

Catalysis Science & Technology

Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online View Journal

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: J. Ma, W. Yi, G. Lu and C. Cai, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5CY01561H.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/catalysis



Journal Name

Trifluoromethylation of Thiophenols and Thiols with Sodium Trifluoromethanesulfinate and Iodine Pentoxide

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

www.rsc.org/

Jing-Jing Ma^a, Wen-Bin Yi^a*, Guo-Ping Lu^a and Chun Cai^a



A selective and operationally easy trifluoromethylation of a wide range of thiophenols and thiols under metal free conditions by using two simple and safe solids, sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate and iodine pentoxide, *via* the radical process has been developed.



Figure 1. Examples of SCF_3 -containing biologically active compounds.

Trifluromethylthio group (SCF₃) has received increasing attention as an enhanced version of CF₃ in bioactive molecules,¹ which is a highly privileged functionality for pharmaceutical and agrochemical agents (Figure 1).² SCF₃-

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

containing compounds were generated as the trifluoromethyl group attached to sulfur atoms instead of carbon atoms, which displayed rather different properties, compared to the SCH₃ group.³ The linkage of a strong electron-withdrawing, and metabolically stable CF₃ group with a highly polarizable and bio-friendly sulfur atom, which makes SCF₃ showed extremely high lipophilicity ($\pi = 1.44$)⁴ for small molecules to cross lipid membranes and influences intracellular targets.⁵ Therefore, numerous efforts have been devoted to the development of new methodologies for the preparation of these SCF₃-containing molecules.



Accordingly, two major strategies have been developed for the formation of SCF₃ over years (Figure 2). One is the direct trifluoromethylthiolation with SCF₃ reagents.⁶ This strategy is a straightforward protocol for the preparation of SCF₃ compounds, which does not require specific skills and use of protective clothing and equipment.⁷ Many researches are focused on typical novel trifluoromethylthiolated reagents, such as MSCF₃ (M= Cu, Ag, Cs),⁸ (Me₄N)SCF₃,⁹ N-SCF₃ reagents¹⁰, O-SCF₃ reagents¹¹ and hypervalent iodine SCF₃ reagents,¹² which have replaced the hazardous reagents that were most available in the gaseous form or relatively ineffective reagents.¹³ Nevertheless, some of these SCF₃ reagents still existed drawbacks, such as high cost or the pre-preparation process which involved lengthy reactions or harsh conditions.

J.-J. Ma, Prof. W.-B. Yi, G.-P. Lu and Prof. C. Cai.

School of Chemical Engineering

Nanjing University of Science and Technology

Xiao Ling Wei Street, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: yiwenbin@mail.njust.edu.cn † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

The other was the indirect formation focused on trifluoromethylating of different sulphur sources. Great attention has concentrated on this field since a variety of sulphur sources, such as thiolates, 14 S $_{8,}^{15}$ thiocyanates,¹⁶ Na₂S₂O₃,¹⁷ sulfocyanate,¹⁸ disulfides¹⁹ and thiols²⁰ have been effectively combined with relatively inexpensive trifluoromethylated reagents. For example, pioneering by Umemoto, thiolates were found to be efficient sulphur sources with Se-(trifluoromethyl)dibenzoselenophenium for the synthesis of SCF₃.^{14a} S₈ was an effective example which could be connected with FSO₂CF₂CO₂Me and CF₃SiMe₃, as well as CF₃SO₂Na to afford SCF₃ moieties.^{15a-b,15f} Goossen and co-workers employed thiocyanate to prepare the corresponding aryl trifluoromethyl with arenediazonium salts.^{16a} Liu et al. reported an effective trifluoromethylthiolation using Na₂S₂O₃ as sulphur source.¹⁷ The Langlois group developed a photocatalytic trifluoromethylation method in the presence of disulfides. $^{\rm 19c,19d}$ Boiko and Yagupolskii demonstrated a series of trifluoromethylation of thiols in liquid ammonia and UV initiation.^{20a,20b} Although these approaches provided convenience for the SCF₃containing compounds, several issues of these approaches should be addressed: (1) the limited starting substrates, such as aryl halogens, aryl boronic acids, terminal alkynes; (2) excess sulphur sources were utilized; (3) high volatile trifluoromethylated reagents were often used under radical process.

In 1989, Langlois and his co-workers described a TBHPinitiated trifluoromethylation of disulfides using CF₃SO₂Na as trifluoromethylated reagent.^{21a} Although this method utilized stable and inexpensive trifluoromethylated source, almost half disulfides were oxidized by excess peroxide with low yield.^{21b-d} Among these indirect cases, trifluoromethylation of thiols under radical process was an efficient protocol to obtain SCF₃ and several researches have been reported. Hard-handling and poor user-friendliness reagents, such as CF₃Br,²² CF₃I²³ were used during the original research. Another type of trifluoromethylation reagent used in radical reactions was Namide.²⁴ trifluoromethyla-N-nitrososulfon but difficult preparation process with low yield limited its application. Thus, the radical trifluoromethylation of thiols has not been wellstudied

Very recently, Liu and his co-workers reported a novel method to generate the corresponding CF_3 radical from sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate, using iodine pentoxide as a low-cost, green and stable inorganic oxidant.²⁵ Inspired by their work, and as part of our efforts in the field of organofluorine chemistry,²⁶ we herein endeavoured to develop a simple and atom economic trifluoromethylation method of a wide range of thiophenols and thiols in the presence of iodine pentoxide using CF_3SO_2Na as CF_3 source. This method allows for smooth transformation to various SCF_3 without transition metal catalysts and takes advantage of sulphur sources as much as possible.

We initially chose the trifluoromethylation of 4methylbenzenethiol (**1b**) as the model reaction to optimize reaction conditions (Table 1). After screening several oxidants (entries 1-6), the best result was achieved in the presence of I_2O_5 using DCE/H₂O as solvent, giving *p*- tolyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**2b**) in 43 % yield (entry 6). Contrasted to aqueous

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions.^a

Ĺ	SH + CF3SO2	Na cond	litions		SCF3
Me ²	1b		М	e' 2b	
Entry	Oxidant (equiv.)	CF_3SO_2Na	Solvent ^b	T (°C)	Yield
		(equiv.)			(%) ^c
1	I ₂ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	N. D.
2	PhIO (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	N. D.
3	PhI(OAc)₂ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	N. D.
4	NalO ₃ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H ₂ O	110	N. D.
5	HIO₃ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	N. D.
6	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	43
7 ^d	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DCE/H₂O	110	63
8	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	110	92
9	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	1.0	DMSO	110	31
10	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	2.0	DMSO	110	59
11	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	4.0	DMSO	110	88
12	I ₂ O ₅ (1.0)	3.0	DMSO	110	39
13	I ₂ O ₅ (3.0)	3.0	DMSO	110	89
14	I ₂ O ₅ (4.0)	3.0	DMSO	110	81
15	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	90	79
16	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	70	24
17	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	50	trace
18	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	R.T.	trace
19 ^e	-	3.0	DMSO	110	N. D.
20 ^f	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	-	DMSO	110	N. D.
21 ^g	I ₂ O ₅ (2.0)	3.0	DMSO	110	92

^a Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out with **1b** (0.2 mmol), solvent 2.0 mL, 24 h, GC yield. ^b DCE/H₂O (v/v= 3/1, 4.5 mL); DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane. ^c N.D.: not detected by GC-MS. ^d DMSO (1.0 mL) was added; DMSO = (methylsulfonyl)methane. ^e Without I₂O₅. ^f Without CF₃SO₂Na. ^g Under argon atmosphere.

solvents, DMSO was more suitable for this reaction (entry 6 vs entry 8). Additionally, 3.0 equiv. CF_3SO_2Na was necessary for the depletion of the thiols after screening (entries 8-11). The content of I_2O_5 was also screening, the result indicated that 2.0 equiv. I_2O_5 was enough for this reaction and the overloading of I_2O_5 might bring the decreasing yield (entries 12-14). Only trace product was found at room temperature, indicating that it is a temperature-dependent reaction (entries 15-18). Both I_2O_5 and CF_3SO_2Na were important for smooth transformation of this reaction (entries 19-20). Almost no difference was found when reacted under the argon atmosphere (entry 21).

Under the optimized reaction conditions, the trifluoromethylation of various thiophenols and thiols were tested to examine the scope of this reaction (Table 2). The reaction proved to be general and amenable to a range of structurally diverse substrates, and the desired products (**2a-2w**) were achieved in good yields. In general, both electron-rich and electron-deficient substrates reacted well and a wide variety of functional groups were covered, including methyl (**2b**), *tert*butyl (**2c**), methoxyl (**2d**), halos (**2e-2g**), amino (**2h**, **2i**), nitro (**2k-2m**), carboxyl (**2n**). A slight difference in the yield about substituents (such as $-NO_2$) on the aromatic ring at any

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Page 2 of 5

DOI: 10.1039/C5CY01561H

Journal Name

Journal Name

R-SH

+

position (para-, meta-, ortho-) was found (2k-2m). In addition, benzeneselenol was obtained in 74% yield (20). Furthermore, the aromatic rings with nathphyl, thiazole, pyridine, pyrimidine

I2O5 (2 equiv.)

DMSO

R-SCF₃

Table 2. Trifluoromethylation of thiophenols and thiols.^a

CF₃SO₂Na

3 equiv

diphenylethylene (3.0 equiv.) as radical scavengers (equ. 5 and 6), which points toward a radical mechanism.

DOI: 10.1039/C5CY01561H

COMMUNICATION

Although the precise reaction mechanism remains to be clarified, we prefer a plausible mechanism in Scheme 2.25,28



High temperature leaded to rapid reacting of iodine pentoxide and CF_3SO_2Na . As a result, I_2 was released and generated the CF₃ radical via single electron transfer. I₂ then reacted with thiols or thiophenols to form 3 and further reacted to form **4**. 28c,28d Finally, the CF₃ radical coordinated to **3** or **4**, giving the desired products.



In conclusion, we developed a convenient trifluoromethylation reaction of various thiophenols and thiols by using sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate and iodine pentoxide. The advantages such as good yields, excellent functional group tolerance made this transition-metal-free trifluoromethylation strategy for useful and practical formation of SCF₃-containing compounds. Mechanistic investigation revealed that this reaction proceeded via a radical pathway.

Acknowledgements



^a Reaction condition: 1 (0.2 mmol), CF_3SO_2Na (3.0 equiv.), I_2O_5 (2.0 equiv.) and DMSO 2.0 mL, 110 $^\circ C$ for 24 h, isolated yield. ^b GC yield (due to high volatility). ^c Used DL-thioctic acid as 1w

were viable substrates under the current reaction, giving the corresponding products (2p-2s) in satisfactory yields. Thiols, such as benzylthiol, 2-mercaptoethanol and 3-mercaptopropanoic acid, were also smooth transformation for the products (2t-2v). Selective trifluoromethylation with branched sulfur (2w) was found when DL-thioctic acid used as substrate.27

In the initial stage of the reaction, disulfides 3 was found and gradually reduced as the extension of the reaction time. This might indicated that 3 was an intermediate. Using disulfide to replace thiol and afforded almost the same result (Scheme 1, equ. 1). According to the contrast experiments, CF₃SO₂Na, as well as I₂O₅ was failed to promote the formation of disulfides 3, lodine, which was the residue of CF_3SO_2Na and I_2O_5 , could be achieved (equ. 2-4). DMSO was also tested but failed, which were not shown in Scheme 1. To better understand the mechanism of this transformation, radical trapping experiments were carried out. No desired product was detected in the reaction in the presence of TEMPO (2,2,6, 6tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy) (3.0 equiv.) and 1,1We thank the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (30920130111002), National Natural Science Foundation of China (21476116), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu (BK20141394). We also thank the Center for Advanced Materials and Technology for financial support.

Notes and references

- B. Bayarmagnai, C. Matheis, K. Jouvin and L. J. Goossen, 1 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5753-5756.
- (a) W. C. Holz, J. P. Hieble and R. G. Pendleton, 2 Psychopharmacology, 1982, 77, 259-267; (b) P. Laczay, G. Vörös and G. Semjén, Int. J. Parasitol. 1995, 25, 753-756; (c) T. Silverstone, J. Fincham and J. Br. Plumley, J. Clin. Pharmacol. 1979, 7, 353-356; (d) F.-C. Shioshinden and F.-C. shizuoka, JP 96/01055, 1996; (e) G. H. Coombs and J. C. Mottram, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2001, 45, 1743-1745; (f) G. Zhao, W. Wan and Z.-H. Zhou, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 3897-3900.
- 3 (a) L. M. Yagupol'skii, Aromatic and Heterocyclic Compounds with Fluorine-containing Substituents, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1988 (in Russian); (b) B. E. Smart, J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 109.3-11.
- C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, Chem. Rev. 1991, 97, 1-4 346.
- 5 (a) A. Leo, C. Hansch and D. Elkins, Chem. Rev. 1971, 71, 525-616; (b) R. Filler, Biomedical Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry, Kodansha, Tokyo, 1982; (c) L. M. Yagupolskii, A. Y. Ilchenko and N. V. Kondratenko, Russ. Chem. Rev. 1974, 43, 64-94; (d) C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165-195.
- (a) V. N. Boiko, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6, 880-921; (b) 6 G. Landelle, A. Panossian, S. Pazenok, J.-P. Vors and F. R. Leroux, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 2476-2536; (c) A. Tlili and T. Billard, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6818-6819; (d) F. Toulgoat, S. Alazet and T. Billard, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2415-2428; (e) G. Landelle, A. Panossian and F. R. Leroux, Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry, 2014, 14, 941-951; (f) L.-L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1513-1522; (g) X.-X. Shao, C.-F. Xu, L. Lu and Q.-L. Shen, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1227-1236; (h) X.-H. Xu, K. Matsuzaki and N. Shibata, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 731-764; (i) H.-B. Yang, X. Fan, Y. Wei and M. Shi, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1088-1093.
- 7 W.-Y. Yin, Z.-F. Wang and Y. Huang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2998-3006.
- (a) D. J. Adams, A. Goddard and J. H. Clark, Chem. Commun., 2000, 987–988; (b) X.-L. Zhu, J.-H. Xu, D.-J. Cheng, X.-Y. Liu and B. Tan, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2192-2195; (c) L.-P. Zhu, G.-Q. Wang, Z.-Q. Xu and R. Wang, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5390-5393; (d) E. Emer, J. Twilton and V. Gouverneur, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6004-6007; (e) C. Bohnen and C. Bolm, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3011-3013; (f) H. Wu, C. Liu and Q.-Y. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4070-4074; (g) K. Zhang, J.-B. Liu and F.-L. Qing, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14157-14160; (h) Q. Lefebvre, E. Fava and M. Rueping, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 6617-6619; (i) X. Wang, Y.-J. Zhou and J.-B. Wang, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3093-3096; (j) Y.-J. Huang, H.-H. Li and Z.-Q. Weng, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 7324-7328; (k) Q.-F. Lin, L.i Chen and Z.-Q. Weng, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 5500-5508; (I) F. Yin and X.-S. Wang, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1128-1131; (m) K.-Y. Ye, X. Zhang, S.-L. You, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 12106-12110; (n) G. Teverovskiy, D. S. Surry and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7312-7314.
- (a) C.-P. Zhang and D. A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9 183-185; (b) C.-P. Zhang, D. A. Vicic, Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 1756-1758; (c) G.-Y. Yin, I. Kalvet and F. Schoenebeck, J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4164-4172; (d) G.-Y. Yin, I. Kalvet and F. Schoenebeck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6809-6813.

- 10 (a) F. Baert, J. Colomb, T. Billard, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10382-10385; (b) T. Bootwicha, X.-G. Liu and M. Rueping, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12856-12859; (c) J.-B. Liu, L.-L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 894-897; (d) C.-F. Xu, B.-Q. Ma and Q.-L. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9316-9320; (e) C.-F. Xu and Q.-L. Shen, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2046-2049; (f) K. Kang, C.-F. Xu and Q.-L. Shen, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 294-297; (g) R. Pluta and M. Rueping, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 17315-17318; (h) M. Maeno, N. Shibata and D. Cahard, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1990-1993; (i) Q. Wang, F. Xie and X.-W. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8361-8366; (j) J. Luo, Z.-C. Zhu and X.-D. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3620-3623; (k) H.-Y. Xiong, T. Besset, D. Cahard and X. Pannecoucke, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4204-4212; (I) Q. Wang, Z.-S. Qi, F. Xie and X.-W. Li, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 355-360; (m) W. Wu, X.-X. Zhang, F. Liang and S. Cao, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 6992-6999.
- 11 (a) F. Hu, X.-X. Shao, D.-H. Zhu, L. Lu and Q.-L. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6105-6109; (b) Y. Li, Z.-S. Ye and M.-J. Dai, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2186-2189; (c) X.-X. Shao, C.-F. Xu, L. Lu and Q.-L. Shen, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012-3021; (d) B.-Q. Ma, X.-X. Shao and Q.-L. Shen, J. Fluorine Chem. 2015, 171, 73-77.
- 12 (a) Y.-D. Yang, A. Azuma and N. Shibata, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8782-8785; (b) X.-X. Shao, X.-Q. Wang, T. Yang, L. Lu and Q.-L. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3457-3460; (c) Z.-Y. Huang, Y.-D. Yang and N. Shibata, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1094-1097.
- 13 (a) S. Andreades, J. F. Jr. Harris and W. A. Sheppard, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 898-900; (b) A. Haas, M. Lieb and Y. Zhang, J. Fluorine Chem. 1985, 29, 297-310; (c) A. A. Kolomeitsev, K. Y. Chabanenko and Y. L. Yagupolskii, Synthesis, 1994, 2, 145-146; (d) A. Kolomeitsev, M. Médebielle and G.-V. Röschenthaler, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2183-2185.
- 14 (a) T. Umemoto and S. Ishihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2156-2164; (b) A. Harsányi, É.Dorkó and J. Rábai, J. Fluorine Chem. 2011, 132, 1241-1246.
- 15 (a) Q.-Y. Chen and J.-X. Duan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 918–919; (b) C. Chen, L.-L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12454-12457; (c) C. Chen, Y. Xie, L.-L. Chu, R.-W. Wang, X.-G. Zhang and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2492–2495; (d) Y.-J. Huang, X. He and Z.-Q. Weng, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3284-3287; (e) M.-G. Rong, X.-Y. Han and Z.-Q. Weng, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 5010-5016; (f) L. Zhai, Y. Li, J. Yin, K. Jin, R. Zhang, X. Fu and C. Duan, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 10262-10266.
- 16 D. Inschauspe, J. B. Sortais, T. Billard and B. R. Langlois, Synlett. 2003, 233-235.
- 17 W. Zhong and X.-M. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 4909-4911.
- (a) J. Hess, S. Konatschnig and G. Gasser, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 18 53, 3662-3667; (b) G. Danoun, B. Bayarmagnai and L. J. Goossen, Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1312-1316; (c) B. Bayarmagnai, C. Matheis and L. J. Goossena, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2343-2348.
- 19 (a) G. A. R. Brandt, H. J. Emeléus and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 2198-2200; (b) B. Langlois, D. Montègre and N. Roidot. J. Fluorine Chem. 1994. 68. 63-66; (c) T. Billard. N. Roques and B. R. Langlois, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 3813-3820; (d) T. Billard, N. Roques and B. R. Langlois, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3069-3072.
- 20 (a) L. Z. Gandel'sman and V. N. Boiko, Ukr. Khim. Zh. 1977, 43, 1224-1225; (b) V. I. Popov, V. N. Boiko and L. M. Yagupolskii, J. Fluorine Chem. 1982, 21, 365-369; (c) L. V. Sokolenko, I. I. Maletina and Y. L. Yagupolskii, Synlett. 2010, 207-2078.

Published on 26 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 01/11/2015 03:25:39

DOI: 10.1039/C5CY01561H

Journal Name

Published on 26 October 2015. Downloaded by University of Lethbridge on 01/11/2015 03:25:39

- 21 (a) M. Tordeux, B. R. Langlois and C. Wakselman, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2452-2553; (b) Y. Ye, S. A. Künzi and M. S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4979-4981; (c) A. Deb, S. Manna and D. Maiti, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9747-9750; (d) X.-Y. Jiang and F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14177-14180.
- 22 M. Tordeux, C. Francese and C. Wakselman, J. Fluorine Chem. 1989, 43, 27-34
- 23 R. N. Haszeldine, R. B. Rigby and A. E. Tipping, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin 1, 1972, 2180-2182.
- 24 T. Umemoto and A. Ando, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 447-452.
- (a) Z.-J. Hang, Z.-J. Li and Z.-Q. Liu, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3648–3651;
 (b) X.-J. Shang, Z.-J. Li and Z.-Q. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 233–235.
- 26 (a) J.-J. Ma, W.-B. Yi and C. Cai, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 2890-2894; (b) J.-L. Qian, W.-B. Yi and W. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1090–1093; (c) W-B. Yi, C. Cai and W. Zhang, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 3185-3189.
- 27 (a) P. Bingham, T. Kwok and Z. Zachar, 2008, US 20080262034; (b) L.-X. Xu, *Study on the novel technology of* α *-lipoic acid*, Zhejiang University (China), 2002.
- 28 (a) A. G. Larsen, A. H. Holm and K. Daasbjerg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1723-1729; (b) C. Ji, M. Ahmida, M. Chahma and A. Houmam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15423-15431; (c) L. Fang, R.-Y. Tang, P. Zhong and J.-H. Li, Synthesis 2009, 24, 4183–4189; (d) F.-H. Xiao, H. Xie, S.-W. Liu and G.-J. Deng, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 364-368; (e) P. Katrun, C. Mueangkaew, M. Pohmakotr, V. Reutrakul, T. Jaipetch, D. Soorukram and C. Kuhakarn, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1778–1785; (f) Y.-M. Lin, G.-P. Lu and W.-B. Yi, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 3310–3313.