacyclooctyne (DIBAC).

Huisgen discovered 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of azides in the 1960s.⁴ Meldal and Sharpless independently developed the click reaction of azides and terminal alkynes that requires Cucatalysis, to form triazoles efficiently and regioselectively,^{5,6} resulting in renewed interest in the cycloaddition.7 Azidealkyne cycloadditions, particularly those involving strained alkynes, now constitute a key bioorthogonal reaction used to label biomolecules in vivo.8 Initial calculations on 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of PFAAs predicted that these electrondeficient aryl azides would undergo rapid cycloadditions with electron-rich dipolarophiles such as enamines. We explored substituent effects on several aryl azides with various pelectron withdrawing groups (EWGs) (a-f) in cycloadditions to enamines (1–8), norbornene (9), and DIBAC (10) (Scheme 1). The rates for these cycloadditions are compared to those for ambiphilic azides g and h.

Scheme 1. Azide and dipolarophile scope for the (3+2) cycloadditions studied here.



Results and Discussion ACS Paragon Plus Environment The rate constants for these 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions were determined in CDCl₃ at 25 °C with a 2:1 ratio of azide to dipolarophile. Most reactions were monitored by ¹H-NMR or ¹⁹F-NMR spectroscopy, and the second order rate constants (k_c) were found based on the triazoline or triazole formation rate. Detailed information about the kinetic studies we performed can be found in the experimental section and in the supporting information.

Acetophenone-Derived Enamine Cycloadditions

The reaction of acetophenone enamines and PFAAs gave perfluoroanilines as the major products (60–90% isolated yields) on the basis of ¹⁹F- and ¹H-NMR spectroscopy (Figure S1A). These triazolines decomposed to perfluoroanilines by a mechanism for which the mechanism is not known with certainty (Scheme 2).⁹

Scheme 2. Cycloadditions of PFAAs and acetophenone enamines.



The k_c for the cycloadditions of azides to enamine **1** were determined using ¹⁹F-NMR (**a-c, f**) or ¹H-NMR (**g** and **h**) and are shown in Table 1. These data are presented as a plot of triazoline concentration vs. time in the Supporting Information.

Table 1. Rate constants (k_c) for the (3+2) cycloadditions of (**a**-**d**, **g**, and **h**) to **1**.^{*a*}

Azide	k _c	$k_{\rm rel}^{\ b}$	$\Delta G^{*}_{exp}{}^{c}$
	$(10^{-2}M^{-1}s^{-1})$		(kcal mol ⁻¹)
MeO ₂ C	1.41 ± 0.04	16,300	20.0 ± 0.1
$F \xrightarrow{F} K$ $F \xrightarrow{F} N_3$	0.126 ± 0.05	1,450	21.0 ± 0.1
$NC \xrightarrow{F} F$ F F	11.8 ± 0.06	135,000	18.4 ± 0.1
$F \rightarrow F$ $N \rightarrow F$ $F \rightarrow F$	15.9 ± 0.4	185,000	18.2 ± 0.1
$\sim N_3$	$0.867 \pm 0.04 \text{ x } 10^{-4}$	1	25.3 ± 0.1
CH ₂ N ₃	n.d.	_	_

^{*a*}Conditions: [Azide]:[Enamine] 2:1, ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR in CDCl₃, 293.0 K, Figure S2-S6. ^{*b*} $k_{rel} = k_c(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{h})/k_c(\mathbf{g})$. ^{*c*}Calculated from Eyring equation . ^{*d*} No cycloaddition detected by NMR.

Phenylacetaldehyde-Derived Enamine Cycloadditions

Scheme 3 shows the 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of (a-f), to enamines (5-8) under ambient conditions. Intermediate triazolines bearing a perfluoroaryl group underwent a room temperature rearrangement (observed by ¹H-NMR) to afford amidines (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Reaction of PFAAs (a-f) and phenylacetaldehyde enamines (5-8) result in triazolines [(a-c)-(1-4)] that lose N₂ to form amidines.



Figure 1 shows the scope of these reactions, and the experimental yields. The triazolines were only transiently observed as intermediates by ¹H-NMR.

Α



the yield was calculated against the conversion of the enamine reactant.

We show the first example of fluorinated aryl azides that undergo (3+2) cycloadditions at room temperature to afford amidines. Triazolines bearing electron-deficient aryl groups are known to decompose, and several mechanisms have been proposed for this rearrangement¹⁰. Erba and coworkers recently performed DFT calculations on the rearrangement mechanism.¹¹ We are currently exploring in more detail how N₂ is extruded from triazolines to afford amidines.

Table 2 gives k_c and the corresponding experimental activation free energies (ΔG^{\dagger}_{exp}). Rate constants for cycloadditions were found in the same manner as for reactions of acetophenonederived enamines. However, triazolines [(**a**-**g**)-(**5**-**8**)] decompose to form amidines [(**a**-**g**)-(**5**-**8**)]'.

Table 2. Rate constants (k_c) for the (3+2) cycloadditions of azides (**a**–**h**) and phenylacetaldehyde piperidine enamine **5**.^{*a*}

Azide	k _c	$k_{\rm rel}^{\ b}$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{exp}{}^{c}$
	$(10^{-2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$		(kcal mol ⁻¹)
MeO ₂ C F F N ₃	7.22 ± 0.04	9,080	18.7 ± 0.1
	1.05 ± 0.03	1,320	19.8 ± 0.1
	97.2 ± 2.4	122,000	17.2 ± 0.1
$O_2N \xrightarrow{F} N_3$	121.6 ± 3.2	153,000	17.0 ± 0.1
	35.9 ± 0.6	45,000	17.7 ± 0.1
$F \rightarrow F$ $N \rightarrow F$ N_3	80.8 ± 0.2	102,000	17.3 ± 0.1
r r N ₃	$1.85 \ge 10^{-3} \pm 0.02$	1	24.0 ± 0.1^d
CH ₂ N ₃	< 10 ^{-5 (f)}	_	_

Figure 1. Amidines isolated experimentally. Reaction conditions: enamine (1.0 mmol), azide (1.1 mmol), THF or MeOH(2 mL), room temperature. isolated yields after 12 hours. ^a after 72 hours, When decomposition was incomplete,

^{*a*}Conditions: [Azide]:[Enamine] 2:1, ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR in CDCl₃, 293.0 K, Figure S7-S13 in SI. ^{*b*} $k_{rel} = k_c(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{h})/k_c(\mathbf{g})$, at 293.0 K. ^{*c*}Calculated from the Eyring equation ($k_B = 1$) . ^{*d*}At 298.0 K.

The rate constants for the cycloadditions of 5-8 and aryl azides (a and b) are summarized in Table 3. The reactivities of PFAAs with phenylacetaldehyde-derived enamines follow the same trend as that of acetophenone-derived enamines, but have k_c that are 5 to 10 fold greater. Likely due to of the greater steric bulk associated with ketonic enamines. The reaction between a and 5 is nearly four orders of magnitude faster than that of phenyl azide. The rate constant for the cycloaddition of 4-nitroperfluorophenyl azide (d) and 5 is $1.216 \pm 0.032 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ¹, which is the fastest for the cycloaddition of an azide with an unstrained dipolarophile. The mechanism of 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions involving enamines and phenyl azide has been explored experimentally by Munk¹² and computationally by Houk.13 The rate constants of the cycloaddition between PFAA (a, b) and enamine (5-8) were subsequently measured and are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Rate constants of the cycloadditions of **a** and **b** with 5-8.^{*a*} Relative rate constants are given in parentheses.

Enamine	$k_{\rm c}\left({f a} ight)$	$k_{\rm c}\left({f b} ight)$
	$(10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$	$(10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$
Ph N	7.22 ± 0.04 (11)	1.05 ± 0.03 (11)
	0.639 ± 0.001 (1)	0.098 ± 0.002 (1)
Ph Ph	17.6 ± 0.2 (27)	2.29 ± 0.06 (23)
∠ ^{Ph}	10.1 ± 0.1 (16)	1.35 ± 0.03 (14)
an an	1** .	10

^{*a*}Rates were determined by ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR in CDCl₃, [Az-ide]:[Enamine] 2:1, 293.0 K, Figure S14-S19.

The reactivities of enamines towards PFAAs decrease in the following order: **7**, **5**, **6**. Munk *et al.* observed the same trend experimentally for the reactions of phenyl azide with the same substrates.^{13a} Lopez and Houk showed that the reactions of PhN₃ and enamines are concerted and proceed through relatively asynchronous transition structures.¹³ The asynchronicity of the reactions arises from a strong orbital interaction of the high-lying enamine HOMO with the LU-MOs of phenyl azide and to a greater extent with the PFAAs studied here. Computationally, both concerted and stepwise mechanisms were considered; the transitions structures for both modes of cycloaddition are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The concerted transition structures for the (3+2) cycloaddition of PFAAs (**a** and **b**) and phenyl azide (**g**) to **5** are in the left column. The stepwise transition structures are in the right column. Computed using M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHCl₃}//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/IEFPCM^{CHCl₃}. Bond lengths are reported in Å, and reported energies, are Gibbs free energies in kcal mol⁻¹ determined assuming a standard state of 1 M and 298.15 K.

The activation free energies for the stepwise cycloadditions are greater than the ΔG^{\ddagger} of the corresponding concerted reaction by 5–7 kcal mol⁻¹ and will not be considered further. In comparison to experimental ΔG^{\ddagger} (Table 2), computed activation free energies for concerted cycloaddition are greater by 1–3 kcal mol⁻¹. However, the qualitative reactivity trends observed experimentally are reproduced computationally. Figure 2 shows that the activation free energies decrease for the transition structures involving increasingly electrondeficient aryl azides along the series **TS-g5**, **TS-b5**, **TS-a5** ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 25.3, 21.7, 21.0$, respectively). These transition structures are concerted but asynchronous. The transition structures have bond lengths between the β -carbon and terminal azide nitrogen (C β -N) that are more developed than the other forming bond (C α -N). The (C $_\alpha$ -N) forming bond lengths (ca. 2.6 Å) are nearly unchanged in these transition structures. The C $_\beta$ -N forming bond lengths are also similar in **TS-a5** and **TS-b5** (ca. 2.1 Å). This forming bond is notably shorter in **TS-g5**, 2.04 Å.

We utilized the Distortion/Interaction model¹⁴ to understand the origins of the reactivity difference of PFAAs studied experimentally with enamines and strained dipolarophiles. The activation energy (ΔE^{\dagger}_{a}) is dissected into distortion energy (ΔE^{\dagger}_{d}) and interaction energy (ΔE^{\dagger}_{i}). Distortion energy is the energy required to distort each of the reactants into their respective transition state geometries, without allowing the fragments to interact. The interaction energy is the energy of interaction between the distorted cycloaddends. It is often a net stabilizing quantity that results from charge transfer of occupied-vacant orbital interactions, electrostatic interactions, polarization, and closed-shell (steric) repulsions. Figure 3 summarizes our findings as a graph of ΔE^{\dagger}_{a} , ΔE^{\dagger}_{d} (enamine), ΔE^{\dagger}_{d} (azide), and ΔE^{\dagger}_{i} for **TS-a5**, **TS-b5**, and **TS-g5**.



Figure 3. Graph of activation, distortion, and interaction energies for **TS-a5**, **TS-b5**, and **TS-g5**. (black: activation energies, green: distortion energies of dipolarophile, blue: distortion energies of azides, red: interaction energies). Calculated using M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHCl₃}//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/IEFPCM^{CHCl₃}.

The graph in Figure 3 suggests that **TS-g5** has the highest activation energy because of increased total cylcoaddend distortion and reduced interaction between the dipole and dipolarophile. Both components of distortion energy (green and blue) increase from left to right in Figure 3. **TS-g5** occurs latest, which means that the cycloaddends are most distorted from their reactant geometries. A Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) analysis can be used to understand how interaction energies contribute to the activation energies of these reactions. Figure 4 shows the computed molecular orbitals of enamine **5** and azides **a**, **b**, and **g**.



Figure 4. Computed LUMOs of azides **a**, **b**, **g** and the HOMO of enamine **5**. Orbital energies are reported in eV and calculated using HF/6-31G(d)//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/IEF-PCM^{CHCI}₃.

The major stabilizing orbital interaction is between the HOMO of enamine **5** and the LUMO of **a**, **b**, and **g**. Perfluorination of the phenyl ring substantially lowers the azide LUMO energies of **a** and **b**, resulting in smaller HOMO-LUMO gaps and stronger FMO interactions.

1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions of Norbornene and PFAAs

Motivated by the use of strained alkenes in bioorthogonal reactions, we explored the reactivity of norbornene towards PFAAs, $(\mathbf{a-c}, \mathbf{f})$, phenyl azide (\mathbf{g}) and benzyl azide (\mathbf{h}) (Scheme 4). Additions to norbornene are fast and *exo* stere-oselective. At 25 °C, PFAAs react with norbornene faster than does phenyl azide. Rate constants for these reactions are summarized in Table 4.

Scheme 4. (3+2) cycloadditions of phenyl and benzyl azides to norbornene



Table 4. Azide-norbornene (3+2) cycloaddition rate constants
and corresponding activation free energies. ^a

Azide	k _c	$k_{\rm rel}^{\rm b}$	$\Delta G^{ m ic}$
	$(10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$		(kcal mol ⁻¹)
MeO ₂ C F F N ₃	9.13 ± 0.06	60	21.3 ± 0.1
	4.08 ± 0.03	27	21.8 ± 0.1
	28.0 ± 0.2	185	20.7 ± 0.1
$F \rightarrow F$ $N \rightarrow F$ $F \rightarrow F$	30.9 ± 0.2	205	20.6 ± 0.1
N ₃	0.151 ± 0.022	1	23.7 ± 0.1
CH ₂ N ₃	0.0184 ± 0.002	0.12	25.0 ± 0.1

^{*a*}Conditions: [Azide]:[Norbornene] 2:1, ¹H- and ¹⁹F-NMR in CDCl₃, 294.7 K, Figure S20-S25. ^{*b*} $k_{rel} = k_{c(a-h)}/k_{c(g)}$. ^{*c*}Calculated from Eyring equation (**k**_B = 1).

Representative transition structures **TS-a9**, **TS-b9**, and **TS-g9** are shown in Figure 5. The transition structures are concerted, but slightly asynchronous. The bond length between the carbon and terminal azide nitrogen $(C-N_{term})$ is slightly shorter than that of the carbon and internal nitrogen $(C-N_{int})$ for each of these transition structures.



Figure 5. The transition structures for the (3+2) cycloadditions of norbornene (**9**) and **a**, **b**, and **g**. Computed using M06-2X/6311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHC1}//M06-2X/31G(d)/IEFPCM^{CHC1}₃.



Figure 6. Graph of activation, distortion, and interaction energies for **TS-a9**, **TS-b9**, **TS-g9**. (black: activation energies, green: distortion energies of dipolarophile, blue: distortion energies of azides, red: interaction energies). Calculated using M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHCl₃}//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/ IEF-PCM^{CHCl₃}.

The ΔE^{\ddagger} of **TS-a9** and **TS-b9** are lower in energy (11.5 and 11.7 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively) than **TS-9g** (14.4 kcal mol⁻¹). The higher ΔE^{\ddagger} for PhN₃ cycloadditions arise from increased distortion energies and less favorable interaction energies. The ΔE_{d}^{\ddagger} of **TS-a9** and **TS-b9** are nearly identical (22.2 and 22.3 kcal mol⁻¹) and increase to 23.6 kcal mol⁻¹ in **TS-g9**. The newly-forming bonds in **TS-g9** are more developed than **TS-a9** or **TS-b9**, which requires additional distortion of the cycload-dends to reach the geometries of the transition structures. The interaction energies are more favorable for **TS-a9** and **TS-b9** (-10.7 and -10.6, respectively) than **TS-g9** (-9.2 kcal mol⁻¹). The dominant FMO interaction is that of the LUMO of dipole and the relatively high-lying HOMO (ca. -7.79 eV) of norbornene. The reactions with the greatest interaction energies involve the most electrophilic dipole, azide **a**.

Reactivity enhancement by predistortion vs. orbital effects

Perfluorophenyl azide reacts with enamine **5** 25-fold faster than norbornene (**9**) ($k_c = 1.05 \times 10^{-2}$ and 4.08 x 10⁻⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively). The distortion/interaction model was used to understand why orbital effects outweigh norbornene predistortion. The ΔE^{\ddagger} for **TS-b5** and **TS-b9** are 7.4 and 11.7 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively; the distortion energies are 26.1 and 22.3 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. The double bond of norbornene is pyramidalized and resembles the *exo* transition structure (i.e., the reaction is distortion accelerated).^{13a} The interaction energies for **TS-b5** and **TS-b9** are -18.7 and -10.6 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. The HOMO of norbornene is not as high-lying as **5** does not interact strongly with the LUMO of **b**. The enhanced interaction energy component overrides norbornene predistortion.

Cycloadditions of DIBAC

In addition to examining norbornene as a dipolarophile in these cycloadditions, the cycloaddition of PFAAs with other pre-distorted dipolarophiles, including benzocyclooctynes bioorthogonal reagents like DIBAC,¹⁵ DIBO,¹⁶ DIFO,¹⁷ and BARAC were explored. These strained alkynes are used as bioorthogonal probes to label cell-surface azido glycans (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Some cyclooctynes DIBO, DIBAC, DIFO, and DIBO known to participate in bioorthogonal reactions.



BARAC shows the greatest reactivity towards benzyl azide cycloadditions (0.96 M^{-1} s⁻¹).¹⁸ Computations by the Houk group have explained how predistortion and substituent effects control the reactivities of BARAC and its derivatives.¹⁹ Here we performed cycloadditions of PFAAs, phenyl, and benzyl azide to DIBAC (10). Experimental observations using ¹Hand ¹⁹F-NMR for product a-10 (Figure. S32) indicated a mixture of regioisomers in a ratio of 1:0.4. Attempts to assign the identity of the isomers however proved inconclusive. Rate constants were measured and are shown in Table 5.

Scheme 6. The regioisomeric products resulting from the reaction of **10** with azides (**a**, **b**, or **g**).



Table 5. Azide-DIBAC (10) cycloaddition rate constants^a and corresponding activation free energies.^a

Azide	k _c	$k_{\rm rel}^{\ b}$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger c}$
	$(10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$		(kcal mol ⁻¹)
MeO ₂ C - F - N ₃	4.88 ± 0.11	1.96	19.0 ± 0.1
$F \xrightarrow{F} F$	11.1 ± 0.4	4.45	18.5 ± 0.1
$NC \xrightarrow{F}_{F} \xrightarrow{F}_{F} N_3$	4.28 ± 0.1	1.72	19.1 ± 0.1
$\overbrace{F}^{F} \xrightarrow{F} N_{3}$	2.58 ± 0.05	1.03	19.4 ± 0.1
N ₃	2.49 ± 0.03	1	19.4 ± 0.1
CH ₂ N ₃	21.9 ± 0.3	8.8	18.1 ± 0.1

^aConditions: [Azide]:[DIBAC] 2:1, ¹H- or ¹⁹F-NMR in CDCl₃, 294.7 K. Figure S26-S31. ^b k_{rel}: relative rate k_c/k_{c(g)}. ^cCalculated from Eyring equation $(k_B = 1)$.

DIBAC is more reactive with PFAAs than with PhN₃ and show poor regioselectivity. We use the M06-2X density functional to understand the differences in reactivity of aryl azides with 10 used model dibenzoazacyclooctyne, 11. The global minimum of **11** is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. The lowest energy conformer of dibenzocyclooctyne 11. The alkyne bond angles are reported in degrees.

As expected, the amide isomerization is facile at room temperature. We computed twelve possible transition structures (differing in *syn/anti* orientation of azide and s-*trans/s-cis* amide conformation, and three conformations of the aryl ring for the reaction of **a**, **b**, and **g** with **11** (See SI for higher energy transition structures). Table 6 shows the activation free energies for the regioisomeric transition structures for the reactions of azides **a**, **b**, and **g** to **11**. The lowest energy transition structures for the *syn* and *anti* approaches of the azide [**TS(g11***syn*) and **TS(g11-***anti*)] are shown in Figure 8.

Table 6. The activation free energies for the cycloadditions involving **a**, **b**, and **g** to **11**. Activation free energies are reported in kcal mol^{-1} . Computed free energies in solution are for the standard state of 1 M and 298.15 K.

Azide	ΔG_{syn}^{\dagger}	$\Delta G_{anti}^{\ddagger}$
	5,11	um
MeO ₂ C F F F F N ₃	23.4	24.1
$F \xrightarrow{F} N_3$	22.2	22.8
N ₃	24.0	24.0



Figure 8. Lowest energy *syn* and *anti* transition structures for the cycloadditions of **g** to **11**. Bond lengths are reported in Å and energies in kcal mol⁻¹. Computed free energies in solution are for the standard state of 1 M and 298.15 K using M06- $2X/6-311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHCl_3}//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/IEFPCM^{CHCl_3}$.

These transition structures are almost perfectly synchronous. The C-N bond lengths range only from 2.19–2.24 Å. While computations do not quantitatively reproduce experimental activation free energies, the experimental reactivity trends are reproduced by theory. Our calculations show that the ΔG^{\ddagger} for **TS(a11-syn)** and **TS(b11-syn)** are lower in energy than the corresponding *anti* transition states by 0.7 and 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. These results suggest that there should be a small preference for the *syn* regioisomers for PFAAs. The ΔG^{\ddagger} for **TS(g11-syn)** and **TS(g11-anti**) are identical, which should result in a 1:1 mixture of *syn* and *anti* regioisomers.

To understand why PFAAs are more reactive than phenyl azide in cycloadditions involving DIBAC, distortion/interaction analyses were performed on **TS(a11-syn)**, **TS(b11-syn)**, and **TS(g11-syn)** (Figure 9).



Figure 9. Graph of activation, distortion, and interaction energies for **TS-a11**, **TS-b11**, and **TS-g11**. (black: activation energies, green: distortion energies of dipolarophile, blue: distortion energies of azides, red: interaction energies). Calculated using M06-2X/6-311+G(d,p)/IEFPCM^{CHCI3}//M06-2X/6-31G(d)/IEFPCM^{CHCI3}.

The interaction energies are nearly constant, and the distortion energies control the small difference in reactivities.

Conclusion

We have experimentally and computationally explored the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of PFAAs to enamines and strained dipolarophiles (norbornene and DIBAC). Perfluorination of phenyl group of these aryl azides accelerates cycloadditions to all of the substrates studied here. This is due to improved orbital interactions with the relatively low-lying LUMO of PFAAs. Despite the predistortion of norbornene, PFAAs prefer to react with enamines because of the much more favorable interaction energies. These cycloadditions give triazolines as intermediates that rearrange at room temperature to give amidines, while cycloadditions involving enamines and phenyl azide yield isolable triazolines. The mechanism of triazoline decomposition is currently being investigated.

Experimental Methods

Materials. Azides, ketonic enamines, and aldehydic enamines were synthesized using reported procedures.^{20,21} All compounds were stored at -20 °C and compound purity was assessed by ¹H-NMR before performing kinetic studies. Norbornene (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, 99%) and DIBAC (dibenzocyclooctyneamine, >94.5%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and were used as received. In kinetic studies, CDCl₃ was filtered through K₂CO₃ and treated over molecular sieves. The amount of water in the purified CDCl₃ was 0.3 ppm measured by 756 KF Coulometer, which was <1 mole% enamines used in all kinetic studies.

Cycloaddition reaction between azide and enamine (Schemes 2 and 3). The following describes the reaction between PFPA a and enamine 5. Other reactions were carried out using the same protocols. To a solution of 5 (1.0 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL), a solution of **a** (1.1 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) was added dropwise while stirring at room temperature. Reaction progress was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. Upon a reaction's completion (8-12 hours), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc= 9:1, R_{f} = 0.27) yielding (a-5)' as a white powder (390 mg, 95%). Alternatively, the reactions could be carried out in methanol (1.5-3 mL) using a slight excess of enamines (1.1 eq). In this case, the amidine product precipitated out from the solution within 12 hours and the product was separated by filtration (isolated yields > 70% for all amidines).

Cycloaddition between azide and norbornene (Scheme 4). The following describes the reaction of azide c with norbornene 9. Reactions of other azides followed the same protocol. To a solution of norbornene 9 (1.25 mmol) in hexanes (2-4 mL), azide c (1.00 mmol) was added. The solution was set at

room temperature without stirring until a white solid started to form. When TLC indicated full conversion of the azide, the mixture was cooled to -20 °C, filtered, and the solid was washed with a small amount of hexanes to afford the product as a white solid (270 mg, 87%).

Cycloaddition reaction between azide and DIBAC. In a typical reaction, a solution of DIBAC in $CDCl_3$ (9.0 mM) was added into a solution of azide (18 mM). The reactions were followed by NMR. The products were not isolated, and only characterized by NMR as presented in the kinetic studies.

Kinetic studies. Kinetic experiments were conducted using NMR following similar protocols reported in the literature.^{12,15,19} In a typical experiment, a solution of azide in deuterated solvent was mixed with an equal volume of the dipolarophile in an NMR tube at a mole ratio of 2:1. The ¹H- or ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of the sample were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE (400 MHz or 500 MHz) spectrometer every 1.5-5 minutes. The acquisition time for a typical experiment was 30 seconds for ¹H-NMR and 18 seconds for ¹⁹F-NMR. In the ¹H-NMR studies, the peaks of the dipolarophile were monitored, which decreased as the reaction proceeded. In the ¹⁹F-NMR studies, the F signals in PFPAs and the cycloaddition products were followed. Each reaction was allowed to proceed to 10% - 90% conversion. Every experiment was repeated at least three times.

To calculate the rate constant of the cycloaddition reaction, the conversion-time curve was constructed. The curves were then fit to the standard second-order kinetic model using the statistic software GraphPad prism (see SI for detailed calculations). The characteristic peaks of the intermediates and products can be found in corresponding datasheets in the supporting information.

Computational Methods

All computations were carried out with *Gaussian09*.²² Reactants, transition states, and products were optimized with the density functional M06-2X²³ using the 6-31G(d) basis set with an ultrafine grid, consisting of 590 radial shell and 99 grid points per shell.²⁴ M06-2X has been found to give reliable energetics for cycloadditions involving main group elements.²⁵ Normal vibrational mode analysis confirmed all stationary points to be minima (no imaginary frequencies) or transition states (one imaginary frequency). Zero point energy and thermal corrections were computed from unscaled frequencies for the standard state of 1 M and 298.15 K. Truhlar's quasiharmonic correction was applied for entropy calculations by setting all frequencies less than 100 cm⁻¹ to 100 cm⁻¹.^{26.77} Input structures for these computations were generated using Gaussview.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the National Science Foundation (NSF CHE-1059084 to KNH and CHE-1112436 to MY), and KTH for financial support of this research. SL thanks Dr. Colin Lam, Rob Giacometti, and Ashay Patel for helpful discussions. SX thanks the China Scholarship Council for a special scholarship award. Calculations were performed on the Hoffman2 cluster at UCLA and the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery

Page 10 of 13

Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by National Science Foundation grant number OCI-10535. Figures 2, 5, 7, and 8 were generated using CYLview.²⁸

AUTHOR INFORMATION

SX and SAL contributed equally to this paper.

Corresponding Authors

houk@chem.ucla.edu, mingdi_yan@unl.edu

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Experimental information, calculated geometries and corresponding energies are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

References

¹ (a) Cai, S.; Nabity, J. C.; Wybourne, M. N.; Keana, J. F. W. *Chem. Mater.* **1990**, *2*, 631. (b) Yan, M.; Cai, S.; Wybourne, M. N.; Keana, J. F. W., **1994**, *5*, 151. (c) Yan, M.; Cai, S.; Keana, J. F. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 5951. (d) Yan, M.; Ren, J. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1627. (e) Joester, D.; Klein, E.; Geiger, B.; Addadi, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1119.

² (a) Schnapp, K. A.; Poe, R.; Leyva, E.; Soundararajan, N.; Platz, M. S., *Bioconjug. Chem.* 1993, *4*, 172. (b) Kapfer, I.; Jacques, P.; Toubal, H.; Goeldner, M. P., *Bioconjug. Chem.* 1995, *6*, 109. (c) Tate, J. J.; Persinger, J.; Bartholomew, B., *Nucleic. Acids. Res.* 1998, *26*, 1421. (d) Baruah, H.; Puthenveetil, S.; Choi, Y. A.; Shah, S.; Ting, A. Y., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008, *47*, 7018. (e) Jung, M. E.; Chamberlain, B. T.; Ho, C. L.; Gillespie, E. J.; Bradley, K. A., *ACS. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014, *5*, 363.

³ (a) Liu, L. H.; Yan, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1434. (b) Pastine, S. J.; Okawa, D.; Kessler, B.; Rolandi, M.; Llorente, M.; Zettl, A.; Frechet, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4238. (c) Park, J.; Yan, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 181. (d) Chen, X.; Ramström, O.; Yan, M., Nano. Res. 2014, 7, 1381.

⁴ Huisgen, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1963, 2, 565.

⁵ (a) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2002**, 41, 2596. (b) Agnew, H.

D.; Rohde, R. D.; Millward, S. W.; Nag, A.; Yeo, W. S.; Hein, J. E.; Pitram, S. M.; Tariq, A. A.; Burns, V. M.; Krom, R. J.;

Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B.; Heath, J. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4944.

⁶ Tornøe, C. W.; Christensen, C. and Meldal, M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3057.

⁷ Brase, S.; Gil, C.; Knepper, K.; Zimmermann, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5188.
⁸ (a) Sletten, E. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6974. (b) Bertozzi, C. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 651.

⁹ The decomposition of the triazolines was furthermore accompanied by a rapid color change from light yellow to dark red as well as the evolution of gas after 20-60 minutes reaction time.

¹⁰ (a) Fusco, R.; Bianchetti, G.; Pocar, D. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1961**, *91*, 933. (b)Fusco, R.; Bianchetti, G.; Pocar, D.; Ugo, R.
 Chem. Ber. **1963**, *96*, 802. (c) Shea, K. J.; Kim, J-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 4846.

¹¹ Contini, A.; Erba, E. RSC. Adv. 2012, 2, 10652.

¹² Meilahn, M. K.; Cox, B.; Munk, M. E. J. Org. Chem. **1975**, 40, 819.

¹³ (a) Lopez, S. A.; Munk, M. E.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1576. (b) Jones, G. O.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem.
2008, 73, 1333.

¹⁴ Ess, D. H.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10646.

¹⁵ Debets, M. F.; van Berkel, S. S.; Schoffelen, S.; Rutjes, F. P.; van Hest, J. C.; van Delft, F. L. *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 97.

¹⁶ Ning, X.; Guo, J.; Wolfert, M. A.; Boons, G.-J.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2253.

¹⁷ Baskin, J. M.; Prescher, J. A.; Laughlin, S. T.; Agard, N. J.; Chang, P. V.; Miller, I. A.; Lo, A.; Codelli, J. A.; Bertozzi, C.
 R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2007, *104*, 16793.

¹⁸ Jewett, J. C.; Sletten, E. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3688.

¹⁹ Gordon, C. G.; Mackey, J. L.; Jewett, J. C.; Sletten, E. M.; Houk, K. N.; Bertozzi, C. R.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 9199.

²⁰ (a) Keana, J. F. W.; Cai, S. X. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 3640. (b) Chapyshev, S. V. Chem. Heterocycl Compd. **2001**, 37. (c)
Berger, O.; Kaniti, A.; van Ba, C.; Vial, H.; Ward, S.; Biagini, G.; Bray, P.; O'Neill, P. ChemMedChem **2011**, 6, 2094. (d)
Serwinski, P.; Esat, B.; Lahti, P.; Liao, Y.; Walton, R.; Lan, J. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 69, 5247. (e) Jin, L. M.; Xu, X.; Lu, H.;
Cui, X.; Wojtas, L.; Zhang, X. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, 52, 5309.

²¹ (a) Carlson, R.; Nilsson, Å.; Carlström, K.; Sköldefors, H.; Wilking, N.; Theve, N. *Acta Chem Scand. B* **1984** *38*, 49. (b) Amat, M.; Cantó, M.; Llor, N.; Escolano, C.; Molins, E.; Espinosa, E.; Bosch, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5343.

²² Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.;

Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.;

Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao,

O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.;

Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.; Gaussian 09, revision C.01; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2010

²³ Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215.

²⁴ Wheeler, S. E.; Houk, K. N. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2010**, *6*, 395.

²⁵ Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 157.

²⁶ Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2008, 10, 2813.

²⁷ Ribeiro, R. F.; Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. B. **2011**, 115, 14556.

²⁸ Legault, C. Y. CYLview 1.0b; Université de Sherbrooke; 2014 (<u>www.cylview.org</u>)

