JOURNAL OF

# Luminescent supramolecular assemblies based on hydrogen-bonded complexes of stilbenecarboxylic acids and dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene-2-carboxylic acids with a tris(imidazoline) base<sup>†</sup>

Frank Osterod,<sup>*a*</sup> Lars Peters,<sup>*a*</sup> Arno Kraft,<sup>\**a,b*</sup> Takeshi Sano,<sup>*c*</sup> John J. Morrison,<sup>*c*</sup> Neil Feeder<sup>*c*</sup> and Andrew B. Holmes<sup>\**c*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut für Organische Chemie und Makromolekulare Chemie II, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK EH14 4AS. E-mail: a.kraft@hw.ac.uk <sup>c</sup>Melville Laboratory for Polymer Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, University of

Cambridge, Pembroke Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 3RA. E-mail: abh1@cam.ac.uk

Received 23rd November 2000, Accepted 13th March 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 12th April 2001

The synthesis of a number of stilbenecarboxylic acids, tetrazolylstilbenes and dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene-2carboxylic acids is reported. These organic acids form non-covalent complexes with an imidazoline base, 1,3,5tris(4,5-dihydroimidazol-2-yl)benzene 13. Two X-ray crystal structures confirm hydrogen bonds between carboxylate ligands and *meta*-positioned protonated imidazoline groups. The complexes possess a surprisingly flat disk-like shape with various close contacts between adjacent molecules. Most stilbene and dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene derivatives show strong blue or blue–green photoluminescence in solution, whereas fluorescence in the solid state is almost completely quenched.

# Introduction

There is currently great interest in the development of new organic and polymeric electronic materials, which can be spread easily over large areas and which are ideally suited for the manufacture of a range of thin-film devices, such as electroluminescent diodes and field-effect transistors.<sup>1,2</sup> Whereas low-molar-mass organic materials need to be sublimed for this purpose, conjugated polymers<sup>1</sup> and dendrimers<sup>3</sup> (highly branched molecules of defined molar mass) offer the potential of low-cost processing—typically by spin-coating or inkjet printing—from solution at room temperature. Both approaches give high quality thin films for device applications.

Materials for organic semiconductors and organic lightemitting diodes require high charge carrier mobilities under an applied electrical field to make charge transport efficient during device operation. High mobility is usually associated with a high degree of structural order and purity. Oligomers of thiophenes, such as the hexamer  $\alpha$ -sexithiophene and the fused 2,2'-bi(dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene) (BDT), are among the most promising examples. The thiophene hexamer yields single-crystalline films upon vacuum deposition of the highly purified compound under suitable conditions. Its quasi-planar molecules with a herringbone packing arrangement provide sufficient  $\pi$ -overlap between aromatic rings along the stacking axis.<sup>4</sup> The dimer of dithieno [3,2-b:2',3'-d] thiophene is a related  $\pi$ -conjugated material that has been used successfully in organic field-effect transistors.<sup>5</sup> BDT exhibits a unique  $\pi$ stacked structure in which the short distance between carbon atoms in two face-to-face oriented molecules is only 3.557 Å. A compressed molecular packing, strong intermolecular interactions and a wide HOMO–LUMO gap impart a high field-effect mobility (0.02–0.05 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) along the  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking direction together with a high on/off ratio (up to 10<sup>8</sup>) and sharp turn-on characteristics. Strong  $\pi$ -stacking is, however, also responsible for BDT's low solubility in most organic solvents; even for a dioctyl-substituted derivative solubility still remains at a level (2 mg cm<sup>-3</sup> in THF) too low for spincoating.<sup>6</sup> Alternative dithienothiophene derivatives with good processibility were therefore sought.

Solution processing would clearly open up new avenues for non-polymeric charge-transporting and luminescent compounds in device applications. Although the solubility issue can be solved readily by the introduction of suitable solubilising groups, deposition of materials in a highly ordered form requires more. Supramolecular interactions have been considered as an alternative route towards ordered structures. A framework of hydrogen bonds has been responsible for controlling the stacking arrangement of dialkylbithiophenes functionalised with urea groups<sup>7</sup> whereas electrostatic interactions have played a key role in the preparation of selfassembled thin films by dip-deposition of alternating layers of polycationic and polyanionic polymers using a Langmuir-Blodgett-type technique.<sup>8</sup> In this way highly luminescent films have been obtained from water-soluble polymers containing oligophenylene and stilbene sequences. Likewise, supramolecular order in regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophenes) has been attributed to  $\pi$ -stacking interactions that are highly favourable in a well-defined regioregular conjugated polymer.<sup>9</sup> Another example by E. W. Meijer and co-workers describes the self-



DOI: 10.1039/b009406o

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: packing views of the crystal structure of **14f**, preparation procedures and analytical data for **3E**, **10Z**, **12**, **18E**, **27** and their corresponding complexes reported in this paper. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/jm/b0/b0094060/

We have recently devised a method that is capable of rendering chloroform-insoluble monocarboxylic (or heterocyclic) acids soluble in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and other non-polar solvents by complexation of the acid with a tris(imidazoline) base, such as 13.<sup>13,14</sup> In the absence of protic co-solvents dissociation in solution is avoided, and all polar groups remain buried within the core of the complex. The introduction of additional solubilising groups along the periphery makes it possible for such complexes to possess—despite their hydrogen-bonded/ salt-like structure—solubility in a range of non-polar solvents.

This paper describes how the concept can be applied to monocarboxylic acids of stilbenes and dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene, two types of compounds with a known propensity for high luminescence or charge transport mobility. We report details of the synthesis, crystal structures and optical properties of such hydrogen-bonded complexes.

# **Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis

Stilbene derivatives were chosen because of their established strong blue fluorescence and relatively straightforward synthesis. Each stilbene and dithienothiophene derivative was designed to have a single acidic functional group—typically a carboxylic acid or a tetrazole—which was required for hydrogen-bonding to tribasic core **13**.

A simple stilbenecarboxylic acid, such as 3, was readily prepared by a Wittig reaction of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde with phosphonium salt 1. Occasionally, mixtures of *trans* (3E) and cis (3Z) isomers were isolated as a result of a photochemical isomerisation of the trans compound (Scheme 1). So long as prolonged exposure of 3E and especially of solutions of the compound to sunlight was avoided, the thermodynamically favoured (E)-stilbene derivative could be isolated as an isomerically pure compound after recrystallisation or gradient sublimation (Scheme 1).<sup>15</sup> Care had to be taken that compound 3-as well as any of the other carboxylic or heterocyclic acids used in this study-was obtained in the protonated form without being contaminated by its corresponding sodium salt, which is an intermediate in the condensation reaction. When (E)-stilbenecarboxylic acid 3E was combined with tris(imidazoline) base 13 in hot ethanol-chloroform, a 3:1 salt crystallised upon cooling (Scheme 2).<sup>‡</sup> Complex 14a did not dissolve in neat CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and even after addition of MeOH its solubility remained below  $2 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$ . Consequently, we decided to investigate possible routes to more soluble stilbene derivatives that would be more suitable for solution-processing techniques.

An obvious way of improving solubility is the introduction of long alkoxy side chain substituents. Apart from the more demanding task of purifying compounds of this type, long chain alkyl or alkoxy substituents 'dilute' the stilbene chromophore unnecessarily with paraffin-like groups; they are also liable to induce liquid-crystalline phases close to ambient temperature. Both features are generally avoided for device applications. We found that three methoxy substituents on the stilbene's peripheral phenyl group were surprisingly effective in preventing aggregation and promoting dissolution



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, NaOEt, EtOH, reflux, 1 h; ii, P(OEt)<sub>3</sub>, reflux, 48 h; iii, **6**, Bu'OK, THF, 25 °C, 24 h, then HCl; iv, KOH, EtOH, reflux, 3 h, then HCl; v, **6**, NaOEt, EtOH, reflux, 30 min, vi, PhCH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, NEt<sub>3</sub>, Ac<sub>2</sub>O, reflux, 15 h.

in chlorinated solvents at the same time. Since chromatographic removal of triphenylphosphine oxide resulted in unacceptably large losses during the purification of 3E, we abandoned Wittig reactions in favour of the Horner–Emmons variation that made work-up easier. Stilbene 8E was thus prepared from 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde 6 and phosphonate 5 followed by saponification of the intermediate ester 7E. The blue fluorescent complex 14b possessed excellent solubility in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (up to 50 mg cm<sup>-3</sup> at room temperature), which was considered to be sufficiently high for spin-coating applications.

Several related derivatives were available through similar routes. Knoevenagel reaction of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde 6 with 4-cyanomethylbenzoic acid 9 provided stilbene 10Z. Its complex with tris(imidazoline) 13 dissolved in chloroform at concentrations of  $\leq 8 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$  at ambient temperature. Encouraged by a report by Feast on high photoluminescence

<sup>‡</sup>Crystallisations were carried out on a scale of preferably about 200–300 mg.



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, ArCO<sub>2</sub>H (3 equiv.), EtOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux.

efficiency of tetraphenylethylene derivatives,<sup>16</sup> triphenylacrylic acid derivative **12** with a carboxylic acid group on the vinyl group was then prepared by Perkin condensation of fluoren-9-one with phenylacetic acid.

In addition to these carboxylic acids we synthesised two tetrazolylstilbenes and their corresponding complexes with 13. Nitriles 16*E* and 17*E* were obtained by a Wittig–Horner reaction starting from 4-methoxybenzaldehyde 2 or 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde 6, respectively (Scheme 3). Cycload-dition of ammonium azide<sup>17</sup> gave the corresponding tetrazoles 18*E* and 19*E* in medium yields. Purification by column



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, 2 or 6, NaH or Bu'OK, THF (DMF), reflux, 3 h; ii, NaN<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, NMP, 100 °C, 4 h, then HCl; iii, 13 (0.33 equiv.), EtOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>, reflux.



Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, nBuLi, THF, -78 °C to 20 °C, 1 h; ii, CO<sub>2</sub>, -40 °C; iii, HCl; iv, CuCN, NMP, 150 °C; v, NaN<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, NMP, 100 °C, 4 h, then HCl.

chromatography became necessary to separate the tetrazole component from unreacted starting material. In all cases the *trans* isomer was isolated as long as care was taken to avoid conditions that might lead to photochemical isomerisation. Complexation of tetrazoles was conducted analogously to the stilbenecarboxylic acids.

Dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene 21 was readily synthesised from 3-bromothiophene in 4 steps as described previously.<sup>5,18</sup> The 2-alkylated derivative 22 was derived by Friedel-Crafts acylation with hexanoyl chloride, followed by reduction of the keto group with lithium aluminium hydride in the presence of anhydrous AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Lithiation<sup>6</sup> of 21,22 with *n*-butyllithium in THF at -78 °C and subsequent reaction with carbon dioxide gave the carboxylic acids 23,24 in good yields (Scheme 4). Cocrystallisation with tris(imidazoline) 13 provided complexes 14f,g of which the hexyl derivative was soluble in chloroform.§ The introduction of a tetrazole group was achieved in 3 steps starting from dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene 21. Electrophilic bromination introduced a bromo group at the 2-position of the fused thiophene. Treatment with copper(I) cyanide in hot Nmethylpyrrolidone (NMP) gave the corresponding nitrile 26, which was then converted to the tetrazole 27 with a mixture of sodium azide and ammonium chloride in NMP. However, overall yields were rather low, partly because of the temperature sensitivity of the dithienothiophene system and partly as a result of the reduced reactivity of the electron-rich nitrile towards cycloaddition with azide anions.

#### Crystal structure of 14b and 14f

Slow crystallisation of complex **14b** from a solution in CHCl<sub>3</sub>– EtOH–MeOH gave microcrystals of sufficient quality for X-ray diffractometry which, owing to the small size of the crystals, were examined using the high intensity of a synchrotron radiation source. Microcrystals of complex **14f**, obtained by slow evaporation from EtOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>, were analysed likewise using the Daresbury synchrotron radiation microcrystal diffraction facility (station 9.8). Fig. 1a depicts the crystal structure of complex **14b** which crystallised together with molecules of ethanol and water. The crystal structure of complex **14f** (including ethanol and chloroform) is shown in Fig. 2a.

As the two crystal structures exhibit a number of similarities, we will concentrate our initial discussion on complex **14b**. The tris(imidazoline) core is relatively flat, with torsion angles of only 2.4 to  $13.3^{\circ}$  between the heterocyclic NCN amidinium groups and the central benzene ring. Shortened and almost equal C–O (1.25 Å on average) and C–N bond lengths (1.32 Å

<sup>§</sup>All dithienothiophene derivatives proved sensitive to residual HCl in chloroform and crystallisation of the complexes was therefore conducted preferably from EtOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> mixtures.



Fig. 1 (a) Crystal structure of 14b, (b) side view (hydrogen atoms and included solvent molecules are omitted for clarity).

on average) are consistent with partial double bonds, indicating that proton transfer has occurred from the carboxylic acids to the imidazoline base. All three carboxylate ligands are hydrogen-bonded to the tris(imidazoline) core molecule. Each carboxylate binds in a  $\eta^2$ -like fashion through hydrogen bonds between its carboxylate-O atoms and two NH units belonging to a pair of *meta*-positioned imidazolinium groups. An average N···O distance of 2.68 Å (N–*H*···*O* distance 1.85 Å) and an average N–*H*···*O* angle of 157° is consistent with strong hydrogen bonding. The size of the carboxylate group is slightly too large in comparison to the interstice between two imidazolines which, together with a preference of H-bonds to be linear, accounts for the tilting of the imidazoline substituents and a non-symmetrical binding of the three ligands.

In addition, there are noteworthy close contacts between carboxylate-O atoms and nearby aromatic protons H56A, H58A and H60A. These O···H distances are 2.65 Å on average, but three are considerably smaller than 2.7 Å, the sum of the van der Waals radii. Such short distances [O11···H58A 2.26, O6···H56A 2.53, O7···H56A 2.54, O11···C58 3.19, O6···C56 3.41, O7···C56 3.41 Å] and an average C–H···O angle of 162° fall within the confines of weak directional CH···O hydrogen bonds.<sup>19</sup>

The three methoxy substituents on the stilbene residues adopt a conformation similar to that in mescaline hydrobromide and many of its analogues.<sup>20</sup> Whereas the two outer MeO groups are almost coplanar with the adjacent benzene ring (average torsion angle  $7^{\circ}$ ), the methoxy substituent in the centre twists out of the plane of the benzene ring with a torsion angle of around  $76^{\circ}$ .

Torsion angles of 3.1, 19.1 and  $24.8^{\circ}$  are found between the two benzene rings of the stilbenes. Despite all these deviations from planarity the molecule as a whole is still quite flat when viewed from the side (Fig. 1b). Two molecules of complex **14b** lie off-set to each other with an inversion centre in between. The sliding distance between such a pair is 12.34 Å, and the closest distances between atoms in two molecules are 3.22 (N6…C42\*), 3.40 (N1…C49\*) and 3.45 Å (C61…C50\*). Perpendicular to this dimer lies another molecule of the same symmetry and, with a sliding distance of 14.61 Å, only slightly farther away. The closest contacts to this stilbene complex are 3.38 (C60…C14\*), 3.42 (C56…C10\*) and 3.49 Å (C64…C8\*).

Complex 14f displays a slightly higher degree of order in the crystal packing. Two tris(imidazoline) molecules stack face-toface with an off-set of 1.4 Å and rotated by  $60^{\circ}$  relative to each other (Fig. 2b). The dithienothiophenecarboxylate ligands assemble around the two tris(imidazoline)s, forming a centrosymmetric dimer. Although only the benzene rings of two adjacent tris(imidazoline) molecules are completely coplanar, such an arrangement allows oppositely charged ions of adjacent complexes to salt-pack. The closest distances between C atoms in such a dimer are 3.46 (C2…C43\*) and 3.48 Å (C6…C4\*, C4…C6\*). The sliding distance between two nearest pairs is 9.90 Å. The packing diagram of 14f is shown in Fig. 2c. A more ordered supramolecular packing was so far only observed in complexes of 13 with a tetrazole as ligand in which the smaller tetrazolate anion hydrogen bonded through



Fig. 2 (a) Crystal structure of 14f, (b) top view of two adjacent molecules and (c) packing view (hydrogen atoms and included solvent molecules are omitted for clarity).

its  $N^1$  and  $N^2$  atoms and formed a completely planar complex because of the ligand's smaller size.<sup>14</sup> Although columnar packing should be more favourable with tetrazolylstilbenes as ligands, we did not obtain good quality crystals from any of the tetrazole complexes **20a–c** prepared in this study. We have recently been able to show that complexes of 13 with long chain alkoxy-substituted benzoic acids give rise to columnar mesophase formation.<sup>21</sup> In this respect, both crystal structures present an interesting view about the packing arrangement of typical 13-carboxylic acid complexes. The almost disk-like shape and the close contacts between adjacent molecules shed some light on the structural forces of how mesogens could be expected to stack into a columnar arrangement. It should, however, be kept in mind that long side chain substituents also influence the structural assembly of the complexes.

# **Properties of complexes**

All carboxylic acid complexes became malleable at elevated temperatures before they formed an isotropic liquid. Although no identifiable liquid crystalline mesophases were observed, several compounds, in particular the hexyl-substituted **14g**, showed distinct crystal–crystal phase transitions in differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) studies instead. The tetrazole and the dithienothiophene complexes were thermally sensitive and decomposed rapidly upon prolonged heating at around the isotropisation temperature.

Complex formation in solution was observed in non-polar solvents only. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all CDCl<sub>3</sub>-soluble complexes displayed a distinct signal for the HA protons (see Scheme 2) on the central benzene ring at  $\delta_{\rm H} \approx 10.1$  that is diagnostic of complexation.<sup>13</sup> There are, in principle, two possible explanations for this unusual chemical shift of an aromatic proton NMR signal. First, additional electric dipole fields are induced by the carboxylate-imidazolinium ion pairs as a result of complexation. Second, both crystal structures indicate that close CH…O contacts exist between the aromatic H<sub>A</sub> protons and the surrounding carboxylate ligands, thus providing another cause for the downfield shift of the H<sub>A</sub> NMR signal.<sup>22</sup> Only complex 20b showed signs of weak selfassociation in chloroform at concentrations above  $10^{-3}$  M, which was a consequence of the known planarised structure of tetrazole complexes.<sup>14</sup> Despite this, the complex had a solubility in chloroform of up to  $30 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$ 

Sublimation provided a practical method for depositing various complexes (14a, 14b and 20a) onto a glass substrate. For example, tetrazolylstilbene complex 20a, which could not processed from chloroform, sublimed at 205 °C/ be  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  mbar and gave a fine yellow powder with a weak yellow fluorescence. Even in a gradient sublimer the two components of the complex did not separate into different bands. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectra of the sublimed material confirmed the identity of the complex. Interestingly, under similar conditions sublimation of a complex of 13 and CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H resulted in decomposition of the complex and loss of the volatile acid component. We assume that in certain cases, when both 13 and the acid have comparable sublimation and deposition temperatures, separation under high vacuum can be precluded.

#### Photoluminescence and electroluminescence studies

Stilbenes 11 and 12, as well as their corresponding complexes with 13, lacked fluorescence and were not pursued any further. All other stilbenecarboxylic acids and tetrazolylstilbenes exhibited a strong blue fluorescence in solution that was retained in the complexes with tris(imidazoline) base 13. An absorption maximum was observed at approx. 335 nm, whereas the photoluminescence maximum varied between 416 and 494 nm. As expected, the introduction of a cyano substituent on the vinylene linker (10Z) gave the compound a blue–green fluorescence in addition to a distinct shift of the photoluminescence maximum to longer wavelengths (494 nm). Surprisingly, there was no significant difference between

Table 1 Absorption and photoluminescence data in dry  $\rm CH_2Cl_2$  (unless otherwise indicated)

Compound	Absorption peak wavelength/nm	PL peak wavelength /nm
3E	332 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	416 <sup>a</sup>
Complex 14a	332	425
8 <i>E</i>	338	460
Complex 14b	334 <sup>b</sup>	$449^{b}$
10Z	338	494
Complex 14c	334	463
19 <i>E</i>	336	446
Complex 20b	338	445
23	314 <sup>a</sup>	384 <sup>a</sup>
Complex 14f	318	382
24	334 <sup>a</sup>	$407^{a}$
Complex 14g	326	398
<sup><i>a</i></sup> The UV and PL measurements were carried out in $CH_2Cl_2$ -EtOH (1:1). <sup><i>b</i></sup> UV and PL measurements in CHCl <sub>3</sub> .		

carboxylic acids and tetrazoles and their corresponding complexes (Table 1). The dithienothiophene derivatives had an absorption maximum at around 324 nm and a fluorescence maximum between 385 and 407 nm.

The fluorescent stilbene derivatives, whether in the form of the free acid or as complex with 13, were sensitive to photochemical isomerisation. Especially in solution the conversion from pure *trans* material to an isomeric mixture containing predominantly the (Z)-stilbene proceeded in a couple of hours upon standing in direct sunlight. The *cis* isomers were easily identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy since both the olefinic and the aromatic *ortho* protons were observed up-field by  $\Delta \delta \approx 0.1-1$  relative to the corresponding signals of the *trans* derivatives. Compounds 8E and 10Z were particularly prone to exposure to sunlight, and irradiation rapidly produced isomeric ratios of up to 80:20 for 8Z:8E. Similar values were found for the corresponding complexes.

The stilbene complex **14b** is the material of most interest in terms of processability and fluorescence intensity (Fig. 3). The relative fluorescence quantum yield was 8% in solution (measured for a  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  M solution in degassed chloroform, 25 °C, excitation wavelength: 348 nm) in comparison with a quinine sulfate solution ( $5 \times 10^{-5}$  M in 0.5 M aqueous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 25 °C) as a standard (fluorescence quantum yield of 54.6%). A uniform solid film (40 nm in thickness) of complex **14b** was obtained by spin-coating (1600 rpm, 30 s) from a 10 mg cm<sup>-3</sup> solution in chloroform; however, fluorescence intensity in the solid state was very weak (below 1%) and insufficient for a practical electroluminescent device.

Unlike complex 14b, complex 20a was not processable by



 $\lambda/nm$ 

400

500

600

UV Absorption / PL Emission (normalised)

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

300

spin-coating but amenable to vacuum deposition instead. A uniform film with a weak yellow fluorescence was obtained by thermal vapour deposition. Complex 20a was then tested as an emitting material in an electroluminescent device, where it was sandwiched between a hole-transport and an electron-transport layer as described below. For this, an indium-tin oxide (ITO) coated glass substrate was patterned in stripes (3 mm in width) and washed with water, acetone and ethanol. A holetransport material, N, N'-bis(3-methylphenyl)-N, N'-diphenyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-diamine (TPD), was deposited on the substrate first. Next, complex 20a was deposited on top of the TPD layer, followed by an electron-transport material, tris(quinolin-8-olato)aluminium (Alq<sub>3</sub>). A cathode metal, magnesium-indium (9:1) alloy, was deposited on the organic layers through a shadow mask (2 mm stripes), providing pixels of the size  $3 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$  each. The device was finally encapsulated to keep out moisture. All depositions were carried out under high vacuum  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mbar})$ . The structure and thickness of the layers were as follows: ITO (190 nm)/TPD (40 nm)/complex 20a (40 nm)/Alq<sub>3</sub> (40 nm)/Mg-In (200 nm). The device was examined by applying a dc bias (0 to 30 V) to the electrodes. No visible emission was observed from the device with complex 20a, whereas a device without the complex showed green electroluminescence from Alg<sub>3</sub>. The quenching of fluorescence in the solid state is therefore considered to be a major problem in obtaining electroluminescence from the stilbene complexes.

As for the dithienothiophene complex, 14g is processable by spin-coating. A uniform film (40 nm in thickness) was obtained from a  $10 \text{ mg cm}^{-3}$  solution in chloroform by spin-coating (1600 rpm, 30 s). The compound was applied as a holetransporting material in an electroluminescent device, since dithienothiophene is considered to be a hole-transport unit.<sup>5</sup> The device [ITO (190 nm)/complex 14g (40 nm)/TPD (40 nm)/ Alq<sub>3</sub> (40 nm)/Ca (50 nm)/Al (150 nm)] was examined by applying a dc bias to the electrodes, but no visible emission could be observed. The device allowed a small current of  $0.08 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 15 V, which means that there were not enough carriers injected into the device for light emission. It was concluded that complex 14g does not have a sufficiently high hole mobility or a suitable HOMO level, probably because the dithienothiophene units in the complex are not conjugated with each other.



# Conclusion

We have described the preparation and optical properties of a number of stilbenecarboxylic acids, tetrazolylstilbenes and dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene-2-carboxylic acids. These acids

form non-covalent complexes with tris(imidazoline) base 13 that can be readily purified and isolated by recrystallisation. The introduction of methoxy or hexyl substituents produced complexes with solubility in chloroform large enough for spincoating. In other cases, sublimation proved an alternative method for the formation of smooth thin films. As long as the electron-withdrawing acid group was attached to the aromatic ring (and not to the vinylene group) the stilbenes and dithienothiophenes and their complexes with 13 showed strong blue fluorescence in solution, whereas in the solid photoluminescence turned out to be in part quenched. When complex 20a was examined as a light-emitting material in an electroluminescent device, there was unfortunately not enough current allowed in the devices (to exhibit light emission) possibly due to a large band gap, a high carrier injection barrier and a small carrier mobility. A device with a thin film of dithienothiophene complex 14g as hole-transport layer and Alq<sub>3</sub> as light-emitting material similarly failed to show any light output.

Two crystal structures illustrated that the complexes have an overall disk-shaped structure. The dithienothiophene-containing complex 14f gave an example of a stacking arrangement that is influenced by salt-packing, with comparatively slight off-sets between molecules along the packing axis. Although the reduced  $\pi$ -overlap between the molecules in the crystal may have been detrimental for charge transport, it nevertheless outlined that more ordered supramolecular structures (at least in the crystal) are accessible in principle. A promising step in this direction has been our recent report on thermotropic liquid-crystalline complexes based on complexes of 13 in which the use of benzoic acid derivates with two or three dodecyloxy substituents induced the formation of columnar mesophases. We anticipate that the judicious choice of binding group (viz., tetrazoles) and substituents could provide an even better and alternative way towards ordered supramolecular assemblies by vapour deposition, solution- or melt-processing.

# Experimental

### General

All solvents were distilled prior to use. Melting points: Olympus BH-2 polarisation microscope with Linkam TMS91 programmable sample heater. DSC: Mettler TC 11 with TA 4000 Processor. NMR: Bruker AC200, WM-250, DPX-250, DRX 500. TMS was used as standard in the NMR measurements. Multiplicities of <sup>13</sup>C signals were determined by DEPT experiments. IR: Bruker Vector 22 FT-IR. UV-Vis: HP 8452A. EI-MS: Varian MAT 311 A (70 eV), MAT 8200 (Hi-Res). CI-MS: Finnigan INCOS 50. High resolution electron impact mass spectra were recorded by the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service in Swansea. X-Ray diffraction measurements and crystallographic analysis were made on Station 9.8 at the EPSRC Synchrotron Radiation Source Laboratory in Daresbury. TLC: Aluminium sheets with silica gel 60F254 (Merck). Chromatography: ICN silica gel 32-63 (ICN Biomedicals) or Merck Kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh). Elemental analyses: Pharmazeutisches Institut der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, University Chemical Laboratory Microanalytical Department. Tris(imidazoline) base 13 was prepared as described elsewhere and purified by gradient sublimation at 290 °C/10<sup>-4</sup> mbar.<sup>23</sup> Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried from potassium in a recycling still, using benzophenone ketyl as an indicator. All other solvents were distilled prior to use.

#### (E)-4-[2-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)vinyl]benzoic acid 8E

To a mixture of <sup>t</sup>BuOK (2.47 g, 22 mmol) in THF (8 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added a solution of  $5^{24}$  (2.72 g, 10.0 mmol) in THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>), followed by a solution of **6** (1.96 g, 10.0 mmol) in THF (6 cm<sup>3</sup>).

The red-brown mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature before it was acidified with concd. HCl and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. After concentrating in vacuum, the residual solid was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O, 19:1 and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH, 10:1). The ester 7E (1.32 g) was then combined with KOH (2.00 g, 35.7 mmol) in ethanol (75 cm<sup>3</sup>) and refluxed for 3 h. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and acidified with concd. HCl. The resulting precipitate was collected by suction filtration, dried and purified by chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O, 19:1 and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH, 10:1) to give 8E as a pale vellow solid (620 mg, 20%), mp 223-226 °C (Found: C, 68.6; H, 5.75.  $C_{18}H_{18}O_5$  requires C, 68.8; H, 5.8%);  $v_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup> 1683, 1605, 1581, 1505, 1422, 1316, 1292, 1127;  $\delta_{\rm H}(200~{\rm MHz},$ CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.88 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.92 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.76 (2 H, s, ArH), 7.02 (1 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.15 (1 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.56, 8.07 (2×2 H, AA'XX', ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}(125 \text{ MHz},$ CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 56.2, 61.0, 104.0, 126.3, 126.9, 130.7, 131.5 (CH, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 128.3, 132.4, 138.6, 142.4, 153.5, 171.9; m/z (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>)  $349 (M + NH_3 + NH_4^+, 26\%), 333, 332 (M + NH_4^+, 25, 100),$ 315 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 78);  $R_{\rm f}$ (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH, 9:1) 0.69. After a solution of the NMR sample was left standing in the sunlight, additional signals could be detected and were assigned to 8Z:  $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  3.65 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.84 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.44 (2 H, s, ArH), 6.58 (1 H, AB, J 12.0, =CH), 6.63 (1 H, AB, J 12.0, =CH), 7.38, 7.99 (2 × 2 H, AA'XX', ArH).

#### (E)-4-[2-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)vinyl]benzonitrile 17E

A solution of  $15^{25}$  (1.41 g, 5.60 mmol) in dry THF (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to a KOBu<sup>t</sup> (0.673 g, 6.00 mmol) and dry THF (10 cm<sup>3</sup>). The solution was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. Then a solution of 6 (1.10 g, 5.60 mmol) in THF (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added dropwise and stirred for 2 h before the solvent was removed in vacuum and the residue was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and washed with water  $(3 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The organic extract was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), concentrated in vacuum, dried and further purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH, 5:1). Yield: 1.27 g (77%), colourless solid, mp 159-162 °C (Found: C, 72.4; H, 5.5; N, 4.5. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> requires C, 73.2; H, 5.8; N, 4.7%); v<sub>max</sub> (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2219 (CN), 1599, 1582, 1509, 1459, 1421, 1137, 1003, 839;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.88 (3 H, s, OCH3), 3.92 (6 H, s, OCH3), 6.76 (2 H, s, ArH), 6.98 (1 H, AB, J 16.4, =CH), 7.14 (1 H, AB, J 16.4, =CH), 7.57, 7.63 (2 × 2 H, AA'XX', ArH); δ<sub>C</sub>(125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 56.2, 61.0, 104.2, 126.2, 126.8, 132.4, 132.5 (CH, CH<sub>3</sub>), 110.5, 119.0, 132.0, 138.9, 141.8, 153.5 (*ipso*-C, CN); m/z (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) 330 (M+NH<sub>3</sub>+NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 17%), 315, 313  $(M + NH_4^+, 77, 100)$ , 296  $(M + H^+, 6)$ ;  $R_{\rm f}(\rm CH_2\rm Cl_2)$  0.37.

# (E)-5-{4-[2-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)vinyl]phenyl}-1*H*-tetrazole 19*E*

Nitrile **17***E* (0.94 g, 3.20 mmol), NaN<sub>3</sub> (0.46, 7.00 mmol) and NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (0.38 g, 7.00 mmol) in NMP (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) were heated to 100 °C for 48 h. The reaction mixture was added dropwise to H<sub>2</sub>O (200 cm<sup>3</sup>)–concd. HCl (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). The precipitate was collected by suction filtration, dried, and purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeOH, 5:1). Yield: 0.29 g (26%), pale yellow platelets, mp 172–179 °C (Found: C, 63.6; H, 5.3; N, 16.7. C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 63.9; H, 5.4; N, 16.6%);  $v_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1611, 1583, 1508, 1125;  $\delta_{H}$ (500 MHz, [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>]DMSO) 3.70 (3 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.86 (6 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.99 (2 H, s, ArH), 7.32 (1 H, AB, *J* 16.4, =CH), 7.37 (1 H, AB, *J* 16.4, =CH), 7.82, 8.06 (2 × 2 H, AA'XX', ArH);  $\delta_{C}$ (125 MHz, [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>]DMSO) 55.8, 60.0, 104.1, 126.6, 127.0, 127.3, 130.6 (CH, CH<sub>3</sub>), 132.3, 137.6, 140.0, 153.0 (*ipso*-C, C=N); *m/z* (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) 373 (M+NH<sub>3</sub>+NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 26%), 357, 356 (M+NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 15, 100), 340, 339 (M+H<sup>+</sup>, 12, 95); *R*<sub>f</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>–MeOH, 4:1) 0.50.

The compound was prepared by a modified literature procedure.<sup>26</sup> *n*-Butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane, 1.18 cm<sup>3</sup> 1.88 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of  $21^{5,18}$ (368 mg, 1.88 mmol) in THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) at  $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C under N<sub>2</sub>. The solution turned cloudy green within 10 min and was allowed to warm up to room temperature. After 40 min, it was cooled to approx. -40 °C. The cold solution was then transferred to a syringe and added dropwise to dry ice in a flask kept under N2. After warming up to room temperature, water  $(30 \text{ cm}^3)$  and ether  $(40 \text{ cm}^3)$  were added. The organic layer was separated and discarded. The aqueous layer was acidified with HCl (10 M, 10 cm<sup>3</sup>). The yellow solid was collected by suction filtration and recrystallised from 33% acetic acid to give 23 as a fine yellow green powder (286 mg, 61%), mp 276 °C (compound sublimed, lit.<sup>26</sup> 275–277 °C);  $v_{max}$ (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 3090, 3823, 2559, 1652, 1504, 1428, 1311, 1265, 1164, 928, 750, 716, 602;  $\delta_{\rm H}(250~{\rm MHz},{\rm CDCl}_3)$  7.31 (1 H, d, J 5.1), 7.56 (2 H, d, J 5.1), 7.94 (1 H, s).

# 2-Hexyldithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene 22

To a stirred solution of  $21^5$  (339 mg, 1.73 mmol) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added hexanoyl chloride (0.25 cm<sup>3</sup>, 1.79 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at room temperature, cooled to 0 °C, and AlCl<sub>3</sub> (267 mg, 2.0 mmol) was added portionwise. The mixture was then allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 18 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) and acidified with 2 M aqueous HCl (50 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 20 cm<sup>3</sup>). The organic layers were combined, washed with water (30 cm<sup>3</sup>), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuum. Column chromatography (hexane-CH2Cl2, 1:1) afforded 1-(dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'd]thiophen-2-yl)hexan-1-one (355 mg, 70%) as a colourless solid, mp 140-141 °C (Found: C, 57.0; H, 4.8. C14H14S3O requires C, 57.1; H, 4.8%); v<sub>max</sub> (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2923, 2864, 1641, 1490, 1362, 1209, 703;  $\delta_{\rm H}(250~{\rm MHz},{\rm CDCl_3})$  0.89 (3 H, t, J 6.5, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25 (4 H, br s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.78 (2 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.93 (2 H, t, J 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 7.32 (1 H, d, J 5.2), 7.52 (1 H, d, J 5.2), 7.91 (1 H, s, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3)$  13.9, 22.5, 24.7, 31.6, 39.0, 120.9, 125.5, 129.0, 130.9, 136.9, 141.2, 144.4, 144.9, 193.7; m/z (EI) 294 (M<sup>+</sup>, 28%), 238 (35), 223 (20), 195 (14), 175 (10), 163 (12), 151 (16), 123 (35), 83 (100);  $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1:1) 0.3. Anhydrous ether (6 cm<sup>3</sup>) at  $0 \,^{\circ}$ C was added to separate batches of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (160 mg, 4.2 mmol) and AlCl<sub>3</sub> (133 mg, 1.0 mmol), and the resulting mixtures were combined. To this mixture was 1-(dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophen-2-yl)hexan-1-one added (127 mg, 0.43 mmol) in dry ether at  $0^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred for 3 h. The reaction was quenched by the careful addition of ether (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) and 2 M aqueous HCl (4 cm<sup>3</sup>). The product was extracted by washing the gray precipitate with ether  $(3 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The combined organic washings were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent was removed in vacuum. Column chromatography (hexane) afforded 22 (110 mg, 90%) as transparent crystals, mp 52-53 °C (Found: C, 60.0; H, 5.8.  $C_{14}H_{16}S_3$  requires C, 60.0; H, 5.8%);  $v_{max}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2956, 2930, 2857, 817, 604;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 0.88 (3 H, t, J 6.5, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.25–1.46 (4 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.73 (2 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.90 (2 H, t, J 7.0, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.96 (1 H, s), 7.25 (1 H, d, J 5.3), 7.27 (1 H, d, J 5.3, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  14.1, 22.6, 28.0, 30.9, 31.2, 31.6, 117.5, 120.7, 124.9, 128.7, 131.2, 140.1, 140.8, 147.3; m/z (EI) 281 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7%), 211 (19), 209 (100);  $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane) 0.5.

#### 6-Hexyldithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]thiophene-2-carboxylic acid 24

To a solution of **22** (200 mg, 0.71 mmol) in dry THF (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) at -78 °C was added dropwise *n*-butyllithium (0.50 cm<sup>3</sup>, 15% in hexane, 0.80 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 0.5 h. It was then

cooled to -30 °C and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) gas was bubbled through the solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 0.5 h before CO2 gas introduction was stopped. After stirring for further 2 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of water and 2 M aqueous HCl (30 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was extracted with ether  $(3 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The combined organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and the solvent was removed in vacuum. Column chromatography (EtOH-ethyl acetate, 1:1) gave 24 (210 mg, 90%). Recrystallisation from EtOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1:1) afforded needle-like crystals, whereas sublimation  $(150 \,^{\circ}\text{C}, 10^{-5} \,\text{mbar},$ 4 h) afforded a colourless powder, mp 192–193 °C; v<sub>max</sub> (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2924, 2851, 2552, 1652, 1497, 1420, 1285, 1162, 908, 865, 808, 753, 688; δ<sub>H</sub>(250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>–CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 0.75 (3 H, t, J 6.5, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.13-1.29 (6 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (2 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 2.78 (2 H, t, J 7.0, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 6.88 (1 H, s, ArH), 7.26 (1 H, s, ArH); m/z (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) 325.0387 (M+H<sup>+</sup>. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> requires 325.0390).

General procedure for the preparation of the complexes. Carboxylic acid or tetrazole (3 equiv.) and 13 (1 equiv.) were dissolved in hot ethanol ( $40 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mmol}^{-1}$ ) to which a certain amount of CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5–10 cm<sup>3</sup>) had to be added as cosolvent. After filtration of the hot solution and concentration, the crude product was crystallised from the solvent (mixture) indicated for each complex.

14b. Yield: 75% (from EtOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub>), yellow crystals, DSC:  $K_1/167 (\Delta H - 30 \text{ J g}^{-1})/K_2/243 (\Delta H 83 \text{ J g}^{-1})/I_{decomp.}$  (Found: C, 65.4; H, 6.1; N, 6.6.  $C_{69}H_{72}N_6O_{15} \times 2 H_2O$  requires C, 65.7; H, 6.1; N, 6.7%);  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 332 nm ( $\varepsilon$  88 000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>);  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1640, 1584, 1538, 1504, 1379, 1124;  $\delta_{\rm H}(500~{\rm MHz},~{\rm CDCl}_3)$  3.87 (9 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.92 (18 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.16 (12 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.75 (6 H, s, ArH), 7.04 (3 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.10 (3 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.52, 8.07 (2 × 6 H, AA'XX', ArH), 10.12 (3 H, s, H<sub>A</sub>); δ<sub>C</sub>(125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 15.1 mg/0.8 cm<sup>3</sup>) 45.5, 56.2, 61.0, 103.8, 125.4, 126.0, 127.7, 129.7, 129.9, 132.9, 134.8, 136.2, 138.2, 139.5, 153.5, 163.1, 173.5. After exposure of an NMR sample to sunlight for several hours, a chloroform solution showed additional <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals for the (Z) isomer,  $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  3.87 (9 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.92 (18 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.75 (6 H, s, ArH), 7.04 (3 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.10 (3 H, AB, J 16.0, =CH), 7.52, 8.07 (2 × 6 H, AA'XX', ArH).

**14f.** Yield: 57% (from EtOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>), pale yellow crystals, mp 265 °C;  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>–EtOH, 1:1) 314 nm;  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2917, 2848, 1644, 1492, 1466, 1362, 1189, 838;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 4.04 (12 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 7.38 (3 H, d, J 5.1), 7.59 (3 H, d, J 5.1), 7.83 (3 H, s, ArH), 8.52 (3 H, s, H<sub>A</sub>). Additional signals could be assigned to ethanol and chloroform that were included in crystals **14f**.

**14g.** Yield: 80% (from MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), colourless crystals, DSC: K<sub>1</sub>/114 (Δ*H* 33 J g<sup>-1</sup>)/K<sub>2</sub>/171 (Δ*H* 2 J g<sup>-1</sup>)/K<sub>3</sub>/191 (Δ*H* 16 J g<sup>-1</sup>)/I (Found: C, 55.5; H, 5.25; N, 6.4. C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>66</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub>S<sub>9</sub> × 2 H<sub>2</sub>O requires C, 55.8; H, 5.5; N, 6.5%);  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 322 nm;  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1584, 1499, 1288, 1155;  $\delta_{H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 0.89 (9 H, t, *J* 6.9, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.21–1.41 (18 H, m), 1.72 (6 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.89 (6 H, t, *J* 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.20 (12 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.97 (3 H, s), 7.80 (3 H, s, ArH), 9.94 (3 H, s, H<sub>A</sub>), 12.78 (6 H, br s, NH);  $\delta_{C}$ (62.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 14.1, 22.6, 28.7, 31.2, 31.6, 45.5, 117.6, 123.9, 125.3, 129.0, 134.3, 134.7, 139.4, 142.2, 142.4, 148.6, 162.9, 169.1.

**20a.** Yield: 77% (from EtOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>), yellow crystals, mp 283–284 °C (decomp.) (Found: C, 67.6; H, 5.2; N, 22.65. C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>60</sub>N<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C, 67.7; H, 5.4; N, 22.6%);  $\lambda_{max}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH, 24:1) 338 nm ( $\varepsilon$  65 000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>);  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>) 1647, 1640, 1602, 1577, 1507, 1251, 1176;  $\delta_{H}$ (500 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>-[<sup>2</sup>H<sub>6</sub>]DMSO, 1:1) 3.81 (9 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.86 (12 H, br s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.92, 7.51 (2×6 H, AA'XX', ArH), 7.05 (3 H, AB, J 15.8, =CH), 7.18 (3 H, AB, J 15.8, =CH), 7.60, 8.04 (2×6 H, AA'XX', ArH), 8.59 (3 H, s, H<sub>A</sub>).

20b. Yield: 60% (from EtOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub>), yellow crystals, mp 188–190 °C (Found: C, 62.6; H, 5.4; N, 19.2.  $\begin{array}{l} C_{69}H_{72}N_{18}O_9 \times H_2O \text{ requires C, 63.0; H, 5.7; N, 19.2\%); } \lambda_{max} \\ (CHCl_3) 338 \text{ nm} (\varepsilon 110\,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}); \nu_{max} (\text{KBr, cm}^{-1}) 1641, \\ 1580, 1505, 1125; \ \delta_{\text{H}}(500 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3, 10^{-3} \text{ M}) 3.87 (9 \text{ H, s}, \\ \end{array}$ OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (18 H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.24 (12 H, s, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.71 (6 H, s, ArH), 6.92 (3 H, AB, J 16.4, =CH), 6.99 (3 H, AB, J 16.4, =CH), 7.48, 8.05 (2×6 H, AA'XX', ArH), 9.57 (3 H, s, H<sub>A</sub>).

#### Single-crystal X-ray diffraction of 14b and 14f

Data were collected for 14b and 14f at Station 9.8, Daresbury SRS, from microcrystalline needles obtained by slow evaporation of solutions in CHCl3-EtOH-MeOH and CHCl3-EtOH mixtures, respectively. Exposures covered  $0.2^{\circ}$  in  $\omega$  and intensities were integrated from several series of exposures.<sup>27</sup> The unit-cell parameters were refined using the program LSCELL<sup>28</sup> and the data were corrected for absorption and incident beam decay using the program SADABS.<sup>29</sup> The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97<sup>30</sup> and refined against  $F^2$  using SHELXL-97.<sup>31</sup> For 14b, the data do not support anisotropic refinement and all atoms in this structure were refined with an isotropic displacement parameter. The large internal *R*-factor ( $R_{int} = 0.1514$ ) in this case may be indicative of crystal decay in the incident beam or suggest that the microcrystal was not single.

Crystal data for 14b. Empirical formula C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>83</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>19.5</sub>; formula weight (M) 1320.42; temperature 150(2) K; crystal system monoclinic; space group  $P2_1/n$ ; unit-cell dimensions  $a = 14.577(2), b = 22.601(4), c = 22.184(3) \text{ Å}, \beta = 105.52(3)^{\circ};$ volume 7042.1(18) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z=4;  $\lambda = 0.6919$  Å;  $\mu = 0.091$  mm<sup>-</sup> reflections collected 27 179; independent reflections 9638 ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.1514$ ); final *R* indices ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) R1 = 0.1586, wR2 = 0.3645. CCDC reference number 153425. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/jm/b0/b009406o/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Crystal data for 14f. Empirical formula C45H37Cl3N6O7S9; formula weight (M) 1168.70; temperature 150(2) K; crystal system monoclinic; space group  $P2_1/c$ ; unit-cell dimensions  $a = 9.8977(7), b = 32.031(2), c = 16.2090(10) \text{ Å}, \beta = 107.72(1)^{\circ};$ volume 4895.0(6) Å<sup>3</sup>; Z=4;  $\lambda = 0.6883$  Å;  $\mu = 0.630$  mm<sup>-1</sup> reflections collected 33111; independent reflections 12617  $(R_{\text{int}} = 0.0625);$  final *R* indices  $(I > 2\sigma(I))$  *R*1 = 0.0687, wR2 = 0.1764. CCDC reference number 153426. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/jm/b0/b0094060/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

# Acknowledgements

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd. for financial support, Professor P. R. Raithby, Drs S. J. Teat, J. E. Davies, and A. D. Bond for assistance in collecting the crystallographic data, and the British Council/ Deutscher Akademischer Auslandsdienst for a British-German Academic Research Collaboration Programme travel grant.

#### References

1 A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale and A. B. Holmes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 402.

- 2 Z. Bao, J. A. Rogers and H. E. Katz, J. Mater. Chem., 1999, 9, 1895; G. Horowitz, J. Mater. Chem., 1999, 9, 2021; R. F. Service, Science, 2000, 287, 415; A. Kraft, ChemPhysChem, 2001, 2, 163.
- P.-W. Wang, Y.-J. Liu, C. Devadoss, P. Bharathi and J. S. Moore, Adv. Mater., 1996, 8, 237; M. Halim, J. N. G. Pillow, I. D. W. Samuel and P. L. Burn, Adv. Mater., 1999, 11, 371; A. W. Freeman, S. C. Koene, P. R. L. Malenfant, M. E. Thompson
- and J. M. J. Fréchet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 12385. G. Horowitz, B. Bachet, A. Yassar, P. Lang, F. Demanze, J.-L. Fave and F. Garnier, Chem. Mater., 1995, 7, 1337.
- 5 X.-C. Li, H. Sirringhaus, F. Garnier, A. B. Holmes, S. C. Moratti, N. Feeder, W. Clegg, S. J. Teat and R. H. Friend, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 2206.
- J. J. Morrison, M. M. Murray, X. C. Li, A. B. Holmes, 6 S. C. Moratti, R. H. Friend and H. Sirringhaus, Synth. Met., 1999, 102, 987.
- 7 F. S. Schoonbeek, J. H. van Esch, B. Wegewijs, D. B. A. Rep, M. P. de Haas, T. M. Klapwijk, R. M. Kellogg and B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 1393; D. B. A. Rep, R. Roelfsema, J. H. van Esch, F. S. Schoonbeek, R. M. Kellogg, B. L. Feringa, T. T. M. Palstra and T. M. Klapwijk, Adv. Mater., 2000 12 563
- H. Hong, D. Davidov, Y. Avny, H. Chayet, E. Z. Faraggi and 8 R. Neumann, Adv. Mater., 1995, 7, 846; S. Kim, J. Jackiw, E. Robinson, K. S. Schanze, J. R. Reynolds, J. Baur, M. F. Rubner and D. Boils, Macromolecules, 1998, 31, 964.
- H. Sirringhaus, P. J. Brown, R. H. Friend, M. M. Nielsen, K. Bechgaard, B. M. W. Langeveld-Voss, A. J. H. Spiering, R. A. J. Janssen, E. W. Meijer, P. Herwig and D. M. de Leeuw, Nature, 1999, 401, 685.
- A. El-Ghayoury, E. Peeters, A. P. H. J. Schenning and E. W. Meijer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1969; A. P. H. J. Schenning, 10 P. Jonkheijm, E. Peeters and E. W. Meijer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 409.
- M. Funahashi and J.-I. Hanna, Appl. Phys. Lett., 1998, 73, 3733; 11 M. Funahashi and J.-I. Hanna, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2000, 76, 2574.
- A. M. van de Craats, J. M. Warman, A. Fechtenkötter, J. D. Brand, 12 M. A. Harbison and K. Müllen, Adv. Mater., 1999, 11, 1469.
- A. Kraft and R. Fröhlich, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1085; A. Kraft, 13 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1999, 705.
- 14 A. Kraft, F. Osterod and R. Fröhlich, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 6425.
- 15 J.-K. Lee, R. R. Schrock, D. R. Baigent and R. H. Friend, Macromolecules, 1995, 28, 1966.
- A. T. H. Koch, N. T. Harrison, N. Haylett, R. Daik, W. J. Feast 16 and R. H. Friend, Synth. Met., 1999, 100, 113.
- W. G. Finnagan, R. A. Henry and R. Lofquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 17 1958, 80, 3908.
- 18
- F. Jong and M. J. Janssen, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 1645.
  G. R. Desiraju, Acc. Chem. Res., 1996, 29, 441; T. Steiner, Chem. 19 Commun., 1997, 727; T. Steiner and G. R. Desiraju, Chem. Commun., 1998, 891.
- 20 S. R. Ernst and F. W. Cagle, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1973, 29, 1543.
- 21 A. Kraft, A. Reichert and R. Kleppinger, Chem. Commun., 2000, 1015.
- 22 H. Günther, in NMR-Spektroskopie, 3rd edn., Thieme, Stuttgart, 1992, p. 92
- 23 A. Kraft and F. Osterod, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1998, 1019.
- L. Borowiecki, A. Kazubski, E. Reca and W. Wodzki, Liebigs 24 Ann. Chem., 1985, 929.
- 25 F. Kagan, R. D. Birkenmeyer and R. E. Strube, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 3026.
- S. Gronowitz, R. Svenson, G. Bodesson, O. Magnusson and 26 N. E. Stjernstrom, Acta Pharm. Suec., 1970, 15, 376.
- Bruxer AXS, Inc., SMART (control) and SAINT (integration) 27 Software (ver. 4), Madison, WI, 1994
- 28 W. Clegg, LSCELL, Program for refinement of cell parameters from SMART data, University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, 1995
- G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Program for scaling and correction or 29 area detector data, University of Göttingen, 1997 (based on the method of R. H. Blessing, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1995, 51, 33)
- G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97. Program for crystal structure 30 solution, University of Göttingen, 1997
- G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97. Program for crystal structure 31 refinement, University of Göttingen, 1997.