

Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20</u>

Synthesis, structural and thermal analyses of copper(II) and vanadium(IV) complexes of 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol

REZA TAKJOO^a, JOEL T. MAGUE^b, ALIREZA AKBARI^c & S. YOUSEF EBRAHIMIPOUR^{d e} ^a Department of Chemistry, School of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 91775-1436, Iran

^b Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA

^c Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

^d Department of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

^e Department of Chemistry , University of Jiroft , Jiroft , Iran Accepted author version posted online: 14 Jun 2013.

To cite this article: REZA TAKJOO, JOEL T. MAGUE, ALIREZA AKBARI & S. YOUSEF EBRAHIMIPOUR (2013): Synthesis, structural and thermal analyses of copper(II) and vanadium(IV) complexes of 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, DOI:10.1080/00958972.2013.815343

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2013.815343</u>

Disclaimer: This is a version of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to authors and researchers we are providing this version of the accepted manuscript (AM). Copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof will be undertaken on this manuscript before final publication of the Version of Record (VoR). During production and pre-press, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal relate to this version also.

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Synthesis, structural and thermal analyses of copper(II) and vanadium(IV) complexes of 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol

REZA TAKJOO*^a, JOEL T. MAGUE^b, ALIREZA AKBARI^c and S. YOUSEF EBRAHIMIPOUR^d

^aDepartment of Chemistry, School of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 91775-1436, Iran ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), 19395-4697 Tehran, Iran ^dDepartment of Chemistry, Payame Noor University (PNU), 19395-4697 Tehran, Iran; Department of Chemistry, University of Jiroft, Jiroft, Iran

Reaction of 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (H_2L) with $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ or $VOSO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ and 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) or 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) in a 1:1:1 mol ratio led to [CuL(phen)] and [VOL(bipy)] which have distorted square pyramidal and octahedral geometries, respectively. The compounds were characterized by elemental analyses, FT-IR, UV-Vis, TGA and X-ray diffraction. Their thermolyses have three stages: phen or bipy removal, separation of H_2L minus chloride and bromide of H_2L and complete thermal decomposition to the metal oxide.

Keywords: Vanadium complex; Copper complex; TGA; Spectroscopy; Crystal structures

1. Introduction

Salicylidene compounds containing ONO donors have special potential in biochemistry [1, 2]. The biological effects of metal complexes of these compounds are more pronounced than that of their ligands [3, 4]. One of the main reasons for attention to these types of ligands is that in many metalloproteins the central element is surrounded by asymmetric ligands and the targeted synthesis of these complexes can help us design appropriate mimics for the study of enzymes [5, 6]. As the salicylidene used in this work showed antimicrobial properties, it is expected that its metal complexes demonstrate significant biological properties too [1]. In particular, complexes of vanadium and copper are interesting because they play significant roles in many biological processes [7].

Several reports have shown bioactivities of copper complexes with CuL_1L_2 formulas where L_1 and L_2 are ONO Schiff base and NN donor, respectively [8, 9]. These compounds are mimics for copper(II) protein species. The biological activity of these compounds depends on

^{*}Corresponding author. Emails: rezatakjoo@yahoo.com; r.takjoo@um.ac.ir

non-covalent interactions between aromatic units [10]. Many complexes show anti-tumor and anti-microbial properties [11, 12]. Heterocyclic copper(II) complexes are associated with, or intercalated through, the DNA surface and assist in hydrolytic or oxidative cleavage of DNA [13, 14]. The oxido vanadium(IV) complexes with ONO donors and heterocycles have significant impact on biochemistry processes such as DNA cleavage [15]. Vanadium(IV) complexes are good candidates for insulin mimics [16]. Based on the physico-chemical investigations on oxide vanadium complexes, these compounds are good models for protein studies [17, 18]. High absorption and low toxicity of these compounds make them suitable materials for bio-applications [19].

Herein, we report synthesis, spectroscopy, TGA and X-ray structural studies of two new complexes of the Schiff base 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (H₂L) (scheme 1) with Cu(II) and V(IV), [CuL(phen)] and [VOL(bipy)].



2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

All chemicals and solvents were analytical reagent grade and used as received. 5-Bromo-2hydroxybenzaldehyde and 2-amino-4-chlorophenol were purchased from Merck Company. H_2L was prepared according to our previous work [20]. Microanalyses for C, H and N were determined on a Thermo Finnigan Flash Elemental Analyzer 1112EA. IR spectra were measured as KBr pellets on a FT-IR 8400-SHIMADZU spectrophotometer (400-4000 cm⁻¹). Molar conductivities of 10⁻³ M solutions of the complexes in DMSO were determined by a Metrohm 712 Conductometer at 25 °C. Electronic spectra of the compounds in DMSO (*ca.* 25 °C) were run on a SHIMADZU model 2550 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (260-800 nm). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA and DTG) were carried out in an oxygen atmosphere (10 mL/min) with a heating rate of 10 °C/min using a TGA-50 SHIMADZU thermal analyzer from 20-1000 °C. X-ray diffraction data were measured by a Bruker Smart APEX CCD diffractometer.

2.2. Preparation of 4-bromo-2-(((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (H₂L)

A solution of 2-amino-4-chlorophenol (0.2 mmol, 0.03 g) in 5 mL of ethanol was added to an equimolar quantity of 2-hydroxy-5-bromobenzaldehyde in 5 mL of ethanol with vigorous stirring. After 30 min of refluxing, cooling the solution resulted in formation of a red precipitate, which was separated by filtration, washed with cold ethanol and dried in a desiccator over anhydrous CaCl₂. Red. Yield: 0.54 g, 82%. m.p.: 199 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₉BrClNO₂ (326.57 g mol⁻¹): C, 47.81; H, 2.87; N, 4.29. Found: C, 47.78; H, 2.80; N, 4.24%. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: ν (OH) 3448wb, ν (N-H) 3130sb, ν (C=N) 1627s, ν (C=C_{ring}) 1581s, ν (C-O) 1319m, ν (C-Cl) 678s, ν (C-Br) 585s. UV/Vis (DMSO) λ_{max} , nm (log(ε), L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 286(4.03), 368(4.14), 438(3.67).

2.3. Preparation of (1,10-phenanthroline)[4-bromo-2-((5-chloro-2hydroxyphenylimino)methyl)phenol]copper(II) [CuL(phen)] (1)

 $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (0.1 mmol, 0.02 g) was added to a solution of H_2L (0.1 mmol, 0.03 g) and NaOH (0.2 mmol, 0.01 g) in 6 mL of boiling methanol, and the mixture was refluxed in a water bath for 30 min. 1,10-Phenanthroline (0.1 mmol, 0.02 g) was added to the resulting dark-green solution which was further refluxed for ca. 3 h. After cooling, the solution was filtered and left to stand overnight. Black crystals suitable for crystallography separated after four days and were dried in a vacuum desiccator over CaCl₂. Yield: 0.045 g, 78%. m.p.: >300 °C. Molar (1.0×10^{-3}) M, 2.90 Ω^{-1} cm^2 mol^{-1} . conductivity DMSO): Anal. Calc. for C₂₅H₁₅BrClCuN₃O₂·0.50H₂O (577.31 g mol⁻¹): C, 52.01; H, 2.79; N, 7.28. Found: C, 52.17; H, 2.80; N, 7.24%. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: ν(C=N) 1612s, ν(C=C_{ring}) 1520s, ν(C-O) 1296m, ρ(py_{ring}) 1018m, v(C-Cl) 709m, v(C-Br) 656w. UV/Vis (DMSO) λ_{max} , nm (log(ε), L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 272(4.5), 448(4.37), 474(4.32), 654(2.28).

2.4. Preparation of (2,2'-bipyridine)[4-bromo-2-((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenylimino)methyl) phenol] oxidovanadium(IV) [VOL(bipy)] (2)

VOSO₄·3H₂O (0.1 mmol, 0.02 g) was added to a solution of H₂L (0.1 mmol, 0.03 g) in 6 mL of hot methanol and the solution was refluxed for 1 h on a water bath. 2,2'-Bipyridine (0.1 mmol, 0.02 g) was added to the resulting brown solution and reflux was continued for 3 h. After one day, suitable single crystals appeared upon slow evaporation of the solvent. The product was isolated, washed with cold ethanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator over CaCl₂. Parallelepiped. Red. Yield: 0.039 g, 67%. m.p.: 236 °C. Molar conductivity (1.0×10^{-3} M, DMSO): 21.5 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹. Anal. Calc. for C₂₄H₁₉BrClN₃O₄V (579.72 g mol⁻¹): C, 49.72; H, 3.30; N, 7.25. Found: C, 49.68; H, 3.22; N, 7.31%. FT-IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: ν (C=N) 1597s, ν (C=C_{ring}) 1512s, ν (C-O) 1288m, ν (V=O) 948s, ρ (py_{ring}) 905m, ν (C-Cl) 702m, ν (C-Br) 648w. UV/Vis (DMSO) λ_{max} , nm (log ε , L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 276(4.5), 444(4.1), 658(2.1).

2.5. X-ray Crystal structure determination

Crystals of **1** and **2** were mounted on a CryoloopTM with ParatoneTM oil and placed in the cold nitrogen stream provided by the low temperature attachment to the diffractometer. Full spheres of intensity data were collected using 3 sets of 400 frames, each of width 0.5° in ω , collected at φ = 0.00, 90.00 and 180.00° and 2 sets of 800 frames, each of width 0.45° in φ , collected at ω = -30.00 and 210.00° (**1**) or 3 sets of 606 frames each of width 0.3° in ω collected at φ = 0, 120 and 240° (**2**). The raw intensity data were converted to F^2 values with SAINT [21] with the same software executing a global refinement of unit cell parameters. Absorption corrections and merging of symmetry equivalent reflections were carried out with SADABS [22] and the structures solved by Patterson (**1**) and direct methods (**2**) using SHELXS [23]. The structures were refined by full-matrix, least-squares procedures (SHELXL [23]) and all other calculations were carried out with SHELXTL [24]. Crystal data are provided in table 1.

3.1. General aspects

Reactions of the ligand and metal salts in an equimolar ratio resulted in the metal complexes in good yield. The complexes were stable at room temperature and also soluble in DMSO and DMF, but only partially soluble in other organic solvents such as methanol, acetone, acetonitrile and chloroform. The C, H, N elemental analysis data and the low molar solution $(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$ conductances of the complexes in DMSO are in conformity with the proposed formulas. Our attempts to prepare crystals of [CuL(bipy)] and [VOL(phen)] compounds were unsuccessful.

3.2. Characterization

The v(OH) due to the presence of water (for 1) or methanol (for 2) in the lattice occurs at 3417 and 3232 cm⁻¹, respectively. The band at 3070 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the NH...O stretch, confirming the presence of the *keto* form in the solid (scheme 1) [25]. In solution, the ligand exists in the *enol* tautomeric form, and by loss of its *enolic* proton in complexation is a dianionic ligand. The band at 1627 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the azomethine of H₂L and shifts to 1612 cm⁻¹ and 1597 cm⁻¹ in [CuL(phen)] and [VOL(bipy)], respectively [26]. This variation in frequency supports the coordination of the azomethine nitrogen. By coordination, the C-O vibration of the ligand at 1319 cm⁻¹ shifts to 1296 cm⁻¹ and 1288 cm⁻¹, respectively, for 1 and 2 [27]. This reduction supports coordination of the ligand oxygen to the metal. Bands at 1018 cm⁻¹ in 1 and 905 cm⁻¹ in 2 are attributed to the breathing vibration of pyridine [28]. The *v*(V=O) of the vanadyl is at 948 cm⁻¹ [29].

The high energy portion of the electronic spectrum of 1 consists of bands at 272, 448 and 474 nm while that of 2 shows bands at 276 and 444 nm. The bands at *ca*. 270 nm are attributed to a $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of the azomethine in the complexes while those at 444 nm and 448 nm for 1 and 2, respectively, are attributed to the LMCT charge transfer band which is evidence for coordination of pyridyl nitrogen to copper(II) and oxidovanadium(IV) [30]. Finally, peaks at 654 nm in 1 and 658 nm in 2 are attributed to d-d transitions.

3.3. Crystallographic description of complexes

The asymmetric unit of 1 consists of two crystallographically independent molecules of the complex plus two lattice waters while that of 2 is a single molecule. In 1 there are two

asymmetric units per unit cell while in 2 there are four. Perspective views of one isomer each (vide infra) of the two independent molecules of 1 are presented in figures 1 and 2 while the unique molecule of 2 is presented in figure 3. Pertinent bond distances and angles are shown in tables 2 and 3, respectively.

The metal ion in both independent molecules of 1 adopts a slightly distorted square pyramidal coordination in which the Schiff base occupies basal positions and phen spans basal and axial positions with the axial Cu-N distance significantly longer than the basal Cu-N3 and Cu-N2 distances as expected. With this geometry, an unsymmetrical ligand coordinating in the basal plane can do so in two different ways, as shown figure 4. Since the peripheries of these two isomers are quite similar, they could easily co-crystallize in the same sites in the unit cell. Were this to occur, the majority of the atoms of both isomers would occupy essentially the same positions with the only ones not doing so being the halides and the -CH=N-. The result would be an apparent disorder in Cl and Br substituents as well as in the azomethine portion, and this is clearly seen in the difference Fourier maps. This phenomenon has been observed in very similar tetradentate Schiff-base ligands and it is also found in Mn(III) and Fe(III) complexes [31]. However, there are some cases with a single isomer [32]. This may be caused by the size of the substituents on phenyl rings or also reaction conditions, but there are insufficient systematic studies to suggest a pattern of causative factors. The data in tables 2 and 3 indicate that the only notable difference between the coordination spheres of copper in the two independent molecules is in the N-Cu-O angle in the 6-membere chelate ring. However, because of the disorder, significance should not be placed on this difference and detailed discussions of the full geometry of the coordination sphere of copper are inappropriate. The Schiff base in 1 is twisted such that the dihedral angles between the phenoxy rings are, respectively, $7.1(1)^{\circ}$ and $13.5(4)^{\circ}$ for molecule 1 and molecule 2. There is a π -stacking interaction between the pyridine ring containing N3 in molecule 1 with that containing N6 in molecule 2 (distance between ring centroids = 3.498(3) Å, interplanar spacing =3.356(2) Å, interplanar angle = 4.42°). Additionally, the pyridine ring containing N3 in molecule 1 participates in a π -stacking interaction with its centrosymmetrically-related counterpart at -x, 1-y, -z (distance between ring centroids = 3.521(2) Å, interplanar spacing = 3.311(2) Å) while that containing N5 shows π stacking with its centrosymmetrically-related counterpart at -x, 2-y, 1-z (distance between ring centroids = 3.803(4) Å, interplanar spacing = 3.350(2) Å). These interactions are depicted by dotted lines in figure 5.

As is evident from table 3, the vanadium in 2 adopts a distorted octahedral geometry with the distortions mainly resulting from the geometrical constraints of the ligands. Unlike 1 and related compounds [20], 2 has one molecule in the asymmetric unit and appears to be a single isomer. The twist of the Schiff base in 2, measured as for 1, is $5.2(2)^{\circ}$ while bipy shows a slight twist of $4.9(2)^{\circ}$ between the pyridine rings. The vanadium is 0.359(2) Å out of the plane defined by O2, N3, O3 and N2 towards O1 while this plane makes an angle of $87.20(7)^{\circ}$ with that defined by V1, N2, C18, C19 and N3.

3.4. Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA and DTG curves of 1 and 2 are shown in Supplementary Material, from which 1 is seen to undergo three thermal decomposition stages and 2 shows only two.

The first combined mass loss for **1** is attributed to removal of 1,10-phenanthroline at 334-405 °C with a mass loss of 35.23% (calc. mass loss = 35.21%). The second DTG peak, having a maximum at 428 °C, corresponds to a weight decrease of about 29.93% (calc. mass loss = 30.08%), which is consistent with removal of the chloride and bromide of the Schiff base. In the last step at 437-632 °C (maximum at 534 °C), it loses 23.46% (calc. mass loss = 22.08%) of its mass by decomposition of the remaining ligand, leaving CuO as the final residue. In **2** the TGA curve shows a mass loss of 28.52% (calc. mass loss = 28.47%) at 271 °C, which is in agreement with the loss of bipy. In the other step, the Schiff base is removed at 327 °C with a 53.95% (calc. mass loss = 53.60%) decrease in mass. The 28.75% mass of the remaining residue indicates it to be V₂O₅ (calc. value for V₂O₅ = 29.0%).

4. Conclusion

Preparation and characterization of (1,10-phenanthroline)(4-bromo-2-((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenylimino))methyl)phenol copper(II) [CuL(phen)] and (2,2'-bipyridine)(4-bromo-2-((5-chloro-2-hydroxyphenylimino))))methyl)phenol oxidovanadium(IV) [VOL(bipy)] involving a dinegative tridentate ONO donor in the form of H₂L are reported. The [CuL(phen)] and [VOL(bipy)] complexes are structurally characterized as five- and six-coordinate species with distorted square pyramidal and octahedral geometries, respectively. On the basis of the spectral

and X-ray structure analysis H_2L is doubly deprotonated and coordinated to Cu and V as a dianionic tridentate ligand via the ONO donors. The coordination spheres of the complexes are completed by phen in [CuL(phen)] and an oxygen atom and a bipy in [VOL(bipy)]. The TGA results, showing stepwise removal of the ligands to finally yield metal oxide, are fully consistent with the structural results.

Supplementary data

CCDC 880833 and CCDC 880832 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for [CuL(phen)] and [VOL(bipy)], respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Chemistry Department and Tulane Crystallography Laboratory of Tulane University for their support. R. Takjoo and A. Akbari acknowledge the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad and Payame Noor University (PNU) for financial assistance.

References

- [1] A. Cinarli, D. Gürbüz, A. Tavman, A.S. Birteksöz. Chin. J. Chem., 30, 449 (2012).
- [2] P. Melnyk, V. Leroux, C. Sergheraert, P. Grellier. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 16, 31 (2006).
- [3] H. Guo, J. Lu, Z. Ruan, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, L. Zang, J. Jiang, J. Huang. J. Coord. Chem., 65, 191 (2011).
- [4] K. Pothiraj, T. Baskaran, N. Raman. J. Coord. Chem., 65, 2110 (2012).
- [5] R. Lontie, *Copper Proteins and Copper Enzymes*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (1984).
- [6] N. Ito, S.E.V. Phillips, C. Stevens, Z.B. Ogel, M.J. McPherson, J.N. Keen, K.D.S. Yadav,
 P.F. Knowles. *Nature*, 350, 87 (1991).

- (a) H. Keypour, M. Shayesteh, R. Golbedaghi, A. Chehregani, A.G. Blackman. J. Coord. Chem., 65, 1004 (2012). (b) N. Sharma, M. Kumari, V. Kumar, S.C. Chaudhry, S.S. Kanwar. J. Coord. Chem., 63, 1940 (2010).
- [8] R.N. Patel, S.P. Rawat, M. Choudhary, V.P. Sondhiya, D.K. Patel, K.K. Shukla, D.K. Patel, Y. Singh, R. Pandey. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **392**, 283 (2012).
- [9] P.A.N. Reddy, M. Nethaji, A.R. Chakravarty. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **337**, 450 (2002).
- [10] O. Yamauchi, A. Odani, M. Takani. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3411 (2002).
- [11] C.A. Bolos, A.T. Chaviara, D. Mourelatos, Z. Iakovidou, E. Mioglou, E. Chrysogelou, A. Papageorgiou. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 17, 3142 (2009).
- [12] X. Qiao, Z.-Y. Ma, C.-Z. Xie, F. Xue, Y.-W. Zhang, J.-Y. Xu, Z.-Y. Qiang, J.-S. Lou,
 G.-J. Chen, S.-P. Yan. J. Inorg. Biochem., 105, 728 (2011).
- [13] A.K. Patra, S. Roy, A.R. Chakravarty. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **362**, 1591 (2009).
- [14] A.T. Chaviara, P.J. Cox, K.H. Repana, R.M. Papi, K.T. Papazisis, D. Zambouli, A.H. Kortsaris, D.A. Kyriakidis, C.A. Bolos. J. Inorg. Biochem., 98, 1271 (2004).
- [15] P.K. Sasmal, A.K. Patra, M. Nethaji, A.R. Chakravarty. Inorg. Chem., 46, 11112 (2007).
- [16] A. Sigel, H. Sigel, Metal Ions in Biological Systems: Vanadium and its Role for Life, Taylor & Francis, 31 (1995).
- [17] C. Yuan, L. Lu, X. Gao, Y. Wu, M. Guo, Y. Li, X. Fu, M. Zhu. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 14, 841 (2009).
- [18] H. Han, L. Lu, Q. Wang, M. Zhu, C. Yuan, S. Xing, X. Fu. Dalton Trans., 41, 11116 (2012).
- [19] H. Michibata, Vanadium: Biochemical and Molecular Biological Approaches, Springer, Netherlands (2011).
- [20] S.Y. Ebrahimipour, J.T. Mague, A. Akbari, R. Takjoo. J. Mol. Struct., 1028, 148 (2012).
- [21] Bruker-AXS, SAINT, Ver. 7.68A, Madison, Wisconsin, USA (2009).
- [22] G.M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Ver. 2009/1, University of Göttingen, Germany (2009).
- [23] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXS, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 64, 112 (2008).
- [24] Bruker-AXS, SHELXTL, Ver. 2008/4. Madison, Wisconsin, USA (2008).
- [25] P. Mayer, K.C. Potgieter, T.I.A. Gerber. Polyhedron, 29, 1423 (2010).
- [26] M. Ebel, D. Rehder. *Inorg. Chem.*, **45**, 7083 (2006).

- [27] R. Takjoo, M. Ahmadi, A. Akbari, H.A. Rudbari, F. Nicolò. J. Coord. Chem., 65, 3403 (2012).
- [28] T. Kar, M.S. Liao, S. Biswas, S. Sarkar, K. Dey, G.P.A. Yap, K. Kreisel. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 65, 882 (2006).
- [29] L.R. Guilherme, A.C. Massabni, A. Cuin, L.A.A. Oliveira, E.E. Castellano, T.A. Heinrich, C.M. Costa-Neto. J. Coord. Chem., 62, 1561 (2009).
- [30] V. Philip, V. Suni, M.R. Prathapachandra Kurup, M. Nethaji. *Polyhedron*, 25, 1931 (2006).
- [31] (a) M.A. Yampolskaya, S.G. Shova, N. V. Gerbeleu, Yu. A. Simonov, V.K. Belskii, A.A. Dvorkin. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 28, 984 (1983). (b) M.D. Revenko, N.V. Gerbeleu, V.G. Rusu, S.G. Shova, Yu. A. Simonov. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 31, 994 (1986).
- [32] (a) M.A. Yampolskaya, S.G. Shova, N.V. Gerbeleu, V.K. Belskii, Yu. A. Simonov. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 27, 1443 (1982). (b) V.M. Leovac, E.V. Ivegeš, N. Galešić. D. Horvatić. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 162, 277 (1989). (c) N. Özdemir, M. Şahin, T. Bal-Demirci, B. Ülküseven. *Polyhedron*, 30, 515 (2011).

CCC

Complex	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{25}H_{15}BrClCuN_3O_2{\cdot}0.50H_2O$	C ₂₄ H ₁₉ BrClN ₃ O ₄ V
Formula weight	577.31	579.72
Temperature (K)	100(2)	100(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	Pī	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ /n
Unit cell dimensions		
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.6593(13)	9.562(4)
<i>b</i> (Å)	14.8471(16)	15.221(7)
c (Å)	15.306(3)	15.925(7)
α (°)	114.423(2)	C
β(°)	92.575(2)	99.612(6)
γ°	114.961(1)	
Volume (Å ³)	2289.3(5)	2285.4(18)
Z	4	4
Density (calculated, Mg/m ³)	1.675	1.685
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	2.847	2.337
F(000)	1152	1164
Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.23 \times 0.16 \times 0.16$	$0.20 \times 0.07 \times 0.03$
θ range for data collection (°)	2.05 to 28.28	1.86 to 28.50
Reflns. total	40081	19511
Independent reflections	11139	5370
R _{int}	0.0794	0.0515
Completeness (%) (θ_{max})	99.2 (27.50°)	99.5 (27.00°)
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical	Semi-empirical
	from equivalents	from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.6587 and 0.5277	0.9332 and 0.7143
Refinement	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data / restraints / parameters	11139 / 20 / 639	5370 / 0 / 308
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.029	1.062
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0714,	R1 = 0.0510,
	wR2 = 0.1974	wR2 = 0.1230
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0931,	R1 = 0.0642,
▼	wR2 = 0.2084	wR2 = 0.1309
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e.Å^{-3})$	1.470 and -1.608	1.820 and -0.837

Table 1. Crystal data for **1** and **2**.

Table 2. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for 1.

Molecu	ile 1	Molecule 2	2
Cu(1)-O(1)	1.934(5)	Cu(2)-O(3)	1.917(5)
Cu(1)-O(2)	1.946(4)	Cu(2)-O(4)	1.933(5)
Cu(1)-N(1)	1.972(6)	Cu(2)-N(4)	1.985(7)
Cu(1)-N(2)	2.005(4)	Cu(2)-N(5)	2.013(4)
Cu(1)-N(3)	2.297(4)	Cu(2)-N(6)	2.308(4)
O(1)-Cu(1)-O(2)	165.61(19)	O(3)-Cu(2)-O(4)	164.5(2)
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(1)	99.6(2)	O(3)-Cu(2)-N(4)	104.1(2)
O(2)-Cu(1)-N(1)	78.4(2)	O(4)-Cu(2)-N(4)	74.7(2)
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(2)	91.69(19)	O(3)-Cu(2)-N(5)	90.42(19)
O(2)-Cu(1)-N(2)	89.29(17)	O(4)-Cu(2)-N(5)	90.4(2)
N(1)-Cu(1)-N(2)	167.4(2)	N(4)-Cu(2)-N(5)	165.1(2)
O(1)-Cu(1)-N(3)	92.90(16)	O(3)-Cu(2)-N(6)	91.04(18)
O(2)-Cu(1)-N(3)	101.35(16)	O(4)-Cu(2)-N(6)	104.27(16)
N(1)-Cu(1)-N(3)	106.7(2)	N(4)-Cu(2)-N(6)	105.6(2)
N(2)-Cu(1)-N(3)	78.12(15)	N(5)-Cu(2)-N(6)	76.90(15)

V(1)-O(1)	1.611(3)	V(1)-N(1)	2.080(3)
V(1)-O(2)	1.947(3)	V(1)-N(3)	2.135(3)
V(1)-O(3)	1.994(3)	V(1)-N(2)	2.314(3)
O(1)-V(1)-O(2)	100.18(13)	O(3)-V(1)-N(3)	89.68(11)
O(1)-V(1)-O(3)	100.73(13)	N(1)-V(1)-N(3)	158.70(12)
O(2)-V(1)-O(3)	158.03(11)	O(1)-V(1)-N(2)	169.28(12)
O(1)-V(1)-N(1)	103.57(12)	O(2)-V(1)-N(2)	81.07(11)
O(2)-V(1)-N(1)	88.65(11)	O(3)-V(1)-N(2)	79.64(11)
O(3)-V(1)-N(1)	80.00(11)	N(1)-V(1)-N(2)	87.07(11)
O(1)-V(1)-N(3)	96.60(12)	N(3)-V(1)-N(2)	72.68(11)
O(2)-V(1)-N(3)	94.52(11)	•	$\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$

Table 3. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for **2**.

CCO.



Figure 1. Perspective view of molecule 1 for **1**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level and hydrogens are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. Only one component of the disorder is shown.

çce



Figure 2. Perspective view of molecule 2 for **1**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level and hydrogens are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. Only one component of the disorder is shown.

1

Ś



Figure 3. Perspective view of **2**. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level and hydrogens are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius.

į,



Figure 4. Two different ways of coordination of the unsymmetrical ligand in the basal plane to copper(II).



Figure 5. Illustration of the π - π stacking interactions in 1 with hydrogens omitted for clarity.