ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 13526

Received 5th September 2019, Accepted 15th October 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cc06937b

rsc.li/chemcomm

Highly chemoselective, sterically sensitive NHC-catalysed amine acylation with pyridil[†]

Amy C. Maguire, Vikas Kumar and Stephen J. Connon 💿 *

A new strategy for the protection of amines has been developed involving reaction with pyridil under the influence of N-heterocyclic carbene catalysis. The methodology is capable of distinguishing between two amines characterised by small differences in steric bulk and the resulting pyridoyl amides can be cleaved without requiring either strongly acidic or basic hydrolysis.

The wide utility of amide bonds¹ continues to inspire chemists to develop new and unconventional methods for their synthesis.² We recently³ developed an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-catalysed oxidative amidation of aldehydes^{4,5} which allows the coupling of benzaldehydes 1 with a range of primary and secondary amines 2 in the presence of precatalyst 3, 1,2,4-triazole, stoichiometric DBU and phenazine (4) to produce amides 5 in good to excellent yields at ambient temperature. We could show that the reaction proceeded through symmetrical 1,2-diketones of general type 6 (Fig. 1A). In addition, in the absence of the 1,2,4-triazole nucleophilic co-catalyst, it was postulated that amidation occurred *via* the sterically hindered pre-transition state assembly 7.

The steric congestion associated with 7^{6,7} (see the ESI† for a mechanistic rationale) led us to speculate that the system could potentially be adapted to bring about highly chemoselective amine acylation with a view to developing a new, sterically sensitive strategy for the protection of the least hindered amino functionality in a molecule. Despite impressive advances in protection–free synthesis,⁸ the temporary masking of amine (Lewis)-basicity and nucleophilicity *via* a variety of methods⁹ usually remains a *sine qua non* in the synthesis of complex molecules.

While the selective acylation of primary over secondary amines in polyamines is often possible,¹⁰ and the exploitation of biomolecules to achieve high selectivity has been reported,^{11,12} the use of a small molecule to discriminate between two amines when the steric discrepancy between amino groups is exceedingly small has been considerably less successful.¹³

The state-of-the-art in this domain is the recent comprehensive study of the use of **9** as an acylating agent by Doyle *et al.* (Fig. 1B).¹⁴ The heterocycle could transfer a single benzoyl group with consistently (and uniformly) outstanding selectivity to a range of polyamines: for instance – diamine **8** could be transformed into the monobenzoyl-protected **10** in excellent yield; driven by the formation of an aromatic conjugate base of the leaving group **11**. While the selectivity possible is akin to that which one would expect from biocatalysis – the harsh acidic/basic conditions required to cleave *N*-benzoyl amides¹⁵ (no aroyl variants of **9** were reported) and the non-trivial synthesis of **9** from simple starting materials¹⁶ are two obstacles to the adoption of this technology as a protection group strategy in complex molecule synthesis.

Herein we report the development of an NHC-catalysed highly chemoselective acylation of amines and challenging diamines



Fig. 1 NHC catalysed oxidative amination of aldehydes, chemoselective amine acylation using a pyrazol-4-one and amine acylation using pyridil.

School of Chemistry Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute, Trinity College Dublin, 152-160 Pearse Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: connons@tcd.ie;

Tel: +35318961306

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c9cc06937b

(*e.g.* **13**) using pyridil (**12**) as a commercially available unconventional acylating agent to give products such as **14** in excellent yield (Fig. 1C). Significantly, we show that the pyridoyl-unit is demonstrably cleavable without resorting to either strongly acidic or basic aqueous conditions.

We began by examining the ability of pyridil (12) to acylate a range of amines of general type 2 under the influence of carbene catalysis (in the absence of catalyst, no reaction occurs) in the presence of phenazine (4)¹⁷ to generate product amides 15–26 (Table 1). Simple primary benzyl-, allyl- and propargyl¹⁸ amines could be acylated in good-excellent yields to yield pyridine derivatives 15–18 respectively. Substitution at the β -carbon of a primary amine is well tolerated (*i.e.* amide 19), however, while acylation of primary amines substituted at the α -carbon atom is possible in good yield (*i.e.* products 20 and 21), these are clearly more difficult substrates for the system – pointing to the possibility of being able to discriminate between these groups in acylation events.

The cyclic secondary amines pyrrolidine and piperidine could be smoothly transformed to **22** and **23**, however, the installation of an electron-withdrawing group on the piperidine-ring led to significantly decreased efficacy (*i.e.* amide **24**). More sterically encumbered secondary amines such as dipropylamine and *N*-methylbenzylamine gave rise to **25** and **26** respectively in moderate yield.

Next, a small library of diamines was selected to further probe the acylation proclivities of the system and its ability to discriminate between amino groups in different steric environments (Table 2).

The acylation of diamine **29** – which incorporates both a primary amine and a cyclic secondary amino unit – with **12** proceeded chemoselectively to afford **30** in excellent yield (entry 1). Since the piperidine amine is sterically encumbered by the chain at the 2-position, the acylation of **31** was next examined. Here the competition is between a primary and a secondary

 Table 1
 Influence of amine structure on NHC-catalysed acylation

 by pyridil



Table 2 Chemoselective acylation of diamines

12 (1.	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	3 (15 mol%) 4 (1.0 eq.) DBU (1.1 eq.) THF (0.2 M) rt, Ar, 24 h	$ \begin{array}{ccc} $
Entry	Diamine	Product	Yield ^a (%)
1^b	H ₂ N, N 29		94
2	H ₂ N, H 31		98
3	NH ₂ H ₂ N	$ \begin{array}{c} $	98
4^b	H ₂ N,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		77
5	-H,		94
6	, H, , , , H, , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		98
^a Isolated	vield. b 0.5 eq. of 12 ut	tilised.	

amine differing only by one *N*-methyl substituent. Gratifyingly, acylation occurred exclusively at the less hindered site to furnish **32** in near quantitative yield (entry 2). In a similar vein, the system is capable of selecting between two primary amines distinguished only by an α -methyl group at carbon (*i.e.* **8**) to product **33** in 98% yield (entry 3).

None of the reactions outlined above produced bis-acylated products. To provide a significant challenge in this context; we examined the monoacylation of diamine **34** (an important molecule in asymmetric catalysis); which is notoriously difficult to monoacylate chemoselectively without using excess amine.^{19–21} Under NHC catalysis the reaction formed the monoacyl product **35** in reduced yet appreciable yield; with the remainder bis-acylated material (entry 4).

The methodology can also act upon steric variations between two different secondary amines. For instance, the preference for efficient reaction at the *N*-Me group associated with diamine **36** over its *N*-iPr counterpart is clear (entry 5). More strikingly, complete *N*-Me *vs. N*-Et selectivity is possible under these conditions – affording amide **38** from amine **13** in 98% yield (entry 6). We are not aware of another small molecule-based system capable of such discriminatory ability in the acylation of secondary amines in the literature.

To put these results in context: in our hands the acylation of either **29**, **31** or **33** by either one equivalent of benzoyl chloride (1.0 eq.) or $(Boc)_2O$ (1.0 eq.) in either THF or CH_2Cl_2 (0.1 M) in the presence of NEt₃ at temperatures from ambient to -78 °C provided only bis-acylated products and starting materials. No monoacyl compounds were formed.

We considered that intramolecular hydrogen bonding may be an actor in the experiments summarised in Table 2. Accordingly, we carried out the competition experiments outlined in Scheme 1.



When pyridil was offered a choice between benzylamine (39) and equimolar loadings of its *N*-methyl analogue 40, 15 was the sole amide detected and isolated (Scheme 1A). Similarly, competition for acylation between 39 and its α -methyl variant 41 resulted in the smooth and exclusive formation of 15 and the complete absence of the more hindered product 21 (Scheme 1B). Thus it would appear that the ability of the system to acylate the least hindered N-atom in a diamine is related to steric sensitivity and not the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the termini of either the substrates or products.

While dramatic levels of chemoselectivity are possible, for the system to be considered of potential utility relative to (for example) benzoylation, the amide must be cleavable under milder conditions. After considerable experimentation, a suite of conditions were developed under which any amide generated by the study could be deprotected in high yield without



Scheme 2 Pyridoyl-amide cleavage.

Published on 25 October 2019. Downloaded by University of Zurich on 1/3/2020 9:00:46 AM

requiring heating under strongly acidic or basic aqueous conditions (Scheme 2).

Tertiary amides can be deprotected in an *ad hoc*-designed one-pot procedure involving alkylation with iodoethanol followed by ring closure in the presence of dry camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) to generate the corresponding heterocyclic lactone **42** – which precipitates from solution and facilitates purification – and the deprotected salt **43** (Scheme 2A). Amides which are the product of chemoselective acylation and incorporate free amino groups on the pendant chain such as **38** can be first Bocprotected and then subjected to similar conditions to generate the double ammonium salt **45** *via* **44** (Scheme 2B).

Simple secondary amides (*e.g.* **15**) can be deprotected *via* initial carbamate formation to give **46**, followed by treatment with sodium borohydride²² to afford the Boc-protected **47** (Scheme 2C), whereas a similar strategy involving installation of two Boc-groups allows the cleavage of secondary amides incorporating a secondary amine such (*e.g.* formation of **49** from **32** *via* **48**, (Scheme 2D)).

In summary, the use of pyridil as an unconventional acylating agent for reaction with a range of primary and secondary amines in the presence of an N-heterocyclic carbene catalyst is reported. The system is able to easily discriminate between amines in a diamine – always reacting at the least hindered N-atom. Thus, in general, primary amines can be acylated in preference to secondary analogues (even when the steric difference derives from a methyl group), while two primary amines can be distinguished based on substituents at the adjacent C-atom (again, discrepancies as small as a methyl group are sufficient for total control). In the case of secondary amines, the reaction is unprecedentedly sterically discerning for a small molecule-based system: an *N*-Me group can be exclusively acylated in the presence of an *N*-Et unit.

Competition experiments demonstrated that the origin of this selectivity is not ascribable to intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the amines. Novel methods were developed which allow the resulting monoacylated pyridoyl amides to be cleaved without requiring the harsh acidic and basic hydrolytic conditions associated with benzoyl protection of aliphatic amines; thereby allowing the methodology to potentially serve as a highly chemoselective protection group strategy for amino groups.

We are grateful to Science Foundation Ireland and the Solid State Pharmaceutical Centre (12/RC/2275) for financial support.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) A. Greenberg, C. M. Breneman and J. F. Liebman, *The Amide Linkage, Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry and Materials Science*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 2002; (b) N. Sewald and H. D. Jakube, *Peptides: Chemistry and Biology*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002.
- 2 Exemplary reviews: (*a*) R. M. de Figueiredo, J.-S. Suppo and J.-M. Campagne, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 12029; (*b*) C. L. Allen and J. M. J. Williams, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3405; (*c*) V. R. Pattabiraman and J. W. Bode, *Nature*, 2011, **480**, 471.

- 3 V. Kumar and S. J. Connon, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 10212.
- 4 For reviews on NHC-catalysed oxidative transformations see: (a) C. E. I. Knappke, A. Imami and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 937; (b) S. De Sarkar, A. Biswas, R. C. Samanta and A. Studer, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 4664.
- 5 Selected recent reviews on NHC catalysis: (a) W. Wang, L. Cui, P. Sun, Li. Shi, C. Yue and F. Li, Chem. Rev., 2018, 118, 9843; (b) M. H. Wang and K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 14912; (c) D. M. Flanigan, F. Romanov-Michailidis, N. A. White and T. Rovis, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 9307; (d) R. S. Menon, A. T. Biju and V. Nair, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 5040; (e) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler and F. Glorius, Nature, 2014, 510, 485; (f) J. Mahatthananchai and J. W. Bode, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 696; (g) A. Grossmann and D. Enders, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 314; (h) X. Bugaut and F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3511; (i) S. R. Yetra, A. Patra and A. T. Biju, Synthesis, 2015, 1357; (j) H. U. Vora and T. Rovis, Aldrichimica Acta, 2011, 44, 3; (k) A. T. Biju, N. Kuhl and F. Glorius, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 1182; (l) V. Nair, R. S. Menon, A. T. Biju, C. R. Sinu, R. R. Paula, A. Josea and V. Sreekumar, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5336; (m) P.-C. Chiang and J. W. Bode, RSC Catal. Ser., 2010, 6, 399; (n) C. D. Campbell, K. B. Ling and A. D. Smith, Catal. Met. Complexes, 2010, 32, 263; (o) J. L. Moore and T. Rovis, Top. Curr. Chem., 2009, 291, 77; (p) E. M. Phillips, A. Chan and K. A. Scheidt, Aldrichimica Acta, 2009, 42, 55; (q) T. Rovis, Chem. Lett., 2008, 37, 1; (r) K. Zeitler, Ernst Schering Found. Symp. Proc., 2007, 2, 183; (s) D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 5506; (t) N. Marion, S. Diez-Gonzalez and S. P. Nolan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 2988; (u) K. Zeitler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7506.
- 6 E. G. Delany, C.-L. Fagan, S. Gundala, A. Mari, T. Broja, K. Zeitler and S. J. Connon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 6510.
- 7 E. G. Delany, C.-L. Fagan, S. Gundala, K. Zeitler and S. J. Connon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6513.
- 8 (a) Protecting-Group-Free Organic Synthesis: Improving Economy and Efficiency, ed. R. A. Fernandes, Wiley, Hoboken, 2018; (b) E. Roulland, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, **50**, 1226; (c) I. S. Young and P. S. Baran, Nat. Chem., 2009, **1**, 193.
- 9 P. G. M. Wuts and T. W. Greene, *Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, Hoboken, 4th edn, 2007.

- Selected examples: (a) A. O. Gálvez, C. P. Schaack, H. Noda and J. W. Bode, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, **139**, 1826; (b) S. P. Rannard and N. J. Davis, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 2117; (c) D. Xu, K. Prasad, O. Repic and T. J. Blacklock, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, **36**, 7357; (d) M. C. O'Sullivan and D. M. Dalrymple, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, **36**, 3451; (e) A. Mitchinson, B. T. Golding, R. J. Griffin and M. C. O'Sullivan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2613.
- 11 Review: J. González-Sabín, R. Morán-Ramallal and F. Rebolledo, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 532.
- 12 A. A. Bastian, A. Marcozzi and A. Herrmann, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 789.
- 13 For a recent review see: F. Piazzolla and A. Termperini, *Tetreahedron Lett.*, 2018, **59**, 2615.
- 14 K. O. Marichev, E. C. Garcia, K. C. Bhowmick, D. J. Wherritt, H. Arman and M. P. Doyle, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 7152.
- 15 For a recent example see: J.-S. Oh, J.-W. Lee, T. H. Ryu, J. H. Lee and C. E. Song, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 1052.
- 16 K. O. Marichev, H. Qiu, A. C. Offield, H. Arman and M. P. Doyle, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 9235.
- 17 The process is mechanistically complex (see ref. 3, 6 and 7). Phenazine aids in the *in situ* conversion of pyridoin (a by-product of the acylation event) back to pyridil. The phenazine is reoxidised by air upon workup and be recovered and reused if desired.
- 18 The lower yield of **16** and **18** may be related to the volatility of allyl amine (b.p. 54 $^{\circ}$ C) and propargyl amine (b.p. 83 $^{\circ}$ C) at STP; since the solid cinnamylamine produced **17** in near quantitative yield.
- (a) L. H. Uppadine, F. R. Keene and P. D. Beer, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 2188; (b) P. D. Garimella, A. Datta, D. W. Romanini, K. N. Raymond and M. B. Francis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 14704; (c) L. Yu and P. Fei, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 3697; (d) L. Yan, G. Huang, H. Wang, F. Xiong and H. Peng, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, 99.
- 20 For an example where poor selectivity was overcome by complexation with 9-BBN see: Z. Zhang, Z. Yin, N. A. Meanwell, J. F. Kadow and T. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 3399.
- 21 Doyle *et al.* (ref. 14) were able to benzoylate this substrate with an impressive 98% isolated yield.
- 22 U. Ragnarsson, L. Grehn, H. L. S. Maia and L. S. Monteiro, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 97.