



Communication

Subscriber access provided by George Mason University Libraries & VIVA (Virtual Library of Virginia)

Asymmetric Catalysis with Inert Chiral-At-Metal Iridium Complex

Liang-An Chen, Weici Xu, Biao Huang, JiaJia Ma, Lun Wang, Jianwei Xi, Klaus Harms, Lei Gong, and Eric Meggers J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 14 May 2013 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on May 15, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Asymmetric Catalysis with Inert Chiral-At-Metal Iridium Complex

Liang–An Chen,[†] Weici Xu,[†] Biao Huang,[†] Jiajia Ma,[†] Lun Wang,[†] Jianwei Xi,[†] Klaus Harms,[‡] Lei Gong,^{*,†} and Eric Meggers^{*,†,‡}

[†]Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology, Department of Chemical Biology, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, People's Republic of China

[‡]Fachbereich Chemie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, 35043 Marburg, Germany

ABSTRACT: The development of a chiral-at-metal iridium(III) complex for the highly efficient catalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of B,B'-disubstituted nitroalkenes is reported. Catalysis by this inert, rigid metal complex does not involve any direct metal coordination but operates exclusively through cooperative, weak interactions with functional groups properly arranged in the ligand sphere of the iridium complex. Although the iridium complex only relies on the formation of three hydrogen bonds, it exceeds the performance of most organocatalysts with respect to enantiomeric excess (up to 99% ee) and catalyst loading (down to 0.1 mol%). This work hints towards an advantage of structurally complicated, rigid scaffolds for non-covalent catalysis which especially relies on conformationally constrained, cooperative interactions between catalyst and substrates.

The growing impact of asymmetric catalysis in chemistry is driven by an increasing demand for the economical synthesis of enantiomerically pure chiral compounds to be used as drugs, agricultural chemicals, flavors, fragrances and components of materials.^{1,2} Over the past decade, organocatalysis has emerged as a new branch of enantioselective synthesis.3 In one general mode of activation, substrate binding and activation is solely achieved via multiple, concerted noncovalent interactions, such as hydrogen bonds.⁴ Despite the attractivity of this concept, the catalytic rate acceleration for such reactions is often only modest, thus requiring high catalyst loadings, which can be traced back to the fact that attractive noncovalent interactions are considerably weaker than coordinative or covalent bonds and thus are more affected by dynamic and entropic effects. The performance of such noncovalent catalysts therefore crucially relies on the proper relative and absolute arrangement of key activating functional groups in the three-dimensional space and we envisioned that octahedral metal complexes may serve as powerful structural templates for the design of such noncovalent "organocatalysts".⁵⁻¹² Octahedral stereocenters permit the straightforward generation of compounds with high shape and stereochemical complexity and furthermore simplify the design of defined globular and rigid structures because molecular geometries are basically constructed from a common center with chelating ligands limiting the degree of conformational flexibility.¹³ We here now demonstrate how this sophisticated octahedral stereochemistry can be exploited for the tailored design of a highly efficient low-loading asymmetric noncovalent catalyst.⁷



Figure 1. Bifunctional hydrogen bonding asymmetric organocatalysis as inspiration for a chiral-at-metal iridium catalyst. Stereogenic centers are indicated with asterisks.

Inspired by bifunctional double H-bond donor asymmetric organocatalysts such as the thiourea shown in Figure 1, in which the thiourea activates an electrophile while an additional functional group serves as a hydrogen bond acceptor for activating an incoming nucleophile, we chose the substitutionally inert bis-cyclometalated iridium(III) complex Λ -**Ir1** (Figure 2) as the starting point for our study.^{14,15} In this design, a coordinated 5-amino-3-(2-pyridyl)-1H-pyrazole provides two donor-hydrogen bonds to a nitroalkene, whereas a hydroxymethyl substituent at a benzoxazole ligand serves as a hydrogen bond acceptor for the incoming nucleophile.¹⁶

Encouragingly, we found that Λ -**Ir**₁ catalyzes the asymmetric reduction of the β , β '-disubstituted nitroalkene **1a** with the Hantzsch ester **2** (1.1 eq) to afford the nitroalkane (*R*)-**3a**, albeit with modest enantiomeric excess of 63% at 20 mol% catalyst loading (entry 1, Table 1).¹⁷⁻¹⁹ However, when we modified the exocyclic primary amine with *n*-butyl (Λ -**Ir**₂), phenyl (Λ -**Ir**₃) or a trifluoroacetyl group (Λ -**Ir**₄), the *ee* values increased to 70%, 84% and 90%, respectively (entries 2-4, Table 1). The introduction of the trifluoroacetyl group also significantly accelerated the catalysis, presumably due to an increase in the acidity of the amide NH-group (entry 4, Table 1). In the next round of catalyst optimization, we attempted to influence the binding of the nitroalkene substrate by introducing steric constraints. To our delight, Λ -**Ir**₅, in comparison to Λ -**Ir**₄ just bearing an additional phenyl substituent, provided a significant increase in enantiomeric excess (99% *ee*) and



Figure 2. Chiral-at-metal iridium complexes investigated for asymmetric H-bonding catalysis. See Supporting information for the synthesis of the enantiopure iridium complexes. $BArF_{24}^{-}$ = tetrakis[(3,5-di-trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate.

Table 1. Development of inert chiral-at-metal Ir(III) complexes for the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation withHantzsch ester^a

		<i>t</i> BuO ₂ C H 2 (1.1 eq) cat. Λ-Ir1- NO ₂ toluene	CO₂tBu	NO ₂ (<i>R</i>)-3a	
entry	catalyst	amount cat.	<i>t</i> (h)	$(\%)^b$	ее (%) ^с
1	Λ-Irı	20 mol%	22	92	63
2	Λ -Ir2	20 mol%	24	82	70
3	Λ -Ir ₃	20 mol%	20	94	84
4	Λ -Ir4	20 mol%	7	96	90
5	∆-Ir5	20 mol%	1	100	99
6	Λ-Ir5	1 mol%	20	96	98
7	Λ -Ir6	1 mol%	14	94	99
8	Λ- Ir 7	20 mol%	20	<20	0

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: Nitroalkene **1a** (0.10 mmol), Hantzsch ester **2** (0.11 mmol) and Λ-**Ir1-7** (1-20 mol%) in toluene (0.10 mL, 1.0 M) were stirred at room temp. (ca. 18-20 °C) under argon. ^{*b*} Conversion determined by ¹H-NMR. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

reaction rate (complete conversion within 1 h at room temperature at 20 mol% catalyst loading) (entry 5, Table

1). Reducing the catalyst loading to 1 mol% still afforded the nitroalkane in 98% *ee* within 20 hours at room temperature. Finally, the catalytic efficiency could be further improved by modifying the phenyl moiety with two methyl groups (Λ -**Ir6**) (entry 6, Table 1). With a loading of 1 mol%, Λ -**Ir6** catalyzes the conversion $\mathbf{1a} \rightarrow (R)$ - $\mathbf{3a}$ with 99% *ee* within 14 hours at room temperature (entry 7, Table 1). To summarize this part, the modularity of the metal complex scaffold allowed us a rapid, stepwise optimization of the catalysis performance.

Next, we tested the scope of catalyst Λ -Ir6. Table 2 reveals that a selection of eight β , β '-disubstituted nitroalkenes **1a-h** provide reduced nitroalkane products (*R*)-**3a-h** with high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (93-99% ee) with a catalyst loading of just 1 mol% and reaction times of \leq 24 h at room temperature (entries 1-8, Table 2). Interesting for practical purposes, the catalyst loading can be reduced even below 1 mol% without much affecting the reached enantioselectivities and yields. For example, at a catalyst loading of just 0.3 mol% of Λ -Ir6, 1a is converted to (R)-3a with a yield of 95% and 97% ee at room temperature within 3 days. Astonishingly, even at a catalyst loading of 0.1 mol%, satisfactory yields of 89% and 94% ee are reached. A survey of the literature reveals that with this combination out of high enantioselectivity and low catalyst loading, Λ -Ir6 appears to match or even surpass the best published metal-,20 bio-,21 or organocatalysts^{19b,d} for the asymmetric reduction of β , β 'disubstituted nitroalkenes.

Table 2. Scope of the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with Λ -**Ir6**.^{*a*}

tBuO₂C___CO₂tBu

$R^{2} \xrightarrow{R^{1}}_{\mathbf{1a}-\mathbf{h}} NO_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{cat. } \Lambda - \mathbf{Ir6}}_{\text{toluene}} \xrightarrow{R^{2}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} NO_{2}$ $R^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{NO_{2}}-\mathbf{1a}-\mathbf{h}} NO_{2}$ $R^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{NO_{2}}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} (\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{3a}-\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{R}^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}^{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{2}-\mathbf{A}^{2}$	2 (1.1-1.5 eq)					
R2 n^2 $toluene$ R^2 n^2 ta-h toluene (R) -3a-h entry substrate (R^1, R^2) Λ -Ir6 t (h) yield $(\%)^b$ ee (% n nHex, Ph (1a) 1 mol% 18 94 99 2^d n Pr, Ph (1b) 1 mol% 24 96 98 3 i Pr, Ph (1c) 1 mol% 24 92 96 4 Me, Ph (1d) 1 mol% 22 91 95 5 Me, p-MePh (1e) 1 mol% 24 95 95 6 Me, p-ClPh (1f) 1 mol% 24 93 94 7 Me, m-ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
entrysubstrate (\mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2) Λ -Ir6 t (h) yield ($\%$) ^b ee ($\%$ n n Hex, Ph (1a)1 mol%1894992 ^d n Pr, Ph (1b)1 mol%2496983 i Pr, Ph (1c)1 mol%2492964Me, Ph (1d)1 mol%2291955Me, p-MePh (1e)1 mol%2495956Me, p-ClPh (1f)1 mol%2493947Me, m-ClPh (1g)1 mol%2491938Me, 2-Naphthyl1 mol%249696						
nnHex, Ph (1a)1 mol%189499 a^d nPr, Ph (1b)1 mol%249698 a^d iPr, Ph (1c)1 mol%249296 a iPr, Ph (1c)1 mol%229195 a Me, Ph (1d)1 mol%249595 a Me, p-MePh (1e)1 mol%249394 a Me, m-ClPh (1f)1 mol%249193 a Me, 2-Naphthyl1 mol%249696	(%) ^c					
2^d $n\Pr$, Ph ($\mathbf{1b}$) $1 \mod \%$ 24 96 98 3 $i\Pr$, Ph ($\mathbf{1c}$) $1 \mod \%$ 24 92 96 4 Me, Ph ($\mathbf{1d}$) $1 \mod \%$ 22 91 95 5 Me, p -MePh ($\mathbf{1e}$) $1 \mod \%$ 24 95 95 6 Me, p -ClPh ($\mathbf{1f}$) $1 \mod \%$ 24 93 94 7 Me, m -ClPh ($\mathbf{1g}$) $1 \mod \%$ 24 91 93 8 Me, 2 -Naphthyl $1 \mod \%$ 24 96 96						
iPr, Ph (1c) 1 mol% 24 92 96 Me, Ph (1d) 1 mol% 22 91 95 Me, p-MePh (1e) 1 mol% 24 95 95 Me, p-ClPh (1f) 1 mol% 24 93 94 Me, m-ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
4 Me, Ph (1d) 1 mol% 22 91 95 5 Me, p-MePh (1e) 1 mol% 24 95 95 6 Me, p-ClPh (1f) 1 mol% 24 93 94 7 Me, m-ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
5 Me, p-MePh (1e) 1 mol% 24 95 95 6 Me, p-ClPh (1f) 1 mol% 24 93 94 7 Me, m-ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
6 Me, <i>p</i> -ClPh (1f) 1 mol% 24 93 94 7 Me, <i>m</i> -ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
7 Me, <i>m</i> -ClPh (1g) 1 mol% 24 91 93 8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
8 Me, 2-Naphthyl 1 mol% 24 96 96						
(1h)						
9 <i>n</i> Hex, Ph (1a) 0.3 mol% 72 95 97						
10 <i>n</i> Hex, Ph (1a) 0.1 mol% 96 89 94						

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: Nitroalkenes **1a-h** (0.10 mmol), Hantzsch ester **2** (0.11 mmol for entries 1-8, 0.15 mmol for entries 9 and 10) and Λ -**Ir6** (0.1-1.0 mol%) in toluene (0.10 1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 mL, 1.0 M) were stirred at room temp. (ca. 18-20 °C) under argon. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^{*d*} The reaction is scalable to 1.0 mmol substrate, providing (*R*)-**3b** with 97% yield and 97.4% *ee* after 24 h at room temp. (ca. 27 °C).

The performance of the bis-cyclometalated iridium(III) complex A-Ir6 demonstrates that inert octahedral chiralat-metal complexes are not merely a laboratory curiosity but show high promise for the design of asymmetric catalysts. Since these iridium complexes are substitutionally inert, the observed catalysis must be mediated through the ligand sphere.²² Interestingly, in our optimized catalyst Λ -Ir6, all three bidentate ligands appear to contribute to an efficient catalysis in a cooperative fashion. A model of the ternary complex leading to the transition state is presented in Figure 3 and is consistent with the observed preference for the formation of the *R*-configured nitroalkanes catalyzed by the Λ -configured iridium complexes. Based on related previous work on the activation of nitroalkenes by thiourea,^{15,16,19} in addition to mechanistic investigations regarding the role of the OH group in bifunctional thiourea organocatalysts by Paradies et al.,^{19d} it can be assumed that the amidopyrazole moiety is responsible for activating the nitroalkene by double hydrogen bonding and thus increasing the electrophilicity of the nitroalkene, while one of the two cyclometalated phenybenzoxazole ligands places an OH-group in a proper position for activating the hydride donor ability of the Hantzsch ester by forming a hydrogen bond between the NH-group of the Hantzsch ester and a lone pair of the OH-group. In fact, complex Λ -Ir7, derived from Λ -Ir3 by removing the OH-group, does not show any asymmetric induction (entry 8, Table 1), thus confirming the importance of the OH-group for the observed enantioselectivities. Furthermore, the second cyclometalated phenylbenzoxazole orients an aryl substituent in a position which apparently is also important for the catalysis. It is noteworthy that the 3,5-dimethylphenyl substituent in Λ -Ir6 does not only improve the asymmetric induction compared to the analogous complex devoid of this substituent (Λ -Ir₄) but also speeds up the catalysis by around an order of magnitude. It is curious that a steric group accelerates catalysis and we hypothesize that this might be due to a stabilization of the proper hydrogen bonding of the nitroalkene substrate, preventing a dynamic motion perpendicular to the two formed hydrogen bonds. However, attractive van der Waals interactions between the 3.5-dimethylphenyl substituent of the catalyst and the nitroalkene substrate which would stabilize the major transition state may also play an important role.



Figure 3. Proposed hydrogen bonded ternary complex out of catalyst Λ -**Ir6** (wheat), nitroalkene **1d** (yellow) and Hantzsch ester **2** (green) leading to the transitions state. Represented with the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3 Schrödinger, LLC.

In conclusion, we here reported a highly efficient asymmetric catalyst solely relying on octahedral chiralityat-metal.²³ We estimate that the inert iridium complex Λ -Ir6 accelerates the rate of the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation reaction by around 10,000-fold.²⁴ One can speculate that the rigidity of the octahedral metal complex, in which conformational freedom is limited by chelate effects, might provide an advantage over the typical more flexible organocatalysts, since the preorganization of the ternary complex must play an important contribution towards lowering the entropic penalty to be paid for the highly organized transition state. We believe that these results demonstrate that the here disclosed design based on rigid and inert chiral-at-metal octahedral metal complexes, a class of compounds that has previously been more or less neglected for the design of asymmetric catalysts, will serve as attractive scaffolds for the design of an entire family of highly efficient metal-based non-bonding asymmetric catalysts.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental details, chiral HPLC traces, CD spectra, and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

meggers@chemie.uni-marburg.de, meggers@xmu.edu.cn, gongl@xmu.edu.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (21272192, 21201143), the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team of the University (PCSIRT), the National Thousand Plan Foundation of P. R. China, and the 985 Program of the Chemistry and Chemical Engineering disciplines of Xiamen University.

REFERENCES

(1) Halpern, J.; Trost, B. M. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 5347.

(2) Walsh, P. J.; Kozlowski, M. C. Fundamentals of Asymmetric Catalysis, University Science Books, 2009.

(3) (a) List, B.; Yang, J. W. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1584. (b) MacMillan, D. W. C. *Nature* **2008**, *455*, 304.

(4) (a) Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 289. (b) Takemoto, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 4299. (c) Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1520. (d) Connon, S. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5418. (e) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5713. (f) Yu, X.; Wang, W. Chem. Asian J. 2008, 3, 516. (g) Zhang, Z.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1187. (h) Knowles, R. R.; Jacobsen, E. N. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 20678.

(5) For reviews on hydrogen bonding interactions involving metal coordinated ligands, see: (a) Loeb, S. J.; Mercer, D. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, *39*, 3612. (b) Reedijk, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1776.

(6) For reviews on chiral-at-metal complexes and their applications, see: (a) Pierre, J.-L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178-180, 1183.
(b) Knof, U.; von Zelewsky, A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 302. (c) Brunner, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1194. (d) Knight P. D.; Scott, P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 242, 125. (e) Ganter, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 130. (f) Lacour, J.; Hebbe-Viton, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 373. (g) Fontecave, M.; Hamelin, O.; Ménage, S. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 15, 271. (h) Meggers, E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 2911. (i) Bauer, E. B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3153. (j) Crassous, J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9684. (k) Constable, E. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 1427.

(7) In pioneering precedence it was reported that the octahedral complex Δ -[Co(1,2-ethylenediamine)₃]{B(ArF₂₄)₄}₃ catalyzes the Michael addition of dimethyl malonate to 2-cyclopenten-1one enantioselectively, albeit with modest 33% *ee.* See: Ganzmann, C.; Gladysz, J. A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 5397.

(8) For an octahedral chiral-at-metal ruthenium complex as a metalloligand for asymmetric catalysis, see: Hamelin, O.; Rimboud, M.; Pécaut, J.; Fontecave, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 5354.

(9) For an octahedral chiral-at-metal ruthenium complex as reactive, asymmetric oxidation catalyst, see: Chavarot, M.; Ménage S.; Hamelin, O.; Charnay, F.; Pécaut, J.; Fontecave, M. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *4*2, 4810.

(10) For the induction of asymmetric autocatalysis by chiral octahedral cobalt complexes, see: Sato, I.; Kadowaki, K.; Ohgo, Y.; Soai, K.; Ogino, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1022.

(11) For representative examples of tetrahedral chiral-at-metal complexes as part of asymmetric catalysts, see: (a) Brunner, H.; Wachter, J.; Schmidbauer, J.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Jones, P. G. *Organometallics* **1986**, 5, 2212. (b) Zwick, B. D.; Arif, A. M.; Patton, A. T.; Gladysz, J. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 910. (c) Kromm, K.; Zwick, B. D.; Meyer, O.; Hampel, F.; Gladysz, J. A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2001**, *7*, 2015. (d) Kromm, K.; Osburn, P. L.; Gladysz, J. A. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 4275. (e) Seidel, F. Gladysz, J. A. *Synlett* **2007**, 986.

(12) For representative examples of planar chiral-at-metal complexes as inert templates for asymmetric catalysts, see: (a) Hayashi, T.; Kumada, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 395. (b) Togni, A.; Breutel, C.; Schnyder, A.; Spindler, F.; Landert, H.; Tijani, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4062. (c) Kudis, S.; Helmchen, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3047. (d) Ireland, T.; Grossheimann, G.; Wieser-Jeunesse, C.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3212. (e) Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 412. (f) Bolm, C.; Kesselgruber, M.; Hermanns, N.;

Hildebrand, J. P.; Raabe, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1488. (g) Gibson, S. E.; Ibrahim, H. Chem. Commun. 2002, 2465. (h) Özçubukçu, S.; Schmidt, F.; Bolm, C. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1407. (i) Fu, G. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 853. (j) Huang, H.; Peters, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 604. (k) Kanbayashi, N.; Onitsuka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1206. (l) Ogasawara, M.; Wu, W.-Y.; Arae, S.; Watanabe, S.; Morita, T.; Takahashi, T.; Kamikawa, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2951.

(13) For the same reasons, octahedral metal complexes have been exploited successfully as powerful structural scaffolds for the design of enzyme inhibitors. See, for example: Feng, L.; Geisselbrecht, Y.; Blanck, S.; Wilbuer, A.; Atilla-Gokcumen, G. E.; Filippakopoulos, P.; Kräling, K.; Celik, M. A.; Harms, K.; Maksimoska, J.; Marmorstein, R.; Frenking, G.; Knapp, S.; Essen, L.-O.; Meggers, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 5976.

(14) For pioneering work on the generic use of asymmetric Hbonding catalysis, see: (a) Vachal, P.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 10012. (b) Wenzel, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12964.

(15) For an early example of the asymmetric activation of nitroalkenes with a bifunctional thiourea catalyst, see: Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takemoto; Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 12672.

(16) For asymmetric double H-bond donor organocatalysts with an additional hydroxyl group, see: (a) Herrera, R. P.; Sgarzani, V.; Bernardi, L.; Ricci, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 6576. (b) Sibi, M. P.; Itoh, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 8064. (c) Herrera, R. P.; Monge, D.; Martín-Zamora, E.; Fernández, R.; Lassaletta, J. M. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3303.

(17) You, S.-L. Chem. Asian J. 2007, 2, 820.

(18) For an early example of organocatalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with Hantzsch ester, see: Yang, J. W.; Hechavarria Fonseca, M. T.; List, B. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 6660.

(19) For racemic and enantioselective organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of nitroalkenes, see: (a) Zhang, Z. G.; Schreiner, P. R. *Synthesis* **2007**, 2559. (b) Martin, N. J. A.; Ozores, L.; List, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 8976. (c) Martin, N. J. A.; Cheng, X.; List, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 13862. (d) Schneider, J. F.; Lauber, M. B.; Muhr, V.; Kratzer, D.; Paradies, J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, 9, 4323.

(20) For metal-catalyzed asymmetric conjugate reduction of nitroalkenes, see: (a) Czekelius, C.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4293. (b) Czekelius, C.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4575. (c) Czekelius, C.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2007, 11 633. (d) Soltani, O.; Ariger, M. A.; Carreira, E. M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4196. (e) Tang, Y.; Xiang, J.; Cun, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, J.; Liao, J.; Deng, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 1900. (f) Li, S.; Huang, K.; Cao, B.; Zhang, J.; Wu, W.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8573.

(21) For the asymmetric conjugate bioreduction of nitroalkenes, see for example: (*a*) Ohta, H.; Kobayashi, N.; Ozaki, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 1802. (*b*) Hall, M.; Stueckler, C.; Kroutil, W.; Macheroux, P.; Faber, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 3934. (*c*) Toogood, H. S.; Fryszkowska, A.; Hare, V.; Fisher, K.; Roujeinikova, A.; Leys, D.; Gardiner, J. M.; Stephens, G. M.; Scrutton, N. S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, *350*, 2789. (*d*) Oberdorfer, G.; Gruber, K.; Faber, K.; Hall, M. *Synlett* **2012**, *23*, 1857.

(22) Catalysis experiments were routinely performed in brown glass vials under reduced light as a precaution to exclude potential interferences from photoactivation of the iridium complexes.

(23) For a recent review on low-loading asymmetric organocatalysis, see: Giacalone, F.; Gruttadauria, M.; Agrigento, P.; Noto, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 2406.

(24) Calculated from the transfer hydrogenation reaction with 0.1 mol% catalyst loading (entry 10 of Table 2) under the assumption that the formation of the minor enantiomer is attributed to the uncatalyzed background reaction.

