# © 1983 by: Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft, Basel, Société suisse de chimie, Bâle - Società svizzera di chimica, Basilea ISSN 0018-019X

Nachdruck verboten - Tous droits réservés - Printed by Birkhäuser AG, Basel, Switzerland Erscheint 9mal jährlich - Paraît 9 fois par an

# 236. Silicon-Directed *Nazarov* Reactions II. Preparation and Cyclization of $\beta$ -Silyl-substituted Divinyl Ketones

by Todd K. Jones and Scott E. Denmark\*

Roger Adams Laboratory, School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

(27.VI.83)

## Summary

Two general methods for the preparation of  $\beta$ -silyl-substituted divinyl ketones have been developed starting from either  $\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes or simple ketones. Anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> induces the cyclization to cyclopentenones under mild conditions and in good yields with predictable and complete control over the position of the double bond in the five-membered ring. The observed effects of substituents on rate can be explained by a rate-determining cationic electrocyclization. Silyl substitution has been shown to retard the reaction.

Introduction. – The incorporation into organic synthesis of reagents and methods based on organosilicon chemistry has been an area of enormous activity over the last 10–15 years [1]. The ability of silicon (suitably placed) to stabilize organic reactive intermediates has been put to good use to develop solutions to difficult or low yielding transformations. Of the two most important properties (stabilization of a-anions and  $\beta$ -cations) it is the latter, commonly termed the  $\beta$ -effect, [2] which has dominated the application of organosilicon-based methodology in synthesis [3]. As part of a program on the use of Si to control and direct electrocyclic reactions of cations [4] we selected, as point of entry, the well-known *Nazarov* cyclization [5] [40] (*Scheme 1*).

Scheme 1

Treatment of divinyl ketones, 1, (or their equivalent) with protic [6a-c] or Lewis acids [6d,c] results in the formation of cyclopentenones 2 in moderate yields. While it is clear that the bulk of the charge in cations i resides on the O-atom, nonetheless they behave like oxypentadienylic cations and undergo conrotatory cyclization [7] [8] to cyclopentenylic cations ii. It is here where problems with the Nazarov reaction arise; hydride and alkyl migrations have been observed [6c, e] [9]

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
R^{1} & MX \\
R^{2} & SiMe_{3}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
R^{1} & OM \\
R^{2} & SiMe_{3}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
R^{1} & OM \\
R^{2} & OM \\
R^{2} & OM
\end{array}$$

and the loss of a proton is unselective resulting in mixtures of olefin isomers. We reasoned that strategic placement of a silvl group as shown in Scheme 2 could provide a solution to these problems<sup>1</sup>). Thus, cyclization of  $\beta$ -silyl-substituted divinyl ketones, 3, should produce cyclopentenylic cation iii wherein it was hoped that the rapid loss of the silicon electrofuge followed by protonation of the resulting enolate iv would produce a different cyclopentenone 4. The advantages of such a process are 1) suppression of undesirable side reactions, 2) production of the thermodynamically less stable double-bond isomer, 3) introduction of functionality based on the double bond, and 4) potential iterative nature of the annelation. Despite the considerable effort in the development of penta-annelation methods [11] there still exists a need for reactions which not only create a five-membered ring, but also exercise regiocontrol in the introduction of functionality and stereocontrol in the formation of new chiral centers associated with the annelation process<sup>2</sup>). We recently communicated [13] the successful realization of the reaction in Scheme 2 and elaborate in this paper the preparation and cyclization of ketones 3. In the accompanying paper<sup>3</sup>), we describe experiments which defined the stereochemical course of the cyclization and which provided insights into the nature of intermediates iii and iv.

Preparation of  $\beta$ -Silyl-substituted Divinyl Ketones. – 1. From Enals. – In our earlier communication the only method described for the preparation of  $\beta$ -silyl-substituted divinyl ketones 3 was that shown in *Scheme 3*. This method is very reliable and gives good yields (39–85%). The diallyl alcohols 6 are stable to chromatography (silica gel) and distillation, and should be purified for best results in the oxidation. *Table 1* summarizes the yields for the ketones 3 that we have prepared by this method. The stereochemistry at the silyl-substituted double bond was in each case > 90% (E) by GC and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis. This reflects the ratio of isomers

<sup>1)</sup> A similar rationale has led to the development of a S-substituted penta-annelation procedure [10].

<sup>2)</sup> Indeed, Heathcock et al. has recently pointed out that of the four conceptual approaches to the hydroazulene skeleton of pseudoguaianolides there are not examples which employ a pentaannelation method [12].

<sup>3)</sup> T. K. Jones & S. E. Denmark, following paper in this issue.

Table 1. Divinyl Ketones from Enals

in the readily available reagent (E)-(2-bromoethenyl)trimethylsilane<sup>4</sup>) [14]. The only limitation in this approach is the availability of enals. Preliminary experiments using  $\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated acids and acid chlorides with the corresponding Li- [15] and Cd-reagents [16] suggested little hope for this route<sup>5</sup>). Thus, we explored an alternative bond-connection scheme.

<sup>4)</sup> GC analysis (Column A (see Exper. Part), 35°, isothermal) indicated as much as 13% of the (Z)-isomer was present.

<sup>5)</sup> Based on Calas' observation that ketones, 3, can be formed from the appropriate acid chlorides and 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethene, we explored unsuccessfully, the possibility of a one-pot annelation procedure [17]. J. P. Germanas, unpublished results from these laboratories.

2. From Ketones. - The second method involved formation of the other olefin-carbonyl bond by way of a Shapiro reaction [18]. We employed the modification of Bond et al. [19] in the use of (2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazones. Two advantages of this modification are noteworthy: 1) stoichiometric amounts of Li-base and electrophile can be used and 2) trisylhydrazone dianions decompose at lower temperatures  $(-20^{\circ} \rightarrow 0^{\circ}\text{C})$  than do their Ts-counterparts. Thus, we successfully employed 2.02 equiv. of s-BuLi (n-BuLi doesn't work) in hexane/TMEDA 1:1 to generate the vinyl anion, followed by addition of (E)-3-(trimethyl-

Table 2. Cyclic Divinyl Ketones from Ketonesa)

a) Yields for conversion ketones 7 to hydrazones 8 to alcohols 6 to dienones 3.

b) An inseparable 2:1 mixture of isomers.

#### Scheme 4

silyl)propenal [20] to produce the familiar alcohols 6 which were smoothly oxidized with NiO<sub>2</sub> as before (Scheme 4). Tables 2 and 3 summarize the yields for each step in the preparation of 12 divinyl ketones by this route. The procedure works well for both cyclic (Table 2) and acyclic (Table 3) ketones (42-65% overall) with the exceptions of cyclopentanone (unstable vinyl anion), 2-decalone (unstable hydrazone), and acetophenone (alcohol 6q polymerized upon oxidation). The Shapiro reaction offers the additional advantage of regiocontrol in the formation of the vinyl anion with unsymmetrically substituted ketones 71-n<sup>6</sup>). A third, as yet unexplored approach involves the Pd-catalyzed carbonylation of iodoalkenes [22].

Cyclization of Ketones 3. - In addition to the *Lewis* acids examined in our earlier report (AlCl<sub>3</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub> · OEt<sub>2</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub>, TiCl<sub>4</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, Me<sub>3</sub>SiOTF, Me<sub>3</sub>SiI) we have

Table 3. Acyclic Divinyl Ketones from Ketones

<sup>6)</sup> The minimal regiocontrol in the formation of the vinyl lithium species from trans-2-decalone is surprising [21].

0.1

Table 4. Effects of Varying Amounts of FeCl <sub>3</sub> on the Cyclization of 3b <sup>a</sup> )						
Equiv. FeCl <sub>3</sub> (based on 3b)	Temp. [°C]	Time [h]	Comments			
2.0	0°	3	complete			
1.05	$0^{\circ}$	4	84% yield			
0.4	0°	1.5	no reaction			
0.4	20°	1.5	78% yield			
0.5	$0^{\circ}$	1.0	no reaction			
0.5	20°	1.0	84% yield			
0.1	0°	0.5	no reaction			

days

incomplete

20°

tested CuCl, AgBF<sub>4</sub> and Ce(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, which were essentially ineffective in producing a reaction. The reagent of choice is by far anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub> (Aldrich or Alfa 98%) in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or dichloroethane<sup>7</sup>). In all experiments 1.05 equiv. of FeCl<sub>3</sub> were used. We have briefly examined the effects of varying amounts of FeCl<sub>3</sub> on the reaction. The results, summarized in Table 4, show that as little as 0.4 equiv.

Table 5. Cyclization of Cyclic Divinyl Ketones 3a)

Substrate	Solvent	Time [	h] Temp. [°C]	Product	cis/trans	Yield [%]
3a SiMe <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> CI) <sub>2</sub>	2.5	20	4a	100/0	52
3b SiMe3	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	4	0	4b	100/0	84
3c SiMe3	CH <sub>2</sub> CI <sub>2</sub>	I	0	4c	85/15	74
3j SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> CI <sub>2</sub>	2	0 (	J 4j	46/54	78
3k SiMe <sub>3</sub>				O R		
	(CH <sub>2</sub> CI) <sub>2</sub> toluene	12 48	20 20	4k' R=SiA 4k R=H	∕le₃	70 60

All reactions were 0.08 m in ketone.

All reactions were done in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0.08 m in 3b.

CHCl<sub>3</sub> and toluene can be used with some success. CCl<sub>4</sub>, trichloroethylene, chlorobenzene and nitromethane are unsatisfactory.

of reagent were sufficient to bring about complete reaction albeit at reduced rate while 0.1 equiv. were not. To simplify discussion of the results we have divided the experiments into three structural categories, 1) simple cyclic systems, 2) acyclic systems and 3) cyclic systems with chiral centers. The third category is discussed in the accompanying paper. Simple cyclic systems (Table 5) reacted under mild conditions to give good yields of 4,5-annelated-2-cyclopentenones uncontaminated by double-bond isomers (GC analysis). In general the cis-ring-fusion isomer is formed to an extent greater than that found at equilibrium<sup>8</sup>). Ketone 3k provides

Table 6. Cyclization of Acyclic Divinyl Ketones 3a)

Substrate	Solvent		[h] Temp. [°C		cis/trans	Yield [%]
3e H <sub>3</sub> C SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> CI <sub>2</sub>	12	20	H <sub>3</sub> C 4e	-	54
3f H <sub>3</sub> C SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	6	-20	Me <sub>3</sub> SI CH <sub>3</sub>	<u>}</u>	42
3n H <sub>3</sub> C SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> 0.02M 0.004M	2 8 48	o o o	CH <sub>3</sub> 0	- - -	- <sup>6</sup> ) 33 51
3p (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> C	CH₂CI₂	I	0	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> C 4p	-	97
3g H <sub>3</sub> C SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> CI <sub>2</sub>	0.5	-IO	H <sub>3</sub> C	59/41	95
30 H <sub>3</sub> C SiMe <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	ı	0	H <sub>3</sub> C 40	43/57	70
3h SiMe <sub>3</sub>	toluene	12	20	Ph 4h	-	27

a) All reactions were 0.08 m in ketone unless otherwise noted.

b) Only dimers were detected.

<sup>8)</sup> This was vigorously proven only for 4j where ring fusion epimerization was possible directly on the enone (100% trans at equilibrium). Enones 4b and 4c had to be hydrogenated prior to equilibration to obviate rapid shifting of the double bond. Equilibrium ratios for saturated ketones: H<sub>2</sub>-4b (cis/trans 75:25), H<sub>2</sub>-4c (cis/trans 24:76).

an example of the competitive loss of a proton from intermediate iii (Scheme 2), obviously tempered by the rearomatization energy of the naphthalene nucleus.

The ability to produce 4- and 5-substituted 2-cyclopentenones is another advantage of this method. This is a difficult process which succeeds only in highly substituted cases under classical conditions or not at all with more modern variants. Table 6 summarizes our results to date. The yields are good, and in some cases, excellent. Reaction rate varies markedly: a-  $(3\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p})$  or  $a, \beta$ -  $(3\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{o})$  substituted systems reacting much faster than  $\beta$ -  $(3\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h})$  substituted only. In a-substituted ketones  $3\mathbf{f}$  and  $3\mathbf{n}$  a competing Michael addition consumed starting materials faster than cyclization to produce dimers  $(e.g. 4\mathbf{f})$ . In the case of  $3\mathbf{n}$ , however, we could suppress dimerization by dilution and obtain  $4\mathbf{n}$  in 51% yield. Further dilution led to impractically slow reactions. Dimerization was also inhibited by steric hindrance in the 5-position as demonstrated by the remarkably successful production of 5-tert-butyl-2-cyclopentenone  $4\mathbf{p}$  in 97% yield. 4, 5-Disubstituted systems were produced in very good yield with a surplus of the cis-isomer with respect to equilibrium composition  $^9$ ).

The striking success of FeCl<sub>3</sub> in inducing the cyclization made us wonder whether the silicon was necessary at all. We therefore prepared the Si-free substrate 9 by standard procedures and subjected it to the usual reaction conditions <sup>10</sup>). Within the limits of GC detection, none of the indenone 4b was formed, but instead a 78:22 mixture of tetra- and trisubstituted isomers (10a and 10b) in 78% yield (Scheme 5). Further, it was demonstrated that 4b is indeed stable under the reaction conditions by its recovery in 97% yield.

Scheme 6

<sup>9)</sup> Equilibrium ratios: **4q** (*cis/trans* 10:90), **4o** (*cis/trans* 4:96).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>) J. D. Clark, unpublished observations in these laboratories.

Discussion. - It is interesting to note that the rate of undirected cyclization (0 °C/1.25 h) is greater than the Si-directed reaction (0 °C/4 h) under identical conditions. While this behavior appears contradictory at first glance it is consistent with the mechanism shown in Scheme 6 wherein the conrotatory cyclization of the pentadienylic cation is the rate-determining step and subsequent loss of the electrofuge (R<sub>3</sub>Si<sup>+</sup> or H<sup>+</sup>) is rapid [23]. This hypothesis explains the observed substituent effects in the acyclic systems. In the cyclization, positive charge is transferred from the positions marked with asterisks in the pentadienylic cation v to those similarly marked in the cyclopentenylic cation iii. Substituents in the  $\beta$ -position which stabilize positive charge (R<sup>2</sup>= alkyl, phenyl) will selectively stabilize the educt cation and thus raise the activation energy. Contrariwise, cation-stabilizing substituents in the a-position (R<sup>1</sup>= alkyl) selectively stabilize the product cation (and to whatever extent this effect is present in the transition state) thereby lowering the transition state energy resulting in rapid reactions. The observed rate depression resulting from  $\beta$ -silyl substitution may be due to steric and/or electronic effects. We have observed that increasing the size of ligands on silicon dramatically decreases the reaction rate. Further, if the cyclization is the rate-determining step, then the concentration of the [divinyl ketone FeCl<sub>3</sub>] complex is important. The well-documented destabilization of adjacent cationic charge by silicon [24] (cf. cation v) may act to decrease the equilibrium concentration of reactive complexes 11).

Also illustrated in *Scheme* 6 is a simple, steric-approach-based explanation for the production of *cis*-fused and *cis*-substituted cyclopentenones. Clearly a proton will (kinetically) prefer to approach the cross-conjugated dienolate **iv** from the face containing a H-atom rather than a substituent or ring residue  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The modified *Nazarov* reaction described herein appears to have general utility in the annelation and construction of cyclopentenones. The versatility in preparation of precursors, mildness of conditions and predictability of regiochemical outcome make this a very attractive reaction for use in cyclopentanoid natural product synthesis. Detailed discussion of secondary stereochemical control and the nature of proposed intermediates is found in the accompanying paper.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the *Petroleum Research Fund*, administered by the *American Chemical Society* for support of this research. This work was supported in part by the University of Illinois *NSF* Regional Instrumentation Facility (*NSF* CHE 79-16100). High-resolution and gas chromatographic mass spectra were obtained in the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, University of Illinois, supported in part by a grant from the *National Institutes of General Medical Sciences* (GM 27029). T.K.J. thanks the University of Illinois for a Fellowship.

#### **Experimental Part**

1. General. - Melting points (m.p.) were determined on a *Thomas-Hoover* capillary melting point apparatus and are corrected. Bulb-to-bulb distillations were done on a *Buchi GKR-50* Kugelrohr, boiling points (b.p.) refer to air bath temperatures and are uncorrected. Analytical TLC was performed on *Merck* silica gel plates with *QF-254* indicator. Visualization was accomplished with UV light,

<sup>11)</sup> If the cationic complex v is formed *irreversibly*, this hypothesis would predict an *enhanced* reaction rate for the  $\beta$ -silyl-substituted systems.

phosphomolybdic acid,  $I_2$ ,  $H_2SO_4$  and/or 2,4-DNP solution.  $R_1$ -data is given in the following solvent systems hexane/EtOAc or pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O. Column chromatography was performed by the method of Still [25] (32-36-um silica gel, woelm) or Taber [26] (Merck, TLC alumina). Anal. GC was performed on a Varian 3700 chromatograph fitted with a flame ionization detector. (N2 carrier gas for packed columns (30 ml/min), H<sub>2</sub> for capillary column (1 ml/min)). Columns: A) 11% QF-1 on 60-80 Chromosorb G (6 ft.  $\times \frac{1}{6}$  in.), B) 10 or 23 m OV-101 WCOT, split ratio 30:1. Retention times ( $t_R$ ) and integrals were obtained from a Hewlett Packard 3390 recorder. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a Perkin-Elmer Series 1 chromatograph with a Perkin-Elmer LC-75 detector using a 25×4.5 mm column of silica gel (5 μm). THF and Et<sub>2</sub>O were distilled from sodium benzophenone. CH2Cl2 and dichloroethane were either distilled from CaH2 or passed through basic Alox activity I prior to use. Benzene, toluene, hexane and tetramethylethylene diamine (TMEDA) were distilled from CaH2. MeOH was distilled from Mg(OCH3)2. nBuLi and s-BuLi were titrated by the method of Gilman. Nickel peroxide was prepared and titrated according to Nakata [27]. Anh. 98% FeCl<sub>3</sub> was used as supplied by Aldrich or Alfa. All other chemicals were used as obtained or purified by distillation as needed. All reactions were performed in oven (140°) or flame dried glassware under an atmosphere of dry N2. IR spectra were obtained on either a Perkin-Elmer 237B or a Nicolet 7199C FT IR spectrophotometer in CHCl<sub>3</sub>-solutions unless otherwise stated. Peaks are reported in cm<sup>-1</sup> with the following relative intensities: s (strong, 66-100%), m (medium 33-66%), w (weak, 0-33%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded on either Varian EM-390 (90 MHz), HR-220 (220 MHz) or Nicolet NTC-360 (360 MHz) spectrometers in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with CHCl<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard (δ 7.26) unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are given in ppm  $(\delta)$ ; multiplicatives indicated by s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quadruplet), m (multiplet), br. (broadened). Mass spectra were obtained on a Varian MAT CH-5 spectrometer with ionization voltages of 10 and 70 eV. Data are reported in the form m/z (intensity relative to base = 100). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Varian MAT-731 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the University of Illinois Microanalytical Service Laboratory.

- 2. Starting Materials. 1-Cyclopentenecarbaldehyde [28]. 1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde [29], 1-cycloheptenecarbaldehyde [19], trisylhydrazine [30], (E)-(2-bromoethenyl)trimethylsilane [14], (E)-3-trimethylsilylpropenal [20], and 3-methyl-1-cyclohexenecarbaldehyde [31] were prepared by published procedures.
- 3. Preparation of Diallyl Alcohols 6 from Enals. General Procedure. Mg-powder (1.8 equiv.) and dry THF (1 ml/0.1 g Mg) were placed in a 3-necked flask fitted with a condenser, addition funnel and magnetic stir bar. A solution of (E)-(2-bromoethenyl)trimethylsilane (1.8 equiv.) in dry THF (8 ml/g bromide) was added dropwise to the refluxing suspension over 0.5 h. After complete addition the mixture was refluxed 1 h further. The resulting yellow-green solution was cooled to  $-30^{\circ}$  and a solution of the enal (1.0 equiv.) in dry THF (10 ml/g enal) was delivered dropwise through the addition funnel. After stirring for 10 min the colorless mixture is warmed to 0° and quenched with 4% NH<sub>4</sub>Cl-solution (20 ml/g bromide). The reaction mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×25 ml/g bromide) and the extracts washed individually with H<sub>2</sub>O (30 ml/g bromide) and brine (40 ml/g bromide). The combined Et<sub>2</sub>O-layers were dried ( $K_2$ CO<sub>3</sub>) and evaporated to afford the crude product which was chromatographed on silica gel. Analytical data were obtained from a distilled sample.
- (E)-l-(l-Cyclopentenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-l-ol (6a). Yield 83%, b.p. 70°/0.03 Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3650w (OH), 3050s, 3020m, 2975m, 1600w (C=C), 1400w, 1225s, 1160w, 1040m, 940m.  $^1$ H-NMR (90 MHz): 6.07-5.93 (m, 2 H, H-C(2), H-C(3)); 5.67-5.60 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.77-4.67 (m, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.53-2.23 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(5')); 2.13-1.83 (m, 2 H, 2 H-C(4')); 1.80-1.60 (br. m, 1 H, OH); 0.23 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 196 (2, M<sup>+</sup>), 179 (10), 123 (13), 105 (13), 95 (13), 91 (27), 79 (12), 78 (20), 75 (100), 73 (95), 67 (26), 59 (11).

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (196.40) Calc. C 67.28 H 10.27% Found C 66.82 H 9.90%

(E)-l-(l-Cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-l-ol (**6b**). Yield 91%, b.p. 120°/0.05 Torr,  $R_f$  0.32 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3520m (OH), 3000m, 2940s, 2850m, 1620w, 1220m, 1140w, 1055m, 990m.  $^1$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.00 (dd, J=18.6, 4.4, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.88 (d, J=18.6, 1 H, H-C(3)); 5.69 (br. m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.42 (d, J=4.4, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.02-1.91 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.65-1.54 (br. m, 5 H, 2 H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5') and OH); 0.05 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 210 (3, M<sup>+</sup>), 105 (15), 92 (34), 91 (28), 79 (18), 75 (83), 73 (TMS, 100), 67 (16).

C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (210.43) Calc. C 68.51 H 10.54% Found C 68.17 H 10.50%

(E)-I-(I-Cycloheptenyl)-3-trimethylsityl-2-propen-I-ol (6c). Yield 92%, b.p. 95°/0.05 Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3600m (OH), 2930s, 2880m, 1250m, 975w, 850w.  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 5.98 (dd, J=18.8, 3.4, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.85 (d, J=18.8, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.87-5.82 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.47 (d, J=3.4, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.14-2.00 (m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(7')); 1.72-1.65 (m, 5 H, 2 H-C(4'), OH and 2 H-C(6')); 1.49-1.40 (m, 2 H, 2 H-C(5')); 0.04 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 224 (2, M<sup>+</sup>), 151 (14), 119 (12), 106 (13), 105 (13), 93 (13), 92 (15), 91 (27), 81 (13), 79 (13), 75 (73), 73 (100), 67 (10), 59 (16), 55 (11).

## C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>OSi (224.46) Calc. C 69.58 H 10.78% Found C 69.18 H 10.71%

(E)-1-(3-Methyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-ol (6d). Yield 91%, b.p.  $105^{\circ}/0.02$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.32 (hexane/EtOAc 9:1). IR: 3600w (OH), 3020s, 2940m, 2870w, 1645s, 1532w, 1455w, 1263m, 1250s, 1230s, 1210s, 992m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.02 (dd, J=18.8, 3.4, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.90 (d, J=18.8, 1 H, H-C(3)); 5.56 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.45 (m, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.20 (m, 1 H, H-C(3')); 1.93-1.50 (br. m, 6 H, 2 H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.18-0.97 (m, 1 H, OH); 0.98 (d, J=6, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(3')); 0.07 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 224 (2,  $M^+$ ), 119 (24), 105 (12), 95 (34), 91 (21), 81 (13), 75 (61), 73 (100), 59 (17).

# C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>OSi (224.46) Calc. C 69.58 H 10.78% Found C 69.42 H 10.74%

(1E, 4E)-1-Trimethylsilyl-1, 4-hexadien-3-ol (**6e**). Yield 90%, b.p. 125°/20 Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 10:1). IR (neat): 3550 br. s (OH), 3100s, 3020m, 3000m, 1655w, 1610m, 1500m, 1420s, 1300m, 1190m, 1100m, 1090m, 1050m, 1020m, 975s, 950s, 910s, 850s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz): 6.07-5.95 (m, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 5.86-5.33 (m, 2 H, H-C(4) and H-C(5)); 4.67-4.30 (m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 1.76 (d, J=4.0, 3 H, 3 H-C(6)); 1.67 (s, 1 H, OH); 0.17 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 170 (0.65,  $M^+$ ), 155 (14), 79 (16), 78 (32), 75 (81), 73 (100), 59 (13). This alcohol was very unstable. Satisfactory combustion data could not be obtained, it was oxidized immediately after chromatography.

(E)-4-Methyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-ol (6f). Yield 51%. This alcohol was extremely unstable and could not be distilled or stored. It was oxidized immediately after chromatography:  $^{1}$ H-NMR (90 MHz): 6.08-6.02 (m, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 5.08 (br. s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 4.65-4.57 (br. m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 1.87 (br. s, 1 H, OH); 1.80 (s, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C-C(4)); 0.20 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si).

(1E, 4E)-4-Methyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-hexadien-3-ol (6g). Yield 67%, b.p.  $125^{\circ}/25$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.29 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3600m (OH), 2950m, 2850w, 1600w, 1430w, 1245s, 1175w, 1055m, 980m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz): 6.1-5.96 (m, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 5.86-5.43 (m, 1 H, H-C(5')); 4.59 (br. s, 1 H, H-C(3)); 1.74 (br. s, 1 H, OH); 1.65 (br. s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4) and 3 H-C(6)); 0.17 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 184 (1,  $M^+$ ), 169 (13), 153 (10), 111 (15), 85 (18), 79 (48), 75 (89), 73 (100), 59 (10).

## C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (184.39) Calc. C 65.15 H 10.94% Found C 65.32 H 10.72%

(1E, 4E)-5-Phenyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-ol (6h). Yield 79%, b.p.  $155^{\circ}/0.09$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 5:1). IR: 3650w (OH), 3000w, 2950m, 2880w, 1650m, 1600w, 1500w, 1480w, 1240w, 1100m, 1050m, 970s, 945s, 845s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.45-7.26 (m, 5 H, arom. H); 6.63 (d, J=15.9, 1 H, H-C(5)); 6.24 (dd, J=15.9, 6.5, 1 H, H-C(4)); 6.12 (dd, J=19.5, 4.5, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.04 (d, J=19.5, 1 H, H-C(1)); 4.77 (m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 1.54 (m, 1 H, OH); 0.12 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 232 (3,  $M^{+}$ ), 160 (15), 159 (12), 143 (21), 142 (18), 116 (20), 92 (14), 76 (42), 74 (100), 60 (10).

## C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (232.43) Calc. C 72.36 H 8.67% Found C 72.49 H 8.43%

1-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-2-propen-1-ol [32]. This was prepared by an analogous procedure using vinyl magnesium bromide, and used in the next step without further purification. Yield 94%,  $R_f$  0.34 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). IR: 3425m (OH), 2920s, 2875m, 1470m, 980m, 920s.  $^1H-NMR$  (220 MHz, TMS): 6.05-5.65 (br. m, 1H, H-C(2)); 5.30 (m, 1H, H-C(3)); 5.15 (m, 1H, H-C(3)); 5.05 (m, 1H, 1H); 1.70-1.50 (br. 1.7

**4.** Preparation of Diallyl Alcohols 6 from Ketones. - 4.1. Preparation of (2,4,6-Triisopropylbenzene-sulfonyl)hydrazones. All of the (triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazones used in this work were

prepared according to the method of *Bond et al.* [19]. The trisylhydrazones of the following ketones have not been previously reported with analytical data.

Cyclopentanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl) hydrazone (8a): yield 62%, m.p. 158-163° d.

```
Calc. C 65.89 H 8.85 N 7.68 S 8.80%
Found C 65.82 H 8.84 N 7.73 S 8.69%
```

Cycloheptanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8c): yield 85%, m.p. 145-146° d.

```
Calc. C 67.30 H 9.24 N 7.14 S 8.17%
Found C 67.38 H 9.24 N 7.03 S 8.07%
```

4-tert-Butylcyclohexanone (2,4,6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8i): yield 83%, m.p. 116-117°.

```
Calc. C 69.08 H 9.74 N 6.44 S 7.38%
Found C 69.05 H 9.70 N 6.43 S 7.64%
```

Cyclododecanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8j): yield 85%, m.p. 137-138° d.

```
Calc. C 70.08 H 10.02 N 6.05 S 6.93%
Found C 69.94 H 10.08 N 6.04 S 6.93%
```

1-Tetralone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8k): yield 94%, m.p. 150-152° d.

```
Calc. C 70.38 H 8.03 N 6.57 S 7.52%
Found C 70.50 H 8.11 N 6.69 S 7.57%
```

2-Methylcyclohexanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (81): yield 84%, m.p. 116-117°d.

```
Calc. C 67.30 H 9.24 N 7.14 S 8.17%
Found C 67.15 H 9.34 N 7.13 S 8.30%
```

trans-2-Decalone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8m): yield 35%, m.p. 127-128° d.

```
Calc. C 69.40 H 9.32 N 6.47 S 7.41%
Found C 69.17 H 9.20 N 6.23 S 7.37%
```

2-Butanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8n): yield 80%, m.p. 132-133°.

```
Calc. C 64.73 H 9.15 N 7.95 S 9.10%
Found C 65.01 H 9.22 N 8.07 S 9.23%
```

3-Pentanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (80): yield 59%, m.p. 123-125°.

```
Calc. C 65.53 H 9.35 N 7.64 S 8.75%
Found C 65.75 H 9.44 N 7.74 S 8.68%
```

3, 3-Dimethyl-2-butanone (2, 4, 6-triisopropylbenzenesulfonyl)hydrazone (8p): yield 83%, m.p. 160-161° d.

```
Calc. C 66.27 H 9.53 N 7.36 S 8.42%
Found C 66.15 H 9.70 N 7.50 S 8.28%
```

- 4.2. Shapiro Reaction. General Procedure. To a magnetically stirred suspension of the hydrazone in 1:1 TMEDA/hexane (10 ml/g hydrazone) at  $-78^{\circ}$  was added 2.02 equiv. of s-BuLi. The resulting orange-red solution was stirred at  $-78^{\circ}$  for 2 h, then warmed to 0° and held there for 10 min. The resulting clear, yellow solution was cooled to  $-40^{\circ}$  and then treated dropwise with a solution of (E)-3-(trimethylsilyl)-2-propenal (1.02 equiv.) in hexane (10 ml/g aldehyde). The mixture was immediately warmed to 0°, stirred for 2 min and quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml/g hydrazone). The reaction mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3×20 ml/g hydrazone) and the individual Et<sub>2</sub>O-extracts were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml/g hydrazone) until the washings were neutral to litmus (4-5 X). The Et<sub>2</sub>O-phases were washed with brine (20 ml/g hydrazone), combined, dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and concentrated to a colorless oil which was chromatographed on silica gel. Analytical data were obtained from a distilled sample.
- (E)-1-(4-tert-Butyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-ol (6i). Yield 78%, b.p.  $135^{\circ}/0.04$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.35 (hexane/EtOAc 5:1). IR: 3550w (OH), 2900s, 1625w, 1480w, 1360s, 1310m, 1240s, 1060m,

990s, 915m, 870s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.02 (dd, J = 19.1, 3.6, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.88 (d, J = 19.1, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.72 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.48 (d, J = 3.6, 1 H, H-C(3)); 2.12-0.96 (br. m, 8 H, 2 H-C(3'), H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5'), 2 H-C(6') and OH); 0.87 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C); 0.08 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 266 (17, M<sup>+</sup>), 209 (12), 194 (20), 193 (12), 161 (13), 153 (10), 133 (14), 127 (28), 126 (12), 123 (15), 120 (42), 119 (67), 117 (10), 107 (10), 106 (12), 105 (20), 93 (14), 92 (33), 91 (30), 75 (82), 73 (100), 57 (79).

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>30</sub>OSi (266.55) Calc. C 72.11 H 11.35% Found C 72.06 H 11.46%

(E)-I-(I-Cyclododecenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-I-ol (6j). Yield 72%, b.p.  $160^\circ$ /0.03 Torr,  $R_f$  0.36 (hexane/EtOAc 8:1). IR: 3600w (OH), 3010m, 2930s, 2860m, 1615w, 1468m, 1448w, 1248s, 1210m, 1070w, 990m, 868s, 840s.  $^1$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.04 (dd, J=18.7, 3.4, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.97 (d, J=18.7, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.50 (t, J=7.9, 1 H, H-C(2')); 4.55 (m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 2.23-2.02 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(12')); 1.59-1.16 (br. m, 16 H); 0.07 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (10 eV): 73 (100).

C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>34</sub>OSi (294.61) Calc. C 73.40 H 11.63% Found C 73.57 H 11.41%

(E)-1-(3, 4-Dihydro-1-naphthyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-ol (6k). Yield 84%, b.p. 135°/0.10 Torr,  $R_f$  0.31 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3550m (OH), 2900s, 1625m, 1485m, 1445m, 1305m, 1250s, 1230w, 1075m, 995s, 925s, 850s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.36 (d, J = 3.4, 1H, H-C(8')); 7.16-7.05 (m, 3 H, arom. H); 6.15 (dd, J = 19.0, 4.0, 1H, H-C(2)); 6.04 (t, J = 5.5, 1H, H-C(2')); 6.00 (d, J = 19.0, 1H, H-C(1)); 5.06 (br. s, 1H, H-C(3)); 2.67 (t, J = 8.0, 2 H, 2 H-C(4')); 2.27-2.18 (m, 2 H, 2 H-C(3')); 1.78 (br. s, 1H, OH); 0.00 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 258 (22, M<sup>+</sup>), 168 (29), 167 (45), 153 (25), 129 (27), 128 (15), 115 (11), 75 (57), 73 (100), 60 (12).

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (258.47) Calc. C 74.36 H 8.58% Found C 74.16 H 8.52%

(E)-1-(6-Methyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-ol (61). Data for both diastereomers. Yield 73%, b.p.  $120^{\circ}/0.15$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 9:1). IR: 3680w (OH), 3600w, 3020s, 2958s, 2935m, 1620w, 1520w, 1435w, 1425w, 1250s, 1215s, 1050w, 990m, 930w, 868s, 840s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 6.12-5.62 (m, 3 H, H-C(3), H-C(2) and H-C(2')); 5.3 (m, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.40-1.20 (m, 8 H, 2 H-C(3'), 2 H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5'), H-C(6') and OH); 1.05 (m, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(6')); 0.06 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 224 (3,  $M^+$ ), 119 (35), 106 (15), 105 (15), 95 (13), 93 (15), 92 (15), 91 (27), 81 (17), 79 (11), 75 (90), 73 (100), 67 (10), 59 (13), 55 (13).

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>OSi (224.46) Calc. C 69.58 H 10.78% Found C 69.45 H 10.90%

(E)-4-Ethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-ol (6n)<sup>12</sup>). Yield 90%, b.p. 140°/40 Torr,  $R_f$  0.3 (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 5:1, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3600w (OH), 3020m, 2960m, 2900w, 1650w, 1618w, 1438w, 1250s, 1222m, 1075w, 990m, 908m, 868s, 842s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.00 (dd, J = 18.5, 4.0, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.90 (d, J = 18.5, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.09 (d, 1 H, H-C(5)); 4.89 (d, 1 H, H-C(5)); 4.57 (d, 1 H, H-C(3)); 2.05 (d, d, d, 2 H, 2 H-C(1'); 1.80 (d, d, 1 H, OH); 1.06 (d, d, 2 H, d, 3 H, d, d, 2 H, d, 2 H, 2 H-C(1')); 1.80 (d, 3 H, OH); 1.06 (d, d, 3 H, d, 4 H, 169 (14), 95 (11), 79 (33), 75 (100), 73 (98), 71 (19), 61 (10), 59 (14).

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (184.39) Calc, C 65.15 H 10.94% Found C 65.28 H 11.10%

(1E, 4E)-4-Ethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-hexadien-3-ol (60). Yield 93%, b.p. 135°/20 Torr,  $R_f$  0.35 (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 5:1). IR: 3690w (OH), 3600w, 3010m, 2960m, 1620w, 1468w, 1455w, 1375w, 1249s, 1218m, 1210m, 1060w, 992m, 868s, 840s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 6.02 (dd, J=18.7, 4.1, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.90 (d, J=18.7, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.52 (q, J=6.8, 1 H, H-C(5)); 4.52 (m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 2.07 (q, J=7.5, 2 H, 2 H-C(1')); 1.64 (d, J=6.8, 3 H, 3 H-C(6)); 1.61 (s, 1 H, OH); 0.98 (t, J=7.5, 3 H,  $CH_3$ CH<sub>2</sub>-C(4)); 0.07 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 198 (1, M<sup>+</sup>), 125 (10), 99 (10), 93 (39), 75 (81), 73 (100), 59 (12).

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (198.42) Calc. C 66.60 H 11.80% Found C 66.38 H 11.53%

(E)-4-tert-Butyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1,4-pentadien-3-ol (6p). Yield 72%, b.p.  $150^\circ/20$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.28 (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 6:1, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3600m (OH), 3015m, 2910m, 2875m, 1618w, 1482w, 1465m, 1405w, 1388m, 1365m, 1250s, 1210s, 1150w, 1068m, 992s, 915m, 870s, 842s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 6.04 (dd, J = 18.7, 4.2, 1 H, H-C(2)); 5.96 (d, J = 18.7, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.10 (br. s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 5.09

<sup>12)</sup> Contains 8% of 6g by GC analysis.

(br. s, 1H, H–C(5)); 4.74 (br. s, 1H, H–C(3)); 1.52 (br. s, 1H, OH); 1.13 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C); 0.08 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 197 (18), 107 (39), 75 (100), 73 (100), 59 (12), 57 (37).

C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>24</sub>OSi (212.45) Calc. C 67.86 H 11.39% Found C 68.12 H 11.50%

5. Preparation of Divinyl Ketones 3. – General Procedure. A magnetically stirred solution of the alcohol 6 in dry  $Et_2O$  (0.1 m) was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$  and treated with 1.5-1.8 equiv. of nickel peroxide in one portion. The mixture was warmed to r.t. after 30 min and the progress monitored by TLC (ca. 2 H). The nickel peroxide was filtered off and washed three times with acetone. Evaporation of the filtrate afforded the crude ketone which was usually distilled directly. Cases in which chromatography was necessary are indicated by  $R_{\Gamma}$ -data.

(E)-1-(1-Cyclopentenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3a). Yield 86%, b.p.  $85^{\circ}$ /0.03 Torr. IR: 3010m, 2985s, 2825m, 1650s (C=O), 1615s, 1590s, 1430s, 1395s, 1315s, 1250s, 1125w, 1050m, 990s, 950m, 850s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.07 (d, J=17.6, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.98 (d, J=17.6, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.78 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.73-2.47 (m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(5')); 2.17-1.80 (m, 2 H, 2 H-C(4')); 0.15 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 194 (5,  $M^{+}$ ), 179 (50), 151 (11), 120 (13), 95 (51), 75 (56), 73 (67), 67 (16), 28 (100).

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi (194.38) Calc. C 67.96 H 9.34% Found C 67.96 H 9.43%

(E)-1-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3b). Yield 85%, b.p.  $110^{\circ}/0.1$  Torr. IR: 3010w, 3000m, 2990m, 2880w, 1645s (C=O), 1580m, 1500w, 1455w, 1390m, 1350m, 1285m, 1200s, 1195s, 1180w, 1000s, 920w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.08 (d, J = 19, 1 H, H-C(2)); 7.07 (d, J = 19, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.96 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.32-2.25 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.71-1.60 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(4') and 2 H-C(5')); 0.15 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 208 (15, 18), 19, 193 (27), 135 (19), 134 (15), 118 (32), 117 (25), 109 (55), 81 (52), 75 (54), 73 (100), 59 (14), 53 (13).

C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (208.41) Calc. C 69.17 H 9.67% Found C 69.17 H 9.96%

(E)-1-(1-Cycloheptenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3c). Yield 92%, b.p.  $110^{\circ}/0.05$  Torr. IR: 2954m, 2850s, 2830s, 2750m, 1640s (C=O), 1575m, 1430m, 1370w, 1200m, 1130m, 985s, 960w, 850s.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.21-6.97 (m, 3 H, H-C(2), H-C(3) and H-C(2')); 2.68-2.57 (m, 2 H); 2.47-2.40 (m, 2 H); 1.96-1.77 (m, 2 H); 1.67-1.45 (m, 4 H); 0.20 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 222 (13,  $M^{+}$ ), 207 (20), 179 (22), 149 (25), 132 (18), 123 (27), 117 (14), 95 (32), 91 (12), 75 (31), 73 (100), 67 (15), 59 (12), 55 (11).

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (222.44) Calc. C 70.21 H 9.97% Found C 70.14 H 10.18%

(E)-1-(3-Methyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3d). Yield 91%, b.p. 105°/0.02 Torr,  $R_{\Gamma}$  0.24 (hexane/EtOAc 18:1). IR: 3020s, 2960s, 2940m, 2870w, 1645s (C=O), 1585m, 1520m, 1485w, 1432w, 1385w, 1370w, 1325w, 1262m, 1250s, 1230s, 1220s, 1210s, 1158w, 1132w, 992m, 930w, 875s, 845s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.12 (d, J = 18.5, 1 H, H-C(2)); 7.02 (d, J = 18.5, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.74 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.45-1.09 (br. m, 7 H, H-C(3'), 2 H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.11 (d, J = 6.9, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(3')); 0.15 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 222 (11, M<sup>+</sup>), 207 (30), 206 (24), 191 (26), 149 (11), 127 (22), 123 (30), 117 (18), 99 (10), 95 (62), 75 (43), 73 (100), 67 (21), 59 (11), 55 (14).

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (222.44) Calc. C 70.21 H 9.97% Found C 70.10 H 10.03%

The corresponding (*Z*)-isomer (3d') was isolated by chromatography (2% from the oxidation of 6d) and was characterized by its <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum.  $R_f$  0.32 (hexane/EtOAc 18:1). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.34 (*d*, J=14.3, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.78 (*m*, 1 H, H-C(2')); 6.37 (*d*, J=14.3, 1 H, H-C(1)); 1.86-1.16 (br. *m*, 7 H, H-C(3'), 2 H-C(4'), 2 H-C(5') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.08 (*d*, J=7.2, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(3')); 0.14 (*s*, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si).

(1E, 4E)-1-Trimethylsilyl-1, 4-hexadien-3-one (3e). Yield 94%, b.p. 125°/18 Torr. IR: 3000w, 2950m, 2900w, 1665m, 1650s (C=O), 1630s, 1600m, 1450m, 1380w, 1340w, 1320w, 1300w, 1200m, 1160m, 1105m, 1010m, 970m, 875s.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (90 MHz, CCl<sub>4</sub>, (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub>): 7.12 (d, J=18.8, 1H, H-C(2)); 6.93 (dq, J=15.0, 6.8, 1H, H-C(5)); 6.72 (d, J=18.8, 1H, H-C(1)); 6.43 (dm, J=15.0, 1H, H-C(4)); 2.03 (dd, J=6.8, 1.5, 3 H, 3 H-C(6)); 0.33 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 168 (2, M<sup>+</sup>), 154 (14), I=153 (100), 109 (13), 75 (44), 73 (93), 69 (60), 61 (17), 59 (14).

C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>16</sub>OSi (168.34) Calc. C 64.23 H 9.58% Found C 64.03 H 9.43%

(E)-4-Methyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-one (3f). Yield 76%, b.p.  $100^{\circ}/12$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 25:1). IR: 2920s, 1655s (C=O), 1625s, 1445m, 1370m, 1330s, 1290m, 1245s, 1130m, 1085s, 995s, 935s, 910s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, CCl<sub>4</sub> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub>): 6.77 (s, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 5.68 (br.s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 5.55 (br.s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 1.72 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4)); 0.03 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si); Addition of 15 mol-% Eu(fod)<sub>3</sub> [33] resolves signal at 6.77 into two d, J = 18.5. MS (70 eV): 168 (3,  $M^+$ ), 153 (69), 75 (28), 73 (100), 69 (30), 64 (14), 59 (10).

C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>OSi (168.34) Calc. C 64.23 H 9.58% Found C 64.35 H 9.44%

(1E,4E)-4-Methyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1,4-hexadien-3-one (3g). Yield 92%, b.p.  $123^{\circ}/13$  Torr. IR: 3050m, 1645s (C=O), 1585m, 1435w, 1380m, 1355w, 1255s, 1200w, 1065m, 1055m, 995m, 850s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.05 (d, J=19.0, 1H, H-C(2)); 6.98 (d, J=19.0, 1H, H-C(1)); 6.77 (q, J=6.8, 1H, H-C(5)); 1.87 (d, J=6.8, 3 H, 3 H-C(6)); 1.84 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4)); 0.14 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 182 (12,  $M^{+}$ ), 167 (55), 83 (46), 75 (47), 73 (100), 55 (39).

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi (182.37) Calc. C 65.87 H 9.95% Found C 65.82 H 9.73%

 $(1\,\mathrm{E},4\,\mathrm{E})$ -5-Phenyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-one (3h). Yield 91%, b.p. 135°/0.07 Torr. IR: 3005m, 2900m, 1665s (C=O), 1640s, 1605s, 1555s, 1425m, 1310s, 1240s, 1170s, 1140s, 1105m, 1080s, 990s, 970m, 915m, 860s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 7.71 (d, J = 16.1, 1 H, H-C(5)); 7.65-7.61 (m, 2 H, arom. H); 7.44-7.41 (m, 3 H, arom. H); 7.27 (d, J = 19.0, 1 H, H-C(2)); 7.12 (d, J = 16.1, 1 H, H-C(4)); 6.84 (d, J = 19.0, 1 H, H-C(1)); 0.22 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 230 (41, M<sup>+</sup>), 229 (19), 215 (38), 131 (68), 103 (29), 77 (23), 76 (15), 73 (100), 59 (13).

C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi (230.41) Calc. C 72.99 H 7.87% Found C 72.68 H 7.83%

(E)-1-(4-tert-Butyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3i). Yield 100%, m.p.  $82-83^\circ$ . IR: 3025m, 3015m, 2960s, 1640s (C=O), 1582w, 1480w, 1470w, 1435w, 1425w, 1385w, 1368w, 1312w, 1250s, 1225s, 1215s, 1207s, 1168w, 1080w, 1020w, 992w, 938w, 870s, 845s.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.08 (s, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 6.99-6.97 (m, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.66-1.89 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(3') and 2 H-C(6')); 1.37-0.96 (m, 3 H, H-C(4') and 2 H-C(5')); 0.90 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C-C(4')); 0.15 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV); 2.64 (12,  $M^+$ ), 249 (10), 207 (24), 191 (16), 179 (16), 174 (12), 165 (25), 135 (10), 118 (21), 117 (23), 81 (16), 75 (38), 73 (100), 59 (10), 57 (79), 43 (12).

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>28</sub>OSi (264.53) Calc. C 72.66 H 10.67% Found C 72.49 H 10.78%

(E)-1-(1-Cyclododecenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3j). Yield 78%, b.p.  $145^{\circ}/0.03$  Torr, m.p.  $51-53^{\circ}$ . IR: 3020s, 2930s, 2860m, 1643s (C=O), 1583w, 1470m, 1398w, 1250s, 1210s, 1138w, 1092w, 1050w, 993m, 870s, 851s. H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.07 (d, J=18.5, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.97 (d, J=18.5, 1 H, H-C(1)); 6.58 (t, J=7.9, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.43 (t, J=6.7, 2 H, 2 H-C(3')); 2.31 (q, J=7.4, 2 H, 2.40 H,

C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>OSi (292.59) Calc. C 73.90 H 11.30% Found C 73.58 H 11.33%

(E)-1-(1-Naphthyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (3k). Yield 90%, b:p. 150°/0.3 Torr,  $R_f$  0.35 (hexane/EtOAc 10:1). IR: 2900m, 1645s (C=O), 1530m, 1460w, 1340w, 1290s, 1225s, 1130s, 1100s, 1000s, 985m, 965m, 850s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 8.30 (d, J=7.0, 1 H, H-C(8')); 7.99 (d, J=8.1, 1 H, H-C(2')); 7.93-7.89 (m, 1 H, arom. H); 7.71 (d, J=7.3, 1 H, arom. H); 7.58-7.50 (m, 3 H, arom. H); 7.18 (d, J=19.1, 1 H, H-C(2)); 7.06 (d, J=19.1, 1 H, H-C(1)); 0.19 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 254 (23, M<sup>+</sup>), 253 (27), 239 (23), 165 (11), 156 (11), 155 (100), 128 (11), 127 (85), 126 (13), 77 (14), 75 (12), 73 (84), 59 (11), 58 (10).

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi (254.43) Calc. C 75.54 H 7.13% Found C 75.35 H 7.21%

(E)-1-(6-Methyl-1-cyclohexenyl)-3-trimethylsilyl-2-propen-1-one (31). Yield 85%, b.p.  $140^{\circ}/0.08$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 15:1). IR: 3020m, 2965m, 2945m, 2875w, 1645s (C=O), 1630m, 1585w, 1425w, 1385w, 1368w, 1251s, 1232s, 1225s, 1210m, 995m, 970m, 930w, 875s, 855s, 840s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.08 (d, J=18.7, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.96 (d, J=18.7, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.83 (t, J=3.7, 1 H, H-C(2')); 2.89 (m, 1 H, H-C(6')); 2.25-2.18 (m, 2 H, 2 H-C(3')); 1.82-1.44 (br. m, 4 H, 2 H-C(4') and 2 H-C(5')); 1.01 (d, J=6.9, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(6')); 0.50 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 222 (5,  $M^+$ ), 207 (17), 180 (11),

149 (39), 148 (10), 132 (24), 131 (12), 123 (40), 117 (34), 113 (10), 104 (13), 95 (55), 91 (10), 75 (36), 73 (100), 67 (25), 59 (12), 55 (15), 53 (10).

C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (222.44) Calc. C 70.21 H 9.97% Found C 69.97 H 9.80%

(E)-4-Ethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-pentadien-3-one (3n). Yield 87%, b.p.  $120^{\circ}/28$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.27 (hexane/EiOAc 40:1). IR: 3015m, 2960m, 1655s (C=O), 1622w, 1587w, 1463w, 1438w, 1345w, 1328w, 1250s, 1220m, 1210m, 1140w, 1100w, 1088w, 1030w, 992m, 935w, 867s, 842s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.04 (d, J=18.8, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.09 (d, J=18.8, 1 H, H-C(1)); 2.36 (q, J=7.5, 2 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.06 (t, J=7.5, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); 0.15 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 182 (2,  $M^{+}$ ), 167 (53), 83 (21), 75 (39), 73 (100), 55 (22).

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>OSi (182.37) Calc. C 65.87 H 9.95% Found C 65.67 H 9.94%

(IE, 4E)-4-Ethyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1, 4-hexadien-3-one (30). Yield 93%, b.p. 140°/20 Torr. IR: 3015m, 2970w, 1653s (C=O), 1585w, 1465w, 1455w, 1390m, 1285m, 1250s, 1210m, 1103m, 995m, 915w, 870s, 845s.  $^1$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.04 (s, 2 H, H-C(1) and H-C(2)); 6.72 (q, J=6.8, 1 H, H-C(5)); 2.37 (q, J=7.4, 2 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); 1.90 (d, J=6.8, 3 H, 3 H-C(6)); 0.96 (t, J=7.4, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>); 0.14 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 196 (1, M<sup>+</sup>), 181 (37), 167 (12), 123 (12), 97 (42), 91 (21), 75 (40), 73 (100), 69 (47).

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>20</sub>OSi (196.40) Calc. C 67.29 H 10.27% Found C 67.44 H 10.43%

(E)-4-tert-Butyl-1-trimethylsilyl-1,4-pentadien-3-one (3p). Yield 84%, b.p.  $110^{\circ}/40$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.28 (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 20:1). IR: 3020s, 2960m, 1653m (C=O), 1608w, 1585w, 1482w, 1465w, 1425w, 1388w, 1363w, 1318w, 1250s, 1212s, 1095w, 1048m, 1012w, 1000m, 930w, 870m, 840m.  $^{1}$ H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.02 (d, J= 18.8, 1 H, H-C(2)); 6.86 (d, J= 18.8, 1 H, H-C(1)); 5.61 (s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 5.41 (s, 1 H, H-C(5)); 1.17 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C-C(4)); 0.14 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 195 (42, M<sup>+</sup> – 15), 179 (11), 127 (11), 105 (11), 83 (11), 75 (18), 73 (100), 57 (11), 55 (15).

C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi (210.43) Calc. C 68.51 H 10.54% Found C 69.08 H 10.54%

1-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-2-propen-1-one (9) [6b]. Yield 41%, b.p.  $50-52^{\circ}/0.1$  Torr. IR: 3030w, 3018w, 2940m, 2865w, 1655s (C=O), 1635m, 1605m, 1410m, 1225w, 980w.  $^{1}H-NMR$  (220 MHz, TMS): 7.00-6.87 (br. m, 2 H, 2 H–C(3)); 6.29-6.20 (dd, J=17.0, 2.0, 1 H, H–C(2)); 5.72-5.67 (dd, J=10.4, 2.0, 1 H, H–C(2')); 2.39-2.28 (m, 4 H, 2 H–C(3') and 2 H–C(6')); 1.66-1.64 (m, 4 H, 2 H–C(4') and 2 H–C(5')). MS (70 eV): 136 (41,  $M^{+}$ ), 81 (87), 79 (65), 55 (79), 41 (74), 27 (100).

- 6. Preparation of 2-Cyclopentenones (4). General Procedure. To a cold (0°), magnetically-stirred solution of the divinyl ketone (3) in the indicated solvent (see Table 4) (0.08 M), was added rapidly, in one portion, 1.05 equiv. of anh. FeCl<sub>3</sub>. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. Upon consumption of the educt an equal volume of  $H_2O$  was added. The mixture was diluted with  $Et_2O$  (50 ml/g ketone), the aq. phase separated and then extracted with  $Et_2O$  (2×50 ml/g ketone). The individual org. extracts were washed with  $H_2O$  (35 ml/g ketone) and brine (3×35 ml/g ketone). The combined org. layers were dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and evaporated to afford a yellow oil which was purified by chromatography and distillation.
- 6.1. Hydrogenation of 2-Cyclopentenones (4). General Procedure. The enone, 4, (30-100 mg) was placed in 5 ml of distilled EtOAc with 0.01 equiv. of 5% Pd/C in a round bottomed flask. The flask was purged with  $H_2$  (4×) and then stirred at r.t. until  $H_2$  uptake ceased (ca. 2.5 h). The mixture was filtered through Celite, the catalyst washed with EtOAc (5 ml) and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo.
- 6.2.1. Base-catalyzed Epimerization of Ketones. General Procedure. The saturated ketone (10 mg) was placed in 1 ml of freshly distilled MeOH. MeONa (0.05 equiv. of a 0.45 m solution in MeOH) was added and the solution was stirred at r.t. The equilibration was monitored by capillary GC until the ratios were constant (2-12 h).
- 6.2.2. Alumina-catalyzed Epimerization. General Procedure. The unsaturated ketone (2 mg) is placed in 0.5 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Basic alumina (activity I, 10 mg) was added and the mixture was stirred at r.t. until the product ratios were constant as judged by GC analysis.

cis-1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6a-Hexahydropentalen-1-one (4a). Yield 55%, b.p. 145°/0.01 Torr. IR: 2970s, 2940s, 2850s, 1710s (C=O), 1680s (C=C), 1480w, 1450w, 1375w, 1355m, 1300w, 1190m, 1140w, 1130s, 11110m.

1080m, 1030w, 960w, 935m, 920m, 850m, 825m, 760m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.52 (dd, J=5.5, 2.6, 1H, H-C(3)); 6.13 (dd, J=5.5, 1.0, 1H, H-C(2)); 3.35 (m, 1H, H-C(3a)); 2.68 (dd, J=9.6, 5.7, 1H, H-C(6a)); 1.92-1.56 (br. m, 5 H, 2 H-C(4), H-C(5) and 2 H-C(6)); 1.27-1.19 (m, 1H, H<sub>endo</sub>-C(5)). MS (70 eV): 122 (53, M<sup>+</sup>), 121 (12), 94 (100), 93 (16), 91 (10), 81 (17), 79 (50), 77 (17), 67 (13), 66 (36), 65 (14), 53 (21), 51 (10). 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone of **4a**, m.p. 193-194° ([34]: 192-194°).

cis-3a, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7a-Hexahydro-1H-inden-1-one (4b). Yield 84%, b.p.  $100^{\circ}/0.08$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). GC analysis: column B (10 m, 70° isothermal)  $t_R$  4.9 min. IR: 3010m, 2940s, 2860m, 1705s (C=O), 1585m (C=C), 1450m, 1350m, 1185m, 1165w, 880s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.64 (dd, J=5.7, 2.8, 1H, H-C(3)); 6.14 (dd, J=5.7, 1.1, 1H, H-C(2)); 3.00-2.94 (m, 1H, H-C(3a)); 2.40 (q, J=6.2, 1H, H-C(7a)); 2.00-1.00 (br. m, 8 H, 4 CH<sub>2</sub>). MS (70 eV): 136 (87,  $M^+$ ), 135 (21), 118 (10), 108 (40), 107 (100), 95 (54), 94 (22), 93 (38), 91 (17), 81 (28), 80 (37), 79 (87), 77 (34), 67 (32), 53 (36).

C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O (136.21) Calc. C 79.37 H 8.88% Found C 79.23 H 8.80%

Ketone 4b was hydrogenated according to the general procedure (yield 83%) from which an oxime was prepared, m.p. 92.5-94.5° ([35]: 88-89°).

cis, trans-1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 8a-Octahydrazulene-1-one (4c). Yield 74%, b.p.  $110^{\circ}/0.01$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). GC analysis: column B (10 m, 70°, isothermal) two components:  $t_R$  12.25 min (15%) and 13.00 min (85%). IR: 3120w, 3010m, 3000s, 2925s, 2875m, 1700s (C=O), 1580m (C=C), 1430m, 1390m, 1325w, 1260s, 1250s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, TMS): 7.85 (dd, J=5.0, 2.0, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.40 (dd, J=5.0, 1.5, 1 H, H-C(2)); 3.55-3.25 (m, 1 H, H-C(3a)); 2.92-2.62 (m, 1 H, H-C(8a)); 2.48-1.38 (br. m, 10 H, 5  $CH_2$ ). MS (70 eV): 150 (68,  $M^+$ ), 135 (28), 122 (15), 121 (20), 108 (65), 107 (78), 96 (16), 95 (100), 94 (30), 93 (32), 91 (24), 83 (35), 81 (28), 80 (26), 79 (71), 77 (36), 68 (32), 67 (40), 66 (32), 65 (21), 55 (27), 53 (42).

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O (150.24) Calc. C 79.96 H 9.39% Found C 79.85 H 9.50%

Ketone 4c was hydrogenated as described (yield 93%) and a 2,4-DNP was prepared m.p. 170-171° ([36a]: 189-190° (cis); [36b]: 229° (trans)).

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (332.39) Calc. C 57.82 H 6.07 N 16.85% Found C 57.44 H 5.94 N 16.49%

The saturated ketone was subjected to base-catalyzed epimerization. GC analysis; column B (10 m, 70° isothermal): before base addition, two components  $t_R$  5.3 (24%) and 5.5 (76%) min, after base addition,  $t_R$  5.3 (74%) and 5.5 (26%) min.

cis, trans-Bicyclo [10.3.0] pentadec-14-en-13-one (4j). Yield 78%, b.p. 145°/0.03 Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.44 (hexane/EtOAc 4:1). GC analysis: column A (150° (3 min), 10°/min, 200° (10 min)), two components  $t_{\rm R}$  (trans) 7.0 min (54%) and  $t_{\rm R}$  (cis) 7.4 min (46%). IR: 3020m, 2938s, 2855m, 1699s (C=O), 1588m, 1578m, 1471m, 1448m, 1345w, 1222s, 1210s, 1045w, 930w, 882w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.65 (dd, J=5.8, 2.9, 1H, H-C(13) cis); 7.58 (dd, J=5.7, 2.8, 1H, H-C(13) trans); 6.10 (dd, J=5.8, 1.8, 1H, H-C(14) cis); 6.04 (dd, J=5.7, 1.6, 1H, H-C(14) trans); 2.93-2.89 (m, 1H, H-C(12) cis); 2.77-2.74 (m, 1H, H-C(12) trans); 2.33-2.25 (m, 1H, H-C(1) cis); 2.20-2.13 (m, 1H, H-C(1) trans); 1.90-1.20 (m, 20 H, 10 CH<sub>2</sub>). MS (70 eV): 220 (12, M<sup>+</sup>), 149 (16), 96 (10), 55 (95), 82 (19), 79 (10), 67 (11), 55 (13), 53 (10).28 (100).

C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O (220.39) Calc. C 81.76 H 10.98% Found C 81.92 H 11.12%

GC analysis of 4j after alumina equilibration showed complete conversion to trans ( $t_R$  7.0 min).

4-Methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (4e). Yield 49%, b.p. 111°/19 Torr.  $R_f$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1). IR: 2950m, 1708s (C=O), 1590m (C=C), 1450w, 1405m, 1380m, 1340m, 1290w, 1235w, 1180m, 1125m, 1095m, 1080w, 1065w, 950w, 895m, 840m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, Me<sub>4</sub>Si): 7.53 (dd, J=5.3, 2.5, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.08 (dd, J=5.3, 1.9, 1 H, H-C(2)); 3.17-2.77 (br. m, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.59 (dd, J=16.0, 6.5, 1 H, H-C(5)); 1.86 (dd, J=16.0, 2.0, 1 H, H-C(5)); 1.25 (d, J=7.0, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4)). MS (70 eV): 96(20,  $M^+$ ), 85(23), 67(11), 53(18), 28(100). HR-MS:  $C_6H_8O$ , calc. 96.0575, obs. 96.0575. 2, 4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone of 4e, m.p. 168.5-169.5° ([37]: 170-172°).

5-Methyl-5-(E)-(2-methyl-3-oxo-5-trimethylsilyl-4-pentenyl)-2-cyclopenten-1-one (4f). Yield 42%, b.p. 185°/13 Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 2:1). GC analysis: column A (60°, 20°/min, 200° (8 min)).  $t_{\rm R}$  11.85 min. IR: 2950s, 1730m, 1690s, 1665 sh, 1585m, 1450m, 1425m, 1370m, 1340m, 1305w, 1250s, 1205s, 1120m, 1080m, 1050m, 995s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.60 (dt, J = 5.8, 2.4, 1 H, H-C(3)); 7.07

 $(d, J=19.0, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(5')); 6.49 \ (d, J=19.0, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(4')); 6.15 \ (dt, J=5.8, 2.4, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(2)); 2.84-2.80 \ (m, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(2')); 2.58 \ (dt, J=19.5, 2.4, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(4)); 2.41 \ (dt, J=19.5, 2.4, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(4)); 2.19 \ (dd, J=14.2, 7.8, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(1')); 1.53 \ (dd, J=14.1, 3.3, 1 \text{ H}, H-C(1')); 1.09 \ (s, 3 \text{ H}, CH_3-C(5)); 1.05 \ (d, J=7.1, 3 \text{ H}, CH_3-C(2')); 0.17 \ and 0.15 \ (2 s, 9 \text{ H}, (CH_3)_3 \text{Si}). MS \ (10 \text{ eV}): 264 \ (1, M^+), 222 \ (29), 128 \ (11), 127 \ (100), 99 \ (14), 91 \ (20), 73 \ (28).$ 

cis, trans-4, 5-Dimethyl-2-cyclopentenone (4g) [38]. Yield 95%, b.p. 95°/13 Torr. GC analysis: column A (60°, 20°/min, 200° (20 min)).  $t_{\rm R}$  (trans) 4.37 min (45%) and  $t_{\rm R}$  (cis) 4.78 min (55%). IR: 2970s, 2925m, 2570m, 1720s (C=O), 1590m, 1465m, 1455m, 1380m, 1350m, 1185w, 830w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 7.57 (dd, J=5.5, 2.5, 1 H, H-C(3) cis); 7.49 (dd, J=5.5, 2.1, 1 H, H-C(3) trans); 6.10 (m, 2 H, H-C(2) cis and trans); 3.08-3.05 (m, 1 H, H-C(4) cis); 2.55-2.40 (m, 2 H, H-C(5) cis and H-C(4) trans); 1.89-1.82 (m, 1 H, H-C(5) trans); 1.20 (d, J=7.2, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ); 1.18 (d, J=7.4, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ); 1.06 (d, J=3.8, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ); 1.04 (d, J=3.6, 3 H,  $CH_3$ ). MS (70 eV): 110 (51, M<sup>+</sup>), 95 (99), 82 (11), 81 (11), 68 (25), 67 (100), 65 (12), 53 (17). HR-MS:  $C_7H_{10}O$ , calc. 110.0731, obs. 110.0728. GC analysis of 4g after alumina equilibration (18 h) showed a 90:10 trans/cis ratio.

4-Phenyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (4h). Yield 27%, b.p. 90°/0.01 Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). IR: 3000w, 1705s (C=O), 1575m (C=C), 1505w, 1490w, 1430w, 1335w, 1070w, 950m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (90 MHz, TMS): 7.65 (dm, J=7.5, 1H, H-C(3)); 7.37-7.03 (m, 5 H, arom. H); 6.25 (dd, J=7.5, 1.5, 1 H, H-C(2)); 4.22-4.05 (m, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.89 (dd, J=18.5, 7.0, 1 H, H-C(5)); 2.33 (dd, J=18.5, 3.0, 1 H, H-C(5)). MS (70 eV): 158 (44,  $M^+$ ), 157 (13), 130 (58), 129 (57), 128 (18), 115 (33), 105 (11), 103 (15), 91 (19), 78 (19), 77 (18), 75 (56), 73 (55), 67 (13), 64 (12), 51 (18), 28 (100).

C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O (158.21) Calc. C 83.52 H 6.37% Found C 83.30 H 6.26%

5-Ethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (4n) [39]. Yield<sup>13</sup>) 51%, b.p.  $110^{\circ}/30$  Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 3:1). IR: 3010w, 2965w, 2930w, 2878w, 1699s (C=O), 1590w, 1463w, 1430w, 1382w, 1345w, 1230w, 1210w, 1190w, 1095w, 938w, 910w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz): 7.69 (m, 1 H, H-C(3)); 6.17 (m, 1 H, H-C(2)); 2.85 (m, 1 H, H-C(4)); 2.35 (m, 1 H, H-C(4)); 1.88-1.33 (br. m, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> and H-C(5)); 0.94 (t, t = 7.3, 3 H, t CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). MS (70 eV): 110 (19, t + t + t 2 (100), 81 (55), 68 (22), 67 (15), 53 (31).

cis, trans-5-Ethyl-4-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (40). Yield 70%, b.p.  $105^{\circ}/20$  Torr,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1). GC analysis: column A (70° (3 min), 20°/min, 200° (5 min)),  $t_{\rm R}$  (trans) 7.4 min (57%) and  $t_{\rm R}$  (cis) 7.8 min (43%). IR: 3015m, 2965m, 2935w, 2878w, 1698s (C=O), 1588w (C=C), 1455w, 1380w, 1348w, 1215m, 1208m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz, TMS): 7.59 (dd, J=5.7, 2.8, 1 H, H-C(3) cis); 7.51 (dd, J=4.5, 2.3, 1 H, H-C(3) trans); 6.09 (m, 2 H, H-C(2) cis and trans); 3.14-3.07 (m, 1 H, H-C(5) cis); 2.70-2.63 (m, 1 H, H-C(4) trans); 2.28-2.19 (m, 1 H, H-C(4) cis); 1.88-1.69 (m, 4 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> cis and trans); 1.60-1.29 (m, 1 H, H-C(5) trans); 1.22 (d, J=7.3, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4) trans); 1.08 (d, J=7.3, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>-C(4) cis); 1.05 (t, J=7.4, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> cis); 0.97 (t, J=7.3, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> trans). MS (70 eV): 124 (22,  $M^+$ ), 109 (19), 97 (9), 96 (100), 95 (57), 82 (12), 81 (25), 79 (10), 67 (54), 55 (11), 54 (11), 53 (18).

C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O (124.20) Calc. C 77.38 H 9.74% Found C 77.16 H 9.71%

GC analysis of 40 after alumina equilibration (12 h) showed a 94:6 trans/cis ratio.

5-tert-Butyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (4p). Yield 97%, b.p. 150°/40 Torr,  $R_f$  0.30 (pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 4:1). IR: 3018s, 2960m, 2870w, 1695s (C=O), 1595w (C=C), 1475w, 1468w, 1428w, 1367m, 1345m, 1210s, 908s. 

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (220 MHz, TMS): 7.64 (dt, J=5.7, 2.8, 1H, H-C(3)); 6.12 (dt, J=5.7, 2.1, 1H, H-C(2)); 2.72 (dddd, J=19.5, 6.5, 2.8, 2.1, 1H, H-C(4)); 2.19 (dddd, J=19.5, 2.8, 2.6, 2.1, 1H, H-C(4)); 2.12 (dd, J=6.5, 2.6, 1H, H-C(5)); 0.99 (s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C-C(5)). MS (70 eV): 138 (4,  $M^+$ ), 95 (11), 82 (100), 57 (16), 55 (11), 41 (19), 39 (11), 27 (13), 26 (11).

C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O (138.23) Calc. C 78.20 H 10.23% Found C 78.16 H 10.40%

IH-2,3-Dihydrobenz [e]inden-1-one (4k). Yield 60%,  $R_{\rm f}$  0.37 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3018m, 1698s (C=O), 1692s, 1628w, 1590w, 1572w, 1520m, 1512m, 1492m, 1380w, 1305w, 1205m, 1170m, 1103m, 1040w, 930w, 840m.  $^{1}H$ -NMR (360 MHz): 9.19 (d, J=8.3, 1 H, H-C(9)); 8.07 (d, J=8.4, 1 H, H-C(5)); 7.92 (d, J=8.1, 1 H, H-C(6)); 7.70 (dd, J=8.1, 8.1, 1 H, H-C(7)); 7.59 (t, J=8.0, 1 H, H-C(8)); 7.55 (d, J=8.5, 1 H, H-C(4)); 3.26 (t, J=5.2, 2 H, 2 H-C(3)); 2.84 (t, 2 H, 2 H-C(2)).

<sup>13)</sup> Reaction performed at high dilution (0.004m).

MS (70 eV): 183 (14,  $M^+ + 1$ ), 182 (100,  $M^+$ ), 181 (11), 154 (45), 153 (39), 152 (23), 126 (11), 76 (25), 63 (10).

3-Trimethylsilyl-1H-2, 3-dihydrobenz [e]inden-1-one]<sup>4</sup>) (4k'). Yield 70% (based on 84% conversion),  $R_{\rm f}$  0.64 (hexane/EtOAc 6:1). IR: 3025m, 3015m, 2945w, 1690s (C=O), 1678s, 1625w, 1585w, 1568w, 1510m, 1438w, 1412w, 1372w, 1252m, 1222m, 1215m, 1208m, 1198m, 1172w, 1145w, 1109w, 1090w, 1015w, 935w, 848s, 840s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 9.18 (d, J=8.3, 1 H, H-C(9)); 8.02 (d, J=8.4, 1 H, H-C(5)); 7.90 (d, J=8.0, 1 H, H-C(6)); 7.68 (dd, J=8.0, 8.3, 1 H, H-C(8)); 7.54 (t, J=8.0, 1 H, H-C(7)); 7.46 (d, J=8.4, 1 H, H-C(4)); 3.03 (dd, J=19.2, 7.9, 1 H, H-C(2)); 2.79 (dd, J=7.9, 1.1, 1 H, H-C(3)); 2.72 (dd, J=19.2, 1.1, 1 H, H-C(2)); 0.12 (s, 9 H, (H<sub>3</sub>C)<sub>3</sub>Si). MS (70 eV): 255 (14, M<sup>+</sup> +1), 254 (62, M<sup>+</sup>), 253 (43), 165 (17), 152 (11), 73 (100).

2,3,4,5,6,7-Hexahydro-1H-inden-1-one (10a) [6d] and 2,3,3a,4,5,6-Hexahydro-1H-inden-1-one (10b). Yield 75%. GC analysis: column A (100° (4 min), 20°/min, 200° (10 min)),  $t_R$  (10b) 9.06 min (78%) and  $t_R$  (10a) 10.00 min (22%). Separated by CC on silica gel.  $R_f$  (hexane/EtOAc 4:1): 10a, 0.33; 10b, 0.15. Data for 10a: b.p. 90-93°/0.3 Torr. IR: 3012w, 3005m, 2915m, 2880w, 1688s (C=O), 1642s (C=C), 1395w, 1220m, 1210m, 760w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 2.48-1.61 (br. m, 12 H). MS (70 eV): 136 (47,  $M^+$ ), 94 (47), 79 (70), 28 (100).

C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O (136.21) Calc. C 79.37 H 8.88% Found C 79.61 H 9.07%

2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone of 10a, m.p. 231-232°.

Data for **10b**: b.p. 135°/0.06 Torr. IR: 3015w, 3008w, 2940m, 2880w, 1715s (C=O), 1650s (C=C), 1210m, 1175w, 785w, 760w, 725w, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz): 6.68 (t, J = 3.2, 1 H, H-C(7)); 2.57-1.07 (br. m, 11 H). MS (70 eV): 136 (35, M<sup>+</sup>), 94 (65), 79 (100), 77 (28).

C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O (136.21) Calc. C 79.37 H 8.88% Found C 79.11 H 8.86%

#### REFERENCES

- a) E. Colvin, 'Silicon in Organic Synthesis', Butterworths, London, 1981; b) W.P. Weber, 'Silicon Reagents for Organic Synthesis', Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983; c) I. Fleming, in 'Comprehensive Organic Chemistry', eds. D.H.R. Barton and W.D. Ollis, Vol. 3, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, p. 608; d) P. Magnus, T. Sarkar & S. Djuric, in 'Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry', eds. G.W. Wilkinson, F.G.A. Stone and E.W. Abel, Vol. 7, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982, p. 515.
- [2] a) A. W.P. Jarvie, Organomet. Chem. Rev., Sect. A 6, 153 (1970); b) M.A. Cook, C. Eaborn & D.R.M. Walton, J. Organomet. Chem. 24, 301 (1970); c) A.J. Bourne & A. W.P. Jarvie, ibid. 24, 335 (1970); d) T.G. Traylor, H.J. Berwin, J. Jerkunika & M.L. Hall, Pure Appl. Chem. 30, 599 (1972).
- [3] a) T.H. Chan & I. Fleming, Synthesis 1979, 761; b) S.D. Burke, C.W. Murtiashaw, M.S. Dike, S.M.S. Strickland & J.O. Saunders, J. Org. Chem. 46, 2400 (1981); c) B.M. Trost & E. Maruyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6529 (1981); d) L.E. Overman & L.K. Bell, ibid. 103, 1851 (1981); e) H. Nishiyama & K. Itoh, J. Org. Chem. 47, 2496 (1982); f) E. Fujita, M. Ochiai & K. Sumi, Chem. Lett. 1982, 79; g) K. Mikami, K. Kishi & T. Nakai, Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 795; h) H. Hiemstra & W.N. Speckamp, ibid. 1983, 1407.
- [4] T.S. Sorenson & A. Rauk, in 'Pericyclic Reactions', eds. A.P. Marchand and R.E. Lehr, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1978, p. 1.
- [5] a) I.N. Nazarov & I.I. Zaretskoya, J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 27, 693 (1957) and refs. cited therein; b) C. W. Shoppee & J.A. Cooke, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 1026 and refs. cited therein.
- [6] a) S. Dev, J. Indian Chem. Soc. 34, 169 (1957); b) E.A. Braude & J.A. Coles, J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 1430; c) S. Hirano, S. Takagi, T. Hiyama & H. Nozaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 53, 169 (1980); d) F. Cooke, R. Moerck, J. Schwindeman & P. Magnus, J. Org. Chem. 45, 1046 (1980); e) L.A. Paquette, D.S. Dime, W. E. Fristad & T. R. Bailey, ibid. 45, 3017 (1980).

<sup>14)</sup> Two equiv. of FeCl<sub>3</sub> were used.

- [7] R.B. Woodward & R. Hoffmann, 'The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry', Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1971.
- [8] T.S. Sorensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 3782 (1967).
- [9] a) J.-M. Conia & M.-L. Leriverend, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1970, 2981; b) G. Ohloff, K. H. Schulte-Elte & E. Demole, Helv. Chim. Acta 54, 2913 (1971).
- [10] a) P. Magnus, D.A. Quagliato & J. C. Huffmann, Organometallics 1, 1240 (1982); b) P. Magnus & D.A. Quagliato, ibid. 1, 1243 (1982).
- [11] M. Ono, J. Syn. Org. Chem. Jpn. 39, 872 (1981).
- [12] C.H. Heathcock, E.G. DelMar & S.L. Graham, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 1907 (1982); see however: C.H. Heathcock, C.M. Tice & T.C. Gemroth, ibid. 104, 6081 (1982).
- [13] S. E. Denmark & T. K. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 2642 (1982).
- [14] V. F. Mironov, A. D. Petrov & N. D. Maskimova, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Ser. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.) 1959, 1864.
- [15] M.J. Jorgensen, in 'Organic Reactions', ed. W.G. Dauben, Vol. 18, Wiley, New York, 1970, p. 1.
- [16] D.A. Shirley, in 'Organic Reactions', ed. R. Adams, Vol. 8, Wiley, New York, 1954, p. 28.
- [17] J.-P. Pillot, J. Dunogues & R. Calas, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1975, 2143.
- [18] R. H. Shapiro, in 'Organic Reactions', ed. W.G. Dauben, Vol. 23, Wiley, New York, 1976, p. 405.
- [19] A. R. Chamberlain, J. E. Stemke & F. T. Bond, J. Org. Chem. 43, 147 (1978).
- [20] S. E. Denmark & T. K. Jones, J. Org. Chem. 47, 4595 (1982).
- [21] H.O. House & B.M. Trost, J. Org. Chem. 30, 1341 (1965).
- [22] J. K. Stille, 183rd American Chemical Society Meeting, Las Vegas, March 1982, ORGN 71.
- [23] T.S. Sorensen & R. Bladek, Can. J. Chem. 50, 2806 (1972).
- [24] a) A. C. Hopkinson & M.H. Lien, J. Org. Chem. 46, 998 (1981); b) F. K. Cartledge & J. P. Jones, Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 2193; c) M.A. Cook, C. Eaborn & D. R. M. Walton, J. Organomet. Chem. 29, 389 (1971); d) Y. Apeloig, P. V. R. Schleyer & J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 1291 (1977); e) G. A. Olah, A. L. Berrier, L. D. Field & G. K. S. Prakash, ibid. 104, 1349 (1982).
- [25] W. C. Still, M. Kahn & A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem. 43, 2923 (1978).
- [26] D. F. Taber, J. Org. Chem. 47, 1351 (1982).
- [27] K. Nakagawa, R. Konaka & R. Nakata, J. Org. Chem. 27, 1597 (1962).
- [28] J. B. Brown, H. B. Henbest & E. R. H. Jones, J. Chem. Soc. 1950, 3634.
- [29] a) L.A. Paquette, B.A. Johnson & F.M. Hinga, in 'Organic Syntheses', ed. H.E. Baumgarten, Coll. Vol. 5, Wiley, New York, 1973, p. 215; b) C.H. Heathcock & R.D. Clark, J. Org. Chem. 38, 3658 (1973).
- [30] N.J. Cusack, C.B. Reese, A.C. Risius & B. Roozpeikar, Tetrahedron 32, 2157 (1976).
- [31] L. Ruzicka, C.F. Seidel, H. Schinz & M. Pfeiffer, Helv. Chim. Acta 31, 422 (1948).
- [32] E.A. Braude & J.A. Coles, J. Chem. Soc. 1950, 2014.
- [33] A.F. Cockerill, G.L.O. Davies, R.C. Harden & D.M. Rackham, Chem. Rev. 73, 553 (1973).
- [34] W.E. Parham, R.W. Soeder, J.R. Throckmorton, K. Kuncl & R.M. Dodson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 57, 321 (1965).
- [35] G.A. Tolstikov, U.M. Dzemiler & S.S. Shavanov, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Ser. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.) 1975, 1754.
- [36] a) H. C. Brown & E. Negishi, Chem. Commun. 1968, 549; b) C. D. Gutsche, I. C. Y. Tao & J. Kozma, J. Org. Chem. 32, 1782 (1967).
- [37] G. W. K. Cavill, B. S. Goodrich & D. G. Laing, Aust. J. Chem. 23, 83 (1970).
- [38] D. Strike & H. Smith, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 91, 180 (1971).
- [39] W. C. Agosta & A. B. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 5513 (1971).
- [40] C. Santelli-Rouvier & M. Santelli, Synthesis 1983, 429.