

Palladium(II)-Catalyzed Enantio- and Diastereoselective Synthesis of Pyrrolidine Derivatives


Ranjan Jana, Tejas P. Pathak, Katrina H. Jensen,[†] and Matthew S. Sigman*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, 315 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, United States

sigman@chem.utah.edu

Received June 20, 2012

ABSTRACT



A palladium-catalyzed enantio- and diastereoselective synthesis of pyrrolidine derivatives is described. Initial intramolecular nucleopalladation of the tethered protected amine forms the pyrrolidine moiety and a quinone methide intermediate. A second nucleophile adds intermolecularly to afford diverse products in high enantio- and diastereoselectivity.

The strategic generation and interception of Pd(II)-alkyl species is an attractive approach for the development of alkene difunctionalization reactions.¹ A major challenge in this pursuit is to suppress β -hydride elimination of the Pd(II)-alkyl species, which would result in Heck² or Wacker-type products.³ To avoid β -hydride elimination, Pd(II)-alkyl intermediates derived from initial addition of a

nucleophile may be stabilized via π -allyl⁴ and π -benzyl⁵ formation, or the Pd(II)-alkyl may be further oxidized prior to functionalization.⁶

[†] Current address: Black Hills State University, 1200 University St., Spearfish, SD 57799.

(1) (a) Hegedus, L. S.; Darlington, W. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 4980. (b) Chevrin, C.; Le Bras, J.; Henin, F.; Muzart, J. *Synthesis* **2005**, 2615. (c) Thiery, E.; Chevrin, C.; Le Bras, J.; Harakat, D.; Muzart, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 1859. (d) Jensen, K. H.; Sigman, M. S. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6*, 4083. (e) Kalyani, D.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2150. (f) Cardona, F.; Goti, A. *Nat. Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 269. (g) Bäckvall, J.-E. In *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, 2nd ed.; de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Hoboken, NJ, 2004; p 479. (h) Wang, A.; Jiang, H.; Chen, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3846. (i) Rodriguez, A.; Moran, W. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 1313. (j) Urkalan, K. B.; Sigman, M. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3146. (k) Elliot, L. D.; Wrigglesworth, J. W.; Cox, B.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 728. (l) Schultz, D. M.; Wolfe, J. P. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2962.

(2) For reviews of the Heck reaction, see: (a) Heck, R. F. *Org. React.* **1982**, *27*, 345. (b) Meyer, F. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1994**, *33*, 2379. (c) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3009. (d) Narayanan, R. *Molecules* **2010**, *15*, 2124.


(3) For reviews of the Wacker oxidation, see: (a) Feringa, B. L. *Transition Met. Org. Synth.* **1998**, *2*, 307. (b) Punniyamurthy, T.; Velusamy, S.; Iqbal, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2329. (c) Cornell, C. N.; Sigman, M. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1903. (d) Anderson, B. J.; Keith, J. A.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 11872.

(4) For examples, see: (a) Bäckvall, J. E.; Nystroem, J. E.; Nordberg, R. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 3676. (b) Bar, G. L. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7308. (c) Du, H.; Yuan, W.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7496. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Werner, N. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 16382. (e) Zhao, B.; Du, H.; Cui, S.; Shi, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 3523. (f) Zhang, P.; Brozek, L. A.; Morken, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10686. (g) Weaver, J. D.; Recio, A., III; Grenning, A. J.; Tunge, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1846. (h) Liao, L.; Jana, R.; Urkalan, K. B.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5784.

(5) For examples, see: (a) Becker, Y.; Stille, J. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 845. (b) Nettekoven, U.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1166. (c) Kuwano, R.; Kondo, Y.; Matsuyama, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 12104. (d) Johns, A. M.; Tye, J. W.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16010. (e) Narahashi, H.; Isao, S.; Yamamoto, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *693*, 283. (f) Torregrosa, R. R. P.; Ariyaratna, Y.; Chattopadhyay, K.; Tunge, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9280. (g) Liao, L.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10209. (h) Kalyani, D.; Satterfield, A. D.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 8419.

(6) (a) Streuff, J.; Hövelmann, C. H.; Nieger, M.; Muñoz, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14586. (b) Alexanian, E. J.; Lee, C.; Sorensen, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7690. (c) Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 7179. (d) Desai, L. V.; Sanford, M. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 5737. (e) Welbes, L. L.; Lyons, T. W.; Cychosz, K. A.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 5836. (f) Li, Y.; Song, D.; Dong, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2962. (g) Muñoz, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9412. (h) Rosewall, C. F.; Sibbald, P. A.; Liskin, D. V.; Michael, F. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9488. (i) Qiu, S.; Xu, T.; Zhou, J.; Guo, Y.; Liu, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2856. (j) Park, C. P.; Lee, J. H.; Yoo, K. S.; Jung, K. W. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 2450.

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for Pd(II)-Catalyzed Alkene Difunctionalization via a Putative Quinone Methide Intermediate



In addition to these strategies, our group has accomplished alkene difunctionalization reactions of a specific alkene class, namely *ortho*-vinyl phenols (e.g., **1**, Scheme 1), wherein the Pd(II)-alkyl intermediate derived from nucleopalladation of **A** is proposed to undergo a redox reaction, forming Pd(0) and an *ortho*-quinone methide intermediate (**B**→**C**).⁷ This intermediate can be trapped by various exogenous nucleophiles. Our group has developed highly diastereoselective and enantioselective variants of this reaction using a pendant alcohol nucleophile to form an oxygen-containing heterocycle.^{7c,g} A broad scope of exogenous alcohol- and indole-based nucleophiles may be added to the quinone methide. Of particular importance, certain products accessible using this route, particularly those featuring an indole, have been identified to have not only differential activity toward breast cancer cell lines but also unique cellular targets based on initial biological investigations (Figure 1).^{7g} Therefore, we became interested in expanding this reaction manifold to nitrogen-based intramolecular nucleophiles, a substrate class that had previously performed poorly. A successful variant employing a tethered amine as

the intramolecular nucleophile would allow facile access to pyrrolidines⁸ which are privileged structures in biology⁹ and catalysis.¹⁰ Phenol bearing pyrrolidines are also important analogs in ongoing biological investigations in our laboratories. Herein, we report the successful identification of a suitable intramolecular nitrogen nucleophile that expands the substrate scope to enable the enantioselective construction of complex pyrrolidine small molecules bearing a diverse array of heterocycles.




Figure 1. Substrate optimization Reaction conditions: (a) 4 mol % Pd(CH₃CN)₂Cl₂, 8 mol % CuCl, 14 mol % ⁱPrQuinox, 40 mol % KHCO₃, 50 equiv of MeOH, O₂ balloon, rt, toluene/THF, 4:1, 24 h.

In our early attempts to form pyrrolidine derivatives with nitrogen-based intramolecular nucleophiles, tosyl carbamate **2** (Figure 1) was prepared and submitted to our previous reaction conditions, but unfortunately, no desired product formation was observed. In contrast, methyl carbamate protected substrate **3** did afford the desired product **4**, albeit in low yield and moderate enantioselectivity. The relatively low observed enantioselectivity may be the result of the Lewis basic carbamate displacing the chiral quinox ligand during the reaction. Therefore, lowering the Lewis basicity and simultaneously the pK_a of the nucleophile was thought to be a prudent approach to facilitate this reaction. As such, tosylamide **5a** was prepared and, after exposure to

(7) (a) Zhang, Y.; Sigman, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5557. (b) Gligorich, K. M.; Schultz, M. J.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2794. (c) Schultz, M. J.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1460. (d) Zhang, Y.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 3076. (e) Jensen, K. H.; Pathak, T. P.; Zhang, Y.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17074. (f) Jensen, K. H.; Webb, J. D.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17471. (g) Pathak, T. P.; Gligorich, K. M.; Welm, B. E.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7870. (h) Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2774. (i) Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 9210.


(8) For Pd-catalyzed variants of pyrrolidine synthesis, see: (a) Tsujihara, T.; Shinohara, T.; Takenaka, K.; Takizawa, S.; Onitsuka, K.; Hatanaka, M.; Sasai, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 9274. (b) Mai, D. N.; Wolfe, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12157. (c) McDonald, R. I.; White, P. B.; Weinstein, A. B.; Tam, C. P.; Stahl, S. S. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2830.

(9) (a) Cole, D. C.; Lennox, W. J.; Lombardi, S.; Ellingboe, J. W.; Bernotas, R. C.; Tawa, G. J.; Mazandarani, H.; Smith, D. L.; Zhang, G.; Coupet, J.; Schechter, L. E. *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 353. (b) Borthwick, A. D. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2005**, *25*, 427.

(10) For classic examples, see: (a) Kagan, H. B. In *Asymmetric Synthesis*; Morrison, J. D., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1985; Vol. 5, Chapter 1. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Pieters, R. J.; Martin, S. F.; Austin, R. E.; Oalman, C. J.; Müller, P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 1423. For a review of proline derivatives, see: (c) Mukherjee, S.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffman, S.; List, B. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5471.

Table 1. Conditions Optimization

entry	temp	5a	% yield (7a)	remaining 5a	er (dr)
1	rt	>95% <i>E</i>	15%	50%, only <i>E</i>	>99:1 (>20:1)
2	rt	>80% <i>Z</i>	45%	25%, only <i>E</i>	>99:1 (>20:1)
3	50 °C	>95% <i>E</i>	37%	none	98:2 (>20:1)
4	50 °C	>80% <i>Z</i>	62%	none	98:2 (>20:1)

Table 2. Substrate Scope^a


^a All reactions were carried out on 0.50 mmol scale. Yields refer to the average of at least two reactions.

the optimal conditions, the desired product **6a** was observed in modest yield (43%) and high enantioselectivity, albeit in low diastereoselectivity.

Considering our standing interest in the products derived from indole additions to the generated quinone methides and the improved diastereoselectivity typically observed when using these nucleophiles, we evaluated *N*-methyl indole with substrate **5a** (Table 1).^{7g} Initially, we found considerable variation in reaction yield. A possible origin for this result is the stereoisomeric purity of **5a**, which was found to be dependent on how long the Wittig reaction used to prepare **5a** was allowed to stir (see Supporting Information). To probe this hypothesis, a sample of **5a** with >95% *E* geometry was submitted to the reaction (entry 1). A low yield of **7a** was observed with excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity. However, significant amounts of remaining starting material was isolated. In contrast, an evaluation of ~80% *Z*-sample of **5a** resulted in significantly improved yields and the same high levels of selectivity (entry 2). Of particular importance, only the *E*-isomer of **5a** (25%) was isolated (entry 2, the starting material only contained 20%), indicating that the *Z*-isomer isomerizes to the thermodynamically more stable *E*-isomer under the reaction conditions. Additionally, the results suggest that the *E*-isomer reacts faster than the *Z*-isomer, which is consistent with previous mechanistic studies on this reaction type in that the *Z*-alkene is proposed to undergo *trans*-nucleopalladation to achieve the observed stereochemical outcome as depicted in Scheme 1.^{7f} Therefore, heating of the reaction to facilitate

alkene isomerization modestly improved the yield when using the enriched *E*-alkene (37%, entry 3) as compared to reacting at room temperature (entry 1). Under these conditions, the use of the predominantly *Z*-alkene resulted in synthetically useful yields of the desired product with only a slight reduction in enantioselectivity (entry 4). Of note, poor mass balance is believed to arise from oligomerization of the proposed quinone methide intermediate.

Under these conditions, the substrate scope for this reaction was explored (Table 2). Several *N*-protected indoles underwent the reaction to yield the desired products in high enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity (**7a–7g**). Modest changes to the electronic nature of the phenol did not affect the reaction outcome (**7g**). However, highly electron-rich phenols (X = OMe) do not undergo the reaction due to rapid decomposition (not depicted). In addition to indoles, substituted furans (**7h**, **7i**) and indolizines (**7j–7o**) are highly effective reaction partners; these reactants have not been evaluated previously in this reaction class and lead to new pharmacophores in the products.¹¹ Although an excess (15 equiv) of the external nucleophile is required, it can be effectively recovered after the reaction.

To further explore the scope of the intramolecular nucleophile, substrate **8** with a pendant carboxylic acid was prepared and evaluated. An excellent yield of the desired lactone product was observed (Figure 2A). Surprisingly, the product of this reaction is racemic, albeit isolated as a single diastereomer. One mechanistic hypothesis to




Figure 2. Evaluation of lactone formation.

account for this observation is a reversible nucleopalladation step, as a carboxylate is a better leaving group than an alkoxide, which could lead to an erosion of enantioselectivity (Figure 2B). Alternatively, the significant change in the pK_a of the nucleophile may lead to a change in mechanism (Figure 2C). The nucleopalladation step of this

(11) (a) Hagishita, S.; Yamada, M.; Shirahase, K.; Okada, T.; Murakami, Y.; Ito, Y.; Matsuura, T.; Wada, M.; Kato, T.; Ueno, M.; Chikazawa, Y.; Yamada, K.; Ono, T.; Teshirogi, I.; Ohtani, M. *J. Med. Chem.* **1996**, *39*, 3636. (b) Sonnet, P.; Dallemagne, P.; Guillon, J.; Enguehard, C.; Stiebing, S.; Tanguy, J.; Bureau, R.; Rault, S.; Auvray, P.; Moslemi, S.; Sourdaire, P.; Séralini, G.-E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *8*, 945.

reaction has been proposed to proceed through a *trans*-nucleopalladation of the bidentate Z-alkene and phenol to account for the stereoinduction (Scheme 1).^{7f} However, the nature of the nucleophile has been reported to strongly influence the stereochemical course of nucleopalladation.¹² In this case, an alkene–Pd–carboxylate complex likely forms preferentially due to the higher acidity of the acid (as compared to the relatively high pK_a 's of both alcohol and sulfonamide nucleophiles) resulting in *cis*-nucleopalladation (Figure 2C, **II**). To account for the loss of enantioselectivity, either the chiral ligand is displaced due to the presumed change in mechanism or the enantioselectivity is lost if the phenol is not engaged in this key step.

In conclusion, we have expanded the scope of enantioselective alkene difunctionalization reactions to include amine nucleophiles through modest, but mechanistically guided, changes to the reaction conditions. The diverse products incorporate vetted pharmacophores in unique structures. Current efforts are focused on exploring the biological properties of these compounds and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01GM3540 and R01CA140296).

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures, full spectroscopic data, and chiral separations for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(12) The nature of the nucleophile strongly influences the stereochemical outcome of nucleopalladation; see: (a) Bäckvall, J. E.; Nordberg, R. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 4959. (b) McDonald, R. I.; Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 2981.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.