# Stereoselective Total Synthesis of (+)-Dodoneine

# Florent Allais,\* Paul-Henri Ducrot\*

INRA UMR1318 Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRA route de Saint-Cyr, 78026 Versailles Cedex, France Fax +33(1)30833119; E-mail: florent.allais@versailles.inra.fr; E-mail: ducrot@versailles.inra.fr *Received 14 January 2010* 

**Abstract:** A total synthesis of the naturally occurring dihydropyranone dodoneine is reported. The combination of a highly catalytic enantioselective allylboration and a highly diastereoselective allylstannation was used for the stereoselective generation of the two stereogenic centers. The pyranone ring was created in two steps through generation of a *Z*-configured  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester and lactonization via intramolecular transesterification.

**Key words:** asymmetric allylation, diastereoselectivity, enantioselectivity, olefination, phenol, total synthesis, lactone

Dodoneine is a naturally occurring dihydropyranone recently isolated from *Tapinanthus dodoneinfolius*, a parasitic plant that feeds from the sheanut tree in Burkina Faso (West Africa) (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> Like many 5,6-dihydropyran-2-ones, dodoneine was expected to exhibit potent biological activities (HIV protease inhibition, apoptosis induction, antileukemic effect). Recent biological studies using (+)-dodoneine revealed a potent relaxing effect on preconstricted rat aortic rings.<sup>2</sup> Its structure and absolute configuration were assigned as shown in Figure 1 on the basis of extensive spectroscopic analyses (NMR, HRMS, Xray).<sup>1</sup>





This interesting biological activity has resulted in the recent publication of six total syntheses of dodoneine by Marco,<sup>2</sup> Srihari,<sup>3</sup> Cossy,<sup>4</sup> Hall,<sup>5</sup> Das,<sup>6</sup> and Sabitha<sup>7</sup> using established aldehyde asymmetric allylation methodologies for introducing the stereogenic centers and either ring-closing metathesis or intramolecular transesterification for pyranone ring closure. Due to our interest in phenylpropanoid related compounds,<sup>8</sup> we envisaged a design for a new general synthetic methodology for dodoneine and related analogues featuring variously substituted phe-

SYNTHESIS 2010, No. 10, pp 1649–1653 Advanced online publication: 19.04.2010 DOI: 10.1055/s-0029-1218741; Art ID: P00610SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York nylpropanoid and lactone moieties in order to have access to structure–activity relationships (Figure 1).

Herein, we would like to present a short and efficient asymmetric synthesis of dodoneine starting from the known phenylpropanoidic aldehyde **1** while limiting the use of expensive catalysts and ligands. In order to limit the cost of the formation of dodoneine, we envisaged initially controlling the chirality of the C7 center by using a highly enantioselective allylboration<sup>5</sup> followed by a highly diastereoselective allylstannation<sup>9</sup> to set the configuration at C5. The Z-configured unsaturated ester would be thereafter elaborated via a Wadsworth–Horner–Emmons olefination using Still–Gennari phosphonoacetate (Scheme 1).<sup>10</sup>



Scheme 1

The synthesis starts from known aldehyde 1, which can be readily obtained from the commercially available ester A in two steps: protection of the phenol through the formation of the corresponding *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (TBSCl, imidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), followed by controlled reduction of the methyl ester into the aldehyde (DIBAL-H, toluene, -78 °C, 85% overall yield) (Scheme 2). In our quest for an economic synthesis that would be easy to scale up, we focused our efforts on a design of dodoneine synthesis that would minimize the use of expensive catalysts (i.e., metal/ligands). Therefore, any asymmetric allylation process involving stoichiometric amounts of metalchiral ligand complex (e.g., Brown and Duthaler methodologies) was ruled out. Hall recently developed a potent catalytic enantioselective allylboration using p-F-Vivol (B) as ligand and applied it to the synthesis of dodoneine and, thereby, efficiently controlled both C5 and C7 chiral centers in a sequence involving two allylboration reactions.<sup>5</sup> We similarly used this methodology on aldehyde 1 and synthesized optically active homoallylic alcohol 2 in 97% ee and 95% yield, [allylBpin, (R,R)-p-F-vivol (5





mol%), SnCl<sub>4</sub> (3.8 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 4 Å MS, toluene (1.3 M), -78 °C].

At this stage of the synthesis, as we had dedicated ourselves to limit catalyst use, a highly diastereoselective allylation was needed to take advantage of the stereogenic center at C7 to control the configuration at C5. Thus, after the one-step oxidative cleavage of the terminal double bond of 2 ( $OsO_4$ , 2,6-lutidine,  $NaIO_4$ , dioxane-H<sub>2</sub>O), the crude corresponding  $\beta$ -hydroxyaldehyde produced was directly treated with a premixed solution of trimethylallylsilane and tin(IV) chloride in dichloromethane at -78 °C and gave the desired homoallylic alcohol 3 in good overall yield (80%, 2 steps) and with excellent diastereoselectivity (dr >97:3) in favor of the syn-isomer. The stereochemical assignment was achieved by examination of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of ketal 4, obtained from 3 by treatment with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in the presence of pyridinium 4-toluenesulfonate (0.1 equiv) in dichloromethane at room temperature (96% yield). In fact, the stereochemistry of syn- and anti-1,3-diol acetonides can be assigned from the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of the acetal methyl groups and from the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of the acetal carbon. In general, the syn-1,3-diol acetonides have diastereotopic acetal methyls shifts at  $\delta = 19$  and 30 and the acetal carbon shift at  $\delta = 98.5$ , while the *anti*-acetonides have enantiotopic acetal methyl shifts at  $\delta = 25$  and the acetal carbon shift at  $\delta = 100.5^{11}$ 

The formation of a *syn*-1,3-diol from unprotected  $\beta$ -hydroxyaldehydes/ketones<sup>9</sup> suggested that, during the allyltrichlorostannation, tin is chelated to both the free hydroxy group and the aldehyde leading to a 'chair-like' six-membered cyclic transition state (Scheme 3).<sup>12</sup> However, in our case, due to steric strain and the fact that the allyl moiety is too far off from the electrophilic carbonyl, we cannot reasonably consider an intramolecular allyl transfer originating from the chelating allyltrichlorostannane. The formation of the syn-1,3-diol can only be explained by the attack of a second allyltrichlorostannane on the less hindered face of the carbonyl. This is, in our opinion, the most plausible explanation to account for the formation of the syn-1,3-diol. Unlike alkyltin species (e.g., Bu<sub>3</sub>SnR), the stannane derivatives issued from the allyltrichlorostannane are water soluble and can be easily removed by a simple methanol/aqueous work-up leading to tin-free syn-1,3-diols. The fact that no fluoride ions (TBAF, HF, or KF)<sup>13</sup> are required in the work-up procedure allows the presence of silvl protecting groups on the substrates. Besides, unlike allylsilylations that often require polar solvents like N,N-dimethylformamide, these



Scheme 3

allyltrichlorostannations are performed in nonpolar solvents (such as  $CH_2Cl_2$ ) thus simplifying the reaction procedure and the work-up.

With the stereogenic centers at C5 and C7 set, the formation of the Z-configured unsaturated ester was undertaken. Thus, the double bond of ketal 4 was submitted to an oxidative cleavage (OsO<sub>4</sub>, 2,6-lutidine, NaIO<sub>4</sub>, dioxane- $H_2O$ ) to furnish the corresponding aldehyde 5, which underwent Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination using Still–Gennari fluorinated phosphonoacetate C (KHMDS, 18-crown-6, THF, -78 °C, 3 h).<sup>10</sup> The Z-configured  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated ester 6 was thereby obtained in good yield (51%, 2 steps) and with a Z/E ratio of 90:10. Finally, acidic treatment of 6 (80% aq AcOH, 60 °C, 1 d) led to an onepot phenol deprotection/ketal removal/lactonization giving pure (+)-dodoneine (7) in 68% yield and the bicyclic product 8 (21% yield) likely due to the Michael addition of the C7-hydroxy onto the unsaturated lactone (Scheme 4). The spectral properties of synthetic target 7 and its bicyclic derivative 8 were found similar to those reported in the literature.<sup>1,3</sup>





In conclusion, the total asymmetric synthesis of (+)-dodoneine has been realized in seven steps from known aldehyde 1 with an overall yield of 24%. The stereogenic centers were generated via the unique combination of a highly stereoselective allylboration (C7) and a highly diastereoselective allylstannation (C5), while the Z-configured lactone was built using a Still-Gennari olefination/ intramolecular transesterification sequence. This synthesis proves itself valuable for biological studies and/or scale-up as it limits the use of atom-consuming ligands and avoids the use of expensive catalysts while allowing the formation of appreciable quantities of material as a single isomer and in a timely fashion. Moreover, this synthetic pathway is highly flexible as we can start from readily available substituted cinnamic acids. That will lead to the synthesis of numerous analogues of dodoneine whom potential superior activity will be evaluated through in-depth biological tests. This thorough study will give access to structure-activity relationships, thus allowing the design of potent biological active analogues for therapeutic treatments. This work will be published in due course.

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (stabilized with amylene) was purified by distillation from CaH<sub>2</sub> under N<sub>2</sub> immediately before use. THF and Et<sub>2</sub>O were purified by distillation from Na/benzoquinone under N<sub>2</sub>. Moisture and O<sub>2</sub>-sensitive reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under N<sub>2</sub>. Evaporations were conducted under reduced pressure at temperature below 45 °C unless otherwise noted. Column chroma-

tography (CC) were carried out under positive N<sub>2</sub> pressure with 40-63 µm silica gel (Merck) and the indicated solvents. Mp: uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H Spectra of samples in the indicated solvent were recorded at 300 MHz on a MercuryPlus 300 Varian instrument [1H NMR residual solvent signals: CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  = 7.26; CD<sub>3</sub>OD  $\delta$  = 3.31; acetone- $d_6$  $\delta = 2.05$ ]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of samples in the indicated solvent were recorded at 75 MHz on a Varian instrument [13C NMR residual solvent signals: CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  = 77.26; CD<sub>3</sub>OD  $\delta$  = 49.00; acetone- $d_6 \delta$  = 29.84]. <sup>13</sup>C multiplicities were determined by DEPT<sub>135</sub> experiments. Assignments are given relatively to Figure 1 labeling. IR analyzes were performed on a Nicolet Avatar 320 FT-IR. Optical rotations were measured on a Bellingham+ ADP410 from Stanley. Electron impact (EI), and LR-MS and HRMS analyses were obtained from the mass spectrometry service of the ICSN-CNRS, Gif-sur-Yvette, Versailles, France. Chemicals were bought from Sigma-Aldrich and Acros Organics and used without further purification. All reported yields are uncorrected and refer to purified products.

#### 3-[4-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy)phenyl]propionaldehyde (1)

To a soln of commercially available ester **A** (8.0 g, 44.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL) under argon was added TBSCl (7.4 g, 48.9 mmol, 1.1 equiv) followed by imidazole (4.5 g, 66.7 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) was then added and the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 200 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and then dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 9:1) to provide methyl 3-[4-(*tert*-butyldimethyl-siloxy)phenyl]propanoate (12.9 g, 99%);  $R_f = 0.25$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 7:3).

IR (neat): 2955, 2931, 2859, 1743, 1611, 1512, 1473, 1258, 1170, 917, 840, 781 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H12), 3.65 (s, 3 H, CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.88 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H9), 2.57 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H8), 0.97 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.18 [s, 6 H, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 173.3 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 154.3 (s, C13), 133.5 (s, C10), 129.4 (d, C11), 120.2 (d, C12), 51.5 (q, CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 36.5 (t, C8), 30.5 (t, C9), 25.9 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 18.5 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.2 [q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

HRMS (EI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>Si: 317.1549; found: 317.1552.

Silyl ether (5.13 g, 18.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was charged into a flame dried 250-mL round-bottom flask. Anhyd toluene (100 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. 1 M DIBAL-H in toluene (18.0 mL, 18 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred until completion. The mixture was then quenched with sat. Rochelle's salts (100 mL) and brought to r.t.. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 250 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine and then dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude product which was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 8:2) to provide aldehyde 1<sup>5</sup> (4.12 g, 86%);  $R_f = 0.54$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 6:4).

IR (neat): 3030, 2956, 2931, 2857, 2716, 1726, 1610, 1512, 1263, 1170, 917, 840, 781  $\rm cm^{-1}.$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 9.81$  (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 7.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H, H12), 2.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, H9), 2.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H8), 0.97 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.18 [s, 6 H, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = 202.0 (s, CHO), 154.3 (s, C13), 133.2 (s, C10), 129.4 (d, C11), 120.4 (d, C12), 45.7 (t, C8), 27.6 (t,

C9), 25.9 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 18.4 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.2 [q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

HRMS (EI):  $m/z[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{24}NaO_2Si$ : 287.1443; found: 264.1438.

#### (*R*)-1-[4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsiloxy)phenyl]hex-5-en-3-ol (2)<sup>5</sup>

To a flame-dried 50-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stirrer bar was added (R,R)-p-F-vivol (B, 213 mg, 0.454 mmol, 0.05 equiv), anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (74 mg, 0.70 mmol, 0.08 equiv), and 4 Å molecular sieves (50 mg, previously dried under high vacuum at 100 °C and stored in an oven). The flask was capped with a rubber septum and placed under argon followed by the addition of freshly distilled toluene (7.0 mL). The mixture was stirred for 2 min followed by addition of 1.0 M SnCl<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (349 µL, 0.349 mmol, 0.038 equiv). This mixture was stirred at r.t. for 5 min, cooled to -78 °C, and maintained at this temperature for 15 min, which was followed by the addition of allylboronic acid pinacol ester (1.91 mL, 9.98 mmol, 1.10 equiv). This mixture was then stirred for an additional 30 min after which, aldehyde 1 (2.4 g, 9.08 mmol, 1 equiv) was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 12 h after which, 1.5 M DIBAL-H in toluene (10.0 mL) was added to quench any unreacted aldehyde. The mixture stirred at -78 °C for an additional 15 min, after which time 1 M HCl (25 mL) was added. The mixture was then allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 30 min. The resulting mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 50$  mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (anhyd Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give an oily residue that was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane-EtOAc, 95:5) to provide the requisite homoallylic alcohol product 2 in quantitative yield. The ee of the product was determined by formation of diastereomeric esters by condensation with (S)-Mosher acid chloride (97% ee).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  –13.2 (*c* 0.68, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) [Lit.<sup>5</sup>  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  –11.96 (*c* 1.02, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)].

IR (neat): 3367, 2956, 2930, 2859, 1610, 1472, 1259, 917, 780 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 5.88–5.77 (m, 1 H, H5), 5.17–5.12 (m, 2 H, H4), 3.64 (tt, *J* = 4.4, 7.6 Hz, 1 H, H7), 2.70–2.78 (m, 1 H, H9), 2.66–2.59 (m, 1 H, H9), 2.35–2.29 (m, 1 H, H6), 2.22–2.15 (m, 1 H, H6), 1.73–1.79 (m, 2 H, H8), 1.65 (s, 1 H, OH), 0.99 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.19 (s, 6 H, OSi(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>*t*-Bu).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 153.7 (s, C13), 134.7 (s, C10), 134.6 (d, C5), 129.4 (d, C11), 119.9 (d, C12), 118.2 (t, C4), 70.0 (d, C7), 42.0 (t, C6), 38.6 (t, C8), 31.2 (t, C9), 25.7 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 18.2 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.4 [q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

HRMS (EI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>Si: 329.1913; found: 329.1918.

#### (4-{2-[(4*S*,6*R*)-6-Allyl-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl]ethyl}phenoxy)-*tert*-butyldimethylsilane (4)

To a soln of **2** (200 mg, 0.65 mmol, 1 equiv) in dioxane–H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 5.4 mL:1.8 mL) at r.t. was successively added  $OsO_4$  (0.013 mmol, 0.02 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (0.15 mL, 1.29 mmol, 2 equiv), and  $NaIO_4$  (554.6 mg, 2.59 mmol, 4 equiv). The soln was stirred at r.t. until completion then quenched by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) followed by the addition of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude b-hydroxyaldehyde was used directly without further purification.

To a round-bottom flask under argon was added anhyd  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL),  $SnCl_4$  (91  $\mu$ L, 0.78 mmol, 1 equiv), and allyltrimethylsilane (0.12 mL, 0.78 mmol, 1 equiv) and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h. The soln was transferred by cannula to a round-bottom flask containing a soln of b-hydroxyaldehyde (0.62 mmol, 0.8 equiv) in

anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) at -78 °C under argon. The mixture was allowed to stir at this temperature overnight to ensure completion. Workup entailed addition of MeOH (0.16 mL) and sat. aq NH<sub>4</sub>Cl soln (0.4 mL) and extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude diol **3** as a yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.51$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 5:5).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 5.79 (ddt, *J* = 6.9, 7.2, 13.9 Hz, 1 H, H3), 5.06 (m, 2 H, H1), 3.85–3.70 (m, 2 H, H7, H5), 2.62 (m, 2 H, H9), 2.29 (dt<sub>app</sub>, *J* = 6.9, 13.8 Hz, 1 H, H4), 2.14 (dt<sub>app</sub>, *J* = 6.9, 13.8 Hz, 1 H, H4), 1.86–1.57 (m, 2 H, H8), 1.52–1.10 (m, 2 H, H6), 0.98 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.18 [s, 6 H, OSi(*CH*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>*t*-Bu].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ ):  $\delta = 154.4$  (s, C13), 136.4 (s, C10) and (d, C3), 130.2 (d, C11), 120.6 (d, C12), 116.9 (t, C2), 71.9 (d, C5), 71.5 (d, C7), 43.8 (t, C4), 43.5 (t, C8), 41.0 (t, C6), 31.6 (t, C9), 26.1 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 18.8 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.2 [q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>*t*-Bu].

The crude diol **3** (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) at r.t. and 2,2-dimethoxypropane (0.6 mL, 5 mmol, 10 equiv) and PPTS (6.6 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were added to the soln. When the reaction was complete, sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> soln (1 mL) was added, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3×6 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 95:5) to afford pure **4** (195.5 mg, 77% yield from **2**, dr >97:3);  $R_f = 0.61$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 9:1).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$  –17.0 (*c* 0.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

IR (neat): 2930, 2857, 1609, 1507, 1379, 1249, 911, 782 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 5.79 (ddt, *J* = 6.6, 7.5, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, H3), 5.06 (m, 2 H, H2), 3.85–3.70 (m, 2 H, H5, H7), 2.62 (m, 2 H, H9), 2.30 (dt<sub>app</sub>, *J* = 6.6, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, H4), 2.13 (dt<sub>app</sub>, *J* = 6.6, 13.6 Hz, 1 H, H4), 1.86–1.57 (m, 2 H, H8), 1.52–1.10 (m, 2 H, H6), 1.42 [s, 3 H, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 1.40 [s, 3 H, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 0.98 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.18 [s, 6 H, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 153.8 (s, C13), 134.9 (s, C10), 134.5 (d, C3), 129.6 (d, C11), 120.0 (d, C12), 117.2 (t, C2), 98.8 [s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 68.9 (d, C5), 68.0 (d, C7), 41.1 (t, C6), 38.3 (t, C4), 36.8 (t, C8), 30.6 (t, C9), 30.5 [q, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 26.0 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 20.1 [q, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 18.4 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.2 [q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>*t*-Bu].

HRMS (EI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub>Si: 413.2488; found: 413.2458.

# $Methyl~(Z)-4-\{(4R,6S)-6-[4-(tert-Butyldimethylsiloxy) phenethyl]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl\}but-2-enoate~(6)$

To a soln of 4 (100 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1 equiv) in dioxane–H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1, 2.1 mL:0.7 mL) at r.t. was successively added  $OsO_4$  (0.005 mmol, 0.02 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (0.06 mL, 0.51 mmol, 2 equiv), and  $NaIO_4$  (219 mg, 1 mmol, 4 equiv). The soln was stirred at r.t. until completion then quenched by addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL) followed by the addition of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 10 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude b-hydroxyaldehyde was used directly without further purification.

To a stirred soln of 18-crown-6 (169.2 mg, 0.61 mmol) in anhyd THF (2.6 mL) at -40 °C under argon was added methyl 2-[bis(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)phosphoryl]acetate (**C**, 195.4 mg, 1.2 mmol) and 0.5 M KHMDS in toluene (1.22 mL, 0.61 mmol), successively. After 15 min, the mixture was cooled to -78 °C and stirred for an additional 30 min. Crude aldehyde **5** (0.26 mmol) in anhyd THF (2.6 mL) was added and the resulting mixture was

stirred at -78 °C for 4 h. The starting material **5** was completely consumed by TLC analysis, and the reaction was quenched by sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) at -78 °C. The cooling bath was removed and then the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 15 mL). The combined Et<sub>2</sub>O extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 7:3) afforded the desired ester **6** (59.5 mg, 51% isolated yield from **4**);  $R_f = 0.67$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 5:5).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  –44.2 (*c* 0.1, acetone).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 6.36 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.85 (dt, *J* = 11.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H, H3), 3.90–3.59 (m, 2 H, H5, H7), 3.77 (s, 3 H, CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.95–2.64 (m, 4 H, H9, H4), 1.82–1.10 (m, 4 H, H8, H6), 1.42 [s, 3 H, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 1.40 [s, 3 H, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 0.98 [s, 9 H, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 0.18 [s, 6 H, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu].

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 166.5 (s, C1), 153.6 (s, C13), 145.6 (d, C3), 134.9 (s, C10), 122.9 (d, C2), 129.6 (d, C11), 119.7 (d, C12), 98.8 [s, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O), 68.7 (d, C5), 68.0 (d, C7), 41.1 (t, C6), 38.3 (t, C4), 36.9 (t, C8), 30.5 (t, C9), 30.5 [q, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 26.0 [q, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 20.1 [q, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], 18.4 [s, OSiMe<sub>2</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], -4.3 (q, OSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>t-Bu).

HRMS (EI): m/z [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>40</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>Si: 471.2543; found: 471.2539.

#### (+)-Dodoneine (7)

Compound **6** (51 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in 80% AcOH (0.5 mL) and stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5$  mL). The organic layer was washed with brine and dried (anhyd MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After filtration of the mixture and concentration in vacuo, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 3:7) and afforded (+)-dodoneine (**7**, 19.6 mg, 68% isolated yield) and its bicyclic derivative **8** (6.0 mg, 21% yield).

## **Compound 7**

 $R_f = 0.36$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 1:9).

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  +42.2 (*c* 0.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) [Lit.<sup>5</sup>  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  +41.03 (*c* 1.37, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)].

IR (neat): 3360, 3018, 2926, 2858, 1703, 1614, 1515, 1393, 1264, 1061, 1037, 815, 756  $\rm cm^{-1}$ 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.89 (dt, *J* = 4.2, 9.7 Hz, 1 H, H3), 6.76 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 6.02 (dt, *J* = 1.5, 9.6 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.08 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.65 (qd, *J* = 5.1, 7.4 Hz, 1 H, H5), 3.88 (tt, *J* = 4.7, 7.4 Hz, 1 H, H7), 2.74– 2.61 (m, 2 H, H9), 2.40–2.37 (m, 2 H, H4), 2.34 (br s, 1 H, OH), 2.01–1.68 (m, 4 H, H6, H8).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 164.2 (s, C1), 154.1 (s, C13), 145.4 (d, C3), 134.0 (s, C10), 129.7 (d, C11), 121.6 (d, C2), 115.6 (d, C12), 77.3 (d, C5), 68.9 (d, C7), 42.4 (t, C6), 39.7 (t, C8), 31.1 (t, C9), 29.8 (t, C4).

HRMS (ESI): m/z:  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{15}H_{18}NaO_4$ : 285.1103; found: 285.1089.

## **Compound 8**

 $R_f = 0.44$  (cyclohexane–EtOAc, 1:9); mp 173–174 °C. [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> –33.5 (*c* 0.45, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR (neat): 3338, 2924, 2856, 1685, 1517, 1451, 1382, 1347, 1230, 1076, 1004, 821, 759 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 7.02 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H11), 6.75 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H, H12), 5.38 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.92–4.85 (m, 1 H, H5), 4.45–4.32 (m, 1 H, H7), 3.76–3.66 (m, 1 H, H3), 2.83– 2.78 (m, 2 H, H9), 2.74–2.63 (m, 1 H, H2), 2.60–2.48 (m, 1 H, H2), 2.05–1.90 (m, 2 H, H4), 1.86–1.53 (m, 4 H, H8, H6).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 170.3 (s, C1), 154.1 (s, C13), 133.6 (s, C10), 129.5 (d, C11), 115.6 (d, C12), 73.4 (d, C3), 66.1 (d, C7), 65.1 (d, C5), 38.1 (t, C6), 37.2 (t, C4), 36.7 (t, C8), 30.8 (t, C2), 30.1 (t, C9).

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 263.1277; found: 263.1278.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dennis G. Hall (University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada) for its generous gift of (R,R)-p-F-vivol, and Prof. Eric J. Enholm (University of Florida, Gainesville, USA) for fruitful discussions. Finally, F.A. also thanks Julien Pons for its involvement in this project.

# References

- Ouedraogo, M.; Carreyre, H.; Vanderbrouck, C.; Bescond, J.; Raymond, G.; Guissou, A.; Cognard, C.; Beca, F.; Potreau, D.; Cousson, A.; Marrot, J.; Coustard, J.-M. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 2006.
- (2) Àlvarez-Bercedo, P.; Falomir, E.; Carda, M.; Marco, J. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 4015.
- (3) Srihari, P.; Rajendar, G.; Srinivasa Rao, R.; Yadav, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 5590.
- (4) Dittoo, A.; Bellosta, V.; Cossy, J. *Synlett* **2008**, 2459.
- (5) Rauniyar, V.; Hall, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4236.
- (6) Das, B.; Sunnel, K.; Satyalakshmi, G.; Nandan Kumar, D. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2009**, *20*, 1536.
- (7) Sabitha, G.; Bhaskar, V.; Reddy, S. S.; Yadav, J. S. Synthesis 2009, 3285..
- (8) (a) Allais, F.; Martinet, S.; Ducrot, P.-H. Synthesis 2009, 3571. (b) Quentin, M.; Allaisa, V.; Pegard, A.; Allais, F.; Ducrot, P.-H.; Favery, B.; Levis, C.; Martinet, S.; Masur, C.; Ponchet, M.; Roby, D.; Schlaich, N.-L.; Jouanin, L.; Keller, H. *PLoS Pathogens* 2009, *5 (1)*, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000264.
- (9) (a) Allais, F.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3655. (b) Allais, F.; Louvel, M.-C.; Cossy, J. Synlett 2007, 451. (c) Ferrié, L.; Boulard, L.; Pradaux, F.; Bouzbouz, S.; Reymond, S.; Capdevielle, P.; Cossy, J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 1864. (d) Ferrié, L.; Reymond, S.; Capdevielle, P.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2461.
- (10) Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405.
- (11) (a) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B. N.; Richardson, T. I. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 9. (b) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Rogers, B. N.; Guang, Y. O. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3511.
- (12) McNeill, A. H.; Thomas, E. J. Synthesis 1994, 322.
- (13) Keck, G. E.; Byers, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5442.