The Journal of Organic Chemistry



Subscriber access provided by Macquarie University

Article

A covalent and modular synthesis of homo and hetero [n]rotaxanes

Milo D. Cornelissen, Simone Pilon, Luuk Steemers, Martin J Wanner, Steven Frölke, Ed Zuidinga, Steen Ingemann Jorgensen, Jarl Ivar van der Vlugt, and Jan H. van Maarseveen

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.9b03030 • Publication Date (Web): 22 Jan 2020 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on January 22, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

3 4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58 59

60

A covalent and modular synthesis of homo- and hetero[n]rotaxanes

Milo D. Cornelissen, Simone Pilon, Luuk Steemers, Martin J. Wanner, Steven Frölke, Ed Zuidinga, Steen Ingemann Jørgensen, Jarl Ivar van der Vlugt and Jan H. van Maarseveen*

Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands E-mail: j.h.vanmaarseveen@uva.nl

Abstract: Incorporation of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalate as a covalent scaffold in the axis of a 30-membered all-carbon macrocycle provides access to a modular series of rotaxanes. Installment of tethered alkynes or azides onto the terephthalic phenolic hydroxyl functionalities, which are situated at opposite sides of the macrocycle, give versatile prerotaxane building blocks. The corresponding [2]rotaxanes are obtained by introduction of bulky stoppering ('capping') units at the tethers and subsequent cleavage of the covalent ring/thread ester linkages. Extension of this strategy via coupling of two prerotaxanes bearing complementary linker functionalities (i.e. azide and



alkyne) and follow-up attachment of stopper groups provides efficient access to [n]rotaxanes. The applicability and modular nature of this novel approach was demonstrated by the synthesis of a series of [2]-, [3]- and [4]rotaxanes. Furthermore, it was shown that the prerotaxanes allow late-stage functionalization of the ring fragment introducing further structural diversity.

Introduction

Mechanically interlocked molecules (MiMs) such as rotaxanes or catenanes attract attention because of their fascinating structural features and aesthetic architecture,^{1,2} as well as their application as molecular switches or as components of molecular machines.³ Over the last three decades, several robust methodologies for the synthesis of MiMs have been developed.⁴ In the case of rotaxanes, the vast majority of these approaches rely on non-covalent preorganization of the ring and thread fragments. The key mechanical bond is made by i) slipping of the macrocycle over the thread fragment, followed by introduction of stoppering groups at the thread-end, or ii) clipping of the ring-precursor over the thread and subsequent macrocyclization. By using covalent approaches the synthesis of so-called 'impossible' rotaxanes, which lack the supramolecular elements required to preorganize the ring and thread fragments, has also been established. Ironically, in the first two decades after the first synthesis of a [2]catenane by Schill⁵ back in 1964 and a [2]rotaxane by Harrison⁶ in 1967, the field was solely based on covalent and statistical approaches. Over the last years, covalent approaches reappeared on the scene widening the structural diversity of MiMs.⁷⁻¹³ In a recent letter, we described the covalent synthesis of a [2]rotaxane using a terephthalic acidcentered thread on which the ring-precursor fragments were esterified, followed by clipping-type macrocyclization around the end-stoppered thread to give a prerotaxane (Scheme 1).¹⁴ Saponification of the terephthalic esters liberated the [2]rotaxane featuring an all-carbon ring fragment that would be inaccessible using the common supramolecular approaches.

We have now shortened our previous clipping-type covalent route to obtain similar 'impossible' MiMs, starting from the common prerotaxane synthons **1**, **4** and **6**, differing in the substitution pattern at the ring phenyl *para*-positions (Scheme 1). This capping-type methodology provides facile access to a series homo- and hetero[n]rotaxanes featuring a combination of different rings, thread fragments and stoppers. Both homo- and hetero[n]rotaxanes have been made before

using supramolecular methodology.¹⁵ Especially, hetero[n]rotaxanes poses a challenge that has been solved by using several orthogonal host-guest systems^{16,17} or with an iterative active metal template approach.¹⁸ In these approaches the former methodology is limited by the number of available orthogonal non-covalent recognition elements and the latter by the necessity of stoppering units in between the rings to avoid dethreading during build up of the [n]rotaxane sequence. Our capping-type synthesis from covalently-linked prerotaxane building blocks overcomes these drawbacks. In addition, we have deliberately chosen 4-bromo phenyl groups in the ring fragment of prerotaxane **4** to demonstrate the possibility for late-stage installation of functional stations via versatile cross-coupling chemistry for future applications as molecular switches or motors.



Scheme 1. Outline of the work described in the previous letter and in this work.

As outlined in Figure 1, the phenolic hydroxyl groups at the terephthalic ester template are located at opposite sides of the macrocycle and thus ideally placed for introduction of thread fragments. Installation of tethered alkynes or azides at 1, 4 and 6 gives prerotaxanes 2, 3, 5 and 7 from which, in combination with the three stoppers a,¹⁴ A and B and a linking fragment L,¹⁹ a diverse series of nine homo-, and hetero[2]-, [3]-, and [4]rotaxanes was obtained. Connection of the different fragments is carried out via a Lego-like building approach relying on the Cu(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) reaction without the need for protecting groups.



Figure 1. Ring, thread and stopper building blocks for modular [n]rotaxane synthesis.

Results and discussion

The synthesis of the ring fragment of prerotaxanes **1** and **4** commences with a Grignard reaction of hex-5-en-1-ylmagnesium bromide and 5-(*tert*-butyl)-2-hydroxyisophthalaldehyde **8a** or 5-bromo-2-hydroxyisophthalaldehyde **8b**. The latter two were conveniently made by a double Duff reaction from 4-(*tert*-butyl)phenol or 4-bromophenol.²⁰ The Grignard reaction was followed by Et₃SiH-mediated reductive removal of the resulting benzylic hydroxyl groups, to give macrocycle precursors **9a** or **9b** in 84% and 56% overall yields, respectively (Scheme 2).

The central terephthalic templates, which are also part of the thread fragment, were prepared by double allylation or methylation of dimethyl 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalate **10** followed by saponification to give the diacids. These were subsequently converted into the bis-acid chlorides and further transformed into the shelf-stable and crystalline bispentafluorophenyl esters **11** and **12** in 33% and 45% yield over the four steps, respectively. Transesterification of pentafluorophenol ester **11** with phenol **9a**, by stirring in acetonitrile in the presence of Cs_2CO_3 as the base, went smoothly, with follow-up Pd(0)-catalyzed removal of the allyl protective groups leading to the macrocyclic ring precursor **13a** in 72% isolated yield. It should be noted here that all attempts to couple the sterically hindered phenol **9a** directly to either the diacid chloride derivative of phthalic acid of **11** or through the use of carboxylic acid activating reagents gave significantly lower yields. Similarly, activated ester **12** and phenol **9b** gave ring-closing metathesis (RCM) precursor **13b** in 86% isolated yield. A double RCM macrocycloolefination of **13a** and **13b**, using the second generation Grubbs catalyst, gave the macrocyles as a mixture of E/Z isomers.²¹ Subsequent catalytic hydrogenation led to the key prerotaxanes **1** and **14** in yields of 93% and 50%, respectively, over the two steps.

These results demonstrate the optimal preorganization of the terminal olefins for the anticipated macrocyclization reaction by the phthalate template. Both the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of prerotaxanes **1** and **14** showed sharp signals, pointing to a rigid and symmetric conformation. Although we were able to grow single crystals of prerotaxane **1**, their quality proved to be insufficient for full refinement by X-ray crystallographic analysis. However, the obtained connectivity plot unequivocally demonstrates that the phthalate template prevents collapsing of the macrocycle but also effectively positions the two phenolic hydroxyl groups at opposite sides of

the macrocycle (see Figure 2a). This is a prerequisite for arriving at a mechanically interlocked structure through further capping-type installation of additional functional thread elements and stoppers.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the prerotaxanes.

As a proof to show the feasibility of this approach for the future synthesis of functional rotaxanes, the bromides in prerotaxane **14** were substituted by phenyl groups using the Pd-mediated Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction giving **15** in 96% yield. BBr₃-mediated cleavage of the aryl methyl ethers in **14** and **15** went smoothly and gave bisphenols **4** and **6** in yields of 99% and 97%, respectively. It is noteworthy that in comparison to prerotaxane **1** carrying two tBu-groups, prerotaxanes **4** and **6** show considerably lower solubility in the common solvents.

To allow for installation of stopper units via the CuAAC reaction, prerotaxanes **1**, **4** and **6** were functionalized with tethered alkynes or azides. Introduction of alkynes was conducted by Williamson-type alkylation of the phenolic hydroxyl groups in **1** using pent-4-yn-1-yl methanesulfonate as the electrophile and K₂CO₃ as the base (Scheme 3). Most probably due to steric hindrance encountered at the axis within the macrocycle wheel, elevated temperature was required to obtain prerotaxane **2**. Complementary azide groups were installed into prerotaxanes **1**, **4** and **6** using the same protocols, starting from 3-azidopropyl methanesulfonate as the electrophile, to give **3**, **5** and **7** in yields of 92%, 100% and 71%, respectively.



Scheme 3. Attachment of the tethered alkyne and azide thread components to give the four prerotaxane building blocks.

Gratifyingly, single crystals of prerotaxane **7** featuring the propyl tethered azides could be obtained. Similarly as encountered for prerotaxane **1**, full refinement of the X-ray crystallographic data of **7** was not possible due to weak diffraction. However, the connectivity plot resembled the conformation of the phthalic ester within the macrocyle of prerotaxane **1**, thus positioning the tethered azides at opposite sides of the ring (see Figure 2b).

Figure 2. Connectivity plots as determined by X-ray crystallography of prerotaxanes **1** (a) and **7** (b). The macrocycle respective *para* t-butyl or phenyl substituents in **1** or **7** have been omitted for clarity.

Functionalization of the terephthalic template with the tethered azides and alkynes affected the ¹H NMR spectra of compounds **2** and **3**, now showing broad signals as a result of different interconverting conformations of the ring and positioning of the template within the macrocycle. To clarify these spectral features, ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at elevated temperatures in deuterated toluene (see Supporting Information). At higher temperatures, the increased conformational freedom results in coalescence of the different peaks of the template thread protons and a less complex spectrum. In prerotaxane **2**, the protons on the terminal alkynes appeared as a beacon in the complex room temperature ¹H NMR spectra. The corresponding isolated terminal alkyne-CH singlet around 1.95 ppm proved to be useful for identification of the mono- and di-stoppered prerotaxanes resulting from CuAAC-reactions (vide infra).

As a last task, the stoppers had to be prepared. Besides bulky stopper **a**, which has been previously described by us,¹⁴ new stoppers **A** and **B** were successfully prepared via a short route from the known common terphenylaldehyde 16^{21} (Scheme 4). After subsequent NaBH₄ reduction, an Appel reaction and nucleophilic substitution, azide-functionalized stopper **A** was obtained in 93% overall yield. Using the reliable two-step Corey-Fuchs protocol, the same aldehyde **16** was transformed into the terminal alkyne-functionalized stopper **B** in 83% yield (over the two steps).



Scheme 4. Synthesis of the azide and alkyne stoppers A and B.

With the four prerotaxanes **2**, **3**, **5** and **7**, the three stoppers **a**, **A** and **B**, and the reported 1,4-bis(azidomethyl)benzene **L** as a linking connector in hand we were ready for the Lego-type construction of a series of nine [n]rotaxanes. The synthesis of hetero[n]rotaxanes employing different stoppers or rings, required the availability of the respective mono-stoppered prerotaxanes (Scheme 5). After optimization it was found that reaction of 0.4 equiv of stopper with respect to the prerotaxane prevented formation of the bis-stoppered prerotaxanes, selectively providing the mono-stoppered prerotaxanes **a2** and **A2**.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of the half stoppered prerotaxanes. Reaction conditions: prerotaxane **2**, **3**, **5** or **7** (1 equiv), stopper **A** or **B** (0.4 equiv), TBTA (0.2 equiv), $Cu(CH_3CN)_4BF_4$ (0.2 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , rt, overnight.

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

58 59

60

CuAAC reaction of bis-alkyne functionalized prerotaxane 2 with 0.4 equiv stopper a or A gave the mono-stoppered prerotaxanes a2 and A2 in 88% and 66% yield, based on recovered starting material (brsm). The azide-functionalized mono-stoppered prerotaxane B3 was obtained after the CuAAC-reaction of the bis-azide threaded prerotaxane 3 with 0.4 equiv stopper B in 52% yield (brsm). To allow the synthesis of a hetero[n]rotaxane featuring different ring substitution, the mono-stoppered prerotaxanes **B5** and **B7** were made in a similar way. By reaction of prerotaxane **5** and 7 with 0.4 equiv of stopper B, mono-stoppered prerotaxanes B5 and B7 were obtained in 84% and 63% yield (brsm) in pure form.

First, the capping-type synthesis of [2]rotaxanes was undertaken (Scheme 6). CuAAC-type coupling of alkyne-tether functionalized prerotaxane 2 with 2.2 equiv of azide stoppers a or A gave the homo[2]prerotaxanes of a2a or A2A in yields of 56% and 51%, respectively. Saponification of the temporal linking terephthalate ester linkages liberated the [2]rotaxanes a2a or A2A in 77% and 88% yield, respectively. Although accurate mass determination unequivocally confirms the integrity of the [2] rotaxane architecture of **a2a**, for comparison reasons we have also made the separate ring and thread fragments (see Supporting Information). Simple TLC analysis of the ring and thread fragments and the [2]rotaxane clearly established their different physical properties. While the apolar macrocycle runs high on TLC using EtOAc/hexanes as the eluent, the thread component shows the lowest polarity due to the presence of the two carboxylic acid and triazole moieties. Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of [2] rotaxane **a2a**, the loose thread and the corresponding ring fragment as well as an equimolar mixture of the latter two compounds shows subtle but significant differences (see Supporting Information). In [2]rotaxane a2a, almost all protons in the thread fragment, including the triazole-CH, show a slight upfield shift. This is also the case for the aliphatic protons on the ring fragment. Remarkably, the singlet of the two protons at the phthalate phenyl ring did not shift although they are located in the center of the ring of the rotaxane skeleton. These results show that the terephthalate template not only allows for the clipping approach that was previously published by us, but also enables a more convergent capping strategy to arrive at mechanically interlocked structures. Similarly, from prerotaxane a2, hetero[2]rotaxane a2A was 35 readily obtained in 54% overall yield, now after CuAAc-coupling with stopper A, followed by 36 saponification. After having confirmed the feasibility of this new synthetic pathway, 37 homo[2]rotaxane B3B was made by coupling prerotaxane 3 and stopper B via the same two-step 38 sequence. To facilitate chromatographic purification, the crude carboxylic acids were converted into 39 their methyl esters by heating in methanol using HCl as the catalyst to give B3B as the diester in an 40 41 overall yield of 36% over the three steps. 42



Scheme 6. Synthesis of homo and hetero [2]rotaxanes. Reaction conditions: i) prerotaxane 2 or 3, stopper a, A or B (2.2 equiv), TBTA (0.2 equiv), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (0.2 equiv), rt, 5-14 h. ii) prerotaxane a2, stopper A (1.2 equiv), TBTA (0.2 equiv), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (0.2 equiv), rt, overnight. *iii*) Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1), 50 °C, overnight. iv) MeOH, HCl, 40 °C, 5 h.

Next, the covalent approach to MiMs was expanded to the [3]rotaxane series (Scheme 7). A [3]rotaxane could be made by directly connecting two half-stoppered [2]rotaxanes. Coupling/saponification/esterification of the half-stoppered [2]prerotaxanes **A2** and **B3** went uneventfully and gave homo[3]rotaxane **A23B** (28% yield over 3 steps). Alternatively, connecting two half-stoppered alkyne-functionalized prerotaxanes **a2**, via diazide-functionalized linker **L**, followed by saponification, gave homo[3]rotaxane **B5** or **B7**, followed by saponification and esterification, the two hetero[3]rotaxanes **a25B** and **a27B** were obtained as the tetra methylesters in overall yields of 31% and 56%.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of homo- and hetero[3]rotaxanes. Reaction conditions: *i*) prerotaxane A2 (1 equiv), prerotaxane B3 (2 equiv), TBTA (0,68 equiv), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (0.2 equiv), rt, overnight. *ii*) Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1), 50 °C, overnight. *iii*) MeOH, HCl, 40 °C, 5 h. *iv*) prerotaxane a2 (2.2 equiv), linker L (1 equiv), TBTA (0,68 equiv), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (0.2 equiv), rt, overnight. *v*) prerotaxane a2 (1 equiv), prerotaxane B5 or B7 (1-1.1 equiv), TBTA (0,2 equiv), Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (0.2 equiv), rt, overnight.

To show the applicability of our covalent approach toward the synthesis of functional hetero[n]rotaxanes via late-stage decoration, prerotaxane **a25b** was transformed to prerotaxane **a27B** by the robust Suzuki-Miyaura reaction (Scheme 8). Reaction of **a25B** with phenyl boronic acid under classical Suzuki conditions in a sealed vessel at 120 °C for 3 days, followed by purification, gave prerotaxane **a27B** in an isolated yield of 43%.



Scheme 8. Late-stage ring decoration via the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction.

As a final effort, homo[4]rotaxane **a232a** was conveniently prepared in 62% overall yield, just by clicking the alkyne-functionalized half-stoppered [2]rotaxanes **a2** and the bisazide-equipped [2]prerotaxane **3** together, followed by saponification (Scheme 9).





Scheme 9. Synthesis of a [4]rotaxane.

Conclusions

With nine homo- and hetero[n]rotaxanes in hand, we have shown that although covalent routes per definition require more synthetic steps than a supramolecular route (i.e. making and breaking the covalent connection between the ring/thread fragments), this approach may be a viable and complementary alternative, particularly to arrive at hetero[n]rotaxanes with different stoppers, rings and thread components. Both the covalent and supramolecular approaches require specific functional groups for preorganization of the ring/thread fragments, making them complementary. We have presented here a modular approach to hetero[n]rotaxanes that is amenable for further installation of functional stations in both the thread and ring fragments. These stations may also be introduced at a late stage of the synthesis, as was shown by installation of two phenyl groups at the rim of the ring fragment by a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction. The synthesis of functional MiMs using this methodology is currently undertaken by us.

Experimental Section

General methods and materials

Reactions were carried out under air and without additional measures such as drying, unless stated otherwise. Heating and stirring was performed using an oil bath and standard thermostatized stirring plates and teflon stirring beans. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck TLC plates (0.25 mm) pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254. Flask column chromatography was performed using SilaFlash[®] P60 (40-63 µm) under compressed air flow. Starting materials and reagents were used as supplied by commercial vendors. Anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN were freshly distilled from CaH₂. Dried THF was obtained through distillation with sodium and dried solvents were stored under N₂ atmosphere. Bruker DRX-300, 400, and 500 MHz instruments were used to record NMR spectra. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to residual undeuterated solvent peaks. Data of the recorded ¹H NMR spectra are described as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity, coupling constant when applicable, number of H). The following abbreviations are used to report the multiplicities: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quint (quintet), dd (doublet of doublet), m (multiplet), br m (broad multiplet). All reflection intensities were measured with a Bruker D8 Quest Eco diffractometer equipped with a Triumph monochromator (λ = 0.71073 Å) and a CMOS Photon 50 detector at 150(2) K. Intensity data were integrated with the Bruker APEX2. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an AccuTOF GC v 4g, JMS-T100GCV Mass spectrometer (JEOL, Japan) and HR-ToF Bruker Daltonik GmbH (Bremen, Germany) Impact II, an ESI-ToF MS capable of resolution of at least 40000 FWHM. FD/FI probe was equipped with FD Emitter, Carbotec, FD 10 µm. Current rate 51.2 mA/min over 1.2 min, using field desorption (FD) as ionization method.

4-(tert-butyl)-2,6-di(hept-6-en-1-yl)phenol (9a).

This compound has been made previously by us but the procedure has been optimized.¹⁴ Magnesium turnings (1.51 g, 62.1 mmol, 4.5 equiv) were suspended in dry THF (60 mL) in an oven-dried flask. 6-bromo-1-hexene (8.3 mL, 61.9 mmol, 4.5 equiv) was added dropwise to the stirred solution and heated under reflux 59 for 3 hours. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and added dropwise to a solution of **8a**²⁰ 60 (2.84 g, 13.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (60 mL) at 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred and heated under reflux overnight, and subsequently quenched with H₂O (5 mL). The mixture was

diluted with Et₂O (100 mL) and 1M HCl (100 mL), after which the aqueous layer was extracted with 2 x 20 mL Et₂O. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in *vacuo* to give a yellow oil. The residue (2.22 g, 5.93 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) under N₂ atmosphere, cooled to -78 °C, and Et₃SiH (3.78 mL, 23.7 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added. BF₃:Et₂O (2.93 mL, 23.7 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added slowly over the course of 1h to the stirred reaction mixture, after which the dry-ice bath was removed. After the solution had returned to room temperature, it was quenched with water, then the organic layer was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in *vacuo*. The crude product was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (180-190°C, 0.04 mBar to give **9a** (1.71 g, 5.00 mmol, 84%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.99 (s, 2H), 5.84 (quint, *J* = 17.0, 10.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 5.11-4.86 (m, 4H), 4.53 (s, 1H), 2.72-2.46 (m, 4H), 2.08 (q, *J* = 7.1, 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.52-1.34 (m, 8H), 1.31 (s, 9H). For further spectral data see ref. 14.

4-(bromo)-2,6-di(hept-6-en-1-yl)phenol (**9b**).

A solution of 6-bromo-1-hexene (9.97 g, 61 mmol, 3.5 equiv) in dry THF (20 mL) was added dropwise to magnesium turnings (1.70 g, 70 mmol, 4 equiv) at a rate to maintain reflux. Then more dry THF (15 mL) was added and the reaction heated to reflux for 2.5 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and added dropwise to a solution of 8b²² (4.00 g, 17.5 mmol, 1 equiv) in dry THF (50 mL) at 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour, then warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 3.5 h. The reaction mixture was subsequently quenched with H₂O (5 mL) and diluted with Et₂O (50 mL) and 1M HCl (50 mL), after which the aqueous layer was extracted with 2 x 50 mL Et_2O . The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The mixture was purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc $19:1 \rightarrow 9:1 \rightarrow 8:2$) to give the diol as a yellow oil (5.87 g, 14.7 mmol, 84%). This diol (4.21 g, 10.6 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) under N₂ atmosphere, cooled to 0 °C, and Et₃SiH (51 mL, 317 mmol, 30 equiv) was added. BF₃:Et₂O (3.9 mL, 31.8 mmol, 3 equiv) was added dropwise, after which the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 hours at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then guenched by dropwise addition of H₂O (20 mL) and warmed to room temperature. Additional H₂O (80 mL) was then added and the mixture extracted with 3 x 50 mL CH₂Cl₂. The reunited organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in THF (40 mL) and MeOH (40 mL) was added, followed by NH₄F (3.53 g, 95.4 mmol, 9 equiv.) and the mixture was stirred for 45 minutes. The mixture was then concentrated and subsequently diluted in EtOAc (100 mL) and H₂O (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with 2 x 50 mL EtOAc and the reunited organic phases washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography (PE/Et₂O 80:1 2 40:1) afforded **9b** (2.60 g, 7.10 mmol, 67%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.10 (s, 2H), 5.83 (m, 2H), 5.02 (dd, 2H), 4.97 (d, 2H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 2.56 (t, 4H), 2.08 (td, 4H), 1.62 (m, 4H), 1.52-1.34 (m, 8H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.5, 138.9, 130.2, 130.0, 114.4, 112.4, 33.6, 29.9, 29.3, 28.9, 28.7. IR (cm⁻¹): 3582, 3075, 2975, 2926, 2855, 1640, 1459, 1184, 993, 910, 864. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₂₀H₂₉Br₁O₁ (M⁺⁺) 364.1396, found 364.1396.

bis(perfluorophenyl) 2,5-bis(allyloxy)terephthalate (11)

Dimethyl 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalate **10**¹⁴ (10.04 g, 44.4 mmol, 1 equiv), allyl bromide (13.4 g, 111.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv), and K₂CO₃ (15.3 g, 111.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) were dissolved in 90 mL DMF, and the solution was stirred at 100 °C overnight. Then, the solution was diluted with 300 mL EtOAc and 300 mL water. The aqueous layer was washed with 2 × 200 mL EtOAc, and the organic layers were combined and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was precipitated with 25 mL EtOAc and 40 mL PE to yield dimethyl 2,5-bis(allyloxy)terephthalate as a white solid (8.14 g, 26.58 mmol, 61%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (s, 2H), 6.07 (ddt, *J* = 17.2, 10.2, 4.9 Hz, 2H), 5.64-5.39 (m, 2H), 5.38-5.27 (m, 2H), 4.69-4.57 (m, 4H), 3.93 (s, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1, 151.7, 132.8, 124.8, 117.7, 117.5, 70.6, 52.5.

For saponification of the methyl esters, dimethyl 2,5-bis(allyloxy)terephthalate (8.14 g, 26.6 mmol, 1 equiv)
and KOH (5.96 g, 106 mmol, 4 equiv) were dissolved in 130 mL THF:MeOH:H₂O (2:1:1), and the solution was
stirred overnight at room temperature. Subsequently the solution was acidified with 15 mL HCl (37%), then
concentrated *in vacuo* and then diluted again with 200 mL water and was extracted with 2 × 200 mL EtOAc.
The organic layer was washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to give 2,5 bisallyloxyterephthalic acid as a white solid (5.25 g, 18.9 mmol, 71%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.49 (s,

3

46

47

2H), 6.09 (ddt, *J* = 17.2, 10.3, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 5.61-5.40 (m, 2H), 5.39-5.16 (m, 2H), 4.74-4.61 (m, 4H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 168.6, 152.6, 134.2, 126.4, 118.2, 118.0, 71.6.

4 The synthesis of the bis acid chloride and subsequent transformation into the bis pentafluorophenol ester 5 was conducted by dissolving 2,5-bisallyloxyterephthalic acid (5.25 g, 18.9 mmol, 1 equiv) in 100 mL dry THF 6 and oxalyl chloride (6.5 mL), and subsequently a droplet of DMF was added to the solution. The solution was 7 stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was then concentrated in vacuo, after which it was 8 dissolved again in 20 mL dry THF. The resulting solution was added dropwise to a solution of 9 pentafluorophenol (7.94 g, 56.7 mmol, 3 equiv) and DIPEA (7.90 mL, 45.4 mmol, 2.4 equiv) in 90 mL dry THF. 10 The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hour and at room temperature for 3 h. Subsequently, the solution was 11 12 concentrated in vacuo and then dissolved in EtOAc, extracted with HCl (1M), water, saturated NHCO₃ solution 13 and then brine. The organic layer was washed with MgSO4, filtered and evaporated in vacuo to yield 14 terephthalic ester template **11** as a white solid (8.71 g, 14.3 mmol, 76%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (s, 15 2H), 6.08 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.3, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 5.62-5.45 (m, 2H), 5.41-5.27 (m, 2H), 4.82-4.65 (m, 4H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR 16 (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.8, 152.7, 132.1, 122.6, 118.4, 118.0, 70.8. 17

18 19 bis(perfluorophenyl) 2,5-bis(methoxy)terephthalate (12)

20 Dimethyl 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalate **10** (5.40 g, 23.9 mmol, 1 equiv), K_2CO_3 (9.91 g, 71.7 mmol, 3 equiv) 21 were dissolved in DMF (24 mL) and Mel (6.0 mL, 95.6 mmol, 4 equiv) was added dropwise. The solution was 22 then stirred overnight at room temperature and subsequently diluted with saturated NH₄Cl in H₂O (40 mL) 23 and extracted with 4 x 25 mL CH₂Cl₂. The reunited organic phases were then washed with 3 x 75 mL H₂O and 24 brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to give dimethyl 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalate (5.91 g, 23.3 mmol, 97%) which was used without further purification. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (s, 2H), 3.95 26 (s, 6H), 3.92 (s, 6H). No additonal spectral data were acquired (known compound).¹⁴

Dimethyl 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalate (5.91 g, 23.3 mmol, 1 equiv.) and KOH (5.22 g, 93 mmol, 4 equiv.) were dissolved in 180 mL of THF:MeOH:H₂O (4:3:2) and the solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. Subsequently the solution was acidified to pH 1 with HCl (37%), diluted with 250 mL ice cold H₂O, filtered and the filtrate was extracted with 3 x 150 mL EtOAc. The reunited organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue triturated with Et₂O to give 2,5-dimethoxyterephthalic acid (3.54 g, 15.6 mmol, 67%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.53 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 6H). No additional spectral data were acquired (known compound).²³

2,5-dimethoxyterephthalic acid (3.54 g, 15.6 mmol, 1 equiv) was suspended in dry THF (200 mL) and DIPEA 36 (11 mL, 62 mmol, 4 equiv), pentafluorophenol (7.18 g, 39.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and HBTU (17.8 g, 46.9 mmol, 37 3 equiv) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, then dry loaded on 38 SiO₂ and purified by column chromatography (Et₂O \rightarrow Et₂O/EtOAc 5:1). Traces of pentafluorophenol were 39 40 removed from the final product by trituration with PE, giving terephthalic ester template 12 as a yellow solid 41 (6.00 g, 10.8 mmol, 69%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (s, 2H), 4.01 (s, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 42 δ 160.6, 153.5, 122.0, 116.3, 56.9. IR (cm⁻¹): 1761, 1729, 1516, 1505, 1468, 1445, 1386, 1328, 1308, 1238, 43 1201, 1183, 1153, 1090, 1029, 1009, 993, 886, 861, 792, 770, 712, 652, 628, 592, 576, 451. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z 44 calcd for C₂₂H₈F₁₀O₆ (M^{•+}) 558.0156, found 558.0145. m.p.: 169.6-170.8 °C. 45

bis(4-(tert-butyl)-2,6-di(hept-6-en-1-yl)phenyl) 2,5-bis(hydroxy)terephthalate (13a)

Compound **9a** (0.57 g, 1.65 mmol, 2.2 equiv), Cs₂CO₃ (0.73 g, 2.23 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and bis(perfluorophenyl) 48 49 2,5-bis(allyloxy)terephthalate 11 (0.45 g, 0.74 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₃CN (9 mL) and the 50 reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo 51 and purified by column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 5:1 \rightarrow 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow 1:2) to give the bisaryl ester (0.616 52 g, 0.66 mmol, 89%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (s, 2H), 7.12 (s, 4H), 6.08-5.98 (m, 2H), 53 5.80-5.70 (m, 4H), 5.46 (dd, J = 17.3, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.26 (dd, J = 10.6, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 4.97-4.86 (m, 8H), 4.68-4.66 54 (m, 4H), 2.54 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 8H), 2.00 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 8H), 1.62 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 8H), 1.33 (s, 34H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75) 55 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.1, 152.0, 148.7, 145.2, 139.0, 133.9, 132.6, 124.9, 124.6, 118.1, 117.5, 114.4, 70.6, 34.5, 56 33.8, 32.7, 31.6, 30.9, 30.1, 29.2, 28.8, 27.9, 27.7, 25.3. 57

The thus obtained bis arylester (391 mg, 0.422 mmol) was dissolved in dry 1,4-dioxane (4 mL) under N₂ atmosphere. Et₂NH (0.18 mL, 1.69 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (24 mg, 0.021 mmol, 0.05 equiv) were added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL) and 1M HCl (10 mL), after which the organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 7:1 \rightarrow 5:1) to give **13a** (345 mg, 0.407 mmol, 97%) as a yellow crystalline solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.07 (s, 2H), 7.83 (s, 2H), 7.17 (s, 4H), 5.83-5.73 (m, 4H), 5.01-4.89 (m, 8H), 2.50 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 8H), 2.03 (q, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 8H), 1.61 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 8H), 1.44-1.30 (m, 34H). mp 88.2-92.8°C. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.1, 153.9, 149.5, 144.5, 138.9, 133.7, 125.1, 118.4, 118.3, 114.4, 34.6, 33.7, 32.7, 31.6, 30.8, 30.1, 29.0, 28.7, 25.3. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₅₆H₇₈O₆ (M⁺⁺) 846.5793, found 846.5814.

bis(4-bromo-2,6-di(hept-6-en-1-yl)phenyl) 2,5-bis(methoxy)terephthalate (13b)

Compound **9b** (2.60 g, 7.10 mmol, 2 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (4.63 g, 14.2 mmol, 4 equiv), bis(perfluorophenyl) 2,5dimethoxyterephthalate **12** (1.98 g, 3.55 mmol, 1 equiv) and 4Å molecular sieves (3.5 g) were suspended in dry CH₃CN (70 mL) and the reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then filtered over celite, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc 25:1 \rightarrow 20:1) to give the bisaryl ester **13b** (2.81 g, 3.06 mmol, 86%) as a colorless oil, which slowly crystalized in the fridge. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (s, 2H), 7.30 (s, 4H), 5.78 (m, 4H), 4.97 (dd, 4H), 4.92 (d, 4H), 3.97 (s, 6H), 2.55 (t, 8H), 2.04 (td, 8H), 1.63 (m, 8H), 1.48-1.27(m, 16H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.8, 152.8, 146.5, 138. 8, 137.1, 130.5, 123.7, 119.4, 115.6, 114.4, 56.7, 33.6, 30.3, 29.6, 29.0, 28.7. IR (cm⁻¹): 3075, 2926, 2855, 1750, 1720, 1640, 1600, 1572, 1502, 1459, 1394, 1229, 1206, 1151, 1079, 1032, 908, 865. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₅₀H₆₄⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrO₆ (M⁺⁺) 920.3044, found 920.3057.

Prerotaxane 1

Compound **13a** (1.16 g, 1.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (270 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst (116 mg, 0.137 mmol, 0.10 equiv) was then added and the mixture was stirred overnight at 40 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture revealed that approximately 15% terminal alkene was still present. The solution was again degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles, 58 mg Grubbs II was added, and the reaction was stirred overnight at 40 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1). 1.06 g of a colorless oil was obtained, which was dissolved in dry THF (50 mL) and Pd(C) (400 mg, 10 wt. % Pd) was added. H₂ was bubbled through the mixture for 5 min and the reaction was subsequently stirred overnight at 50 °C under a H₂ atmosphere (balloon). The mixture was filtered and concentrated in *vacuo*. The residue was triturated in MeOH to give **1** (1.01 g, 1.27 mmol, 93%) as a yellow crystalline solid. Slow evaporation of a saturated solution in meOH gave crystals that were suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.14 (s, 2H), 7.87 (s, 2H), 7.17 (s, 4H), 2.56-2.42 (m, 8H), 1.69-1.64 (m, 4H), 1.35-1.28 (m, 32H), 1.15 (s, 14H), 1.02 (s, 8H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.0, 153.6, 149.6, 144.1, 134.2, 125.3, 118.3, 118.0, 34.4, 31.4, 30.4, 30.4, 29.6, 29.2, 28.9, 28.1. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₅₂H₇₄O₆ (M⁺⁺) 794.5480, found 794.5497.

Prerotaxane 14

Compound **13b** (2.81 g, 3.06 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (1500 mL) and the solution was purged with N₂ for 1 h. Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst (130 mg, 0.150 mmol, 5 mol%) was then added, and the mixture purged with N₂ for 15 minutes before being warmed to 40 °C and stirred for two days. Then more Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst (65 mg, 0.075 mmol, 2.5 mol%) was added and the resulting mixture stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue suspended in boiling EtOAc (10 mL), cooled and then filtered, affording the macrocyclic prerotaxane tetradehydro **14** (1.42 g, 1.64 mmol, 54%) as a grey powder. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.30 (s, 4H), 5.18 (m, 2H), 5.11 (m, 2H), 3.99 (s, 6H), 2.70-2.36 (m, 8H), 1.95-1.76 (m, 8H), 1.74-1.49 (m, 8H), 1.46-1.15 (m, 16H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3, 153.3, 153.1, 146.5, 137.6, 137.6, 131.0, 130.9, 129.9, 129.7, 123.1, 123.0, 119.4, 116.4, 116.2, 56.6, 32.5, 32.3, 30.1, 28.9, 28.7, 28.4, 28.3. IR (cm⁻¹): 2926, 2853, 1750, 1720, 1572, 1502, 1459, 1395, 1304, 1231, 1207, 1156, 1081. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₄₆H₅₆⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrO₆ (M⁺⁺) 864.2424, found 864.2385.

Prerotaxane tetradehydro 14 (1.42 g, 1.64 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry THF (400 mL) under a N₂
atmosphere and PtO₂ (56.0 mg, 0.247 mmol, 15 mol%) was added to the resulting solution. The reaction
mixture was purged with H₂ for 30 minutes and stirred for 3 days at room temperature under a H₂
atmosphere (balloon). Then further PtO₂ (37.3 mg, 0.165 mmol, 10 mol%) was added and the reaction stirred
overnight. The mixture was filtered over celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was triturated in a 1:1
EtOAc/PE mixture (6 mL) to give prerotaxane 14 (1.31 g, 92%) as a white solid. m.p.: 256.6-258.8 °C. ¹H NMR

(300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.78 (s, 2H), 7.29 (s, 4H), 4.01 (s, 6H), 2.69-2.35 (m, 8H), 1.72-1.47 (m, 8H), 1.42-1.26 (m, 8H), 1.25-0.90 (m, 24H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2, 153.4, 153.2, 146.6, 137.7, 130.9, 123.3, 123.2, 119.4, 116.6, 116.4, 56.7, 30.0, 29.9, 29.8, 29.4, 29.4, 29.0, 28.9, 28.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 2923, 2852, 1718, 1572, 1502, 1461, 1395, 1302, 1230, 1207, 1154, 1033, 732. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₄₆H₆₀⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrO₆ (M⁺⁺) 868.2731, found 868.2771.

Prerotaxane **4**

Compound **15** (434 mg, 0.500 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (125 mL) and subsequently cooled to 0 °C. Then a 1M BBr₃ solution in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL, 4.0 mmol, 8 equiv.) was added dropwise. Once addition was complete, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was then cooled in an ice/salt bath and MeOH (25 mL) was added dropwise, followed by dilution in MeOH (100 mL) and concentration *in vacuo*. The residue was re-concentrated from MeOH (125 mL) two more times, giving prerotaxane **4** (416 mg, 0.495 mmol, 99%) as a yellow powder. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.95 (s, 2H), 7.86 (s, 2H), 7.32 (s, 4H), 2.56-2.35 (m, 8H), 1.72-1.46 (m, 8H), 1.41-1.21 (m, 8H), 1.20-0.82 (m, 24H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR of the same sample failed due to the insolubility in CDCl₃ leading to a too low concentration. IR (cm⁻¹): 3282, 2920, 2850, 1691, 1572, 1497, 1457, 1358, 1324, 1184, 1149, 1082, 1067, 857, 829, 811, 786, 636, 422. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{44}H_{56}^{79}Br^{81}BrO_6$ (M⁺⁺) 840.2418, found 840.2480.

Prerotaxane 15

Aryl bromide **14** (217 mg, 0.250 mmol, 1 equiv) and phenylboronic acid (122 mg, 1.00 mmol, 4 equiv) were dissolved in THF (10 mL) and the resulting solution purged with N₂ for 30 minutes. Then a degassed 2M solution of Na₂CO₃ in H₂O (1.9 mL, 3.75 mmol, 15 equiv) was added, followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (28.9 mg, 0.025 mmol, 10 mol%) and the mixture was heated at reflux overnight under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The solid residue was triturated with EtOAc/PE (1:1) to give compound prerotaxane **15** (207 mg, 0.239 mmol, 96%) as a brown powder.m.p.: 285.9-288.2 °C (decomposition). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (s, 2H), 7.63 (d, 4H), 7.47 (t, 4H), 7.41-7.33 (m, 6H), 4.05 (s, 6H), 2.80-2.49 (m, 8H), 1.79-1.56 (m, 8H), 1.46-0.94 (m, 32H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.6, 153.4, 153.3, 147.0, 140.9, 139.4, 135.7, 128.7, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 123.5, 116.6, 116.5, 56.8, 30.3, 30.0, 29.8, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 29.1, 28.2. IR (cm⁻¹): 2923, 2852, 1712, 1577, 1496, 1462, 1396, 1297, 1229, 1205, 1148, 1105, 1031, 905, 882, 804, 781, 761, 732, 696, 641. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₅₈H₇₀O₆ (M⁺⁺) 862.5167, found 862.5156.

Prerotaxane **6**

Compound **15** (207 mg, 0.239 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) and subsequently cooled to 0 °C. Then a 1M BBr₃ solution in CH_2Cl_2 (1.9 mL, 1.9 mmol, 8 equiv.) was added dropwise. Once addition was complete, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was then cooled in an ice/salt bath and MeOH (8 mL) was added dropwise, followed by dilution in MeOH (32 mL) and concentration *in vacuo*. The residue was re-concentrated from MeOH (50 mL) two more times, giving **6** (195 mg, 0.233 mmol, 97%) as a yellow powder. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.10 (s, 2H), 7.93 (s, 2H), 7.62 (d, 4H), 7.47 (t, 4H), 7.42-7.35 (m, 6H), 2.70-2.46 (m, 8H), 1.80-1.52 (m, 8H), 1.44-1.32 (m, 8H), 1.18 (s, 16H), 1.04 (s, 8H). Due to low solubility a ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum was not obtained. IR (cm⁻¹): 3261, 2921, 2851, 1687, 1497, 1460, 1360, 1324, 1219, 1183, 1146, 1080, 1028, 887, 874, 810, 786, 762, 723, 698, 642, 601, 583, 541, 597. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₅₆H₆₆O₆ (M^{*+}) 834.4854, found 834.4887.

Prerotaxane 2

Diol **1** (180 mg, 0.226 mmol, 1.0 equiv), K_2CO_3 (166 mg, 1.20 mmol, 5.3 equiv), and pent-4-yn-1ylmethanesulfonate (0.133 g, 0.70 mmol, 2.6 equiv) were dissolved in dry DMF (2 mL) and the reaction was stirred for 20h at 90 °C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with Et₂O (60 mL) and H₂O (60 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with Et₂O (20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated NH₄Cl (40 mL), twice with H₂O (20 mL), and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in *vacuo*. The residue was triturated with MeOH to give **2** (173 mg, 0.187 mmol, 82%) as a solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (s, 4H), 4.31-4.23 (m, 4H), 2.73-2.42 (m, 12H), 2.09 (br m, 4H), 1.96 (s, 2H), 1.65 (br m, 6H), 1.36 (br m, 30H), 1.19 (br m, 16H), 1.04 (br m, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.5, 161.7, 148.9, 148.6, 145.3, 134.4, 134.1, 125.2, 123.7, 118.3, 116.9, 83.5, 83.1, 69.1, 68.8, 67.9, 67.7, 34.3, 31.4, 30.5, 30.1, 29.8, 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 29.0, 28.2, 28.0, 15.1. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₅₅H₉₀O₁₁ (M⁺⁺) 926.6478, found 926.6470.

Prerotaxane 3

Dry DMF (20 mL) was added to diol **1** (400 mg, 0.503 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 3-azidopropyl methanesulfonate (450 mg, 1.76 mmol, 3.5 equiv), (8.4 mg Kl, 0.0506 mmol, 0.10 equiv), and K_2CO_3 (173 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 100 °C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with Et₂O (70 mL) and H₂O (70 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with Et₂O (20 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with saturated NH₄Cl (40 mL), twice with H₂O (20 mL), and with brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in *vacuo*. The residue was triturated in MeOH to give **3** (443 mg, 0.461 mmol, 92%) as a white crystalline solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (s, 4H), 4.32-4.11 (m, 4H), 3.71-3.46 (m, 4H), 2.72-2.35 (m, 8H), 2.12 (br m, 4H), 1.63 (br m, 6H), 1.35 (br m, 30H), 1.19 (br m, 16H), 1.02 (br m, 6H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 161.8, 153.4, 153.1, 152.1, 151.8, 149.1, 148.9, 145.3, 144.7, 144.7, 134.5, 134.2, 134.1, 125.4, 125.2, 124.0, 123.6, 118.4, 118.2, 117.0, 116.6, 66.2, 48.1, 48.0, 34.5, 31.6, 30.6, 30.3, 29.9, 29.6, 29.5, 29.5, 29.1, 29.0, 28.9, 28.2. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₅₈H₈₄N₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 960.6447, found 960.6400.

Prerotaxane **5**

Dry DMF (12.5 mL) was added to diol **4** (210 mg, 0.250 mmol, 1 equiv), 3-azidopropyl methanesulfonate (179 mg, 1.00 mmol, 4 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (345 mg, 2.50 mmol, 10 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 90 °C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with H₂O (25 mL) and EtOAc (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc (25 mL) and the reunited organic phases were washed with 3 x 25 mL H₂O and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc 19:1 \rightarrow 9:1) afforded prerotaxane **5** (252 mg, 0.250 mmol, 100%) as a white solid. m.p.: 171.2-174.5 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 4H), 4.24 (m, 4H), 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.54 (m, 2H), 2.73-2.32 (m, 8H), 2.12 (m, 4H), 1.71-1.49 (m, 8H), 1.44-0.83 (m, 32H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.9, 161.2, 153.5, 153.2, 152.1, 151.8, 146.6, 146.1, 137.7, 137.5, 131.0, 123.6, 123.4, 123.2, 123.0, 119.6, 118.5, 118.2, 116.9, 116.4, 66.1, 47.9, 30.2, 29.9, 29.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.0, 28.8, 28.8, 28.7, 28.3, 28.2, 28.0. IR (cm⁻¹): 2922, 2851, 2096, 1745, 1718, 1601, 1572, 1502, 1459, 1411, 1385, 1301, 1264, 1227, 1192, 1048, 730. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₅₀H₆₆⁷⁹Br⁸¹BrN₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 1006.3385, found 1006.3425.

Prerotaxane **7**

Dry DMF (5 mL) was added to diol 6 (195 mg, 0.233 mmol, 1 equiv), 3-azidopropyl methanesulfonate (167 mg, 0.932 mmol, 4 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (322 mg, 2.33 mmol, 10 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 90 °C. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with H_2O (25 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) and the reunited organic phases were washed with 3 x 25 mL H₂O and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was triturated with PE/EtOAc (2:1) to give prerotaxane 7 (152 mg, 0.152 mmol, 71%) as a yellow solid. To obtain crystals that were suitable for X-ray diffraction a concentrated solution of 7 in CH₂Cl₂ was transferred into an NMR tube. A layer of petroleum ether was carefully added on top of this solution. The crystals were grown after slow diffusion of petroleum ether into the CH₂Cl₂ layer. m.p.: 212.0-214.8 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 0.5H), 7.82 (s, 0.5H), 7.64 (d, 4H), 7.51-7.35 (m, 10H), 4.36-4.23 (m, 4H), 3.73-3.54 (m, 4H), 2.85-2.45 (m, 8H), 2.25-2.06 (m, 4H), 1.80-1.57 (m, 8H), 1.49-0.87 (m, 32H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.3, 161.7, 153.5, 153.2, 152.2, 151.9, 147.1, 146.5, 140.8, 139.6, 139.5, 135.7, 135.5, 135.4, 128.7, 127.2, 127.1, 123.8, 123.7, 123.5, 123.3, 118.5, 118.25, 117.0, 116.6, 66.4, 66.3, 66.2, 48.0, 30.5, 30.4, 30.1, 30.0, 29.6, 29.5, 29.2, 29.0, 28.9, 28.4, 28.3, 28.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 2922, 2851, 2095, 1741, 1715, 1598, 1576, 1501, 1461, 1410, 1384, 1346, 1300, 1261, 1222, 1188, 1142, 1106, 1083, 1047, 1007, 971, 908, 883, 830, 781, 762, 729, 697, 669, 648. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₆₂H₇₆N₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 1000.5821, found 1000.5802.

5'-(azidomethyl)-4,4''-di-tert-butyl-1,1':3',1''-terphenyl A

26

27

28 29

31

32

33

35

36

37

38 39

41

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57

58 59

60

1

2 Carbaldehyde 16¹⁷ (1.85 g, 5.00 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in absolute ethanol (30 mL) and dry THF (30 3 mL) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. NaBH₄ (378 mg, 10.0 mmol, 2 equiv) was added, after which the 4 solution was stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and partitioned between 5 Et₂O (40 mL) and H₂O (40 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (20 mL), after which the combined 6 organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over $MgSO_4$, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 7 colorless film. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL), the mixture was purged with N₂ for 30 minutes 8 and cooled to 0 °C. Subsequently, PPh₃ (1.57 g, 6.00 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added, followed by NBS (1.07 g, 9 6.00 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes, then at room temperature for 10 30 minutes, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was dry loaded on silica and purified by column 11 12 chromatography (PE/EtOAc 200:1 \rightarrow 100:1) to give the bromide (2.05 g, 4.70 mmol, 94%) as a colorless foam. 13 ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80-7.72 (m, 1H), 7.66-7.56 (m, 6H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 1.41 (s, 14 18H). 15

The bromide (871 mg, 2.00 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in acetone (16 mL), after which a solution of NaN_3 16 (195 mg, 3.00 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in H₂O (4 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room 17 temperature and subsequently diluted with Et₂O (40 mL) and H₂O (40 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted 18 with Et₂O (10 mL), after which the combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over 19 MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to give A (784 mg, 1.97 mmol, 99%) as a thick colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 20 21 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87-7.77 (m, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 7.59-7.45 (m, 6H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 1.43 (s, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} 22 NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.7, 142.3, 137.8, 136.3, 127.0, 125.9, 125.5, 55.0, 34.6, 31.4. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd 23 for C₂₇H₃₁N₃ (M^{•+}) 397.2513, found 397.2525. 24

4,4"-di-tert-butyl-5'-ethynyl-1,1':3',1"-terphenyl B

A solution of CBr_4 (1.51 g, 4.56 mmol, 2 equiv) and PPh_3 (2.39 g, 9.12 mmol, 4 equiv) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 15 minutes. Carbaldehyde 18 (846 mg, 2.28 mmol, 1 equiv) was added to the yellow solution, then the mixture was stirred cooled at 0 °C for 1h and 30 concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was dry loaded on silica and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc 100:1 \rightarrow 99:1) to give the dibromovinyl (1.10 g, 2.09 mmol, 92%). The residue was dissolved in dry THF (20 mL) and cooled to -78 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Then BuLi (2.5 M, 2.1 mL, 5.23 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added slowly to the cooled solution, which was subsequently stirred for 1h at -78 °C and 1h at room 34 temperature. The reaction was quenched with H_2O (5 mL) and the aqueous layer extracted with Et_2O (5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H_2O (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc $100:0 \rightarrow 99:1$) to give **B** (712 mg, 1.88 mmol, 90%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 3.16 (s, 1H), 1.42 (s, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 151.0, 40 141.9, 137.5, 129.4, 127.0, 126.6, 126.0, 122.9, 83.9, 34.7, 31.5. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₂₈H₃₀ (M⁺⁺) 366.2342, found 366.2354. 42

Half stoppered prerotaxane a2

Diyne 2 (200 mg, 0.216 mmol, 1.0 equiv), stopper 4,4',4"-(3-azidopropane-1,1,1-triyl)tris(tert-butylbenzene) a^{14} (42 mg, 0.087 mmol, 0.40 equiv), and TBTA (23 mg, 0.043 mmol, 0.20 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (23 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (14 mg, 0.045 mmol, 0.21 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature under N_2 atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAC 14:1 \rightarrow 12:1 \rightarrow 10:1) to give a2 (57 mg, 0.0405 mmol, 19%) as a white foam. Also 140 mg of 2 (0.151 mol, 70%) was retrieved (yield brsm 63%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88-7.84 (m, 1H), 7.80-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 7.14 (s, 4H), 6.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (t, J = 20.1 Hz, 4H), 4.05 (br m, 2H), 3.20-3.08 (m, 2H), 2.96-2.91 (m, 2H), 2.67 (br m 2H), 2.57-2.43 (m, 8H), 2.27 (br m, 2H), 2.07 (br m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 1H), 1.55 (br m, 4H), 1.33 (br m, 47H), 1.16 (br m, 16H), 1.00 (br m, 6H), 0.88 (br m, 12H). $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.7, 143.1, 134.4, 128.4, 124.9, 54.1, 47.8, 34.3, 34.3, 31.5, 31.3, 30.5, 30.1, 29.8, 29.0, 28.2, 15.1. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₉₅H₁₂₉N₃O₆ (M^{•+}) 1407.9876, found 1407.9941.

Half stoppered prerotaxane A2

Diyne 2 (130 mg, 0.140 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 5'-(azidomethyl)-4,4"-di-tert-butyl-1,1':3',1"-terphenyl (22 mg, 0.055 mmol, 0.39 equiv), and TBTA (15 mg, 0.028 mmol, 0.20 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (13 mg, 0.041 mmol, 0.29 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 18 h at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc $10:1 \rightarrow 4:1 \rightarrow 2:1$) to give **A2** (60 mg, 0.0453 mmol, 32%) as a white solid. Also 66 mg of prerotaxane **2** (0.0712 mmol, 51%) was retrieved (yield brsm **A2** 66%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94-7.66 (m, 3H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 15.1 Hz, 2H), 7.20-7.06 (m, 4H), 7.00-6.96 (m, 1H), 5.63-5.49 (m, 2H), 4.22-4.18 (m, 4H), 2.95 (d, *J* = 21.4 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (br m, 8H), 2.07 (br m, 2H), 1.94 (s, 1H), 1.38 (s, 18H), 1.28 (br m, 40H), 0.91 (br m, 32H).¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3, 126.8, 126.0, 125.7, 125.2, 125.0, 77.2, 77.1, 76.9, 76.6, 70.4, 69.2, 68.7, 62.9, 47.1, 34.5, 34.3, 31.6, 31.4, 31.2, 30.4, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 25.4, 22.5, 13.9, 11.7. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m*/*z* calcd for C₈₉H₁₁₈N₃O₆ [M+H]⁺ 1324.902, found 1324.899.

Half stoppered prerotaxane B3

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55 56 57

58

59

60

15 Diazide 3 (117 mg, 0.127 mmol, 1 equiv), stopper B (24.5 mg, 0.051 mmol, 0.4 equiv) and TBTA (13.5 mg, 16 0.025 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the solution was purged with N₂ for 30 17 minutes. Then Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (8.0 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added and the mixture purged with N₂ for 18 19 additional 10 minutes and stirred overnight at room temperature under N_2 atmosphere. The crude mixture 20 was dry loaded on silica (600 mg ca.) and purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/PE 1:14 \rightarrow 1:10) to give 21 starting material 3 (68.7 mg, 0.074 mmol, 58%) and mono-stoppered product B3 (54.4 mg, 0.039 mmol, 30%) 22 as a colorless film. The procedure was repeated on the recovered starting material to afford again B3 (33.1 23 mg, 0.023 mmol, 32%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98-7.67 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.20 (m, 12.5H), 7.20-7.10 (s, 24 4H), 7.01-6.90 (m, 0.5H), 4.34-3.98 (m, 6H), 3.22-3.09 (m, 2H), 3.04-2.87 (m, 2H), 2.78-2.35 (m, 10H), 2.35-25 2.21 (m, 2H), 2.15-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 1H), 1.75-1.50 (m, 8H), 1.47-0.82 (m, 77H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, 26 CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 165.4, 162.0, 153.2, 152.1, 149.0, 148.8, 146.8, 145.6, 145.4, 144.7, 143.2, 140.6, 134.5, 134.3, 27 133.9, 128.8, 128.5, 125.5, 125.3, 125.0, 123.9, 123.6, 123.4, 121.1, 120.7, 118.4, 118.2, 116.7, 83.6, 83.2, 28 69.1, 68.9, 68.7, 68.0, 54.2, 47.9, 40.8, 40.1, 34.6, 34.5, 34.4, 34.4, 32.7, 31.8, 31.7, 31.6, 31.4, 30.6, 30.2, 29 30 29.9, 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.3, 29.1, 28.7, 28.3, 25.2, 22.7, 22.1, 15.2, 14.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 3312, 3032, 2955, 2924, 31 2854, 2098, 1745, 1718, 1599, 1504, 1463, 1410, 1384, 1363, 1303, 1269, 1228, 1199, 1165, 1116, 1088, 32 1051, 1015, 958, 910, 880, 824, 782, 732, 701, 646, 588, 541. HRMS (FD⁺) *m*/*z* calcd for C₉₅H₁₂₉N₃O₆ (M⁺⁺) 33 1407.9876, found 1407.9951. 34

Half stoppered prerotaxane B5

Diazide 5 (252 mg, 0.250 mmol, 1 equiv), stopper B (36.6 mg, 0.100 mmol, 0.4 equiv) and TBTA (26.5 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) and the solution was purged with N_2 for 30 minutes. Then Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (15.7 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.2 equiv.) was added and the mixture purged with N₂ for additional 10 minutes and stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The crude mixture was dry loaded on silica (700 mg ca.) and purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/PE 1:1 \rightarrow 7:3 \rightarrow 8:2 \rightarrow 9:1 \rightarrow CH₂Cl₂) to give starting material **5** (152 mg, 0.151 mmol, 60%) and half stoppered prerotaxane B5 (82.6 mg, 0.060 mmol, 24%) as a colorless film. The procedure was repeated on the recovered starting material to afford an additional portion of B5 (64.5 mg, 0.047 mmol, 31%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03-7.95 (m, 2H), 7.87-7.72 (m, 3H), 7.69-7.50 (m, 9H), 7.34-7.28 (m, 4H), 4.83-4.60 (m, 2H), 4.35-4.14 (m, 4H), 3.69-3.49 (m, 2H), 2.78-2.32 (m, 10H), 2.19-2.06 (m, 2H), 1.75-0.81 (m, 58H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 164.8, 162.6, 161.6, 161.1, 154.4, 153.4, 153.1, 152.8, 152.7, 152.3, 152.0, 151.8, 151.5, 150.6, 147.9, 147.4, 146.6, 146.0, 142.3, 142.1, 138.0, 138.0, 137.7, 137.5, 137.4, 137.0, 131.5, 131.1, 131.0, 130.7, 130.5, 127.0, 125.8, 125.6, 125.4, 123.8, 123. 3, 123.2, 122.7, 121.3, 121.2, 120.0, 119.8, 119.6, 119.4, 118.7, 118.4, 118.2, 117.0, 116.9, 116.7, 116. 5, 69.9, 66.3, 65.6, 62.5, 62.2, 48.4, 48.2, 48.0, 47.9, 47.0, 46.6, 34.6, 31.4, 30.2, 30.1, 29.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 29.1, 28.9, 28.8, 28.3, 28.2, 28.0. IR (cm⁻¹): 2924, 2853, 2098, 1743, 1720, 1599, 1572, 1502, 1460, 1411, 1386, 1302, 1270, 1228, 1195, 1154, 1051, 909, 832, 781, 732. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for C₇₈H₉₆Br₂N₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 1370.5753, found 1370.5797.

Half stoppered prerotaxane B7

Diazide **7** (152 mg, 152 μ mol, 1 equiv), stopper **B** (22.2 mg, 60.6 μ mol, 0.4 equiv) and TBTA (16.1 mg, 30.4 μ mol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the solution was purged with N₂ for 30 minutes. Then Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (9.6 mg, 30.4 μ mol, 0.2 equiv.) was added and the mixture purged with N₂ for additional 10 minutes and stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The crude mixture was dry

loaded on silica (500 mg ca.) and purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/PE 8:2 \rightarrow 9:1 \rightarrow CH₂Cl₂) to give starting material 7 (76.0 mg, 76.0 μmol, 50%) and mono-stoppered product B7 (26.9 mg, 20.0 μmol, 13%) as a colorless film. The procedure was repeated on the recovered starting material to afford again 7 (49.1 mg, 49.1 μmol, 65%) and **B7** (32.3 mg, 23.6 μmol, 31%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07-7.88 (m, 4H), 7.85-7.76 (m, 2H), 7.68-7.59 (m, 8H), 7.58-7.33 (m, 14H), 4.87-4.66 (m, 2H), 4.37-4.19 (m, 4H), 3.71-3.54 (m, 2H), 2.91-2.44 (m, 10H), 2.23-2.08 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.56 (m, 8H), 1.48-0.85 (m, 50H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 162.1, 161.6, 153.4, 152.7, 150.5, 147.4, 147.1, 146.9, 146.4, 142.1, 140.8, 140.7, 139.8, 139.6, 139.5, 138.0, 135.7, 135.4, 131.5, 128.7, 128.7, 127.2, 127.1, 126.9, 125.7, 125.6, 123.6, 123.2, 117.1, 66.3, 65.5, 48.0, 46.7, 34.6, 31.4, 30.5, 30.4, 30.0, 29.9, 29.7, 29.7, 29.0, 28.9, 28.3, 28.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 2923, 2852, 2097, 1741, 1718, 1597, 1501, 1462, 1410, 1385, 1302, 1268, 1223, 1191, 1145, 1106, 1084, 1051, 968, 883, 831, 803, 782, 762, 735, 699. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for $C_{90}H_{106}N_6O_6$ (M⁺⁺) 1366.8169, found 1366.8131.

Prerotaxane **a2a**

Diyne **2** (46 mg, 0.050 mmol), stopper **a** (53 mg, 0.110 mmol, 2.2 equiv), and TBTA (6 mg, 0.010 mmol, 0.20 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (45 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Cu(CH_3CN)₄BF₄ (3 mg, 0.010 mmol, 0.20 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAC 14:1 \rightarrow 12:1 \rightarrow 10:1) to give **a2a** (53 mg, 0.028 mmol, 56%) as a colorless foam. Spectral data of **a2a** matched those reported in literature.¹⁴

[2]Rotaxane **a2a** (di acid)

Prerotaxane **a2a** (190 mg, 0.100 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1) and the reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (15 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (15 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in *vacuo* to give [2]rotaxane **a2a** (149 mg, 0.0773 mmol, 77%) as a colorless film. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (s, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 12H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 12H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 6.98 (s, 4H), 4.21 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 4H), 4.15-4.02 (m, 4H), 3.22-3.09 (m, 4H), 2.88 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 2.23 (quint, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 4H), 1.59-1.48 (m, 8H), 1.30 (br m, 78H), 1.09 (br m, 26H).¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 151.3, 149.5, 148.8, 148.8, 145.7, 143.0, 142.6, 129.0, 128.4, 124.9, 124.4, 123.4, 121.2, 117.0, 69.3, 67.0, 54.0, 53.3, 48.1, 40.7, 34.3, 33.9, 31.6, 31.6, 31.3, 31.1, 30.8, 30.5, 29.6, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.5, 21.4. MS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂₈H₁₇₆N₆O₈ (M⁺⁺) 1925.4, found 1925.4.

Prerotaxane A2A

Diyne **2** (50 mg, 0.0539 mmol, 1.0 equiv), stopper **A** (47 mg, 0.118 mmol, 2.2 equiv), and TBTA (6 mg, 0.011 mmol, 0.20 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (4 mg, 0.013 mmol, 0.24 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAC 5:1 \rightarrow 4:1 \rightarrow 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1) to give **A2A** (47 mg, 0.273 mmol, 51%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.84-7.66 (m, 4H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 8H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 8H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 14.9 Hz, 4H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 4H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 2H), 5.63-5.46 (m, 4H), 4.30-4.16 (m, 4H), 3.06-2.85 (m, 4H), 2.68-2.23 (m, 12H), 1.39 (s, 36H), 1.29 (br m, 34H), 1.12 (br m, 12H), 0.93 (br m, 12H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3, 153.2, 152.9, 152.0, 151.8, 150.8, 150.7, 148.9, 148.7, 147.7, 147.5, 145.3, 144.6, 144.6, 142.4, 137.4, 137.4, 135.7, 135.7, 134.4, 134.2, 134.1, 126.8, 126.0, 125.7, 125.2, 125.2, 125.0, 79.4, 69.8, 68.9, 68.8, 68.6, 68.4, 34.7, 34.5, 34.3, 34.0, 33.7, 31.9, 31.7, 31.6, 31.4, 31.3, 31.1, 30.4, 30.3, 30.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0, 28.9, 28.8, 28.4, 28.4, 28.2, 28.1, 28.0, 27.9, 25.4, 23.8, 23.1, 22.6, 22.3, 22.2, 22.1, 22.0, 14.6, 14.1. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₁₆H₁₄₈N₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 1721.1455, found 1721.1524.

[2]Rotaxane **A2A** (di acid)

Prerotaxane A2A (71 mg, 0.0412 mmol) was dissolved in 4 mL Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1) and the reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in *vacuo* to give [2]rotaxane A2A (64 mg, 0.0364 mmol, 88%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 7.62-7.30 (m, 26H), 7.01 (s, 4H),

5.63-5.53 (m, 4H), 4.35-4.20 (m, 6H), 2.95-2.87 (m, 4H), 2.65-2.57 (m, 8H), 2.37-2.23 (m, 4H), 1.74-1.43 (m, 12H), 1.43-1.10 (m, 54H), 1.09-0.76 (m, 24H). $^{13}C{^1H}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 165.0, 151.4, 151.4, 151.0, 150.9, 149.6, 146.5, 146.4, 142.7, 142.6, 142.6, 137.4, 135.4, 128.9, 127.4, 126.9, 126.9, 126.2, 126.1, 125.9, 125.9, 125.6, 125.5, 124.7, 124.5, 123.4, 123.4, 121.5, 121.3, 117.2, 117.1, 70.6, 69.6, 69.5, 69.4, 63.1, 54.4, 54.3, 47.2, 34.6, 34.5, 34.0, 32.0, 31.7, 31.7, 31.6, 31.4, 31.1, 30.8, 30.7, 30.6, 30.4, 30.0, 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 29.1, 29.0, 28.4, 28.3, 25.5, 25.3, 22.7, 22.7, 22.1, 21.7, 14.2, 14.0, 11.8. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₁₆H₁₅₄N₆O₈ [M + 2H]²⁺ 880.093, found 880.099.

Prerotaxane **B3B**

Diazide **3** (75 mg, 0.0759 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and stopper **B** (73 mg, 0.20 mmol, 2.6 equiv) and TBTA (15 mg, 0.028 mmol, 0.37 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. $Cu(CH_3CN)_4BF_4$ (13 mg, 0.041 mmol, 0.54 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAC 5:1 \rightarrow 4:1 \rightarrow 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1) to give **B3B** (91.3 mg, 0.0531 mmol, 70%) as a glass. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09-7.93 (m, 5H), 7.93-7.72 (m, 4H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 8H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 8H), 7.18 (s, 4H), 4.88-4.63 (m, 4H), 4.39-4.13 (m, 4H), 2.81-2.39 (m, 12H), 1.61 (br m, 11H), 1.43 (s, 35H), 1.38 (s, 14H), 1.31 (br m, 11H), 0.95 (br m, 23H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.1, 152.7, 150.5, 149.3, 147.4, 145.1, 144.5, 142.3, 142.1, 138.1, 134.4, 131.6, 127.0, 125.8, 125.6, 125.4, 123.7, 123.2, 121.4, 116.9, 65.3, 46.7, 34.6, 34.5, 31.6, 31.4, 30.5, 29.7, 29.6, 29.0, 28.3. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₁₄H₁₄₄KN₆O₆ [M+K]⁺ 1732.078, found 1732.081

[2]Rotaxane **B3B** (tetra methyl ester)

Prerotaxane **B3B** (72.0 mg, 0.0419 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of dioxane (4 ml) and methanol (1 ml), a solution of NaOH (40 mg) in water (0.5 ml) was added and the reaction was stirred at 50 °C during 72 h. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in *vacuo* to give the diacid, which was immediately converted to the diester. A solution of HCl in MeOH (prepared from 8 ml MeOH and 1.5 ml acetyl chloride at 50 °C) was added and the solution was stirred at 50 °C during 5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in *vacuo*, the residue was co-evaporated with MeOH (3x 5 ml) and purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc 3:1 and 2:1) to give **B3B** (37.2 mg, 0.0211 mmol, 51%) as glass. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.24 (s, 2H), 8.03 (s, 4H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 7.46-7.33 (m, 10H), 7.16 (s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 4H), 4.78 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 4.06 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 2.63 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 8H), 2.55 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H), 1.59-1.44 (m, 9H), 1.38 (s, 34H), 1.35 (s, 18H), 1.15 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 8H), 1.00-0.77 (m, 25H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 151.8, 150.4, 149.8, 147.6, 142.6, 142.2, 138.0, 131.1, 129.3, 127.0, 125.8, 125.7, 124.5, 124.2, 123.3, 121.3, 116.6, 65.6, 52.5, 46.9, 34.6, 34.0, 31.8, 31.4, 31.3, 30.7, 29.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.0, 29.0. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₁₆H₁₅₃N₆O₈ [M+H]⁺ 1758.175, found 1758.177

Prerotaxane **a2A**

Half stoppered prerotaxane **a2** (54.9 mg, 0.0390 mmol, 1 equiv), stopper **A** (0.0186 g, 0.0468 mmol, 1.2 eq) and TBTA (4.13 mg, 0.00779 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in 5 mL dry DCM, and then Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (2.45 mg, 0.00779 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere overnight. The solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc 9:1 \rightarrow 7:1 \rightarrow 5:1) to yield prerotaxane **a2A** as a colorless film (0.039 g, 0.0216 mmol, 56%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92-7.65 (m, 4H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 7.19-7.06 (m, 4H), 7.05-6.88 (m, 1H), 5.55-5.44 (m, 2H), 4.34-4.12 (m, 4H), 4.12-3.97 (m, 2H), 3.15 (t, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.06-2.82 (m, 4H), 2.79-2.17 (m, 12H), 1.60 (br m, 12H), 1.34 (m, 68H), 1.08 (br m, 24H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.7, 143.1, 142.4, 137.4, 128.3, 126.8, 126.0, 125.7, 125.2, 124.8, 54.0, 47.8, 34.5, 34.3, 34.2, 31.4, 31.2, 30.4, 30.0, 29.6, 29.5, 29.0, 28.0. HRMS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂₂H₁₆₀N₆O₆ (M⁺⁺) 1805.2394, found 1805.2477.

58 [2]Rotaxane **a2A** (di acid) 59 Prerotaxane **a2A** (39 mg

Prerotaxane **a2A** (39 mg, 0.216 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1) and the reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (5 mL), dried over

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

27

31

35

49 50

MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to give [2]rotaxane **a2A** (38 mg, 0.0206 mmol, 96%) as a colorless film. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 - 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.72 (m, 2H), 7.61-7.41 (m, 11H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 6.99 (s, 4H), 5.63 (s, 2H), 5.54-5.43 (m, 2H), 4.30-4.15 (m, 4H), 4.14-4.03 (m, 2H), 3.23-3.10 (m, 2H), 2.99-2.78 (m, 4H), 2.65-2.53 (m, 6H), 2.23 (s, 4H), 1.58-1.42 (m, 6H), 1.42-1.14 (m, 75H), 1.14-0.65 (m, 24H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃ δ 164.9, 164.7, 151.3, 151.3, 150.9, 150.8, 149.5, 149.4, 149.3, 148.8, 143.0, 142.6, 142.5, 142.4, 137.3, 128.8, 128.3, 126.8, 125.8, 125.7, 125.5, 124.9, 124.4, 124.4, 121.1, 117.0, 117.0, 69.6, 69.3, 67.0, 64.3, 54.2, 54.1, 54.0, 53.3, 48.1, 40.7, 34.5, 34.2, 33.9, 31.6, 31.2, 31.0, 30.4, 29.6, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0, 29.0, 24.7, 22.6. MS (FD⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₂₂H₁₆₄N₆O₈ (M^{•+}) 1841.3, found 1841.3. 10

12 Prerotaxane A23B

13 Compound A2 (54.5 mg, 0.0411 mmol, 1.0 equiv), B3 (110 mg, 2 equiv), and TBTA (15 mg, 0.028 mmol, 0.68 14 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2CI_2 (3 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. 15 Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (13 mg, 0.041 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 18h at room 16 temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by column 17 chromatography (PE/EtOAc 8:1 \rightarrow 5:1 \rightarrow 2:1) to give **A23B** (60.5 mg, 0.0228 mmol, 55%) as a glass. ¹H NMR 18 (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02– 7.31 (m, 26H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.06 (m, 8H), 7.04-6.89 (m, 1H), 5.54-5.49 19 (m, J = 2H), 4.88-4.69 (m, 2H), 4.64-4.44 (m, 2H), 4.27 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 12H), 4.18 (br m, 6H), 2.91 (br m, 4H), 20 21 2.53 (br m, 18H), 1.49 (br m, 8H), 1.39 (br m, 18H), 1.29 (br m, 72H), 1.12 (br m, 18H), 0.91 (br m, 32H). ¹³C¹H} 22 NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 142.5, 138.0, 134.4, 128.4, 127.9, 126.9, 126.1, 125.8, 125.7, 125.2, 125.1, 23 123.2, 79.5, 70.6, 69.8, 69.4, 63.0, 47.2, 46.8, 34.6, 34.4, 34.1, 31.9, 31.7, 31.5, 31.4, 31.4, 31.4, 30.5, 30.2, 24 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.1, 28.3, 25.5, 23.1, 22.7, 22.6, 21.7, 21.4, 19.3, 19.2, 19.0, 19.0, 17.8, 14.1, 14.0, 25 11.8. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₇₅H₂₃₃N₉O₁₂ [M + 2H)]²⁺ 1326.8961, found 1326.8928. 26

[3]Rotaxane A23B (tetra methyl ester)

28 29 Prerotaxane A23B (30 mg, 0.0113 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of dioxane (4 mL) and methanol (1 mL), 30 a solution of NaOH (40 mg) in water (0.5 ml) was added and the reaction was stirred at 50 °C during 72 h. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The 32 organic layer was washed with brine (5 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to give the diacid, 33 which was immediately converted to the diester. A solution of HCl in MeOH (prepared from 8 ml MeOH and 34 1.5 ml acetyl chloride at 50 °C) was added and the solution was stirred at 50 °C during 5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was co-evaporated with MeOH (3x 5 ml) and purified by flash 36 chromatography (PE/EtOAc 3:1 and 2:1) to give [3] rotaxane A23B (16.1 mg, 0.00579 mmol, 51%) as a glass.¹H 37 38 NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 (s, 1H), 8.06-7.96 (m, 2H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.49-39 7.44 (m, 7H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.41-7.30 (m, 10H), 7.11 (s, 2H), 7.01 (s, 4H), 6.99 (s, 4H), 5.45 (s, 2H), 4.77 40 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.17-3.94 (m, 8H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 41 2.98-2.85 (m, 4H), 2.78-2.48 (m, 18H), 2.41-2.31 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.11 (m, 4H), 1.62-1.46 (m, 20H), 1.38 (s, 18H), 42 1.36 (s, 18H), 1.32 (s, 18H), 1.30 (s, 20H), 1.27-1.13 (m, 16H), 1.13-0.82 (m, 42H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, 43 CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 165.7, 165.3, 165.1, 151.8, 151.7, 150.7, 150.2, 149.8, 149.7, 147.5, 147.4, 146.9, 142.5, 142.3, 44 142.0, 137.8, 137.4, 135.6, 130.8, 129.1, 128.9, 126.8, 125.9, 125.7, 125.6, 125.4, 124.3, 124.2, 124.1, 124.0, 45 123.2, 121.7, 121.3, 121.1, 116.7, 116.6, 77.2, 77.1, 76.9, 76.6, 68.8, 66.0, 65.6, 54.0, 52.4, 52.2, 52.1, 46.9, 46 47 46.7, 34.5, 34.4, 33.9, 33.8, 31.8, 31.6, 31.3, 31.2, 31.1, 30.5, 30.4, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 48 29.0, 28.9, 22.6, 22.0, 21.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₇₉H₂₄₇N₉O₁₆ (M^{•+}) 2779.8819, found 2779.8762.

Prerotaxane a2L2a

51 Prerotaxane 1 (110 mg, 0.0779 mmol, 2.2 equiv), linker L (6.67 mg, 0.0354 mmol, 1 eq) and TBTA (3.76 mg, 52 0.00708 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in 10 mL dry DCM and then $Cu(CH_3CN)_4BF_4$ (2.23 mg, 0.00708 mmol, 53 0.2 equiv) was added to the solution. The solution was stirred at room temperature under N_2 atmosphere 54 overnight. Subsequently the solution was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was purified by 55 column chromatography to yield prerotaxane **a2L2a** as a white film (0.0790 g, 0.0263 mmol, 74%). ¹H NMR 56 (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.91-7.79 (m, 2H), 7.77-7.63 (m, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 12H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 12H), 57 58 7.21-6.89 (m, 16H), 5.47-5.27 (m, 4H), 4.32-4.12 (m, 8H), 4.12-3.93 (m, 4H), 3.16 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 3.05-2.82 59 (m, 8H), 2.79-2.12 (m, 24H), 1.59 (br m, 16H), 1.34 (m, 110H), 1.06 (br m, 44H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 60 δ 148.7, 143.1, 134.4, 128.3, 124.8, 68.6, 54.0, 53.3, 47.8, 40.7, 34.3, 34.2, 31.4, 31.2, 30.5, 30.1, 29.8, 29.6,

29.4, 28.9, 28.2, 28.0, 23.8, 22.0. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉₈H₂₆₆N₁₂O₁₂Na [M + Na]⁺ 3027.0465, found 3027.0744.

[3]Rotaxane a2L2a (tetra acid)

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40 41

47

51

60

Prerotaxane a2L2a (71 mg, 0.0236 mmol) was dissolved in 7 mL Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/2M NaOH, 3:1:0.1) and the reaction was stirred overnight at 50 °C. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to give 20 (65 mg, 0.0211 mmol, 89%) as an off-white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (s, 2H), 7.67 (s, 2H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.34-7.29 (m, 11H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 14H), 7.19-7.11 (m, 6H), 6.98 (s, 8H), 5.46-5.32 (m, 4H), 4.30-4.14 (m, 8H), 4.13-4.01 (m, 4H), 3.22-3.03 (m, 4H), 2.99-2.82 (m, 8H), 2.64-2.56 (m, 12H), 2.30-2.19 (m, 8H), 2.02-1.54 (m, 12H), 1.52-1.37 (m, 12H), 1.37-1.19 (m, 106H), 1.19-0.83 (m, 44H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 164.9, 151.3, 151.3, 149.4, 148.8, 146.4, 145.6, 143.0, 142.6, 142.6, 135.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 124.9, 124.4, 69.4, 69.2, 67.0, 54.0, 53.4, 48.1, 40.7, 34.2, 33.9, 31.6, 31.5, 31.2, 31.0, 30.5, 29.6, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 29.0, 21.5, 21.4. MS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₁₉₈H₂₇₈N₁₂O₁₆ [M + 2H]²⁺1540.1, found 1540.1

Prerotaxane a25B

The half stoppered prerotaxanes B5 (85.3 mg, 62.1 µmol, 1 equiv), a2 (87.5 mg, 62.1 µmol, 1 equiv) and TBTA (6.6 mg, 12.4 μ mol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and the solution was purged with N₂ for 30 minutes. Then $Cu(CH_3CN)_4BF_4$ was added and the mixture purged with N₂ for additional 10 minutes and stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The crude mixture was dry loaded on silica and purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/PE/Et₂O 6.7:3.3:0.5 \rightarrow 5:5:0.5) to give **a25B** (126 mg, 45.3 μ mol, 73%) as a colorless film. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03-7.95 (m, 3H), 7.91-7.50 (m, 13.5H), 7.42-7.07 (m, 21H), 6.98-6.92 (m, 0.5H), 4.83-4.71 (m, 1H), 4.70-4.40 (m, 3H), 4.33-4.00 (m, 10H), 3.22-3.11 (m, 2H), 3.04-2.83 (m, 4H), 2.78-2.15 (m, 24H), 1.72-1.50 (m, 16H), 1.46-0.81 (m, 127fzH). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.6, 161.6, 161.3, 153.1, 152.9, 152.1, 150.6, 148.8, 147.4, 147.09, 146.5, 145.9, 145.4, 143.2, 142.3, 142.2, 138.0, 137.6, 137.4, 134.5, 134.3, 131.5, 131.1, 131.1, 128.5, 126.9, 125.8, 125.6, 125.3, 125.0, 123.7, 123.5, 123.2, 121.3, 121.1, 119.8, 119.7, 118.0, 118.3, 117.0, 116.5, 85.3, 84.9, 82.7, 68.8, 66.4, 65.6, 54.2, 47.9, 46.6, 40.9, 40.8, 37.1, 36.7, 34.6, 34.4, 34.4, 31. 6, 31.4, 31.4, 30.6, 30.1, 29.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 28.8, 28.7, 28.2, 25.2, 23.9, 22.7, 22.7, 22.1, 20.9, 20.6, 17.5, 17.3, 14.7, 14.2, 14.1, 8.0. IR (cm⁻¹): 2954, 2923, 2853, 1742, 1720, 1599, 1572, 1503, 1461, 1410, 1385, 1363, 1303, 1270, 1226, 1197, 1161, 1114, 1086, 1052, 954, 909, 881, 831, 803, 781, 732. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₇₂¹³CH₂₂₅Br₂N₉O₁₂ (M^{•+}) 2780.5661, found 2780.5746.

[3]Rotaxane a25B (tetra ester)

42 Prerotaxane a25B (21.6 mg, 7.76 µmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in a mixture of dioxane (3.1 mL) and methanol 43 (1.1 mL), a 4M solution of NaOH in water (194 µL, 0.780 mmol, 100 equiv) was added and the reaction was 44 stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a 1M 45 KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc (10 mL) and the reunited organic 46 phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the tetra acid, which 48 was immediately converted to the tetra methyl ester. A solution of HCl in MeOH (prepared from 8 mL of 49 MeOH and 1.5 mL acetyl chloride) was added and the solution was stirred at 50 °C during 4 h. The reaction 50 mixture was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was co-evaporated with MeOH (3 x 5 mL) and purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O 19:1 \rightarrow 9:1 \rightarrow 8:2) to give [3]rotaxane **a25B** (9.6 mg, 3.30 μ mol, 43%) 52 as a colorless film. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.90 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2fH), 7.43-7.25 (m, 17H), 53 7.25-7.15 (m, 7H), 7.16-7.09 (m, 5H), 6.97 (s, 4H), 4.80 (t, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 4.18-3.93 (m, 10H), 3.90-3.67 (m, 54 12H), 3.13 (s, 2H), 2.92 (s, 4H), 2.80-2.53 (m, 14H) , 2.52-2.11 (m, 10H), 1.50-1.47 (m, 8H), 1.38 (s, 18H), 1.31 55 (s, 27H), 1.28 (s, 18H), 1.22-0.80 (m, 64H). IR (cm⁻¹): 2924, 2853, 1719, 1505, 1461, 1437, 1408, 1386, 1363, 56 1307, 1237, 1203, 1103, 1040, 975, 909, 877, 831, 791, 732, 649, 588. HRMS (FD⁺) m/z calcd for 57 58 $C_{177}H_{242}^{81}Br^{81}BrN_9O_{16}$ [M+H]⁺ 2911.68, found 2911.59. Only two digits are given because the peak chosen 59 consists of several isotopic components.

Prerotaxane a27B

31

55

56

57 58

59

60

2 Synthesis by late-stage Suzuki cross coupling: aryl bromide a25B (27.6 mg, 9.91 µmol, 1 equiv) and 3 phenylboronic acid (9.7 mg, 79.3 µmol, 8 equiv) were dissolved in THF (3 mL) and the resulting solution 4 purged with N₂ for 30 minutes. Then a degassed 2M solution of Na₂CO₃ in H₂O (79 μL, 159 μmol, 16 equiv) 5 was added, followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄ (2.3 mg, 1.98 µmol, 20 mol%) and the mixture was heated at 120 °C in a 6 sealed pressure vessel for 3 days. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and diluted in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and 7 H₂O (10 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted 2 x 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ and the reunited organic phases were washed 8 with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography 9 $(CH_2Cl_2/PE/Et_2O \ 6.7:3.3:0.5 \rightarrow 5:5:0.5 \rightarrow 5:5:1)$ to give **a27B** (11.7 mg, 4.21 µmol, 43%) as a film. ¹H NMR 10 (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09-7.97 (m, 3H), 7.95-7.72 (m, 5H), 7.70-7.60 (m, 8H), 7.60-7.23 (m, 28H), 7.20-7.11 (m, 11 12 4.5H), 7.00-6.94 (m, 0.5H), 4.88-4.44 (m, 4H), 4.39-4.01 (m, 10H), 3.23-3.14 (m, 2H), 3.04-1.98 (m, 28H), 1.75-13 1.52 (m, 16H), 1.48-0.83 (m, 127). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.6, 165.0, 162.1, 161.7, 153.4, 153.1, 14 152.9, 152.0, 150.6, 148.9, 147.5, 147.1, 146.9, 146.4, 145.5, 144.7, 143.2, 142.2, 140.7, 139.8, 139.7, 138.0, 15 135.7, 135.4, 134.5, 134.3, 131.6, 131.3, 128.7, 128.5, 127.2, 127.2, 127.0, 125.8, 125.0, 123.8, 123.2, 121.4, 16 121.1, 118.7, 118.4, 117.0, 116.6, 85.2, 84.8, 82.6, 68.8, 66.3, 65.5, 54.2, 47.9, 46.7, 41.0, 40.8, 34.6, 34.4, 17 34.4, 31.6, 31.4, 31.4, 30.6, 30.5, 30.3, 29.9, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.0, 28.4, 28.1, 24.0, 22.8, 22.7, 22.2, 20.9, 18 17.6, 17.3, 14.7, 14.2, 14.1, 8.0. IR (cm⁻¹): 2953, 2923, 2853, 1741, 1719, 1598, 1550, 1503, 1462, 1410, 1384, 19 20 1363, 1303, 1269, 1225, 1196, 1164, 1147, 1114, 1086, 1052, 954, 909, 882, 832, 803, 782, 763, 732. HRMS 21 (ESI⁺) m/z calcd for C₁₈₉H₂₅₁N₉O₁₆Na [M+Na]⁺ 2925.9002, found 2925.8881.

22 Synthesis by coupling of prerotaxanes a2 and B7: the half stoppered prerotaxanes B7 (59.2 mg, 43.3 µmol, 1 23 equiv), a2 (65.5 mg, 46.5 μmol, 1.07 equiv) and TBTA (4.6 mg, 8.67 μmol, 0.2 equiv) were dissolved in dry 24 CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and the solution was purged with N₂ for 30 minutes. Then Cu(CH₃CN)₄BF₄ (2.7 mg, 8.67 µmol, 25 0.2 equiv.) was added and the mixture purged with N_2 for additional 10 minutes and stirred overnight at 26 room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The crude mixture was dry loaded on silica (ca. 400 mg) and 27 purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/PE/Et₂O 6.7:3.3:0.5 \rightarrow 5:5:0.5 \rightarrow 5:5:1) to give **a27B** (110 mg, 28 29 39.8 µmol, 92%) as a colorless film. 30

[3]Rotaxane **a27B** (tetra methyl ester)

32 Prerotaxane a27B (49.4 mg, 17.8 µmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in a mixture of dioxane (3.1 mL) and methanol 33 (1.1 mL), a 4M solution of NaOH in water (220 µL, 0.890 mmol, 50 equiv) was added and the reaction was 34 stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a 1M 35 KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted twice with EtOAc (10 mL) and the reunited organic 36 phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the tetra acid, which 37 was immediately converted to the tetra methyl ester. A solution of HCl in MeOH (prepared from 8 mL of 38 39 MeOH and 1.5 mL acetyl chloride) was added and the solution was stirred at 50 °C during 4 h. The reaction 40 mixture was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was co-evaporated with MeOH (3 x 5 mL) and purified by 41 column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/PE/Et₂O 6.7:3.3:1 \rightarrow 8:2:1 \rightarrow 8:2:2) to give **a27B** (32.7 mg, 11.3 µmol, 63%) 42 as a faint yellow film. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.30 (s, 0.75H), 8.13 (s, 0.25H), 7.97 (s, 2H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 43 7.62 (d, 4H), 7.45-7.37 (m, 10H), 7.34-7.11 (m, 26H), 6.98 (s, 4H), 4.79 (t, 2H), 4.49 (t, 2H), 4.13-4.00 (m, 8H), 44 3.94 (t, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.80-3.73 (m, 6H), 3.18-3.09 (m, 2H), 3.01-2.77 (m, 8H), 2.70-2.53 (m, 45 14H), 2.35-2.13 (m, 6H), 1.58 (m, 16H), 1.37-0.86 (m, 127H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 165.7, 46 47 165.2, 152.1, 152.0, 151.9, 151.8, 150.4, 150.0, 148.8, 147.6, 147.0, 143.2, 142.4, 142.2, 141.4, 137.8, 133.0, 48 130.8, 130.5, 129.5, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 126.9, 126.7, 126.4, 126.3, 125.8, 125.0, 124.4, 124.4, 124.1, 124.1, 49 123.9, 123.2, 121.8, 121.3, 116.7, 116.4, 68.9, 66.1, 65.6, 54.1, 52.6, 52.4, 52.3, 52.2, 48.1, 47.2, 46.8, 40.8, 50 38.9, 34.4, 34.4, 34.0, 32.0, 31.7, 31.6, 31.4, 31.3, 30.9, 30.6, 30.4, 30.0, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 29.2, 29.1, 51 29.0, 29.0, 24.0, 23.0, 22.7, 22.1, 14.2, 14.1. IR (cm⁻¹): 2924, 2853, 1719, 1599, 1505, 1465, 1437, 1408, 1386, 52 1363, 1301, 1268, 1236, 1205, 1103, 1040, 976, 910, 879, 831, 791, 762, 736, 699, 655. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calcd 53 for C₁₈₉H₂₅₁N₉O₁₆Na [M+Na]⁺ 2925.8996, found 2925.8881. 54

Prerotaxane a232a

Diazide **3** (40 mg, 0.0416 mmol, 1.0 equiv), mono-stoppered prerotaxane **a2** (171 mg, 0.121 mmol, 2.9 equiv), and TBTA (5 mg, 0.0094 mmol, 0.23 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH_2Cl_2 (13 mL) and the solution was degassed with five vacuum/N₂ cycles. Cu(CH_3CN)₄BF₄ (3 mg, 0.0095 mmol, 0.23 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was concentrated in *vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (PE/ CH_2Cl_2 4:1 \rightarrow 2:1 \rightarrow 1:1) to give **a232a** (99 mg, 0.0262 mmol, 63%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.84 (s, 3H), 7.72 (s, 3H), 7.55-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 12H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 12H), 7.20-7.10 (m, 12H), 7.10-6.76 (m, 3H), 4.55 (br m, 4H), 4.21 (br m, 16H), 3.23-3.10 (m, 4H), 3.51 (br m, 42H), 1.55 (br m, 12H), 1.34 (br m, 136H), 1.16 (br m, 49H), 0.96 (br m, 34H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.3, 165.0, 152.1, 151.8, 149.0, 148.9, 148.7, 147.0, 146.7, 145.3, 145.0, 144.6, 144.4, 143.1, 131.7, 130.5, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 124.9, 124.5, 123.7, 123.5, 123.3, 69.7, 69.0, 68.8, 68.6, 66.1, 53.7, 53.3, 47.8, 46.6, 38.8, 34.8, 34.4, 34.3, 34.2, 34.1, 33.7, 31.8, 31.5, 31.4, 31.3, 31.2, 31.1, 30.5, 30.1, 29.8, 29.5, 29.2, 28.8, 28.3, 28.2, 28.1, 15.1, 14.6, 14.1, 14.0. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₂₄₈H₃₄₅N₁₂O₁₈ [M + 3H]³⁺ 1260.5500, found 1260.5468.

[4]Rotaxane **a232a** (hexa-acid)

Prerotaxane **a232a** (99 mg, 0.0262 mmol) was dissolved in 7 mL Tesser's base (1,4-dioxane/MeOH/4M NaOH, 3:1:0.1) and the reaction was stirred over the weekend at 50 °C. The mixture was subsequently diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and a saturated KHSO₄ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in *vacuo* to give [4]rotaxane **a232a** (101 mg, 0.0260 mmol, 99%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.83-7.39 (m, 10H), 7.40-7.29 (m, 12H), 7.26-7.15 (m, 16H), 7.11-6.86 (m, 12H), 4.55 (br s, 4H), 4.30-4.26 (m, 4H), 4.26-4.14 (m, 8H), 3.21-3.09 (m, 4H), 3.03-2.83 (m, 8H), 2.68-2.53 (m, 20H), 2.47-2.18 (m, 16H), 1.71-1.59 (m, 16H), 1.54-1.44 (m, 16H), 1.44-1.21 (m, 136H), 1.21-0.98 (m, 46H), 0.97-0.79 (m, 20H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.4, 151.6, 149.4, 149.1, 148.9, 143.1, 129.2, 128.4, 127.5, 126.9, 125.0, 124.7, 124.5, 121.4, 117.2, 70.6, 69.4, 63.1, 54.1, 48.3, 47.2, 47.0, 40.8, 34.4, 34.0, 31.7, 31.5, 31.4, 31.1, 30.7, 30.6, 30.0, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.4, 25.5, 25.3, 22.7, 21.6, 15.2, 14.2, 14.0, 11.8. HRMS (ESI⁺) *m/z* calcd for C₂₄₈H₃₅₆N₁₂O₂₄ [M + 2H]²⁺ 1944.3531, found 1944.3499.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI @: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new products and intermediates. X-ray crystallographic structures of prerotaxanes **1** and **7** (CIF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: j.h.vanmaarseveen@uva.nl

ORCID

Jan Herman van Maarseveen: 0000-0002-1483-436X

Jarl Ivar van der Vlugt: 0000-0003-0665-9239

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research for financial support (NWO-CW, ECHO grant numbers 711.014.010 and 711.017.007 to J.v.M).

References

- (1) Hoffmann, R. Molecular beauty. *American Scientist*, **1988**, *76*, 389-391.
- (2) Bruns, C. J.; Stoddart, J. F. The mechanical bond: A work of art. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2012**, *323*, 19–72.
- (3) Bruns, C. J.; Stoddart, J. F. *The nature of the mechanical bond. From molecules to machines*, John Wiley&Sons Inc., Hoboken, **2017**.
- (4) Special Issue: 50 Years of rotaxanes, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 3283-3541.
- (5) Schill, G.; Lüttringhaus, A. The preparation of catena compounds by directed synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1964**, *3*, 546.
- (6) Harrison, I. T.; Harrison, S. Synthesis of a stable complex of a macrocycle and a threaded chain. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 5723-5724.

- (7) Ünsal, Ö.; Godt, A. Synthesis of a [2] catenane with functionalities and 87-membered rings. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1999**, *5*, 1728-1733.
- (8) Hiratani, K.; Suga, J-I.; Nagawa, Y.; Houjou, H.; Tokuhisa, H.; Numata, M.; Watanabe, K. A new synthetic method for rotaxanes via tandem Claisen rearrangement, diesterification, and aminolysis. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5747-5750.
- (9) Hiratani, K.; Kaneyama, M.; Nagawa, Y.; Koyama, Y.; Kanesoto, M. Synthesis of [1]rotaxane via covalent bond formation and its unique fluorescent response by energy transfer in the presence of lithium ion. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 13568-13569.
- (10) Kameta, N.; Hiratani, K.; Nagawa, Y. A novel synthesis of chiral rotaxanes *via* covalent bond formation. *Chem. Comm.* **2004**, 466-467.
- (11) Hirose, K.; Nishihara, K.; Harade, N.; Nakamura, Y.; Masuda, D.; Araki, M.; Tobe, Y. Highly selective and high-yielding rotaxane synthesis via aminolysis of prerotaxanes consisting of a ring component and a stopper unit. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 2969-2972.
- (12) Kawai, H.; Umehara, T.; Fujiwara, K.; Tsuji, T.; Suzuki, T. Dynamic covalently bonded rotaxanes cross-linked by imine bonds between the axle and ring: inverse temperature dependence of subunit mobility. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 4281-4286.
- (13) Schweez, C.; Shuskov, P.; Grimme, S.; Höger, S. Synthesis and dynamics of nanosized phenylene– ethynylene–butadiynylene rotaxanes and the role of shape persistence. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 3328-3333.
- (14) Steemers, L.; Wanner, M. J.; Ehlers, A. W.; Hiemstra, H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. A short covalent synthesis of an all-carbon-ring [2]rotaxane. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 2342-2345.
- (15) Wang, X.-Q.; Li, W.-J.; Wang, W.; Yang, H.-B. Heterorotaxanes. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 13303-13318.
- (16) Ke, C.; Smaldone, R. A.; Kikuchi, T.; Li, H.; Davis, A. P.; Stoddart, J. F. Quantitative emergence of hetero[4]rotaxanes by template-directed click chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 381-387.
- (17) Rao, S.-J.; Zhang, Q.; Mei, J.; Ye, X.-H.; Gao, C.; Wang, Q.-C.; Qu, D.-H.; Tian, H. One-pot synthesis of hetero[6]rotaxane bearing three different kinds of macrocycle through a self-sorting process. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 6777-6783.
- (18) Lewis, J. E. M.; Winn, J.; Cera, L.; Goldup, S. M. Iterative synthesis of oligo[*n*]rotaxanes in Excellent Yield. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 16329-16336.
- (19) Celedonio M. Álvarez, C. M.; Héctor Barbero, H.; Miguel, D. Multivalent molecular shuttles-effect of increasing the number of centers in switchable catalysts. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, 6631–664.
- (20) Meehan, G. V.; Svenstrup, N. Mono- and diformylation of 4-substituted phenols: A new application of the Duff reaction. *Synthesis.* **1998**, *7*, 1029-1032.
- (21) Quaglio, D.; Zappia, G.; De Paolis, E.; Balducci, S.; Botta, B.; Ghirga, F. Olefin metathesis reaction as a locking tool for macrocycle and mechanomolecule construction. *Org. Chem. Front.*, **2018**, *5*, 3022–3055.
- (22) Rao, P. C.; Mandal, S. Friedel–Crafts alkylation of indoles with nitroalkenes through hydrogen-bonddonating metal–organic framework. *ChemCatChem*. **2017**, *9*, 1172-1176.
- (23) Eswaran, S. V.; Kaur, D.; Jana, K.; Khamaru, K.; Prabhakar, S.; Raghunathan, P.; Ganguly, B. Nitrene insertion into an adjacent O-methoxy group followed by nucleophilic addition to the heterocumulene intermediate: Experimental and computational studies. *Tetrahedron*, **2017**, *73*, 5280-5288.