

CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article

Title: [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of substituted piperidines

Authors: Marianne Lankelma, Astrid M. Olivares, and Bas de Bruin

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201900587

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201900587

Supported by ACES



COMMUNICATION

[Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of substituted piperidines

Marianne Lankelma[†],^[a] Astrid M. Olivares[†],^[b] and Bas de Bruin^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Professor Pablo Espinet on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract: Radical cyclization via cobalt(III)-carbene radical intermediates is a powerful method for the synthesis of (hetero)cyclic structures. Building on the recently reported synthesis of fivemembered *N*-heterocyclic pyrrolidines catalyzed by Co(II) porphyrins, we herein report the [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of desirable six-membered N-heterocyclic piperidines, directly from linear aldehydes. Piperidines were obtained in overall high yields, with linear alkenes being formed as side products in small amounts. A DFT study was performed to gain a deeper mechanistic understanding of the cobalt(II)-porphyrin-catalyzed formation of pyrrolidines, piperidines and linear alkenes. The calculations show that the alkenes are unlikely to be formed through an expected 1,2-hydrogen atom transfer to the carbene carbon. Instead, the calculations are consistent with a pathway involving benzyl radical formation followed by radical rebound ring-closure to form the piperidines. Competitive 1,5hydrogen atom transfer from the β-position to the benzyl radical explains the formation of linear alkenes as side products.

Considerable efforts have been directed towards the synthesis of nitrogen-based heterocycles because of their importance in medicinal chemistry.^[1] The most prevalent *N*-heterocycles in U.S. FDA-approved drugs are piperidines (Figure 1).^[2] Common synthetic routes to the piperidine motif include hydroamination and ring-closing metathesis.^[3] An attractive alternative strategy for the formation of piperidines could be ring-closing C–C bond formation catalyzed by complexes based on earth-abundant transition metals.^[4]



Figure 1. A few examples of piperidine-based drugs and natural products.

In recent years, our group and others have demonstrated that lowspin d^7 cobalt(II) porphyrin complexes are excellent catalysts for C–C bond formation through radical-type carbene-transfer. In these reactions, the cobalt(II) porphyrin reacts with a carbene precursor (usually a diazo compound or *N*-tosylhydrazone) to

[a]	Marianne Lankelma, [†] Prof. dr. B. de Bruin Van 't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences (HIMS) Homogeneous, Supramolecular & Bio-Inspired Catalysis						
	Liniversity of Amsterdem, Science Dork 004						
	University of Amsterdam, Science Faik 904						
	1098 XH, Amsterdam, The Netherlands						
	E-mail: b.debruin@uva.nl						
[b]	Astrid M. Olivares [†]						
	Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester						
	404 Hutchison Hall, Rochester, NY 14627-0216 (USA)						
	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work						
	These additions contributed equally to this work.						

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: DOI

form a Co(III)-carbene radical, which can engage in controlled radical addition or hydrogen atom transfer (HAT). This type of reactivity belongs to a more general class of catalytic reactions involving single-electron elementary steps, called metalloradical catalysis.[5] Cobalt(III)-carbene radical chemistry has been successfully applied in the synthesis of carbo- and heterocyclic structures, including cyclopropanes,^[6] chromenes,^[7] furans,^[8] indenes,^[9] indolines,^[10] ketenes,^[11] butadienes & dihydronaphthalenes, ^[12] dibenzocyclooctenes^[13] and phenylindolizines.^[14] Recently, the group of Zhang reported the synthesis of chiral pyrrolidines and related five-membered ring compounds, starting from N-tosylhydrazones and catalyzed by cobalt(II) complexes of D_2 -symmetric chiral amidoporphyrins (Scheme 1A).^[15] Related reactions leading to five-membered Nheterocycles via carbene insertion into activated C-H bonds, mediated by Grubbs-type catalysts, were recently disclosed by the group of Fernández.^[16]

(A) - Previous work, Zhang et al.



Scheme 1. (A) Formation of chiral pyrrolidines and other five-membered heteroor carbocycles from *N*-tosylhydrazones, catalyzed by cobalt(II) complexes of D_2 symmetric chiral amidoporphyrins. (B) [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed one-pot synthesis of six-membered piperidine rings directly from linear aldehydes (this work).

As part of our ongoing exploration of the reactivity of cobalt(III)– carbene radicals, and in view of the importance of six-membered *N*-heterocycles in medicinal chemistry, we sought to explore the feasibility of this approach for the development of a novel method to synthesize substituted piperidines (Scheme 1B, compounds 2). Furthermore, instead of starting from *N*-tosylhydrazones, we opted for *in situ* formation of *N*-tosylhydrazones from aldehydes, followed by *in situ* deprotonation of the hydrazones to form the necessary diazo compounds. This one-pot approach reduces the number of synthetic steps and thereby provides accelerated access to the desired products. The potential of *in situ N*-tosylhydrazone formation in other reactions was briefly illustrated in 2014 by the group of Che^[17] and more recently by Chattopadhyay and co-workers.^[14]

Initial screening proved this approach to be successful, yielding the targeted piperidines **2** in overall high yields. Linear alkenes **3** were obtained as side products, in most instances in small amounts (Scheme 1B). In this paper we describe the details of the catalytic reactions, including optimization studies, substrate

COMMUNICATION

screening and mechanistic investigations aimed at understanding the formation of both ring products and linear alkenes.

The reaction parameters involved in the conversion of **1a** to **2a** and **3a** were screened in an effort to optimize product ratio and yield (Table 1; R = Ph). Without [Co(TPP)] (entry 0), only the diazo compound was formed. The use of 5 mol% [Co(TPP)], 1.2 equivalents of *p*-TsNHNH₂, 2 equivalents of Cs₂CO₃, benzene (1 or 2 mL) and 60 °C (entries 1 and 2) resulted in a quantitative combined yield and a **2a/3a** ratio of ca. 1 : 0.10. Increasing the catalyst loading (entry 3) did not have a beneficial influence. Addition of more than 1.2 equivalents of *p*-TsNHNH₂ (entry 4) or >2 equivalents Cs₂CO₃ (entry 5) was disadvantageous for both yield and product ratio.

Table 1. Screening of conditions to optimize the yield and product ratio of the conversion of ${\bf 1a}$ to ${\bf 2a}$ and ${\bf 3a}.$

Elevated temperatures (entries 6 and 7) promoted alkene formation, possibly due to an increased entropy contribution to ΔG^{\ddagger} . Exclusion of light (entry 8) did not affect the yield or product ratio. The use of solvents with reduced ability to π -stack (*vs.* benzene) (entries 9–11) did not improve the yield or product ratio either, and in the case of toluene the product ratio was even negatively affected. Notably, use of the isolated *N*-tosylhydrazone provided effectively the same results as *in situ* generation from **1a**, with the latter approach giving faster access to the same products in the same yield and product ratio.

Next we investigated the scope of the reaction (Table 2), applying the reaction conditions of entry 1 of Table 1. The reaction proved to be compatible with a wide variety of substituents (13 examples, Table 2). We observed the relative amount of alkene to be dependent on the substitution of the substrate.

Entry	[Co(TPP)] (mmol)	<i>p</i> -TsNHNH₂ (mmol)	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (mmol)	Solvent	Volume (mL)	Temperature (ºC)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	Piperidine : alkene ratio
0 ^{a, b}	0	0.12	0.20	Benzene	2	60	24	0	N/A
1 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Benzene	2	60	24	Quant.	1:0.10
2 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Benzene	1	60	24	Quant.	1:0.08
3 ^a	0.015	0.12	0.20	Benzene	2	60	24	Quant.	1:0.14
4 ^a	0.005	0.15	0.20	Benzene	2	60	24	78	1:0.40
5 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.22	Benzene	2	60	24	92	1:0.42
6 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Benzene	2	80	24	Quant.	1:0.28
7 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Toluene	2	105	24	96%	1:0.59
8 ^{a, c}	0.005	0.12	0.20	Benzene	1	60	24	Quant.	1:0.07
9 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	o-dichlorobenzene	2	60	24	85%	1:0.11
10 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Toluene	2	60	24	Quant.	1 : 0.51
11 ^a	0.005	0.12	0.20	Cyclohexane	2	60	24	85%	1:0.16

^a 0.1 mmol substrate, 24h. ^b Only the corresponding diazo compound formed. ^c Excluded from light.

Table 2. Investigation of the substrate scope of [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed piperidine



^a The overlap of the signals of **2d** and **3d** in ¹H-NMR complicates proper determination of their ratio.

COMMUNICATION

In most instances, piperidine and alkene formed in a ratio varying between 1 : 0.07 and 1 : 0.28, but there seems to be a delicate balance between the product ratio and the stability of the proposed benzylic radical intermediate (*vide infra*). Steric bulk and/or considerable stability of this intermediate appears to induce substantial alkene formation (entries c and d), whereas absence of radical-stabilizing substituents seems to favour ring closure. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations support these observations (Scheme S4 and S16).

Notably, in reactions leading to formation of five-membered *N*-heterocyclic pyrrolidines, no linear alkenes were formed as side products.^[15] We wondered if this is due to a beneficial effect of the D_2 -symmetric Co(II) amidoporphyrins used by Zhang and coworkers, or rather due to five-membered ring formation being substantially more favorable than linear alkene formation. We therefore investigated formation of pyrrolidine **4** using [Co(TPP)] and the above described *in situ* approach (Scheme 2A). Interestingly, as in the report of Zhang and co-workers, this led to clean pyrrolidine formation without detectable amounts of linear alkene.



Scheme 2. (A) [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of pyrrolidine 4 via *in situ* generation of the *N*-tosylhydrazone. (B) Formation of piperidine 2a and alkene 3a catalyzed by [Co(3,5-di('Bu)ChenPhyrin)].

Furthermore, when using Zhang's D_2 -symmetric chiral catalyst [Co(3,5-di('Bu)ChenPhyrin)] to synthesize six-membered *N*-heterocyclic piperidine **2a** (Scheme 2B), we observed a *higher* amount of linear alkene than with [Co(TPP)]. With this catalyst, piperidine **2a** and alkene **3a** were formed in an almost equimolar ratio (1 : 0.70), which might be attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonds between the substrate and amide substituents of the porphyrin.^[18] The products were obtained in poor combined yield (67%) compared to [Co(TPP)], and asymmetric induction proved inefficient (*ee* of **2a**: 25%). Optimization of asymmetric induction with other chiral catalysts is beyond the scope of this paper, but would be of interest for subsequent studies.

The above observations show that six-membered ring formation and linear alkene production are competitive, while linear alkenes are not formed in the synthesis of five-membered pyrrolidine rings, irrespective of the type of cobalt(II)-porphyrin used. Such behaviour is not easy to understand in terms of a mechanism involving linear alkene formation via a commonly accepted 1,2-hydrogen shift (Scheme 3).^[19]

Therefore, to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms of cobalt(II)-porphyrin-catalyzed formation of pyrrolidines, piperidines and alkenes, the reactions were investigated using DFT. To reduce computation time, a simplified

porphyrin without phenyl substituents on the *meso*-positions was used, abbreviated as [Co(por)].

A first important observation is that alkene formation via the expected 1,2-HAT from the β -position to the carbene-radical carbon of the proposed intermediate **C** has a high computed free energy barrier of +20 kcal mol⁻¹ (Scheme 3). This barrier is too high to compete with radical rebound ring-closure to form **2a** (barrier: +7 kcal mol⁻¹; Scheme 4).



Scheme 3. Linear alkene formation from cobalt(III)–carbene radical intermediate C via 1,2-HAT from the β - to the α -position has a rather high energy barrier. The ellipse represents the porphyrin ligand.

However, we found an alternative pathway for linear alkene formation, involving 1,5-HAT from the β -position to the benzylic radical carbon of **D** over **TS5**, which has a similar barrier as radical rebound ring-closure over **TS4**. As such, the DFT calculations point in the direction of the two competitive pathways depicted in Scheme 4.



Scheme 4. Proposed mechanisms for [Co(por)]-catalyzed competitive formation of piperidines and alkenes. All Gibbs free energies (ΔG^{o}_{333K} , in kcal mol⁻¹) including those of **TS1-TS5**, are reported relative to the energy of intermediate **A**. The ellipse represents the porphyrin ligand.

The catalytic cycle is preceded by the (presumably) uncatalyzed formation of diazo compound **1a**'' from *N*-tosylhydrazone **1a**' which is in turn *in situ* generated from aldehyde **1a**. Coordination of diazo compound **1a**'' to the cobalt(II) porphyrin generates intermediate **B**. Dinitrogen loss from diazo adduct **B** over **TS1** to

10.1002/chem.201900587

COMMUNICATION

form cobalt(III)-carbene radical intermediate C is an exergonic, low-barrier process (+13.4 kcal mol⁻¹). Similar to previously reported cobalt(III)-carbene radical species, intermediate C carries most of its spin density localized at the carbene carbon.^[20,21] An intramolecular 1,6-HAT process then relocates the radical character from the α -position to the ζ -position to yield benzyl-radical intermediate D or its bent analogue D'. Intramolecular ring-closure (effectively involving radical combination of the α - and ζ -positions) over **TS4** yields piperidine **2a**, while a $1,5-\beta$ -HAT over **TS5** leads to formation of alkene **3a**. The almost identical TS4 and TS5 barriers suggest that these two pathways should be in close competition (see also Scheme S1, S3 and S15). While these DFT results explain the formation of both 2a and 3a, the computed barriers are too similar to explain the experimentally observed 2a/3a ratio of 1 : 0.10. Therefore we also explored alternative pathways involving six-coordinate intermediates.

Insertion of the carbone carbon of intermediate C into the bond between the metal and a pyrrolato nitrogen of the porphyrin can cause the carbene to adopt a bridging position (Scheme 4, C').[21, 22] One could also envision a hexacoordinate species bearing both a bridging carbene and a terminal carbene (**D**_{bridged}). When the pathways toward products 2a and 3a are computed starting from D_{bridged} and passing through TS4_{bridged} and TS5_{bridged}, the radical rebound and 1,5- β -HAT pathways remain too similar (see Scheme S8 and S20). For that reason we also computed the energy barriers (from D onwards) for six-coordinated cobalt complexes formed upon coordination of a few different nitrogendonor ligands at the axial position trans to the substrate. Ammonia, methylimidazole and pyridine were used as simplified computational models to study the effects of different axial ligands coordinated to cobalt under the applied reaction conditions.^[23] Indeed axial coordination to cobalt(III)-alkyl radical intermediate D changes the selectivity in favour of ring closure, and the different donors were found to have different degrees of influence on the product ratio (Scheme 5 and S9-S12).^[24, 25]



Scheme 5. The computed effect of coordination of NH₃ (as a simplified model for a variety of possible ligands)^[23] on the energy barriers for [Co(por)]-catalyzed piperidine and alkene formation. All Gibbs free energies (ΔG°_{333K} , in kcal mol⁻¹), including those of TS4 and TS5, are reported relative to the energy of intermediate D-NH₃. The ellipse represents the porphyrin ligand.

Coordination of ammonia resulted in a computed k_1/k_2 value of 10.4 (k_1 for piperidine formation, k_2 for alkene formation) at 60 °C, which is in accord with the experimentally observed **2a/3a** ratio of 1 : 0.10.^[26] The other axial ligand donors give rise to slightly different k_1/k_2 values (pyridine: 14.4; methylimidazole: 5.9), suggesting that changes in the composition of the reaction mixture over time could indeed lead to a change in product ratio. This was experimentally confirmed. Monitoring the reaction of **1a** by ¹H-NMR over time indeed showed that the **2a/3a** ratio gradually shifts from 1 : 0.33 toward 1 : 0.10. We interpret this behaviour as the result of a changing composition of the reaction mixture over the course of the reaction, with (on average) different axial ligands being coordinated to cobalt (*vide supra*).^[23]

The absolute amounts of piperidine and alkene formed over time clearly indicate that alkenes **3** are not converted to piperidines **2** (Figure 2). More details on the monitoring experiments can be found in the Supporting Information.



Figure 2. Monitoring piperidine and alkene formation over time.

To fully exclude the possibility that alkenes **3** could be converted to piperidines **2**, aldehyde **1a** was subjected to the general reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 1) in presence of an equimolar amount of alkene **3h**. From all alkenes formed from the substrates enumerated in Table 2, **3h** was selected based on its ¹H-NMR signature, which was most distinct from the ¹H-NMR signatures of **2a** and **3a**. Based on ¹H-NMR analysis, it was clear that no piperidine **2h** had formed from alkene **3h**; only piperidine **2a** and alkenes **3a** and **3h** were detected in the crude reaction mixture.

Lastly, we also computed the reaction pathway leading to fivemembered pyrrolidines, in order to explain why alkene formation is not in competition with this reaction. The results are detailed in the Supporting Information (Scheme S5–7 and S17–19) and are in excellent agreement with the experimental results. The DFT calculations confirm that [Co(por)]-catalyzed ring-closure toward pyrrolidines has a much lower transition state barrier than pathways leading to alkene formation. Neither alkene formation through 1,4- β -HAT nor through 1,2- β -HAT is competitive, with the computed barriers being respectively 8 and 11 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the barrier for radical rebound ring-closure.

In conclusion, we developed a new base-metal-catalyzed synthetic route toward six-membered piperidine rings, which are important substructures of various medicinal compounds. Overall, the reaction is high-yielding and compatible with a wide range of functional groups. In contrast to the cobalt(II)porphyrin-catalyzed

COMMUNICATION

synthesis of five-membered pyrrolidines, the cobalt(II)porphyrincatalyzed construction of piperidines is accompanied by formation of (generally small amounts of) olefinic side products. The relative amount of alkene was found to be dependent on the substitution of the substrate. DFT calculations indicate a mechanism involving 1,6-HAT from the carbene radical carbon to the benzylic position of intermediate **C**, to form benzyl radical intermediate **D**. The latter can undergo radical rebound ring-closure to form piperidines. Competitive 1,5-HAT from the β-position to the benzylic radical carbon explains the formation of linear alkenes as side products. DFT and ¹H-NMR monitoring experiments suggest that axial ligand coordination influences the product ratio.

- [2] (a) E. Vitaku, D.T. Smith, J.T. Njardarson, J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257. (b) R.D. Tylor, M. MacCoss, A.D.G. Lawson, J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 5845.
- [3] I. Nakamura, Y. Tamamoto, *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 2127.
- [4] (a) P. Chirik, R. Morris (Ed.) Earth Abundant Metals in Homogeneous Catalysis (special issue), Acc. Chem. Res. 2015. (b) R.J.M. Klein Gebbink & M.-E. Moret (Ed.) Non-Noble Metal Catalysis: Molecular Approaches and Reactions, Wiley, 2019, ISBN: 978-3-527-34061-3.
- [5] Selected examples: (a) A. Gansäuer, M. Behlendorf, D. von Laufenberg, A. Fleckhaus, C. Kube, D.V. Sadasivam, R.A. Flowers II, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4739. (b) A. Gansäuer, S. Hildebrandt, A. Michelmann, T. Dahmen, D. von Laufenberg, C. Kube, G.D. Fianu, R.A. Flowers II, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7003. (c) W. Hao, X. Wu, J.Z. Sun, J.C. Siu, S.N. MacMillan, S. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 12141. (d) P.F. Kuijpers, M.J. Tiekink, W.B. Breukelaar, D.L.J. Broere, N.P. van Leest, J.I. van der Vlugt, J.N.H. Reek, B. de Bruin, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 7945. (e) H. Jiang, K. Lang, H. Lu, L. Wojtas, X.P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 9164. (f) V. Lyaskovskyy, A.I.O. Suarez, H. Lu, H. Jiang, X.P. Zhang, B. de Bruin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12264. (g) W.I. Dzik, X. Xu, X.P. Zhang, J.N.H. Reek, B. de Bruin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10891. (h) G. Manca, C. Mealli, D.M. Carminati, D. Intrieri, E. Gallo, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 4885.
 [6] (a) L. Huang, Y. Chen, G.Y. Gao, X. P. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, (h) L. Huang, Y. Chen, G.Y. Gao, X. P. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68,
- [6] (a) L. Huang, Y. Chen, G.Y. Gao, X. P. Zhang, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8179. (b) S. Zhu, J.V. Ruppel, H. Lu, L. Wojtas, X.P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5042. (c) D. Intrieri, A. Caselli, E. Gallo, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 5071. (d) A. Chirila, B.G. Das, N.D. Paul, B. de Bruin, ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 1413. (e) M. Goswami, B. de Bruin, W.I. Dzik, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 4382.
- [7] (a) N.D. Paul, S. Mandal, M. Otte, X. Cui, X. P. Zhang, B de Bruin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 1090. (b) N. Mujumdar, N. D. Paul, S. Mandal, B. de Bruin, W.D. Wulff, *ACS Catal.* 2015, *5*, 2329.
 [8] X. Cui, X. Xu, L. Wojtas, M. M. Kim, X.P. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012,
- [8] X. Cui, X. Xu, L. Wojtas, M. M. Kim, X.P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19981.
- B.G. Das, A. Chirila, M. Tromp, J.N.H. Reek, B. de Bruin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8968.
- [10] A.S. Karns, M. Goswami, B. de Bruin, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 5253. For the synthesis of enantioselective indolines, see: X. Wen, Y. Wang, X.P. Zhang *Chem. Sci.* 2018, 9, 5082.
- [11] N.D. Paul, A. Chirila, H. Lu, X.P. Zhang, B. de Bruin, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 12953.
- C. te Grotenhuis, B.G. Das, P.F. Kuijpers, W. Hageman, M. Trouwborst, B. de Bruin, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 8221.
- [13] C. te Grotenhuis, N. Heuvel, J.I. van der Vlugt, B. de Bruin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 140.

Acknowledgements

The work described in this paper was financially supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO TOP-Grant 716.015.001), the University of Amsterdam (Research Priority Area Sustainable Chemistry) and the National Science Foundation (Graduate Research Fellowship Program, NSF-NWO-GROW-project, no. DGE-1419118). We thank Prof. dr. Joost N.H. Reek for a valuable suggestion, Ed Zuidinga for HRMS measurements and Dylan E. Parsons (University of Rochester) for helpful scientific discussions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Homogeneous catalysis • Radicals • Nitrogen heterocycles • C-H activation • C-C coupling

- [14] S. Roy, S.K. Das, B. Chattopadhyay, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 2238.
- [15] Y. Wang, X. Wen, X. Cui, X.P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 4792.
- [16] D. Solé, A. Amenta, M.-L. Bennasar, I. Fernández, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 1160.
- [17] A.R. Reddy, C. Zhou, Z. Guo, J. Wei, C. Che Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14175.
- [18] Y. Wang, X.P. Zhang, "[Co(3,5-Di-t-Bu-ChenPhyrin)]" e-EROS, John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- [19] (a) M.P. Doyle, M.A. McKervey, T. Ye, Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1998. (b) G. Bertrand, Carbene Chemistry, Fontis Media, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2002.
- (a) H. Lu, W. I. Dzik, X. Xu, L. Wojtas, B. de Bruin and X. P. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8518. (b) W. I. Dzik, X. P. Zhang and B. de Bruin, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 9896. (c) W. I. Dzik, J. N. H. Reek and B. de Bruin, Chem.–Eur. J, 2008, 14, 7594. (d) V. Lyaskovskyy and B. de Bruin, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 270.
- [21] W.I. Dzik, X. Xu, X.P. Zhang, J.N H. Reek, B. de Bruin J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10891.
- [22] Involvement of a bis-carbene species was considered unlikely, based on previously published calculations. See reference [21]
- [23] Under catalytic conditions the reaction medium changes, and various different donors could be coordinated to cobalt, not necessarily the same in the beginning and end of the reaction: e.g. p-TsNHNH2, the oxygen atoms of the base, the terminal nitrogen atom of the *N*-tosylhydrazone, the internal nitrogen atom of the deprotonated *N*-tosylhydrazone and the terminal nitrogen atom of the diazo compound could all act as axial ligands.
- [24] Only the *relative* energy barriers were significantly affected by these nitrogen-donor ligands. The absolute energy barriers remained similar.
- [25] Effects of axial ligands coordinated *trans* with respect to the substrate have been extensively described before for metalloporphyrins. See e.g. (a) Y. Chen, X.P. Zhang *Synthesis* 2006, *10*, 1697. (b) D. Balcells, C. Raynaud, R.H. Crabtree, O. Eisenstein *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, *47*, 10090. (c) Y. Kang, H. Chen, Y. J. Jeong, W. Lai, E. H. Bae, S. Shaik and W. Nam *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, *15*, 10039. (d) S.P. de Visser, R. Latifi, L. Tahsini, W. Nam *Chem Asian J.* 2011, *6*, 493.
- [26] Note that these DFT calculations were performed in the gas phase and were simplified in several ways. Furthermore, the product ratio is calculated based on very small energy differences between the transition states of the k₁ and k₂ pathways, close to the accuracy of the calculations. The effects of axial ligand coordination on the computed k₁/k₂ ratios should therefore not be over-interpreted.

 ⁽a) A.L. Mndzhoian, in Synthesis of Heterocyclic Compounds, Springer, 1959. (b) C.W. Bird, in Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II, Pergamon: Oxford, 1996.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

COMMUNICATION



Radical cyclization via cobalt(III)–carbene radical intermediates is a powerful method for the synthesis of (hetero)cyclic structures. Building on the recently reported synthesis of five-membered pyrrolidine rings catalyzed by Co(II) amidoporphyrins, we herein report the [Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of six-membered piperidine rings, which was found to be accompanied by formation of small amounts of linear alkenes. A DFT study was performed to gain a deeper mechanistic understanding.

TOC Keyword: Nitrogen heterocycles

[Co(TPP)]-catalyzed formation of substituted piperidines