



A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Unfunctionalized, Tetrasubstituted, Acyclic Olefins

Authors: Raphael Bigler, Kyle A. Mack, Jeff Shen, Paolo Tosatti, Chong Han, Haiming Zhang, Michelangelo Scalone, Andreas Pfaltz, Scott E. Denmark, Stefan Hildbrand, and Francis Gosselin

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201912640
Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201912640

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201912640>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201912640>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Unfunctionalized, Tetrasubstituted, Acyclic Olefins

Raphael Bigler,^{*,[a]} Kyle A. Mack,^[b] Jeff Shen,^[b] Paolo Tosatti,^[a] Chong Han,^[b] Stephan Bachmann,^[a] Haiming Zhang,^{*,[b]} Michelangelo Scalone,^[a] Andreas Pfaltz,^[c] Scott E. Denmark,^[d] Stefan Hildbrand,^[a] and Francis Gosselin^{*,[b]}

Abstract: Asymmetric hydrogenation has evolved as one of the most powerful tools to construct stereocenters. However, the asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins remains the pinnacle of asymmetric synthesis and an unsolved challenge. We report herein the discovery of an iridium catalyst for the first, generally-applicable, highly enantio- and diastereoselective hydrogenation of such olefins and the mechanistic insights of the reaction. The power of this chemistry is demonstrated by the successful hydrogenation of a wide variety of electronically and sterically diverse olefins in excellent yield, enantio- and diastereoselectivity.

Introduction

Over the past decades, the asymmetric hydrogenation of C=C, C=O, and C=N double bonds has matured to become one of the prevalent methods to install stereocenters in organic molecules with several industrial processes relying on its chemical prowess.^[1] Moreover the awarding of half of the 2001 Nobel prize in chemistry for asymmetric hydrogenation is testament to its transformational impact on organic synthesis.^[2] Among the most challenging substrates to date are unfunctionalized olefins, as they lack both nearby heteroatoms acting as transient coordinating groups to the metal center or polarity effects activating the double bond.^[3] Whereas the reduction of

1,1-disubstituted and trisubstituted alkenes affords products with a single stereocenter, the asymmetric hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted olefins (AHTO) can generate two vicinal stereocenters and thus give rise to products of higher stereochemical complexity. Owing to the aforementioned reasons and the challenges associated with their steric encumbrance, unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins arguably represent the most challenging substrate class for asymmetric hydrogenation.^[4,5]

After an initial report by Buchwald on the asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized tetrasubstituted olefins using a highly electrophilic but sensitive ansa-zirconocene catalyst,^[6] Pfaltz' application of iridium/phosphinyl oxazoline (PHOX) complexes^[7] paved the way for the development of several related catalysts.^[8,9] In all cases, the investigated substrates were restricted to simple cyclic 2,3-disubstituted indenenes, 3,4-disubstituted 1,2-dihydronaphthalenes or, if acyclic, trimethylstyrenes (Figure 1). In most cases, the iridium catalysts show variable enantioselectivity and little functional group tolerance. Thus, while considerable progress has been made for functionalized analogues,^[5,10] the asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins has remained an open challenge.

- [a] Dr. Raphael Bigler, Dr. Paolo Tosatti, Dr. Stephan Bachmann, Dr. Michelangelo Scalone, Dr. Stefan Hildbrand
Pharmaceutical Division, Small Molecules Technical Development, Department of Process Chemistry and Catalysis
F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd
4070 Basel, Switzerland
E-mail: raphael.bigler@roche.com
- [b] Dr. Kyle A. Mack, Jeff Shen, Dr. Chong Han, Dr. Haiming Zhang, Dr. Francis Gosselin
Department of Small Molecule Process Chemistry
Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way
South San Francisco, California 94080, United States
E-mail: zhang.haiming@gene.com, gosselin.francis@gene.com
- [c] Prof. Dr. Andreas Pfaltz
Department of Chemistry
University of Basel
4056 Basel, Switzerland
- [d] Prof. Dr. Scott E. Denmark
Roger Adams Laboratory, Department of Chemistry
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.




Figure 1. Commonly investigated substrates and state-of-the-art catalysts. Ar^F = 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl; ^oTol = *ortho*-tolyl.

Recently, we disclosed efficient methods for the stereoselective synthesis of tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins,^[11] whose enantioselective reduction would give rise to intriguing structural motifs inaccessible with state-of-the-art synthetic methods. In this article, we report the first generally-applicable,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

highly enantio- and diastereoselective hydrogenation of such unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins.

Results and Discussion

(*E*)-2,3-Diphenylbut-2-ene ((*E*)-**2a**) was chosen as a representative starting point for our study (Figure 2). An initial parallel screening of a subset of 34 iridium catalysts in our catalyst library (see Figure S1 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information) led to rapid hit identification. The best-performing PHOX-type complex (*S*)-**1a**^[7a] afforded the desired product (2*R*,3*R*)-**3a** in 80% conversion and a diastereomeric ratio (dr) of 98:2 under relatively mild conditions (2 mol% catalyst, 50 bar H₂, PhCl, 30 °C, 24 h), albeit with a poor enantiomeric ratio (er) of 80:20. Fortunately, the modular synthetic approach to catalysts bearing such phosphino-methyl-oxazoline ligands allowed for efficient structure optimization and a secondary serial screening of 15 variants (see Figure S2 and Table S2 in the Supporting Information) identified (*R*)-**1o** as the optimal catalyst, now affording the desired product (2*S*,3*S*)-**3a** with >99% conversion, 98:2 dr and substantially improved enantioselectivity (98:2 er).




Figure 2. Catalyst screening and optimization for the asymmetric hydrogenation of (*E*)-**2a** (conversion to product is reported). (*S*)-**1a** and (*R*)-**1o** afford opposite enantiomers of **3a**.

Catalyst (*R*)-**1o** proved highly successful in the hydrogenation of a broad range of substrates (Figure 3a). Both electron-rich and electron-deficient substituents were well tolerated on the (*E*)-1,2-dimethyl-1,2-diaryl olefin core ((*E*)-**2a-f,h**). Only the most electron-deficient alkene (*E*)-**2g** bearing two trifluoromethyl groups on the aryl substituents could not be hydrogenated successfully. The enantiomeric ratio of the major diastereomer remained high (>99:1–97:3 er), whereas the minor diastereomer showed low enantiomeric purity throughout (63:37–50:50 er). Crucially, several important functional groups such as Cl (**2i**), TMS (**2j**), Bpin (**2k**), NHCOCF₃ (**2l**), OAc (**2m**), CO₂Me (**2n**), OTIPS (**2o**) and OBn (**2p** and **2q**) were compatible and did not affect the efficiency of this transformation.

The substrate scope is not restricted to the (*E*)-1,2-dimethyl-1,2-diaryl substrate: several other (*E*)-1,2-dialkyl-1,2-diaryl olefins ((*E*)-**2r-y**), but also 1,1-dialkyl-2,2-diaryl olefins (**2z** and

2aa) and very challenging alkyl-triaryl olefins (**2ae-ai**) were all hydrogenated with excellent yield, er and dr. Importantly, catalyst (*R*)-**1o** provided optimal results for all tested substrates, thus obviating the need to build up large catalyst libraries often required for other iridium-based catalyst systems.^[7a,8a] Low enantioselectivity was observed only for extremely challenging substrates, i.e. in which the *R*_e- and *S*_i-faces are differentiated only by the electronic properties of the aromatic substituents ((*Z*)-**2c** and **2ac**) or the length of an alkyl substituent (**2ab**) as well as for trialkyl-aryl substrate **2ad**. In addition, both enantiomers of the catalyst are readily available. Thus, the application of (*R*)-**1o** and (*S*)-**1o** in the asymmetric hydrogenation of 1,1-dialkyl-2,2-diaryl olefins (*E*)-**2aj** and (*Z*)-**2aj** allowed access to all four stereoisomers of the product **3aj** with outstanding stereoselectivities (Figure 3b).

The reaction was preparatively useful and readily scalable: the asymmetric hydrogenation of Bpin-containing olefin (*E*)-**2k** occurred with virtually the same efficiency on a gram scale (Figure 3c). This allowed for the preparation of (2*S*,3*S*)-**3k** and its use in different post-hydrogenation chemical modifications of interest to medicinal chemists,^[13] highlighting the utility of this asymmetric hydrogenation protocol to introduce vicinal stereocenters in organic synthesis.

Although similar catalysts to (*R*)-**1o**, for example catalyst (*S*)-**1j** bearing a phenyl group instead of the 3,5-bis-*tert*-butylphenyl motif, have previously been reported by the Pfaltz group,^[7a] the introduction of two *tert*-butyl groups has a substantial effect on the activity and selectivity of the catalyst, which is particularly pronounced for challenging substrates. For example, the hydrogenation of (*Z*)-**2aa** with (*R*)-**1o** occurs in 98% yield with 95:5 dr and 99:1 er. In contrast, its phenyl analogue (*S*)-**1j** only achieves 17% conversion with 91:9 dr and 97:3 er under otherwise identical conditions. Furthermore, employing analogue (*S*)-**1c** bearing diphenylphosphine and *iso*-propyl oxazoline donors failed to give any of the desired product, whereas it was the catalyst of choice for cyclic tetrasubstituted olefins and trimethylstyrenes (see Table S3 in the Supporting Information for additional examples). These results clearly highlight the challenges associated with the hydrogenation of acyclic, tetrasubstituted olefins and the substantial improvement achieved by introducing a 3,5-bis-*tert*-butylphenyl motif on the oxazoline donor.

To shed light on the origin of diastereoselectivity, we conducted the hydrogenation of (*E*)-**2d** under D₂-atmosphere and observed incomplete deuterium incorporation at the benzylic positions as well as partial deuteration of the formerly allylic positions indicating the possibility of a competing β-hydride elimination/reinsertion pathway (Figure 4a). This observation is particularly relevant for the formation of the minor diastereomer, in which only 55% D-incorporation was observed at both benzylic positions. Although only a small KIE was observed when performing the reaction under D₂ vs. H₂ (k_H/k_D = 1.3), perdeuteration of the methyl groups in (*E*)-**2a** led to a considerable KIE of 2.0 in a competition experiment (Figure 4b). The increased KIE is potentially caused by the multiplicative effect of deuterium atoms,^[14] underlining the non-innocence of the allylic hydrogens in the catalytic cycle. However, hydrogenation of the

RESEARCH ARTICLE




Figure 3. Ir-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins. The general reaction scheme is shown at the top. a, b) Isolated yields are reported (except for (2S,3S)-3g); dr and er (major diastereomer) are obtained from the crude reaction mixtures after filtration through silica gel. Absolute configurations of products are assigned in analogy to (2S,3S)-3k and (1S,2S)-3ah, for which it was determined by X-ray crystallography.^[12] c) Scale-up of the synthesis of (2S,3S)-3k at 1.26 g (3.8 mmol) and post-modifications (see Supporting Information for details) of (2S,3S)-3k. Isolated yields are shown for post-modification products (dr > 20:1 for all isolated products). Ac = acetyl; Bn = benzyl; pin = pinacolato; TIPS = trisopropylsilyl; TMS = trimethylsilyl.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

tion) and oxidative addition of H₂ generates Ir(V) intermediate **Ir-III**. The enantioselectivity is dictated by the relative barriers of the hydride transfer/oxidative addition step in accordance with the Curtin-Hammett principle. For this particular olefin-catalyst pairing, the experimental *er* (98:2) is in excellent agreement with the calculated relative energy difference of 2.3 kcal/mol (97:3 *er*). In a previous report, Bayer et al. suggested that the stereochemical outcome of a similar reaction is influenced by a key C–H/ π dispersive interaction between the substrate aryl ring and the oxazoline moiety.^[15e] Comparing TS1 and TS1' (Figure 5), it is clear that there is a much more efficient overlap of the aryl ring with the oxazoline C–H group in TS1. Furthermore, to accommodate this interaction in TS1', the 3,5-bis-*tert*-butylphenyl group must rotate away from the substrate, which causes a distortion in the ligand backbone due primarily to steric clash with the adjacent cyclohexane substituent. These powerful interactions are particularly prominent in the hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted olefins where the substrate cannot orient itself to place a vinyl hydrogen proximal to the oxazoline ring.

Reductive elimination of intermediate **Ir-III** affords the hydrogenated product (2*S*,3*S*)-**3a** and regenerates the Ir(III) dihydride catalyst **Ir-I**. Intermediate **Ir-III** may also undergo a competitive β -hydride elimination and simultaneous reductive elimination of H₂ to give intermediate **Ir-IV**. The calculated energy barrier corresponds to a 98:2 preference for reductive elimination over the β -hydride elimination. Complex **Ir-IV** undergoes either H₂ coordination and oxidative addition/migratory insertion to produce **Ir-V**, which reductively eliminates leading to product formation of (2*S*,3*S*)-**3a**, or exchange of *Re*- and *Si*-bound olefin complexes by decomplexation/recomplexation to arrive at **Ir-IV'**.^[15c] From **Ir-IV'**, a related sequence produces the minor diastereomer *meso*-**3a**.

Interestingly, performing the reaction with (*E*)-**2a** under 2 bar of H₂ (instead of 50 bar) still leads to full conversion and high *er* (99:1) but with significantly eroded *dr* (78:22). Calculations on an

alternative Ir(I)/Ir(III) catalytic cycle (see Supporting Information),^[18] which was only +1.1 kcal/mol higher in energy than the proposed Ir(III)/Ir(V) cycle, exhibited high *er* (>>99:1) but an attenuated difference in energy between reductive elimination and β -hydride elimination (0.5 kcal/mol). Both mechanisms include intermediate **Ir-II** (Figure 6). However, while H₂ coordination is required in the Ir(III)/Ir(V) cycle, this intermediate undergoes direct hydride transfer (migratory insertion) in the Ir(III)/Ir(I) cycle to give Ir(III) intermediate **Ir-VI**, which can reductively eliminate to give product and Ir(I) species **Ir-VII**. Given these results, it is likely that a H₂ pressure-dependent shift in mechanism from Ir(III)/Ir(V) at high pressure to Ir(I)/Ir(III) at low pressure is operative, accounting for the reduced *dr* at lower pressure.

Conclusion

Asymmetric hydrogenation of olefins has been a heavily studied area for decades. However, despite recent advances, a general method for highly selective asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins has remained elusive. In this report, we have developed a catalyst which allows for the first time to successfully hydrogenate these challenging substrates and we have also demonstrated its generality, scalability and selectivity. We believe that this advance will have an important impact in catalysis and synthesis as it allows rapid access to complex structural motifs inaccessible with other state-of-the-art methods.

Experimental Section

A representative procedure for the asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins is as follows. In a glove box, (*E*)-**2a** (20.8 mg, 100 μ mol) and (*R*)-**1o** (3.3 mg, 2.00 μ mol) are added to a 30 mL autoclave with glass inset. PhCl (1.0 mL, degassed under Ar) is added to the mixture and the autoclave is sealed and removed from the glove box. The autoclave is connected to a H₂ line, flushed three times with H₂ (3 \times 20 bar) and sealed under H₂ (50 bar). The autoclave is placed in a pre-heated metal block and stirred at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. The pressure is released and the solvent is removed under reduced pressure. The crude product is dissolved in TBME (1 mL) and filtered through a pad of silica gel with TBME (5 mL). The solvent is removed under reduced pressure and the product is analyzed by ¹H NMR, GC and chiral HPLC. Full experimental details and characterization of the compounds are given in the Supplementary Information.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Alker, R. Santillo, P. Schmitt, A. Becht Joerger, I. Duffour, O. Fuchs, M. Buerkler, P. Cron, I. Plitzko, C. Wyss Gramberg, C. Bartelmus, S. Brogly, O. Scheidegger and N. Valente for experimental and analytical support. We are grateful to the Roche technology, innovation and science committee for funding.




Figure 6. Pressure-dependent shift of mechanism.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Keywords: asymmetric catalysis • hydrogenation • tetrasubstituted olefins • iridium • N,P ligands


- [1] K. Püntener, M. Scalone in *Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale* (Eds.: H.-U. Blaser, H.-L. Federsel), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2010**, pp. 13-25.
- [2] a) W. S. Knowles, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 2096-2107; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1998-2007; b) R. Noyori, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 2208-2123; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2008-2022.
- [3] a) D. H. Woodmansee, A. Pfaltz, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, *47*, 7912-7916; b) X. Cui, K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3272-3296.
- [4] C. Margarita, P. G. Andersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 1346-1356.
- [5] S. Kraft, K. Ryan, R. B. Kargbo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11630-11641.
- [6] M. V. Troutman, D. H. Apella, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 4916-4917.
- [7] a) M. G. Schrems, E. Neumann, A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 8422-8424; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8274-8276; b) M. G. Schrems, E. Neumann, A. Pfaltz, *Heterocycles* **2008**, *76*, 771-781.
- [8] a) C. A. Busacca, B. Qu, N. Grêt, K. R. Fandrick, A. K. Saha, M. Marsini, D. Reeves, N. Haddad, M. Eriksson, J.-P. Wu, N. Grinberg, H. Lee, Z. Li, B. Lu, D. Chen, Y. Hong, S. Ma, C. H. Senanayake, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 1455-1463; b) J. Wassenaar, R. J. Detz, S. Y. de Boer, M. Lutz, J. H. van Maarseveen, H. Hiemstra, J. N. H. Reek, *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 3634-3642; c) A. Zanotti-Gerosa, I. Gazić Smilović, Z. Časar, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2017**, *4*, 2311-2322; d) M. Biosca, M. E. Salomó, P. de la Cruz-Sánchez, A. Riera, X. Verdager, O. Pàmies, M. Diéguez, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 807-811; e) M. Biosca, M. Magre, O. Pàmies, M. Diéguez, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 10316-10320.
- [9] For a rhodium catalyst, see: Z. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Li, F. Yang, G. Liu, W. Tang, W. He, J.-J. Fu, Y.-H. Shen, A. Li, W.-D. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 5558-5567.
- [10] a) S. Kerdpin, S. Ponra, J. Yang, H. Wu, L. Eriksson, P. G. Andersson, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 6169-6176; b) S. Ponra, W. Rabten, J. Yang, H. Wu, S. Kerdpin, P. G. Andersson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 13878-13883.
- [11] a) B. X. Li, D. N. Le, K. A. Mack, A. McClory, N.-K. Lim, T. Cravillon, S. Savage, C. Han, D. B. Collum, H. Zhang, F. Gosselin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 10777-10783; b) K. A. Mack, A. McClory, H. Zhang, F. Gosselin, D. B. Collum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 12182-12189; c) S. Savage, A. McClory, H. Zhang, T. Cravillon, N.-K. Lim, C. Masui, S. J. Robinson, C. Han, C. Ochs, P. D. Rege, F. Gosselin, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 11571-11576; d) N.-K. Lim, P. Weiss, B. X. Li, C. H. McCulley, S. R. Hare, B. L. Bensema, T. A. Palazzo, D. J. Tantillo, H. Zhang, F. Gosselin, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 6212-6215; e) N.-K. Lim, T. Cravillon, S. Savage, A. McClory, C. Han, H. Zhang, A. DiPasquale, F. Gosselin, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 1114-1117.
- [12] Details of the crystallographic analyses are given in the Supporting Information. CCDC 1969737 ((2*S*,3*S*)-**3k**) and 1969736 ((1*S*,2*S*)-**3ah**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
- [13] a) J. C. Vantourout, H. N. Miras, A. Isidro-Llobet, S. Sproules, A. J. B. Watson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 4769-4779; b) R. J. Armstrong, W. Niwetmarin, V. K. Aggarwal, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2762-2765; c) A. Fawcett, T. Biberger, V. K. Aggarwal, *Nature Chemistry* **2019**, *11*, 117-122; d) J. A. Myhill, L. Zhang, G. J. Lovinger, J. P. Morken, *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 12981-12985; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 12799-12803.
- [14] A. Streitwieser, R. H. Jagow, R. C. Fahey, S. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 2326-2332.
- [15] a) Y. Fan, X. Cui, K. Burgess, M. B. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 16688-16689; b) T. L. Church, T. Rasmussen, P. G. Andersson, *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 6769-6781; c) K. H. Hopmann, A. Bayer, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 2483-2397; d) M. Sparta, C. Riplinger, F. Neese, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2014**, *10*, 1099-1108; e) K. H. Hopmann, L. Fredani, A. Bayer, *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 2790-2797; e) S. Gruber, A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 1927-1931; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1896-1900.
- [16] We also surveyed alternative mechanisms including Ir(I)/Ir(III) and additional Ir(III)/Ir(V) pathways, which are included and discussed in the Supporting Information.
- [17] CYLview, 1.0b; C. Y. Legault, Université de Sherbrooke, 2009 (<http://www.cylview.org>).
- [18] R. Dietiker, P. Chen, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 5629-5632; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5513-5516.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Entry for the Table of Contents

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ligand optimization enables the asymmetric hydrogenation of unfunctionalized, tetrasubstituted, acyclic olefins, which installs two vicinal stereocenters with excellent stereoselectivities.



Dr. Raphael Bigler, Dr. Kyle A. Mack, Jeff Shen, Dr. Paolo Tosatti, Dr. Chong Han, Dr. Stephan Bachmann, Dr. Haiming Zhang, Dr. Michelangelo Scalone, Prof. Andreas Pfaltz, Prof. Scott E. Denmark, Dr. Stefan Hildbrand, Dr. Francis Gosselin

Page No. – Page No.

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Unfunctionalized, Tetrasubstituted, Acyclic Olefins