Sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments to produce water-soluble yellow pigments

Lujie Liu, Shu Wu, Wei Wang, Xuehong Zhang, Zhilong Wang

PII: S0143-7208(19)32069-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2019.107965

Reference: DYPI 107965

To appear in: Dyes and Pigments

Received Date: 2 September 2019

Revised Date: 11 October 2019

Accepted Date: 12 October 2019

Please cite this article as: Liu L, Wu S, Wang W, Zhang X, Wang Z, Sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments to produce water-soluble yellow pigments, *Dyes and Pigments* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2019.107965.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



## **Graf Abstract**



1 Dyes and pigments

# Sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments to produce water-soluble yellow pigments

4	
5	Lujie Liu <sup>1</sup> , Shu Wu <sup>1</sup> , Wei Wang <sup>2</sup> , Xuehong Zhang <sup>2</sup> , Zhilong Wang <sup>1*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, and Engineering Research Center of Cell & Therapeutic Antibody.
8	Ministry of Education, School of Pharmacy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
9	<sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, School of Life Science and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong
10	University, Shanghai 200240, China
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: e-mail: <u>zlwang@sjtu.edu.cn</u> (Z. Wang)

2	7

2	8

Abstract: Red Yeast Rice, a kind of Monascus pigments produced by fermentation of Monascus species on rice, is a traditional Chinese medicine and food colorant. Chemical modification of natural pigments is a common strategy to diversify the pigments to meet various demands. Herein, native Monascus pigments as well as some of their derivates were prepared. Sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments with conjugated double bonds adjacent to a carbonyl group was carried out to produce novel water-soluble yellow pigments (WSYPs). The chemical structure of novel WSYPs, i.e., an addition of  $H_2SO_3$  to the double bond at the side-chain of *Monascus* pigments, was elucidated by MS and NMR analysis. The introduction of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> into Monascus pigments makes WSYPs exhibit yellow color and high water solubility. The yellow color, high water solubility, as well as relatively high stability in a wide pH range contribute the novel WSYPs as a potential food colorant. Key words: Monascus pigments; Water-soluble yellow pigments; Sulfonation; Nucleophilic attack; Food colorant 

#### 57 **1. Introduction**

Red Yeast Rice, a kind of *Monascus* pigments produced by solid-state fermentation of *Monascus* species on 58 rice, is a traditional Chinese medicine and food colorant. It has been widely used as food colorant in China, Korea, 59 Japan and Southeast Asia for more than one thousand years [1-3]. By virtue of elaboration of the gene clusters of 60 61 Monascus species, the biosynthetic pathway of azaphilone core catalyzed by polyketide synthase has been detailed [4, 5]. By means of further esterification of the azaphilone core with  $\beta$ -ketoacid, native *Monascus* pigments, such as 62 63 orange Monascus pigments (OMPs) (1, 2) and yellow Monascus pigments (YMPs) (3, 4) (Fig.1A), are biosynthesized [6]. However, the native Monascus pigments are unsuitable for utilizing directly as food colorant 64 owing to their low water solubility [7]. Furthermore, the embryo-toxicity and teratogenicity of OMPs have also 65 66 been reported [8].

67 Location of Fig.1

It has been reported that OMPs can be chemically modified by reduction [9], oxidation [10, 11] and amination 68 [12-18]. Among them, amination of compound 2 into 5 or 6 (Fig.1B) for production of water-soluble red pigments 69 70 (WSRPs) has been studied extensively. As shown in Fig.1, cascade reactions, i.e., biosynthesis of OMPs and further 71 chemical modification of OMPs by amination reaction, occur naturally during microbial fermentation. During the 72 course of microbial culture, primary amines, such as amino acids and glucosamine [19] as well as ammonia, are produced by microbial metabolism. Thus, the major colorant components of Red Yeast Rice are WSRPs with 73 74 various primary amines [1, 6, 20, 21]. Due to the excellent stability and high water solubility of WSRPs, Chinese government has issued the standard for commercial production of WSRPs (Red Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup>, GB 75 5009.150-2016). The Red Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup> has been utilized widely as a food additive, especially for 76 77 substitution of nitrite to enhance meat color [22].

78 It is reported that OMPs as well as WSRPs can be further chemically modified by sodium borohydride to produce yellow pigments [9, 23]. Alternatively, yellow pigments, which are produced by sulfonation of commercial 79 Red Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup> [24], have also been approved as commercial food colorant by Chinese government 80 (Yellow Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup>, GB 1886.66-2015). Yellow Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup> is being produced by several 81 biotechnology companies in China [6]. In spite of the practical application of Yellow Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup>, the 82 chemical reaction about sulfonation of Monascus pigments remains largely blurry. There are few works about the 83 identification of chemical components in Yellow *Monascus Pigment*<sup>®</sup> due to the troublesome separation procedure. 84 Till now, there is only one report about an isolation of the relatively hydrophobic components in Yellow Monascus 85

86 *Pigment*<sup>®</sup> by preparative TLC and further deduction of the corresponding chemical structure by LC-MS [24].

In the present work, sulfonation of identified *Monascus* pigments to produce water-soluble yellow pigments (WSYPs) was carried out and the chemical structure of the novel WSYPs was managed to elucidate. Firstly, native *Monascus* pigments (Fig.1A) were isolated after microbial fermentation. The native OMPs was chemically modified by amination reaction and then reduction in an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (Fig.1B). Then, sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments (including native ones and their derivates as shown in Fig.1) was carried out and the chemical structure of novel WSYPs was elucidated by UPLC-MS and NMR. Finally, the color characters of the novel WSYPs as a potential food colorant, such as color, water solubility, and stability, were further checked.

### 94 **2. Materials and methods**

#### 95 2.1. Chemicals

Acetonitrile (HPLC grade), was purchased from Avantor (US). Water was purified using a Mili-Q Ultra system (Millipore, US). Chloroform-d, methanol- $d_4$  and dimethyl sulfoxide- $d_6$  (DMSO- $d_6$ ) were purchased from Adamas-beta (Shanghai, China). Sodium dithionite was purchased from TiTan (Shanghai, China). Other agents were chemical grade.

#### 100 2.2. Identification of native Monascus pigments

101 Native Monascus pigments were produced by submerged culture of Monascus ruber (ESI S1). Crude native Monascus pigments (Fig.1A) were isolated from the fermentation broth (ESI S2). The crude OMPs and YMPs were 102 further purified by a preparative HPLC system (Shimadzu LC-6AD series equipped with an SPD-20 103 spectrophotometer using Shimadzu PRC-ODS EV0233 column). The sample injection volume was 1 ml. Isocratic 104 105 elution (acetonitrile/water, 70:30, V/V) with a flow rate of 10 ml/min was employed and OMPs were detected at the wavelength 470 nm while and YMPs at 390 nm. Four kinds of native Monascus pigments (Fig.1A) were obtained. 106 107 The purified monascorubrin (2) and ankaflavin (4) were further identified by mass spectrometer (MS) and NMR 108 analysis.

- 109 Monascorubrin (2): orange needle solid; UV-vis (acetonitrile)  $\lambda_{max}$  243, 286, 468 nm; HRMS Anal. Calcd for 110  $C_{23}H_{27}O_5$ : 383.1858[M+H<sup>+</sup>]; found, 383.1863(ESI S3). Ankaflavin (4): yellow needle solid; UV-vis (acetonitrile) 111  $\lambda_{max}$  224, 386 nm; HRMS Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{31}O_5$ : 387.2171[M+H]<sup>+</sup>; found, 387.2170 (ESI S4). The HRMS data 112 are consistent with the literature data [25]. The corresponding data of <sup>1</sup>HNMR and <sup>13</sup>CNMR analysis were
- presented in table 1 and table 2, respectively. The results are consistent with the data in literatures [9, 26, 27].

114 Location of table 1 & 2

#### 115 **2.3.** Chemical modification of monascorubrin

OMPs include two chemical entities: rubropunctatin (1) and monascorubrin (2), which have similar structural character and physicochemical property [18, 27]. According to Fig.1B, monascorubrin (2) was chosen as target reactant for study. Monascorubrin (2) (0.1 g, 0.26 mmol) in ethanol (35 mL) and primary amine ((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or monosodium glutamate; 0.52 mmol) in water (pH 7, 15 mL) were mixed, which was stirred at 30 °C for 72 h until monascorubrin was consumed completely (monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC), developing solvent: chloroform/methanol/water=56/10/0.9). The reaction mixture was concentrated by a rotary evaporator.

After evaporation of solvent, the solid residue including  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  was redissolved by ethyl acetate/water (1/1, V/V; 50 mL). The mixture was transferred into a 150 mL separating funnel and an ethyl acetate layer containing monascorubramine (5) was then separated. The ethyl acetate layer was concentrated in a rotary evaporator under vacuum to afford the crude product. Recrystallization of the crude pigment from ethanol yielded red needles 5 (91 mg). The purified monascorubramine (5) was further identified by MS and NMR analysis.

127 Monascorubramine (5): Red needle solid; UV-vis (acetonitrile)  $\lambda_{max}$  300, 415, 531 nm; HRMS Anal. Calcd for 128 C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, 380.1862 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>; found, 380.1853 (ESI S5). The corresponding data of <sup>1</sup>HNMR and <sup>13</sup>CNMR analysis 129 were presented in table 1 and table 2, respectively. The results are consistent with the data in literatures [26, 27].

Similarly, the solid residue including monosodium glutamate was redissolved by ethyl acetate/water (1/1, v/v; 131 50 mL). The pH of water phase was adjusted to 10 by NaOH aqueous solution (1 M), and then the water phase was 132 separated. The water phase was added ethyl acetate (30 mL) again, of which the pH was adjusted to 2.5. The target 133 compound was partitioned into the ethyl acetate phase under this condition. Then, ethyl acetate phase was fetched 134 and the solvent was removed to get the crude compound **6** (82 mg). Compound **6** was further identified by MS 135 analysis.

136 Compound 6: red amorphous solid; UV-vis (acetonitrile)  $\lambda_{max}$  425, 521nm; HRMS Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>, 137 512.2284 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; found, 512.2286 (ESI S6). The results are consistent with the data in literature [19].

Monascorubramine (5) (50 mg) in ethanol (20 mL) and NaOH aqueous solution (1 M, 20 mL) were mixed, which was stirred at 40 °C for 10 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated by a rotary evaporator. The solid residue was redissolved by ethyl acetate/water (1/1, V/V; 50 mL). The mixture was transferred into a 250 mL separating funnel and an ethyl acetate layer was then separated. The ethyl acetate layer was concentrated in a rotary evaporator under vacuum to afford the crude product, which was separated by preparative TLC (GF254, 200 \* 200\* 0.9 mm, Huanghai, China) using chloroform/methanol/water (56/10/0.9, V/V/V) as the developing solvent to give the

144 product 7 (45 mg). The purified compound 7 was further identified by MS and NMR analysis.

145 Compound 7: red amorphous solid; UV-vis (acetonitrile)  $\lambda_{max}$  238, 299, 477 nm; HRMS Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>,

146 384.2175 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>; found, 384.2179 (ESI S7). The corresponding data of <sup>1</sup>HNMR and <sup>13</sup>CNMR analysis were

147 presented in table 1 and table 2, respectively. The reduction of 5 to 7 in the aqueous NaOH solution is confirmed by

148 NMR elucidation in the first time.

#### 149 2.4. Sulfonation of identified *Monascus* pigments

Sulfonation of identified Monascus pigments (2, 4-7) followed a similar procedure for sulfonation of Red 150 Monascus Pigment<sup>®</sup> [24]. Briefly, sodium dithionite (0.2 mmol) was added into a single Monascus pigment (2, 4-7, 151 0.1mmol) ethanol aqueous solution (50 %, V/V; pH 7; 20 mL), respectively. The ethanol aqueous solution was 152 stirred and refluxed at 70 °C for 6 h. The solvent was evaporated to give crude product, which was separated by 153 preparative TLC using chloroform/methanol (5/1, V/V) as developing solvent. No sulfonation reaction of 154 ankaflavin (4) was observed under the same condition. According to Fig.2, compounds 2, 5-7 produced the 155 corresponding WSYPs 8-11. The corresponding HRMS data are deposited (ESI S8-S11). The <sup>1</sup>HNMR and 156 <sup>13</sup>CNMR analysis of WSYP 11 were presented in table 1 and table 2, respectively. The chemical structures of 157 158 WSYP 8-11 are reported for the first time.

159 Location of Fig.2

#### 160 2.5. Color and stability of WSYPs

One milliliter of WSYP aqueous solution (pH 7; 1 g/L) was diluted by an aqueous solution (pH 7) to 161 absorbance at the corresponding maximum absorbance wavelength approximately 1 absorbance unit (AU). 162 163 Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrum of the WSYP solution was recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrometer. Each WSYPs (8-11) exhibited their own character absorbance in an aqueous solution (pH=7), such as compound 8 164 was corresponded to the maximum absorbance wavelength 500 nm; 9 to 470 nm; 10 to 470 nm; 11 to 455 nm. The 165 influence of pH on color of WSYP aqueous solutions was examined as following: 2 ml WSYP aqueous solution 166 (pH 7; 1 g/L) was diluted with 8 ml of Teorell Stenhagen buffer solution (pH=2-12, 0.2 M boric acid, 0.05 M citric 167 acid, and 0.1 M tri-sodium orthophosphate; adjustment of pH with 1 M hydrochloric acid or 1 M sodium hydroxide 168 solution). The change of absorbance at the corresponding maximum absorbance wavelength was regarded as the 169 170 influence of pH on color of WSYP in aqueous solutions. In order to examine the influence of pH on the stability of WSYPs, those samples were further incubated at 30 °C. For a certain period of time, 3 ml of sample was fetched 171 and the absorbance at the maximum absorbance wavelength was recorded. The decrease of absorbance was 172 173 regarded as the stability of WSYP in the buffer solutions.

#### 174 2.6. Analysis methods

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) analysis was performed on a Water 175 ACQUITY UPLC system. An Acquity BEH C18 (100 mm×2.1 mm i.d., 1.7µm, Waters, Milford, USA) analytical 176 column was used. The column was maintained at 50 °C and eluted with water (A)/acetonitrile (B) solvent system. 177 The gradient eluting program was started from 10 % B, and changed to 50 % B within 5 min, then changed to 85 % 178 B within 2 min, and changed to 100 % B within 2 min, then maintained 100 % B within 2 min, and changed to 10 % 179 B within 0.5 min, and at last by equilibration at 10 % B for 2.5 min at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. MS was performed 180 181 in positive ion model otherwise specified. High resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) analysis was carried out via Masslynx 4.1 software (Waters MS Technologies, Manchester, UK). 182

<sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained on a Avance III 400 MHz
 spectrometer (Bruker, Germany) with Tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. All chemical shifts were
 given in ppm and coupling constants were given in Hz.

#### 186 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 187 3.1. Structural elucidation of WSYPs

Fig.1B shows the famous amination reaction of 2 to 5. MS analysis of m/z 383.1863 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> is consistent 188 189 with the formula  $C_{23}H_{27}O_5$  of 2 while m/z 380.1853 [M-H] with formula  $C_{23}H_{26}NO_4$  of 5 (ESI S3 & S5). For structure elucidation and signal assignment, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra of 2, 4, 5, 7 and 11 were recorded. The complete 190 assignments for H and C signals were further given in Tables 1 and table 2, respectively. There is no significant 191 difference between 2 and 5, where the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of N-H in 5 is undetectable owing to the hydrogen with high 192 193 activity (table 1). The compound 5 was further reduced to produce 7 in an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (Fig.1B). UPLC-MS/MS measurement reveals the difference between compound 5 and 7 is 2H (molecular mass 194 2.0163) (ESI S5 vs S7), which hints the possible reduction of 5 to 7. Both the appearance of peak at 4.83 ppm ( ${}^{1}$ H 195 spectrum of 7 in table 1) and the disappearance of peak at 195.30 ppm (<sup>13</sup>C spectrum of 5 in table 2) reveal the 196 197 replacement of the carbonyl at C-3 in compound 5 by a hydroxyl moiety in compound 7. Similar structure with a 198 hydroxyl moiety at C-3, i.e., reduction of OMPs as well as WSRPs by sodium borohydride, is also reported [9, 28]. 199 According to Fig.2, various Monascus pigments are sulfonated into the corresponding WSYPs. As shown in

Fig.3A, UPLC-MS/MS measurement reveals the difference between compound 7 and WSYP 11 is  $H_2SO_3$ (molecular mass 81.9725) (ESI S7 vs S11). Furthermore, the corresponding fragments of 7 (m/z 366.2048,

 $C_{23}H_{28}NO_3^+$ , loss of a water; m/z 338.2104,  $C_{22}H_{28}NO_2^+$ , further loss of a carbonyl group ) are also found in the 202 fragments of 11 (m/z 448.1789,  $C_{23}H_{30}NO_6S^+$ , loss of a water, m/z 420.1835,  $C_{22}H_{30}NO_5S^+$ , further loss of a 203 carbonyl group). This result further confirms that the difference between compound 7 and WSYP 11 is H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>. The 204 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra are applied to identify the position of  $H_2SO_3$  in WSYP **11** (Table 1 & 2). The spectrograms of **7** 205 and 11 are significant differences at C-10 and C-11 position. Signals of the vinyl protons (6.38 ppm at position 206 C-10 and 6.79 ppm at C-11) in the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of 7 disappear while proton signals (1.96 and 2.11 ppm at position 207 C-10 and 3.72 ppm at C-11) in the <sup>1</sup>H spectrum of **11** appear. This information indicates sulfonation reaction occurs 208 209 at the double bond between C-10 and C-11 of compound 7. Proton vicinal coupling constant analysis further confirms that HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> connects to the position C-11 of WSYP **11**. On the other hand, peak of 136.36 ppm at the 210 position C-10 and that of 132.53 ppm at C-11 in <sup>13</sup>C spectrum of 7 are also replaced by peaks of 56.49 ppm and 211 60.70 ppm in <sup>13</sup>C spectrum of **11**, respectively. The chemical structure of novel WSYP **11**, i.e., addition of HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at 212 C-11 of Monascus pigments by sulfonation reaction, is reported for the first time. Although a chemical with the 213 same molecular weight as compound 11 is also reported, other chemical structure is deduced due to the absence of 214 215 NMR datum [24]. UPLC-MS analysis indicates that the fragment of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> group is also added into the corresponding *Monascus* pigments for formation of WSYP 8-10 (Fig.3B). On the contrary, no sulfonation reaction 216 217 occurs to ankaflavin (4).

218 Location of Fig.3

#### 219 3.2. Mechanism for sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments

Dithionite anion  $S_2O_4^{-2}$  can be decomposed into bisulfate (HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and thiosulfate (S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>-2</sup>) in an aqueous 220 221 solution [29]. The conjugated double bonds of *Monascus* pigments (2, 5, 6 and 7) are adjacent to a carbonyl group (Red color in Fig.2). Those *Monascus* pigments may be tautomerized to the corresponding isomer I. The isomer I 222 involves conjugated double bonds adjacent to a hydroxyl group (Green color in Fig.2). Bucherer reaction, i.e., 223 224 addition of a nucleophilic bisulfate anion at *meta*-C in  $\alpha$ -naphthol to form the corresponding sulfonated product, 225 shows that conjugated double bonds adjacent to a hydroxyl group in  $\alpha$ -naphthol is the key to sulfonation reaction 226 (ESI S12) [30]. Following the same mechanism of Bucherer reaction, the reaction mechanism for sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments is proposed (Fig.2). The isomer I gives II via an electrophilic addition of a proton at C-12. II 227 tautomerizes to form the resonance-stabilized proton adduct III. A nucleophilic bisulfate anion adds to the proton 228 adduct III at C-11 to obtain IV and then tautomerizes to the corresponding lower-energy form (8-11). This 229 230 mechanism indicates conjugated double bonds adjacent to a carbonyl group is necessary for sulfonation of 231 Monascus pigments. This principle is consistent with the facts that sulfonation reaction occurs for monascorubrin (2), WSRPs 5 & 6, as well as reduced product 7 while not for ankaflavin (4).

#### 233 3.3. Color and pH stability of WSYPs

234 Sulfonation reaction introduces H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> into the double bond between C-10 and C-11 of Monascus pigments 235 (Fig.2). The reduction of  $\pi$ -conjugated system in WSYPs leads to blue shift in ultraviolet-visible absorbance spectrum. The visible spectrum of every WSYPs as well as the picture of the corresponding pigment aqueous 236 solution was presented (Fig.4A). Each WSYP has the corresponding maximum absorbance wavelength as well as 237 extinction coefficient (8, UV (H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 500 (3.9); 9, UV (H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 470 (3.6); 10, UV (H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$ 238 239 (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 470 (3.7); and 11, UV (H<sub>2</sub>O)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 470 (3.6)). Most of WSYPs in aqueous solution exhibit orange-yellow while WSYP 11 exhibits bright yellow in an aqueous solution (pH=7). Compounds 9 and 11, as well 240 as their reactants 5 and 7, show very different colors and UV spectra, which may be attributed to the different 241 conjugation lengths in the corresponding  $\pi$ -conjugated system. The influence of pH on color of WSYPs was 242 examined by recording the absorbance at the corresponding maximum absorbance wavelength in different pH 243 buffer solutions (Fig.4B). WSYP 10 and 11 maintained the original color within a wide pH range. The color of 244 WSYP 8 aqueous solution was relatively stable at pH 4-11 while got yellow color at pH below 3. The strong 245 influence of pH on color of WSYP 9 aqueous solution was exhibited as a marked change of the absorbance in the 246 247 buffer solutions. The influence of pH on stability of these WSYPs was further examined (Fig.4C). After incubation for 1 day, most of WSYPs (except for 9) were stable within a wide pH range. This trend about the influence of pH 248 on WSYP stability was further confirmed by the prolonged incubation time for 2 days (ESI S13). The results 249 indicate that the color as well as the stability of WSYPs is strongly dependent on their chemical structure, such as X 250 251 or Y group as shown in Fig.2. WSYPs (8-11) also exhibit good thermal stability in an aqueous solutions because the WSYPs are obtained by reflux reaction at 70 °C during the preparative process. 252

253 Location of Fig.4

## **4.** Conclusions

*Monascus* pigments with conjugated double bonds adjacent to a carbonyl group can be sulfonated to produce novel WSYPs by addition of  $H_2SO_3$  at the double bond between C-10 and C-11 of *Monascus* pigments. The introduction of  $H_2SO_3$  into *Monascus* pigments makes the novel WSYPs exhibit yellow color, high water solubility, as well as relatively high stability in aqueous solution within a wide pH range. All those characters should contribute WSYPs as a potential novel food colorant. Structure diversity of WSYPs and the corresponding toxicity

- test are the future project in our lab.
- 261 Acknowledgment
- 262 This work was financially supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (No. 16ZR1416700).
- 263 References
- Ma J, Li Y, Ye Q, Li J, Hua Y, Ju D, Zhang D, Cooper R, Chang M. Constituents of red yeast rice, a traditional
   Chinese food and medicine. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2000; 48: 5220-5225.
- 266 2. Chen W, He Y, Zhou Y, Shao Y, Feng Y, Li M, Chen F. Edible filamentous fungi from the species *Monascus*:
- 267 early traditional fermentations, modern molecular biology, and future genomics. Comprehension Review
  268 of *Food* Science and *Food* Safety 2015; 14: 555-567.
- Dufossé L. Red colourants from filamentous fungi: are they ready for the food industry? Journal of Food
   Composition and Analysis 2018; 69: 156-161.
- Balakrishnan B, Karki S, Chiu SH, Kim HJ, Suh JW, Nam B, Yoon YM, Chen CC, Kwon HJ. Genetic
   localization and *in vivo* characterization of a *Monascus* azaphilone pigment biosynthetic gene cluster. Applied
   Microbiology and Biotechnology 2013; 97: 6337-6345.
- 5. Chen W, Chen R, Liu Q, He Y, He K, Ding X, Kang L, Guo X, Xie N, Zhou Y, Lu Y, Cox RJ, Molnár I, Li M,
- Shao Y, Chen F. Orange, red, yellow: biosynthesis of azaphilone pigments in *Monascus* fungi. Chemical
  Science 2017; 8: 4917-4925.
- 277 6. Liu L, Zhao J, Huang Y, Xin Q, Wang Z. Diversifying of chemical structure of native *Monascus* pigments.
  278 Frontier in Microbiology 2018; 9: 3143. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb. 2018. 03143.
- Vendruscolo F, Schmidell W, de Oliveira D, Ninow JL. Kinetic of orange pigment production from *Monascus ruber* on submerged fermentation. Bioprocess and Biosystem Engineering 2017; 40:115-121.
- 8. Martinková L, Zlová PJ, Ceselý D. Biological activity of polyketide pigments produced by the fungus
   *Monascus*. Journal of Applied Microbiology1995; 79 (6): 609-616.
- Martin EJS, Kurek PR, Schumacher EF, Rohrbach RP. Reduced *Monascus* pigment derivatives as yellow food
   colorants. U.S. Patent 562 880; 1990.
- 10. El-Baz AF, El-Batal AI, Abomosalam FM, Tayel AA, Shetaia YM, Yang S-T. Extracellular biosynthesis of
   anti-*Candida* silver nanoparticles using *Monascus purpureus*. Journal of Basic Microbiology 2016;
   56:531-540.
- 11. Koli SH, Mohite BV, Borase HP, Patil SV. *Monascus* pigments mediated rapid green synthesis and
   characterization of gold nanoparticles with possible mechanism. Journal of Cluster Science 2017; 28:

290 2719-2732.

- 12. Wong H-C, Koehler PE. Production of red water-soluble *Monascus* pigments. Journal of Food Science 1983; 48:
  1200-1203.
- 293 13. Lin TF, Yakushijin K, Buchi GH, Demain AL. Formation of water-soluble *Monascus* red pigments by biological
  294 and semi-synthetic processes. Journal of Industrial Microbiology 1992; 9: 173-179.
- 14. Jung H, Kim C, Kim K, Shin C. Color characteristics of *Monascus* pigments derived by fermentation with
   various amino acids. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2003; 51: 1302-1306.
- 297 15. Wei W-G, Yao Z-J. Synthesis studies toward chloroazaphilone and vinylogous γ-pyridones: two common
   298 natural product core structures. Journal of Organic Chemistry 2005; 70: 4585-4590.
- 299 16. Choe D, Lee J, Woo S, Shin CS. Evaluation of the amine derivatives of *Monascus* pigment with anti-obesity
  300 activities. Food Chemistry 2012; 134:315-323.
- Xiong X, Zhang X, Wu Z, Wang Z. Coupled aminophilic reaction and directed metabolic channeling to red
   *Monascus* pigments by extractive fermentation in nonionic surfactant micelle aqueous solution. Process
   Biochemistry 2015; 50: 180-187.
- 304 18. Jia L, Tu X, He K, Wang C, Yin S, Zhou Y, Chen W. Monascorubrin and rubropunctatin: preparation and
  305 reaction characteristics with amines. Dyes and Pigments 2019; doi:
  306 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2019.107629
- Hajjaj H, Klaébé A, Loret MO, Tzédakis T, Goma G, Blanc PJ. Production and identification of
   N-glucosylrubropunctamine and N-glucosylmonascorubramine from *Monascus ruber* and occurrence of
   electron donor-acceptor complexes in these red pigments. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 1997;
   63(7):2671-2678.
- 311 20. Feng Y, Shao Y, Chen F. *Monascus* pigments. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 2012; 96: 1421-1440.
- 21. Dufossé L, Galaup P, Yaron A, Arad SM, Blanc P, Murthy KNC, Ravishankar GA. Microorganisms and
  microalgae as sources of pigments for food use: a scientific oddity or an industrial reality? Trends in Food
  Science and Technology 2005; 16: 389 -406.
- 315 22. Fabre CE, Santerre AL, Loret MO, Barerian R, Pareilleux A, Goma G, Blanc PJ. Production and food
  316 applications of the red pigments of *Monascus ruber*. Journal of Food Science 1993; 58(5):1099-1103.
- 23. Zheng YQ, Xin YW, Guo YH. Cytotoxicity of *Monascus* pigments and their derivatives to human cancer cells.
  Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2010; 58: 9523-9528.
- 319 24. Yang H, Li J, Wang Y, Gan C. Identification of water-soluble *Monascus* yellow pigments using

- HPLC-PAD-LSD, high-resolution ESI-MS, and MS-MS. Food Chemistry 2018; 245: 536 -541.
- 25. Zheng YQ, Xin YW, Guo YH. Study on the fingerprint profile of *Monascus* products with HPLC-FD, PAD and
   MS. Food Chemistry 2009; 113: 705-711.
- 323 26. Sweeny JG, Estrada-Valdes MC, Iacobucci GA, Sato H, Sakamura S. Protoprotection of the red pigments of
   324 *Monascus anka* in aqueous media by 1, 4, 6-trihydroxynaphthalene. Journal of Agriculture and Food
   325 Chemistry1981; 29(6): 1189-1193.
- 27. Akihisa T, Tokuda H, Yasukawa K, Ukiya M, Kiyota A, Sakamoto N, Suzuki T, Tanabe N, Nishino H.
  Azaphilones, furanoisophthalides, and amino acids from the extracts of *Monascus pilosus*-fermented rice
  (red-mold rice) and their chemopreventive effects. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2005; 53:
  562-565.
- 28. Hsu Y-W, Hsu L-C, Liang Y-H, Kuo Y-H, Pan T-M. New bioactive orange pigments with yellow fluorescence
   from *Monascus*-fermented *Dioscorea*. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 2011; 59: 4512-4518.
- Werbel LM, Capps DB, Elslager EF, Paearlman W, Short FW, Weinstein EA, Worth DF. N-mono- and N,
   N-dialkyl-N'-1-naphthylalkylenediamines. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 1963; 6:637-646.
- 334 30. Seeboth H. The Bucherer reaction and the preparative use of its intermediate products. Angewandte Chemie
  335 International Edition1967; 6 (4): 307-317.

358	<b>Table 1.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR Assignments of 2, 4, 5, 7 and 11 ( $\delta$ , ppm; <i>J</i> , Hz) <sup>a</sup>
359	

Position	2	4	5	7	11
	(chloroform-d)	(chloroform-d)	(chloroform-d)	(methanol- $d_4$ )	$(DMSO-d_6)$
1	7.86 (s)	5.01 (d, 12.6)	9.27 (s)	7.79 (s)	8.25 (s)
		4.68 (d, 12.6)			
3				4.83 (s)	4.55 (s)
5		2.43 (dd, 17.6, 11.6)			
6	6.88 (s)	3.20 (dt, 4.2, 13.2)	6.77 (s)	7.00 (s)	6.79 (s)
		2.97 (dt, 7.4, 18.1)			
8	6.14 (s)	5.25 (s)	6.76 (s)	6.65 (s)	6.43 (s)
10	6.04 (d, 14.3)	5.88 (dd, 1.6, 15.4)	6.36 (d, 15.9)	6.38 (d, 15.9)	2.11 (dd, 1.2,12.2)
					1.96 (dd, 7.9,15.8)
11	6.57 (m)	6.49 (m)	7.04 (m)	6.79 (m)	3.72 (m)
12	1.94 (d, 7.0, 1.7)	1.84 (dd, 7.0, 1.4)	2.04 (d, 6.0)	1.99 (dd, 6.8, 1.6)	1.08 (d, 13.5)
13	1.70 (s)	1.42 (s)	1.80 (s)	1.21 (s)	1.45(s)
15		3.69 (d, 13.3)			
17	2.92 (m)	2.62 (m)	2.87 (m)	2.80 (m)	2. 58 (m)
18	1.59 (m)	1.58 (m)	1.65 (m)	1.60 (m)	
23	0.86 (t, 7.1)	0.84 (t, 6.8)	0.85 (t, 6.8)	0.90 (t, 6.9)	0.81 (t, 6.8)
Ν			*	*	5.29 (s)

360 <sup>a</sup> s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet.

361 \*: Undetectable peak of the active hydrogen in  ${}^{1}$ HNMR spectrum due to its volatility.

urng	1 III 2	nr	$^{\uparrow}$
una	л т		U

- ----

# **Table 2** <sup>13</sup>C NMR Assignments of 2, 4, 5, 7 and 11 ( $\delta$ , ppm)

Position	2	4	5	7	11
	(chloroform-d)	(chloroform-d)	(chloroform-d)	(methanol- $d_4$ )	$(DMSO-d_6)$
1	153.00	63.74	138.37	123.00	124.50
2	109.77	135.37	100.95	128.70	130.11
3	191.00	189.86	195.30	71.89	61.66
4	85.97	103.29	86.77	113.78	72.73
5	169.41	28.91	153.64	167.56	174.82
б	113.40	42.97	98.53	97.09	118.51
7	141.82	150.92	140.83	146.82	139.61
8	104.35	83.19	86.77	82.52	84.84
9	156.61	160.45	147.55	153.61	171.45
10	116.48	113.87	123.28	136.36	56.49
11	136.59	124.36	117.53	132.53	60.70
12	18.96	17.70	19.25	17.72	19.03
13	28.52	18.44	29.19	17.54	15.59
14	171.83	169.62	174.24	174.50	174.92
15	122.55	54.82	116.20	98.49	96.42
16	197.60	202.50	196.32	197.45	209.08
17	41.85	42.97	40.46	39.24	53.57
18	23.91	23.05	24.89	25.30	29.16
19	29.38	29.38	29.66	29.29	31.75
20	29.38	28.98	29.55	28.92	29.47
21	31.92	31.59	31.80	31.61	35.57

	Journal Pre-proof						
		22	22.83	22.54	22.64	22.22	22.56
		23	14.30	14.01	14.12	13.02	14.43
375							
376							
377							
378							
379							
380							
381	List of f	igures					
202	<b>F'</b> . 1 <b>D</b> .		- 6 1 4	•••••			
382	Fig.1 Pr	eparation	oi Monascus	pigments			
383	A: Nativ	e Monascu	s pigments. C	MPs including tw	o entities rubropun	ctatin (1) and mor	hascorubrin (2) while YMPs
384	including	g monascin	a ( <b>3</b> ) and ank	aflavin ( <b>4</b> ); <b>B</b> : Di	versifying of mona	scorubrin by che	mical modification. One of
385	OMPs, monascorubrin (2), is chemical modification into WSRPs (5, 6) by amination reaction, where						
386	GLU=glutamic acid. The WSRP 5 is further reduced into 7 in 1 M NaOH aqueous solution						
387	Fig.2 Proposed mechanism for sulfonation of <i>Monascus</i> pigments						
200	<i>Monascus</i> pigments, including 2 and 5-7, having the characteristic structure of conjugated double bonds adjacent to						
389	carbonyl group (Red color), can be tautomerized to give the corresponding isomer I. The isomer I, having the						
390	characteristic structure of conjugated double bonds adjacent to a hydroxyl group (Green color), can be sulfated						
391	followin	g the same	mechanism a	s that of Bucherer	reaction (ESI S12).		
392	Fig. 3 U	PLC-MS/N	MS analysis o	of sulfonated proc	luct WSYPs		
393	A: Fragr	nents invol	ving in comp	ound <b>7</b> and <b>11</b> (ES	SI S7 vs S11); <b>B</b> : T	he fragment H <sub>2</sub> SO	D <sub>3</sub> involving in WSYPs <b>8-10</b>
394	(ESI S3v	/s S8, S5 vs	s S9 and S6 v	rs S10), where HR	MS analysis is perf	formed in negative	e ion model for compound 5
395	while in	positive ior	n model for th	ne others.			
396	Fig. 4 C	olorant ch	aracters of V	VSYPs			
397	A: Visib	le spectra o	of WSYP in a	queous solutions	(pH=7) (inserted th	e pictures of WSY	YP in the aqueous solution).
398	B: Influe	ence of pH	on the absorb	ance of WSYPs (1	measured at the cor	responding maxin	num absorbance wavelength
399	as shown	n in Fig.4A	). C: Stability	y of WSYPs in an	aqueous solution a	t different pH val	ues (incubation in 30 °C for
400	1 day).						













	Journal Pre-proof						
485	В		C	1			

## Highlights

- Sulfonation of *Monascus* pigments with a conjugated double bonds adjacent to a carbonyl group •
- Production of WSYPs) by addition of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> at the double bond of Monascus pigments •
- WSYPs exhibiting yellow color, high solubility in water as well as pH stability
- Dependence of the colorant character of WSYPs on the structure of Monascus pigments

#### Declare

The authors declare no competing financial interest

oundercoro