Natural Products

Chiral N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Natural Product Synthesis: Application of Ru-Catalyzed Asymmetric Ring-Opening/Cross-Metathesis and Cu-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation to Total Synthesis of Baconipyrone C**

Dennis G. Gillingham and Amir H. Hoveyda*

Natural product synthesis and development of catalysts and methods benefit from a critical relationship.^[1] A new process provides access to alternative, and often more efficient, routes—it renders a previously untenable scheme feasible. Total synthesis, an important testing ground for a new catalyst and the transformation that it promotes, is particularly valuable when it necessitates the discovery of a method that might otherwise remain unknown. Herein, we report an enantioselective synthesis of the unusual siphonariid metabolite baconipyrone C.^[2] The total synthesis demonstrates the utility of recently developed N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complexes (Scheme 1); it provides the first application of Rucatalyzed asymmetric olefin metathesis.^[3–4] Completion of the synthesis necessitated the development of a new protocol for



Scheme 1. NHC-based complexes examined and utilized for the total synthesis of baconipyrone C.

[*] D. G. Gillingham, Prof. A. H. Hoveyda Department of Chemistry Merkert Chemistry Center Boston College Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 (USA) Fax: (+1) 617-552-1442 E-mail: amir.hoveyda@bc.edu
[**] We are grateful to the NIH (GM-47480) and NSF (CHE-0213009) for financial support.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author. catalytic asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) as well—the first with an alkylaluminum reagent. $^{\left[5,6\right]}$

The retrosynthesis for baconipyrone C is presented in Scheme 2. We envisioned that pseudo- C_2 -symmetric diketone I might be prepared via 1,6-diene II; this approach would allow us to investigate whether chiral (NHC)Cu complexes developed for AAA reactions^[5,6] provide efficient access to 1,6-diene II via III. Segment IV would be synthesized by reductive cleavage of pyran V, secured by asymmetric ringopening/cross-metathesis (AROM/CM) of VI.^[3e] The chiral catalyst-based approach in Scheme 2 thus differs fundamentally from the well-established chiral auxiliary-based diastereoselective aldol strategies^[7] employed in the only other recorded total synthesis of this target.^[8]

> The catalytic double AAA proposed for conversion of III to II establishes, in a single operation, the two stereogenic centers in I, but would present a number of challenges as well. One set of complications is inherent to processes that are promoted by a single chiral catalyst and that involve diastereo- and enantioselective formation of proximal stereogenic centers. The initially established center can strongly influence, often in competition with the chiral catalyst, the sense of stereocontrol in the subsequent bond formation. Thus, as illustrated in Scheme 3, addition of the first Me unit to diene III would generate two new stereogenic centers. The first alkylation delivers VII (or the corresponding syn isomer), wherein the central carbon, unlike III or the desired final product II, is a stereogenic center. Selective formation of **II** requires that the second alkylation occur preferentially with the opposite sense of relative stereochemistry (vs. $III \rightarrow VII$);

otherwise, *meso-VIII* AAA would be generated. That is, **II** can only be obtained selectively if the chiral catalyst—not the stereogenic centers in **VII**—dictates the course of the second alkylation.

The substitution pattern of the olefins in **III** poses another challenge. This class of olefins represents a difficult and relatively unexplored set of substrates for catalytic AAA,^[5] the first examples of which were only recently reported.^[9] Existing disclosures do not, however, contain reactions that involve acyclic substrates with a non-aromatic olefin substituent.



3860

© 2007 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic analysis for baconipyrone C. AAA = asymmetric allylic alkylation; AROM/CM = asymmetric ring-opening/cross-meta-thesis; PG = protecting proup; LG = leaving group.



Scheme 3. Catalyst versus substrate control in the catalytic double AAA.

To identify conditions for the catalytic double AAA, we investigated related reactions of *rac*-**7** (Table 1). Protocols involving dialkylzinc reagents, highly effective for AAA of disubstituted and even the sterically congested α -trisubstituted olefins,^[3f,6a] miss the mark in this case (Table 1, entries 1–4). We thus turned our attention to the more Lewis acidic and nucleophilic Me₃Al.^[10] Reaction with CuCN proved encouraging (entry 5): in contrast to alkylation with Me₂Zn (10% conv. in 24 h, 200 mol% CuCN; entry 1), reaction with Me₃Al proceeds to greater than 98% conversion in 4 h with 15 mol% Cu salt, affording a 9:1 mixture of **8:9** (>20:1 S_N2':S_N2). The observed diastereoselectivity implies that substrate-controlled alkylation favors formation

Table 1: Initial investigation of Cu-catalyzed AAA.[a]

N	Me rad	OPO(OEt) ₂ THF -15 °C	Me R Me Me	Et + 8		Et + ent-8	Me Me Me Me Me	Et e 9
Entry	Alkyl metal	Catalyst (mol%)	Conv. [%] ^[b]	t [h]	$S_N 2': S_N 2^{[b]}$	8:9 ^[b]	e.r. [%] 8 ^[c]	ee [%] 8 [°

[/0]									
1	Me_2Zn	CuCN (200)	10	24	>20:1	9:1	-	-	
2	Me_2Zn	1 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	< 2	24	-	-	-	-	
3	Me_2Zn	2 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	< 2	24	-	-	-	-	
4	Me_2Zn	3 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	<2	24	-	-	-	-	
5	Me₃Al	CuCN (15)	>98	4	>20:1	9:1	-	-	
6	Me₃Al	1 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	45	24	>20:1	20:1	99:01	98	
7	Me₃Al	2 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	15	24	nd	9:1	nd	nd	
8	Me₃Al	3 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	68	1	>20:1	2.6:1	97:03	94	
9	Me₃Al	3 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	89	4.5	>20:1	1.7:1	95:05	90	
10	Me₃Al	3 (7.5); CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O (15)	95	24	>20:1	1.5:1	94.5:5.5	89	

[a] Reactions were performed under N₂. [b] Determined by 400-MHz ¹H NMR analyses of unpurified mixtures. [c] Determined by chiral GLC analysis (see the Supporting Information for details). nd = not determined.

of the *anti* diastereomer—desirable in the first part of the double AAA but not the second, which, as a result, must be controlled by the chiral catalyst. Next, we probed alkylations in the presence of chiral NHC complexes **1–3** (Scheme 1) and commercially available CuCl₂·2 H₂O. Complexes **1**^[6a] and **3**,^[11] unlike **2**,^[3f] (Table 1, entries 6–8) catalyze the desired process in 98% and 94% *ee*, respectively. Chiral NHC–sulfonate **3** is, however, more efficient than NHC–aryloxide **1**.

The observation that NHC complex **3** with $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ promotes the reaction beyond 50% conversion to afford **8** in high *ee* suggests that this system can influence the AAA of the slower-reacting

enantiomer of 7 (S-7). As illustrated in Scheme 4, variations in diastereoselectivity (8:9) and the enantiomeric purity of *anti* diastereomer 8 (Table 1, entries 8–10) shed light on the ability of chiral NHC 3 to control the outcome of the catalytic double AAA. A transformation that proceeds to completion and is fully controlled by the catalyst would be a parallel kinetic resolution^[12] that furnishes a 1:1 mixture of 8:9. Specifically, *anti* isomer 8 would be the sole product from AAA of the faster reacting *R*-7 (conv. \leq 50%), and *syn* isomer 9 would be generated exclusively through the remainder of the process (the C–C bond would be formed with the same sense of enantioselectivity in both cases). Any amount of *ent*-8 formed would be as a result of substrate control.

> Variations in diastereoselectivity (from 1:1) or lowering of enantioselectivity in the formation of **8** at high conversion would imply loss of catalyst control in alkylation of the slower-reacting *S*-**7**. As the catalytic AAA approaches complete conversion, **8** and **9** are obtained in 1.5:1 ratio and enantiopurity of **8** decreases only slightly (Table 1, entries 8–10), indicating that the Cu complex derived from **3** would be effective in promoting the double AAA.

The requisite substrate (13) was prepared from commercially available 10 in seven steps with greater than 98% E selectivity (Scheme 5).^[13] The high stereo-chemical purity of the trisubsti-

Communications



Scheme 4. Product distribution as an indication of catalyst versus substrate control in Cu-catalyzed AAA of *rac-***7**.

tuted olefins was secured by treatment of commercially available 10 with Br_2 , followed by elimination with DBU to afford 11 (>98% E) after reduction (DIBAL-H) and protection of the resulting primary alcohol. Conversion of vinyl bromide 11 to 13 was accomplished as shown in Scheme 5.^[14] Treatment of **13** with 7.5 mol% **3**, 15 mol% CuCl₂·2H₂O, and Me₃Al (-15 °C, 16 h) afforded the desired 14 in greater than 98% ee and 61% yield. The meso diene 15 was isolated in 8% yield along with 16 in 27% yield and 98% ee. Alkylation with CuCN (200 mol%) afforded a 1:1.5 mixture of 14:15; thus, in the second alkylation catalyst control overcomes substrate preferences with 8:1 selectivity (14:15). Formation of 16 (98% *ee* and > 98% *de*), arising from an $S_N 2'/S_N 2$ sequential alkylation, underlines the higher barrier to the $S_N 2'$ mode of reaction (to give 14 and 15) in the second alkylation. Zirconocene-mediated removal of the allyl group^[15] and ozonolytic cleavage of the olefins furnished **17** in greater than 98% ee and de.

Enantioselective synthesis of the other acyclic segment (see IV, Scheme 2) began with Ru-catalyzed AROM/CM of oxabicycle 18. As reported before, with 5 mol% of chiral complex $5b^{[3e]}$ (Scheme 1) and styrene, pyran 19 can be obtained in 55% yield and 80% *ee* (Table 2, entry 1); 5 mol% catalyst loading and 44 h are required for greater than 90% conversion. In search of a more efficient and selective process, we turned to the recently developed chiral carbene $6b^{[3f]}$ Under similar conditions, with complex 6b, 19 is formed in

81 % *ee* but with substantially higher efficiency (Table 2, entry 2): greater than 98 % conversion is observed in 15 h (vs. 96 % conv. in 44 h with **5b**). The higher activity of **6b**, generated in situ from **22** and NaI,^[3f] allows the catalyst loading to be reduced to 2.5 mol % (Table 2, entry 3) without loss of selectivity. The reaction proceeded to greater than 98 % conversion even with 0.7 mol % **6b** (Table 2, entry 4), albeit with diminution of selectivity (73 % *ee* vs. 81 % *ee*). Importantly, the improved activity of Ru catalyst **6b** (vs. **6a**) and the possibility of perform-

ing AROM/CM at -15°C results in enhanced enantioselectivities (88–89% *ee* vs. 73–81% *ee*), lower amounts of oligomeric by-products (derived from **18** or **20**) and higher isolated



OR Me,,,,,Me 18 R = Bn 20 R = PMB		0.7–5 mol % ral Ru catalyst no solvent Ph 19 R = Bn 21 R = PMB		OR Me O Bn = PMB	PPh ₃ CL _{A,,} PH ₃ PH ₃ CL _{A,,} PH ₃ CL _A , PH ₄ CL _A , PH ₄ PH ₃ CL _A , PH ₄ PH ₃ CL _A , PH ₄ PH ₄ CL _A , PH ₄ PH ₄ P		
Entry	Substrate	Catalyst (mol%)	Equiv styrene	T [°C]; e t [h]	Conv. [%] ^[b] ; Yield [%] ^[c]	ee [%] ^[d]	
1	18	5b (5)	4	22; 44	96; 55	80	
2	18	6b (5)	4	22; 15	>98; 56	81	
3	18	2 + 22 +Nal (2.5)	4	22; 14	>98; 44	81	
4	18	2 + 22 +Nal (0.7)	4	22; 14	>98; 46	73	
5	18	2 + 22 +Nal (2.0)	8	-15; 20	>98; 64	89	
6	20	2 + 22 +Nal (2.0)	8	-15; 20	>98; 62	88	

[a] Reactions were performed under N₂. [b] Conversions were determined by 400-MHz ¹H NMR analyses of unpurified mixtures. [c] Yields of isolated product after purification. [d] Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (see the Supporting Information for details).



Scheme 5. Enantioselective synthesis of diketone fragment **17**. a) Br_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C, 4 h; DBU, THF, 65°C, 1 h. b) 1.1 equivalents of DIBAl-H, toluene, 0°C to 22°C, 1 h; 72% overall yield. c) TBSCl, 5 mol% DMAP, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 4 h; 90% yield. d) 2.1 equivalents of tBuLi, THF, -78°C, 15 min; 0.5 equivalents of HCO_2Et , -78°C to 22°C, 45 min. e) NaH, $H_2C=CHCH_2Br$, DMF, 22°C, 12 h; 43% overall yield. f) nBu_4NF , THF, 22°C, 3 h; 91% yield. g) (EtO)₂P(O)Cl, 5 mol% DMAP, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 4 h; 87% yield. h) 7.5 mol% **3**, 15 mol% $CuCl_2$ ·2 H_2O , 4 equivalents of Me_3Al , THF, -15°C, 16 h. i) 1.1 equivalents of [Cp_2ZrCl_2], 2.2 equivalents of nBuLi, THF, -78°C, 1 h; 80% yield. j) O₃, pyridine/CH₂Cl₂, -78°C, 5 min; PPh₃, 1 h; 65% yield. DBU = 1,8-diazobicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene; DIBAl-H = diisobutylaluminum hydride; TBSCl = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride; DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine.

yields (62–64 % vs. 44–56 %). The Ru-catalyzed AROM/CM is easily carried out on a multigram scale. $^{[16]}$

The total synthesis was completed as shown in Scheme 6. The precursor to the acyclic γ -pyrone-containing polypropionate segment was unmasked by treatment of 21 with Na/ NH_3 , providing 23 as a single alkene isomer (<2% conjugated β-alkylstyrene) in 70% yield. Synthesis of 23 proceeded site-selectively: 4-hydroxypyran derived from competitive cleavage of the PMB ether was not detected (< 2%), and the acyclic diol corresponding to 23 was isolated as the only by-product (22% yield).^[17] Preparation of allylic phosphate 26 (or its trans isomer) required access to allylic alcohol 25. Attempts to synthesize 25 (or its trans isomer) through catalytic cross-metathesis^[18] of 23 (or its protected derivatives) with various olefin partners and catalysts resulted in less than 20% conversion.^[19] An alternative approach, involving catalytic Si-tethered ring-closing metathesis,^[20] was therefore pursued. Subjection of 23 to chlorodimethylallylsilane, followed by treatment of the resulting diene with Ru carbene $4^{[21]}$ and oxidation of the cyclic product 24 with H_2O_2 and KF furnished cis allylic alcohol 25 in 73% yield. Conversion to phosphate 26 and subsequent diastereoselective allylic alkylation with Me₂Zn and CuCN^[22] provided 27 in 98% de and 75% overall yield. Protection of the secondary alcohol, ozonolytic cleavage, and reductive workup afforded 28 (72% yield).

The primary alcohols of **28** exhibit different rates of reaction when subjected to TBSOTf and 2,6-lutidine (-78 °C, CH₂Cl₂). When **28** was treated with 1.2 equivalents of TBSOTf, 50% of desired product was obtained; 30% of the bis(silyl) product was also formed, but the undesired monosilyl product was not detected (< 2%). To facilitate selective silylation, substoichiometric amounts of TBSOTf were used and the unreacted starting material was recycled (60% yield after four runs). The remaining primary alcohol was oxidized, affording **29** in 98% yield.

We utilized the aldol addition of an enolate derived from **30** to aldehyde **29** as the first step towards installment of the γ -pyrone moiety. Reactions involving a variety of enolate derivatives were investigated. The lithium enolate obtained from reaction of ketone **30** (> 98 % *Z*) with LDA proved to be the most efficient in furnishing **31** (88 % yield). The mixture of the resulting enol ether isomers (4:1) was subjected to Dess–Martin periodinane and the diketone was treated with DBU to afford γ -pyrone **32** in 64 % overall yield for two steps.^[23] Removal of the silyl ethers in **32** required the use of TAS-F in DMF^[24] (98 % yield).^[25] Synthesis of carboxylic acid **33** and fragment coupling with **17** was accomplished according to previously reported procedures, delivering (+)-baconipyr-one C (unnatural enantiomer).^[8]

The present total synthesis is based on bond disconnections rendered feasible by the availability of new chiral Ag-,



Scheme 6. Enantioselective synthesis of fragment **32** and completion of the total synthesis. a) Na, NH₃, tBuOH, Et₂O, -78° C, 3 min.; 70% yield. b) 1.2 equivalents of ClMe₂Si(CH₂(H)C=CH₂), imidazole, CH₂Cl₂, 22°C, 45 min; 2 mol% **4**, toluene, 22°C, 40 min; H₂O₂, KF, KHCO₃, THF/MeOH, 16 h; 73% yield. c) 1.1 equivalents of (EtO)₂P(O)Cl, Et₃N, 5 mol% DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 4 h. d) 4 equivalents of Me₂Zn, 1.5 equivalents of CuCN, THF, -15° C, 22 h; 75% overall yield for two steps. e) 1 equivalent of TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -78° C, 1 h. f) O₃, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, -78° C, 10 min; NaBH₄, 22°C, 2 h; 72% overall yield for two steps. g) 0.4 equivalents of TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -78° C; 60% yield after four runs. h) DMP, CH₂Cl₂, 22°C, 30 min; 98% yield. i) 1.1 equivalents of LDA, **30**, THF, -78° C, 2 h; **29**, -78° C, 2 h; 88% yield. j) DMP, CH₂Cl₂, 22°C, 1 h. k) DBU, THF, 60°C, 4 h; 64% overall yield for two steps. l) 8 equivalents of TAS-F, DMF, 4 h; 98% yield. m) (COCl)₂, DMSO, -78° C; NEt₃, -30° C, CH₂Cl₂. 2 h. n) NaClO₂, Na₂HPO₄, Me₂C=CMe₂, tBuOH, H₂O, 1 h; 61% overall yield for two steps. o) 1 equivalent of **17**, 30 equivalents of 13,5-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, 50 equivalents of DMAP, 20 equivalents of Et₃N, toluene, 22°C, 30 min; 68% yield. p) 2 equivalents of DDQ, 10% pH 7 buffer in CH₂Cl₂, 1 h; 90% yield. THF = tetrahydrofuran; TBSOTf=*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl triflate; LDA = lithium diisopropylamine; DMP = Dess-Martin periodinane; DMSO = dimethylsulfoxide; DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanoquinone; TAS-F = tris (dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethyl-silicate; TIPS = triisopropylsilyl; PMB = *p*-methoxybenzyl.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3860-3864

© 2007 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Communications

Cu-, and Ru-based NHC complexes; it demonstrates the utility of enantioselective (NHC)Ru-catalyzed olefin meta-thesis and expands that of (NHC)Cu-catalyzed allylic alky-lations.^[26]

Received: February 4, 2007 Published online: April 5, 2007

Keywords: allylic alkylation · asymmetric catalysis · natural products · N-heterocyclic carbenes · olefin metathesis

- For a general discussion of natural product synthesis and asymmetric catalysis, see: A. H. Hoveyda, *Stimulating Concepts in Chemistry* (Eds: F. Vögtle, J. F. Stoddart, M. Shibasaki), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2000**, pp. 145–162.
- [2] D. C. Manker, D. J. Faulkner, T. J. Stout, J. Clardy, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5371-5374.
- [3] For a review on asymmetric olefin metathesis, see: a) A. H. Hoveyda, Handbook of Metathesis, Vol. 2 (Ed.: R. H. Grubbs), VCH-Wiley, Weinheim, 2003, pp. 128-150; for previous reports on Ru-catalyzed asymmetric olefin metathesis, see: b) T. J. Seiders, D. W. Ward, R. H. Grubbs, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3225-3228; c) J. J. Van Veldhuizen, J. S. Kingsbury, S. B. Garber, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4954-4955; d) J. J. Van Veldhuizen, D. G. Gillingham, S. B. Garber, O. Kataoka, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12502-12508; e) D. G. Gillingham, O. Kataoka, S. B. Garber, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12288-12290; f) J. J. Van Veldhuizen, J. E. Campbell, R. E. Giudici, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6877-6882; g) T. W. Funk, J. M. Berlin, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1840-1846; h) J. M. Berlin, S. D. Goldberg, R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7753-7757; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7591-7595; i) R. E. Giudici, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, in press.
- [4] For applications of Mo-catalyzed asymmetric olefin metathesis in natural product synthesis, see: a) S. D. Burke, N. Muller, C. M. Beudry, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 9720–9721; b) G. S. Weatherhead, G. A. Cortez, R. R. Schrock, A. H. Hoveyda, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2004, 101, 5805–5809; for a review of applications of catalytic olefin metathesis in natural product synthesis, see: c) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger, D. Sarlah, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4564–4601; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4490–4527.
- [5] For an overview of recent advances in catalytic asymmetric allylic alkylation reactions, see: H. Yorimitsu, K. Oshima, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, 117, 4509–4513; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 4435–4439.
- [6] For catalytic AAA reactions promoted by chiral NHC-based complexes, see: a) A. O. Larsen, W. Leu, C. Nieto-Oberhuber, J. E. Campbell, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11130–11131; b) S. Tominaga, Y. Oi, T. Kato, D. K. An, S. Okamoto, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5585–5588; c) reference [2f]; d) S. Okamoto, S. Tominaga, N. Saino, K. Kase, K. Shimoda, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 6001–6007; e) Y. Lee, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15604–15605.
- [7] Modern Aldol Reactions (Ed.: R. Mahrwald), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004.

- [8] I. Paterson, D. Y. Chen, J. L. Aceña, A. S. Franklin, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1513–1516.
- [9] a) C. A. Falciola, K. Tissot-Croset, A. Alexakis, Angew. Chem.
 2006, 118, 6141-6144; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5995-5998; for reactions of activated substrates, see: b) P. J. Goldsmith, S. J. Teat, S. Woodward, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 2275-2277; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2235-2237.
- [10] For use of trialkylaluminum reagents in Cu-catalyzed asymmetric conjugate additions, see: M. d'Augustin, L. Palais, A. Alexakis, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1400–1402; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1376–1378.
- [11] M. K. Brown, T. L. May, C. A. Baxter, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1115–1118; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1097–1100.
- [12] E. Vedejs, M. Jure, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4040-4069; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3974-4001.
- [13] Preliminary studies indicated that a benzyl ether gives rise to side products during AAA; the alcohol was therefore masked as an allyl ether.
- [14] See the Supporting Information for full experimental details involving synthesis of **13**.
- [15] H. Ito, T. Taguchi, Y. Hanazawa, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 774– 775.
- [16] Chiral Mo catalysts (R. R. Schrock, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 4740–4782; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4592-4633) provide 19 with lower enantiomeric purity ($\leq 67\%$ ee).
- [17] Prolonged reaction times led to the formation of the acyclic diol as the exclusive product.
- [18] a) S. J. Connon, S. Blechert, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 1944–1968; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1900–1923; b) A. K. Chatterjee, T.-L. Choi, D. P. Sanders, R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11360–11370.
- [19] Similar observations were made when pyran **21** was used as the substrate.
- [20] a) P. A. Evans, J. Cui, S. J. Gharpure, A. Polosukhin, H. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14702–14703; b) T. R. Hoye, B. M. Elkov, J. Jeon, M. Khoroosi, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3383–3386.
- [21] S. B. Garber, J. S. Kingsbury, B. L. Gray, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8168–8179.
- [22] Lower amounts of CuCN (e.g., 20 mol %) led to the formation of substantial amounts of dihydrofuran by-product derived from phosphate displacement by the secondary allylic alcohol. With 1.5 equiv CuCN, about 10% of this by-product is generated.
- [23] Previously reported methods for synthesis of γ-pyrones through triketone cyclizations proved to be inefficient; see: a) H. Arimoto, S. Nishiyama, S. Yamamura, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5619–5620; b) T. Lister, M. V. Perkins, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 2622–2626; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2560–2564.
- [24] K. A. Scheidt, H. Chen, B. C. Follows, S. R. Chemler, D. Coffey, W. R. Roush, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6436-6437.
- [25] Alternative protocols (e.g., aqueous HOAc, nBu₄NF) led to low yields owing to decomposition of starting material and/or product.
- [26] The full scope of the Cu-catalyzed AAA with alkylaluminum reagents will be reported in a separate account.