Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



Gamma-lactams—A novel scaffold for highly potent and selective α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonists

Albert Enz^a, Dominik Feuerbach^a, Mathias U. Frederiksen^{b,*}, Conrad Gentsch^a, Konstanze Hurth^b, Werner Müller^b, Joachim Nozulak^b, Bernard L. Roy^{b,*}

^a Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Neuroscience Disease Area, 4002 Basel, Switzerland ^b Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Global Discovery Chemistry-Neuroscience, Postfach, 4002 Basel, BS, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 December 2008 Revised 22 January 2009 Accepted 23 January 2009 Available online 7 February 2009

Keywords: γ-Lactams α7 Nicotinic nAChR

ABSTRACT

A novel class of α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) agonists has been discovered through highthroughput screening. The *cis* γ -lactam scaffold has been optimized to reveal highly potent and selective α 7 nAChR agonists with in vitro activity and selectivity and with good brain penetration in mice. © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) belong to a superfamily of ligand gated ion channels that include the receptors for GABA, glycine and serotonin (5-HT₃). For centrally expressed nAChRs several genes have been cloned to date including nine α subunits ($\alpha 2-\alpha 10$) and three β subunits ($\beta 2-\beta 4$). Among the various polymeric constellations that these subunits may adopt, the $\alpha 7$ nAChR can form functional homopentamers that bind α -bungarotoxin with high affinity. Recent findings indicate that the $\alpha 7$ subunit may also assemble with other subunits.¹

A substantial body of evidence suggests that the α 7 nAChR are involved in the pathophysiology of several human diseases. Centrally, agonism of the α 7 nAChR has been discussed as a therapeutic option for a number of neurological disorders such as; age associated memory impairment (AAMI),² Alzheimer's disease and schizophrenia.³ Additionally, peripherally α 7 nAChRs are expressed in macrophages and stimulation of the α 7 nAChR inhibits the release of inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF- α , IL-1) as well as HMGB-1.⁴ Thus, the clinical use of agonists of the α 7 nAChR could represent a viable strategy against a multitude of human disorders.¹

Recently several α 7 nAChR agonists have been described, some of which have progressed to the clinic. The common pharmacophore of nicotine and α 7 nAChR agonists usually consists of a strong base (e.g. pyrolidine in nicotine **1**, piperidine or tetrahydro-

pyridine in anabasein-derived scaffolds **2** or a bicyclic amine in **3–5**, most prominently quinuclidine as in **3** and **4**) connected to a hydrophobic element by a linker (e.g. carbamate, ether or amide) (Fig. 1).^{5–7}

In view of the populated chemical space around these general scaffolds we sought to find alternative templates. Herein we describe the discovery of a series of γ -lactams as α 7 nAChR agonists



Figure 1. Representative α 7 nAChR agonists.

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +41 0 61 3246502; fax: +41 0 61 6962455 (M.U.F.). *E-mail address:* mathias.frederiksen@novartis.com (M.U. Frederiksen).

⁰⁹⁶⁰⁻⁸⁹⁴X/\$ - see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.01.073

that display high potency, selectivity and interesting in vivo pharmacokinetic properties.

In a recent screening campaign a small cluster of *cis* gamma-lactams emerged as a structurally distinct hit class represented by **6** and **7** (Fig. 2).⁸

These hits were found to be α 7 nAChR agonists with pEC₅₀ values of 5.9 (**6**) and 6.1 (**7**) in a Ca-influx assay.⁹ Both compounds also showed good relative efficacy in comparison to the known agonists nicotine (**1**), GTS-21 (**2**) and AR-R17779 (**3**) as well as (+)-epibatidine which is a full agonist at the α 7 nAChR.⁹ Intrigued by the discovery of a novel α 7 agonist scaffold of good potency, hitto-lead optimization was initiated. We started with the exploration of the piperidine moiety which presumably mimics the basic nitrogen of nicotine (Table 1).

Replacing the piperidine in **6** by a smaller pyrolidine led to loss of potency (e.g. **8**, Table 1). Expanding the ring in **7** to a sevenmembered ring (**9**) also led to lower potency.

Further efforts focused on improving potency by modifying the aromatic portion of the scaffold. A positional scan of the *meta*-meth-oxy or *ortho*-chloro substituent in the original hits (e.g. **6**, **10**, **11**, and **7**, **12**, **13**, Table 2) had little effect on potency. However replacing the *para* position with a bromide (**14**) or methyl (**15**) led to a small but significant improvement. Introduction of a more lipophilic group, for example a cyclohexyl (**16**) or cyclopentyl (**17**) moiety, led to im-



Figure 2. Cis γ-lactam HTS hits 6 and 7.

Table 1

Initial hit exploration of γ-lactam hits 6 and 7



Compound ^a	R ¹	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	pEC ₅₀ ^b	E _{max}
1	_	_	-	5.8	100
2	_	-	-	5.7	71
3	-	-	-	6.4	104
(+)-Epibatidine	-	-	-	7.4	100
6	MeO	Н		5.9	128
8	MeO	Н		5.1	80
7	Н	Cl	, N,	6.1	89
9	Н	Cl	_N	5.7	82

^a Compounds tested as diastereomerically pure *cis* racemates.

^b Agonist-activity in GH3 cells recombinantly expressing human α 7 nAChR. Compounds measured in duplicate. EC₅₀ values generated from individual 8-point concentration response curves.⁹

Table 2

Exploration of hydrophobic moiety



Compound ^a	R1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	pEC ₅₀ ^b	E _{max} (%)
10	OMe	Н	Н	5.9	107
6	Н	OMe	Н	5.9	128
11	Н	Н	OMe	5.9	124
7	Cl	Н	Н	6.1	89
12	Н	Cl	Н	6.0	102
13	Н	Н	Cl	6.0	110
14	Н	Н	Br	6.2	89
15	Н	Н	Me	6.2	114
16	Н	Н	Су	6.5	60
17	Н	Н	$\bigcirc -$	6.5	28
18	Н	Н	Ph	5.9	61
19	Н	Н	2-F-Ph	6.5	47
20	Н	Н	2-Cl-Ph	6.9	62

^a Compounds tested as diastereomerically pure *cis* racemates.

 $^{\rm b}$ Agonist-activity in GH3 cells recombinantly expressing human $\alpha7$ nAChR. Compounds measured in duplicate. EC_{50} values generated from individual 8-point concentration response curves.⁹

proved potency albeit at a detriment to efficacy. Continuing in this vein the central aromatic ring was substituted with a phenyl (**18**) which proved inferior. However the potency could be resurrected and even further improved by placing an *ortho*-fluoro (**19**) or *ortho*-chloro substituent (**20**) providing the most potent agonist of this series. Presumably the *ortho* substituent provides the ideal biaryl conformation to fill the lipophilic pocket.

We hypothesized that the central phenyl served as a hydrophobic linker positioning the lactam and the distal hydrophobic moiety in optimal distance for potency. Thus we sought to explore by modifying the linker in order to investigate the effect it would have on potency. Having attained good potency with **20**, we also started to monitor selectivity against the $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChR sub-type. The replacement of the phenyl linker by a thiazole led to a significant improvement in potency and efficacy and an improvement in selectivity (**21**, Table 3). Other heterocyclic linkers such as 'naked' or substituted thiophene (**22** and **23**) or imidazoles (**24** and **25**) were less potent. The breakthrough came by removing the cyclic element altogether and linking the γ -lactam and the hydrophobic moiety with an alkyne which led to a potent, efficacious and selective lead (**26**).

The desirable profile of the alkyne led us to further examine this linker. It was found that the distal *ortho*-chloro phenyl moiety, which in **20** had proven decisive to obtain potency, could be exchanged with an *ortho*-methyl (**27**, Table 4) or even an unsubstituted phenyl (**28**) to yield potent and efficacious compounds. Potency could be improved by replacing the phenyl with a *N*-methyl indole (**29**), albeit without improving selectivity. To our satisfaction the selectivity could be further improved by replacing the phenyl with benzofuran or dihydrobenzofuran (**30** and **31**) yielding highly potent and selective α 7 agonists.

The alkynes **28–31** were profiled further in our ligand gated ion channel panel, which included the $\alpha 3\beta 4$, $\alpha 4\beta 2$, and $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma \delta$ nicotinic sub-types, as well as the 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 (5-HT₃) receptors (Table 5).

Overall the alkynes displayed excellent selectivity against the nAChR sub-types as well as the 5-HT₃ receptor (all > 100-fold). Especially noteworthy is the selectivity against the 5-HT₃ receptor

Table 3

Exploration of linker



Compound ^a	R	pEC ₅₀ ^b	E_{\max} (%)	Selectivity $\alpha 7/\alpha 3\beta 4^{c}$
20		6.9	62	3
21	N S	7.6	89	14
22	J_s	7.5	79	26
23	Me	6.2	81	nd
24		5.9	61	nd
25	N N Me	6.2	47	nd
26	//	7.6	89	52

^a Compounds tested as diastereomerically pure *cis* racemates.

^b Agonist-activity in GH3 cells recombinantly expressing human α 7 nAChR. Compounds measured in duplicate. EC₅₀ values generated from individual 8-point concentration response curves.⁹

^c Antagonistic activity in cells expressing the different nAChR¹⁰ and 5-HT₃⁵ receptors.

Table 4

Optimization of alkyne linked $\alpha7$ agonists

	N~0	~
R-==-	$\langle \langle$	√N

Compound ^a	R	pEC ₅₀ b	E _{max} (%)	Selectivity α7/α3β4 ^c
26	2-Cl-Ph	7.6	82	52
27	2-Me-Ph	7.8	83	104
28	Ph	8.0	104	126
29		8.1	100	111
30		8.1	90	123
31		8.1	80	154

^a Compounds tested as pure enantiomers.

 $^{\rm b}$ Agonist-activity in GH3 cells recombinantly expressing human $\alpha7$ nAChR. Compounds measured in duplicate. EC_{50} values generated from individual 8-point concentration response curves.⁹

^c Antagonistic activity in cells expressing the different nAChR¹⁰ and 5-HT₃⁵ receptors.

able 5	
	C1

Selectivity profile	of alkynes 28-31	compared	to JN403	(4)

Compound ^a	α7	α3β4 pIC ₅₀	α4β2 pIC ₅₀	α1β1γδ pIC ₅₀	5-HT ₃ pIC ₅₀
	pEC ₅₀ ^b	(ratio) ^c	(ratio) ^c	(ratio) ^c	(ratio) ^c
4	7.0	4.7 (200)	4.6 (251)	3.9 (1259)	4.7 (200)
28	8.0	5.9 (126)	5.1 (820)	4.9 (1299)	3.6 (26368)
29	8.1	6.0 (111)	5.7 (232)	5.4 (431)	4.6 (3221)
60	8.1	6.1 (123)	5.3 (660)	4.0 (16982)	4.0 (16982)
81	8.1	6.0 (154)	5.0 (1263)	5.2 (773)	4.3 (5389)

^a Compounds tested as pure enantiomers.

 $^{\rm b}$ Agonist-activity in GH3 cells recombinantly expressing human $\alpha7$ nAChR. Compounds measured in duplicate. EC_{50} values generated from individual 8-point concentration response curves.⁹

 $^{\rm c}$ Antagonistic activity in cells expressing the different $\rm nAChR^{10}$ and $\rm 5\text{-}HT_3^{-5}$ receptors.

when compared to the quinuclidene derivative **4**. When tested against human recombinant muscarinic receptors the compounds also displayed IC₅₀ > 10 μ M on all sub-types (M1–M5).

In vitro cardiovascular safety profiling was also undertaken, with the compounds submitted for patch-clamp hERG K+ channel assay. In general the compounds display reasonable cardiosafety, for example, alkyne **28**, having an IC_{50} of 6.85 μ M. Patch clamp experiments at the cardiac sodium channel were also performed, and alkyne **28** was found to display a 33% inhibition at a concentration of 30 μ M. Finally to investigate the safety window in an ex vivo whole heart assay, we submitted alkyne **28** to the "Langendorff" isolated rabbit heart assay, and found to have no QT-interval prolongation with doses up to 10 μ M.

The ADME and pharmacokinetic data were also assessed in vitro and in vivo (Table 6).

The phenyl-substituted alkyne **28** showed rapid clearance and short half-lives in the mouse liver microsome preparations (ER = 90%, $t_{1/2}$ = 6.6). The benzofuran **30** showed improved in vitro metabolic stability, displaying an ER and $t_{1/2}$ of 67% and 12.5 in MLM and 68% and 25.8 in HLM, respectively. The rapid in vitro clearance of **28** was reflected in the low plasma levels when administered orally to mice. In spite of the low plasma levels the compound showed excellent brain exposure and no retention. The improved microsomal stability of the benzofuran **30**, translated into improved in vivo pharmacokinetic properties. High brain and plasma levels were observed at one and four hours following administration. As was the case with phenyl-substituted alkyne **28** the brain/plasma ratios at both time points indicated good brain penetration.

Compounds were prepared following two general procedures outlined below (Schemes 1 and 2). The diastereomerically pure racemates were prepared as summarized in Scheme 1. Various aldehydes (e.g. 32, R = aryl, heteroaryl or alkyne) were converted to methyl-imines (e.g. 33). From which the corresponding lactams 35 were generated by treating the imine with an organozinc reagent derived in situ from bromide **34**. The resulting α,β -unsaturated lactams were treated with piperidine and the major cis isomers (6-17, 36, and 37) were isolated (typical *cis/trans* ratio 3/1) in good overall yields. The aryl linked agonists 18-20, could be obtained by Suzuki¹² coupling of **14** with the corresponding aryl boronic acids. The heteroaryl linked agonists 21-25 were obtained in a similar fashion from the corresponding brominated heterocycle 36. Finally, the alkyne linked derivatives were obtained by desilvlation of 37 followed by Sonogashira¹³ coupling. In the examples 26-31, pure enantiomers could be isolated after chromatography on chiral support.¹⁴

Additionally we developed an asymmetric route to access this scaffold. This sequence is exemplified for compound **20** below in Scheme 2. The route was based on the work of Villiéras¹⁵ and Yamamoto.¹⁶ Aldehyde **38** was condensed with (*S*)-phenyl glycidol to afford imine **39**. The diastereoselective addition of an organozinc reagent derived from bromide **34** in situ onto the corresponding

Table	6	

ADME and pharmacokinetic data

Compound	Log P(o/w)	Plasma protein binding (human/rat) ^a	MLM $ER/t_{1/2}^{b}$	HLM ER/ $t_{1/2}^{b}$	Mouse in vivo pharmokokinetic data ^c	
					Brain/plasma levels 1 h (pmol/g)	Brain/plasma levels 4 h (pmol/g)
28	3.7	17%/31%	90%/6.6	nd	239/18	64/4
30	3.9	nd	67%/12.5	68%/25.8	6533/1001	3676/544

^a Plasma protein binding expressed as free fraction.

^b MLM and HLM, mouse liver microsomes or human liver microsomes, respectively, in vitro hepatic extraction ratios (ER) are reported as% compound depleted at completion of experiment.

^c Mouse in vivo pharmacology: Mice (*n* = 6) were treated with 30 µmol/kg po of compound. Plasma and brain concentrations were assessed at the time points indicated.¹¹ For full protocols see Ref. 11.



Scheme 1. General synthetic route for the preparation of γ-lactams. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeNH₂ (33% in EtOH), 25 °C, 4 h (85–95%); (b) **34**, Zn in THF, 25 °C, 20 min, followed by addition of **33**, then stirred for 3.5 h at 25 °C (70–90% over two steps); (c) piperidine, 2 h, 85 °C, (50–60%); (d) arylboronic acid, Pd(OAc)₂, Na₂CO₃, PhMe, 12 h, 110 °C (40–65%); (e) TBAF, THF, 2 h, 25 °C (90%); (f) Arl, (PPh₃)₂PdCl₂, Cul, THF/Et₃ N, 2 h, 25 °C (40–60%).



Scheme 2. General synthetic route for the preparation of enantiomerically pure γ-lactams. Reagents and conditions: (a) (*S*)-phenyl glycidol, CH₂Cl₂, 4 Å molecular sieves, reflux, 3 h (95%); (b) **34**, Zn in THF, 25 °C, 20 min, followed by addition of **37** then stirred for 3.5 h, 25 °C; (c) i–NaOH (1 M), THF, 24 h, 25 °C; ii–mesitylenesulfonyl chloride, diethyl isopropyl amine, NBu₄HSO₄, 4.5 h, 25 °C (37% from **37**); (d) i–SOCl₂,THF, 45 min, reflux; ii–DBU, CH₂Cl₂, 24 h, 25 °C (79%); (e) i–KOtBu, Mel, THF, 45 min, 25 °C; ii– piperidine, 3.5 h, 90 °C (60%).

chiral imine afforded the methyl acrylate **40** as a single diastereomer. This was directly transformed into the γ -lactam **41** after hydrolysis of the ester and cyclization of the mixed anhydride generated in situ. The chiral auxiliary in **41** was removed by conversion of the hydroxyl to the chloride followed by DBU assisted elimination to the enamine and subsequent hydrolysis. Finally, alkylation with MeI of **42** and conjugate addition of piperidine afforded **20** in a separable *cis/trans* mixture (3:1). The exemplified compound **20** was shown to have an ee > 99% by chiral chromatography.¹³

In summary, we have discovered and optimized a series of *cis* γ -lactams as α 7 nAChR agonists that display excellent potency, subtype selectivity and favorable in vitro and in vivo pharmacokinetic properties. Additionally, the scaffold displays good cardiosafety profile. The scaffold was discovered through high-throughput screening, and is devoid of the classical bicyclic amine, thus providing a valuable lead series for further development.

Acknowledgment

We wish to thank Dr. Eric Francotte for chiral separation support.

References and notes

1. For recent reviews, see: a Cincotta, S. L.; Yorek, M. S.; Moschak, T. M.; Lewis, S. R.; Rodefer, J. S. Curr. Opin. Invest. Drugs 2008, 9, 47; b Mazurov, A.; Hauser, T.;

Miller, C. H. Curr. Med. Chem. 2006, 13, 1567; (c) Jensen, A. A.; Frølund, B.; Liljefors, T.; Krogsgaard-Larsen, P. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 4705.

- 2. Dunbar, G. C.; Inglis, F.; Kuchibhatla, R.; Sharma, T.; Tomlinson, M.; Wamsley, J. J. Psychopharmacol. 2004, 21, 171.
- Chiamulera, C.; Fumagalli, G. Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents Med. Chem. 2007, 7, 269.
 Wang, H.; Liao, H.; Ochani, M.; Justiniani, M.; Lin, X.; Yang, L.; Al Abed, Y.;
- Wang, H.; Metz, C.; Miller, E. J.; Tracey, K. J.; Ulloa, L. Nat. Med. 2004, 10, 1216.
 Feuerbach, D.; Nozulak, J.; Lingenhoehl, K.; McAllister, K.; Hoyer, D. Neurosci. Lett. 2007, 416, 61.
- Acker, B. A.; Jacobsen, E. J.; Rogers, B. N.; Wishka, D. G.; Reitz, S. C.; Piotrowski, D. W.; Myers, J. K.; Wolfe, M. L.; Groppi, V. E.; Thornburgh, B. A.; Tinholt, P. M.; Walters, R. R.; Olson, B. A.; Fitzgerald, L.; Staton, B. A.; Raub, T. J.; Krause, M.; Li, K. S.; Hoffmann, W. E.; Hajos, M.; Hurst, R. S.; Walker, D. P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 3611.
- Sheridan, R. P.; Nilakantan, R.; Dixon, J. S.; Venkataraghavan, R. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 899.
- 8. The *cis* diastereomer was 40- to 60-fold more potent to the corresponding *trans* diastereomer (data not shown). Moreover the eutomer/distomer relationship for 7 was ca. 3-fold with pEC₅₀ values 6.34 versus 6.01, respectively. The depicted (S,S)-configuration was later confirmed to be the eutomer by enantioselective synthesis of 20 (vide infra Scheme 2). All stereochemistry is therefore given as the (S,S)-configuration.
- Feuerbach, D.; Lingenhöhl, K.; Dobbins, P.; Mosbacher, J.; Corbett, N.; Nozulak, J.; Hoyer, D. Neuropharmacology 2005, 48, 215.
- Michelmore, S.; Croskery, K.; Nozulak, J.; Hoyer, D.; Longato, R.; Weber, A.; Bouhelal, R.; Feuerbach, D. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's. Arch. Pharmacol 2002, 366, 235.
- Feuerbach, D.; Lingenhoehl, K.; Olpe, H.-R.; Vassout, A.; Gentsch, C.; Chaperon, F.; Nozulak, J.; Enz, A.; Bilbe, G.; McAllister, K.; Hoyer, D. J. Neuropharm. 2009, 56, 254.
- 12. Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457.
- 13. Tohda, Y.; Sonogashira, K.; Hagihara, N. Synthesis 1977, 777.
- 14. Chiral separation performed on Chiralpak OD or AH columns.
- Dembélé, Y. A.; Belaud, C.; Hitchcock, P.; Villiéras, J. Tetrahedron: Asymm. 1992, 3, 351.
- 16. Yamamoto, Y.; Ito, W. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 5415.