<u>LETTERS</u>

Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Sonogashira Coupling of Aryl Bromides Using Near Stoichiometric Carbon Monoxide

Karoline T. Neumann,[†] Simon R. Laursen,[†] Anders T. Lindhardt,^{*,‡} Benny Bang-Andersen,[§] and Troels Skrydstrup^{*,†}

[†]Center for Insoluble Protein Structures (inSPIN), Department of Chemistry and the Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), Aarhus University, Gustav Wieds Vej 14, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

[‡]Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center (iNANO), Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Finlandsgade 22, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark

[§]Lundbeck Research Denmark, H. Lundbeck A/S, Ottiliavej 9, 2500 Valby, Denmark

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A general procedure for the palladium-catalyzed carbonylative Sonogashira coupling of aryl bromides is reported, using near stoichiometric amounts of carbon monoxide. The method allows a broad substrate scope in moderate to excellent yields. The formed alkynone motive



serves as a platform for synthesis of various heterocyclic structures, including pyrimidines. Furthermore, the presented strategy allows effective ¹³C labeling.

A lkynones are important structural motifs in organic chemistry, as they serve as key intermediates in the synthesis of many natural products.¹ Furthermore, they represent a platform for the synthesis of various heterocyclic structures, including pyrazoles,² pyridines,³ pyrimidines,⁴ and benzodiazepines.⁵ Traditionally, alkynones have been prepared by the transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling of acid chlorides and terminal alkynes.⁶ Recently, reactions between acid chlorides and terminal alkynes without the use of transition metals⁷ have been reported. However, the general stability of acid chlorides is limited, and they are often only accessible with a narrow scope of functional groups.

Palladium-catalyzed carbonylations of aryl halides have within the last decades become an important transformation in organic synthesis.⁸ Therefore, it has been shown that the palladium-catalyzed carbonylative Sonogashira coupling is a viable alternative for the synthesis of alkynones. This method utilizes readily accessible starting materials, such as aryl halides or pseudohalides, and shows good functional group tolerance.⁹ Furthermore, these carbonylative protocols can be performed without the need of adding a copper cocatalyst, which is normally required for the direct Sonogashira couplings. The first palladium-catalyzed carbonylative Sonogashira coupling was published by Kobayashi and Tanaka in 1981,¹⁰ and since then, many groups have reported and improved this particular transformation.¹¹ However, until now, most protocols have been performed using aryl iodides as electrophiles and only one report involves the more challenging aryl bromides as substrates. Recently, Beller and co-workers reported the first general procedure for the carbonylative Sonogashira coupling of aryl bromides.¹² Due to a 10 bar operating pressure of carbon monoxide, their protocol relies on the use of autoclaves. Furthermore, increased pressures of CO (30 bar) were required

for the coupling of a heteroaromatic bromide, and only one example was reported.

Given our developed expertise in the application of carbonylation chemistry performed in two-chamber reactors, using CO generated from stable precursors,¹³ we decided to investigate if the application of stoichiometric amounts of CO would be sufficient to promote the carbonylative Sonogashira coupling. Despite being a lower pressure protocol, a successful outcome would simultaneously provide direct access to isotope incorporation using ¹³C-labeled CO.

In this paper, we report a new protocol for the palladiumcatalyzed carbonylative Sonogashira coupling of aryl bromides with terminal alkynes applying near stoichiometric amounts of CO. The simple application of $PdCl_2$ in combination with Xantphos as the ligand at 80 °C in dioxane provides a substrate scope with excellent functional group tolerance, including several examples of heteroaromatic bromides. Furthermore, the method proved adaptable to isotope labeling using ¹³C-labeled CO, generated ex situ in an analogous manner to CO. Finally, selected alkynones were transformed into their corresponding pyrimidines, by reaction with benzamidine, illustrating the utility of these alkynone building blocks in the synthesis of heteroaromatic structures.

As the starting point for the optimization, the coupling of 4bromoanisole with 4-ethynyltoluene was chosen (Table 1). Based on preliminary work performed in our group, the combination of $PdCl_2$ with triethylamine in dioxane was applied. CO was generated *ex situ* from COgen by applying the two-chamber reactor (COware) previously reported.¹³ With

Received:March 9, 2014Published:April 4, 2014

Table 1. Ligand Screening of the Carbonylative Sonogashira Reaction a



^{*a*}Chamber A: COgen (0.75 mmol), HBF₄(tBu)₃P (1 mol %), Pd(cod)Cl₂ (1 mol %), Cy₂NMe (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). Chamber B: 4-bromoanisole (0.5 mmol), 4-ethynyltoluene (0.75 mmol), PdCl₂ (5 mol %), ligand (*x* mol %), Et₃N (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*c*}Isolated yield shown in brackets. ^{*d*}Using 2.5 mol % of PdCl₂.

this setup in hand, different ligands were tested, the results of which are shown in Table 1.

First, alkyl phosphine ligands were tested, as these were previously shown to provide good conversions of both aryl iodides and aryl bromides (Table 1, entries 1-3).^{9g,12,14} However, in this case, these ligands did not provide satisfactory conversions. Another attempt was undertaken using PPh₃, a typically applied ligand in the carbonylative Sonogashira reaction with aryl iodides (Table 1, entry 4). However, only low conversion of 4-bromoanisole was observed, presumably due to a difficult oxidative addition step using PPh₃ as ligand. Changing to the more active ligand P(*o*-Tol)₃ only provided trace amounts of 1 (Table 1, entry 5).

Using the bidentate ligand, Xantphos provided full conversion to the desired product (Table 1, entry 6), with a 90% isolated yield of the desired alkynone (1). Other bidentate ligands, such as dppf and dppp, proved less reactive (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Lowering the ligand and catalyst loadings only afforded 50% conversion (Table 1, entry 9).

Having developed optimal reaction conditions for the carbonylative Sonogashira coupling of aryl bromides, we explored the scope of the reaction by examining combinations of various aryl bromides and terminal alkynes (Scheme 1). Electron-rich aryl bromides were coupled successfully in high yields (compounds 2, 10, and 11), including 4-bromoaniline, which could be successfully isolated in a 72% yield (compound 6). Electron-deficient aryl bromides were also coupled to the desired alkynone structures in yields ranging from 82 to 98% (compounds 3-5, 7, 12, 14, and 15). The use of aryl bromides carrying ortho substituents turned out to be more challenging, but by increasing the reaction temperature to 100 °C and applying DIPEA as the base, we could secure the desired products in moderate to good yields (compounds 8, 13, 16, and 17). Both free anilines and Boc-protected anilines were tolerated under the developed conditions with isolated yields ranging from 72 to 95% (compounds 6, 10, 16, and 18). Especially the motive found in compound 16 is interesting as it provides an expedient access to various quinolone structures.¹⁵

Letter





^aChamber A: COgen (0.75 mmol), HBF₄(tBu)₃P (1 mol %), Pd(cod)Cl₂ (1 mol %), Cy₂NMe (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). Chamber B: aryl bromide (0.5 mmol), alkyne (0.75 mmol), PdCl₂ (5 mol %), Xantphos (5 mol %), Et₃N (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). ^bIsolated yields. ^cReaction conducted at 100 °C using DIPEA (1.5 mmol) as base in both chambers. ^dStarting from 1,1'-biphenyl triflate.

The substrate scope also demonstrates that a variety of terminal alkynes can be tolerated; aromatic alkynes with *ortho* and *para* substituents and aliphatic alkynes perform well under the catalytic conditions (e.g., compounds **3**, **4**, **20**, and **21**).

TIPS-protected acetylene also underwent successful coupling, affording **19** in an excellent 98% isolated yield. Finally, substituting the aryl bromide for the corresponding aryl triflate did not impede the carbonylative coupling (compound **22**).

Next, attention was turned to the heteroaromatic bromides as electrophiles in the coupling (Scheme 2). Applying *N*-Boc-





^aChamber A: COgen (0.75 mmol), HBF₄(tBu)₃P (1 mol %), Pd(cod)Cl₂ (1 mol %), Cy₂NMe (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). Chamber B: aryl bromide (0.5 mmol), alkyne (0.75 mmol), PdCl₂ (5 mol %), Xantphos (5 mol %), Et₃N (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). ^bIsolated yields. ^cUsing ¹³COgen (0.75 mmol).

protected bromoindoles furnished the alkynones in good overall yields (compounds 24 and 28). Different bromopyridines were also tolerated in moderate to excellent yields (compounds 23, 25, and 26). Using 2-bromobenzothiophene as the electrophile yielded the desired product 27 in a 60% isolated yield. Finally 2-bromothiophene was successfully coupled to alkynone 29 in a 73% yield.

Finally, to illustrate the applicability of the synthesized alkynones, it was decided to synthesize pyrimidines and their labeled counterparts. The synthesis of the intermediary alkynone structures was carried out using ¹³C-labeled ¹³COgen (compounds **18b** and **26b**, Scheme 3). Müller and co-workers have demonstrated how to access various heterocyclic structures starting from the alkynone motif.^{2b,4} Hence, employing their method provided an efficient route to highly substituted pyrimidines in only two steps with overall good yields (Scheme 3).

In conclusion, we have developed a new and efficient procedure for the carbonylative Sonogashira coupling of various aryl- and heteroaryl bromides. Noteworthy, is the excellent functional group tolerance, illustrating the generality of the developed procedure. The substrate scope also includes several examples of heteroaromatic bromides, which have not been





^{*a*}Carbonylative Sonogashira. Chamber A: COgen (0.75 mmol), HBF₄(tBu)₃P (1 mol %), Pd(cod)Cl₂ (1 mol %), Cy₂NMe (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). Chamber B: aryl bromide (0.5 mmol), alkyne (0.75 mmol), PdCl₂ (5 mol %), Xantphos (5 mol %), Et₃N (1.5 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL). ^{*b*}Pyrimidine synthesis: alkynone (0.3 mmol), benzamidine hydrochloride (0.36 mmol), Na₂CO₃ (0.72 mmol) in MeCN (2 mL) and H₂O (0.3 mL). ^{*c*}Isolated yields. ^{*d*}From **18a**, Scheme 1 ^{*e*}From **26a**, Scheme 2.

accessed before. Finally, this setup is highly suitable for ¹³C isotope labeling by applying ¹³COgen as the CO precursor.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and copies of all the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for all the coupling products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: lindhardt@eng.au.dk.

*E-mail: ts@chem.au.dk.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciative the generous financial support of this work from the Danish National Research Foundation (Grant No. DNRF59), the Villum Foundation, the Danish Council for Independent Research: Technology and Production Sciences, the Carlsberg Foundation, the Lundbeck Foundation, and Aarhus University.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Karpov, A. S.; Merkul, E.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 7112. (b) Souza, D. M. D.; Müller, T. J. J. Nat. Protoc. 2008, 3, 1660. (c) Marco-Contelles, J.; Opazo, E. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3705. (d) Forsyth, C. J.; Xu, J.; Nguyen, S. T.; Samdai, I. A.; Briggs, L. R.; Rundberget, T.; Sandvik, M.; Miles, C. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15114. (e) Tietze, L. F.; Singidi, R. R.; Gericke, K. M.; Bockemeier, H.; Laatsch, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5875.

(2) (a) Liu, H.; Jiang, H.; Zhang, M.; Yao, W.; Zhu, Q.; Tang, Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 3805. (b) Willy, B.; Müller, T. J. J. *ARKIVOC* **2008**, 195.

(3) Bagley, M. C.; Dale, J. W.; Bower, J. Synlett 2001, 1149.

(4) Karpov, A. S.; Müller, T. J. J. Synthesis 2003, 2815.

(5) Willy, B.; Dallos, T.; Rominger, F.; Schönhaber, J.; Müller, T. J. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 4796.

(6) (a) Lee, K. Y.; Lee, M. J.; Kim, J. N. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8705.
(b) Stefani, H. A.; Cella, R.; Dorr, F. A.; de Pereira, C. M. P.; Gomes, F. P.; Zeni, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 2001. (c) Palimkar, S. S.; Kumar, P. H.; Jogdand, N. R.; Daniel, T.; Lahoti, R. J.; Srinivasan, K. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5527. (d) Yim, S. J.; Kwon, C. H.; An, D. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5393. (e) Jackson, M. M.; Leverett, C.; Toczko, J. F.; Roberts, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5032.
(f) Alonso, D. A.; Nájera, C.; Pacheco, M. C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1615. (g) Wang, B.; Bonin, M.; Micouin, L. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6126. (h) Chen, L.; Li, C. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3151. (i) Kakusawa, N.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kurita, J.; Tsuchiya, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4143.

(7) Taylor, C.; Bolshan, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 488.

(8) For reviews on palladium-catalyzed carbonylations, see: (a) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1. (b) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4986. (c) Brennführer, A.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 4176; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4114. (d) Brennführer, A.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. ChemCatChem 2009, 1, 28. (e) Skoda-Foldes, R.; Kollár, L. Curr. Org. Chem. 2002, 6, 1097. (f) Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysts: Innovations in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1995. (g) Beller, M.; Cornils, B.; Frohning, C. D.; Kohlpaintner, C. W. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1995, 104, 17.

(9) (a) Liu, J.; Peng, X.; Sun, W.; Zhao, Y.; Xia, C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3933. (b) Mohamed Ahmed, M. S.; Mori, A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3057. (c) Liu, J.; Chen, J.; Xia, C. J. Catal. 2008, 253, 50. (d) Kang, S.; Lim, K.; Ho, P.; Kim, W. Synthesis 1997, 874. (e) Delaude, L.; Masdeu, A. M.; Alper, H. Synthesis 1994, 1149. (f) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Marinelli, F.; Pace, P.; Sanzi, G. Synlett 1995, 823. (g) Iizuka, M.; Kondo, Y. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5180.

(10) Kobayashi, T.; Tanaka, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 333.

(11) (a) Sans, V.; Trzeciak, A. M.; Luis, S.; Ziółkowski, J. J. Catal. Lett. 2006, 109, 37. (b) Fukuyama, T.; Yamaura, R.; Ryu, I. Can. J. Chem. 2005, 83, 711. (c) Rahman, M. T.; Fukuyama, T.; Kamata, N.; Sato, M.; Ryu, I. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2236. (d) Liang, B.; Huang, M.; You, Z.; Xiong, Z.; Lu, K.; Fathi, R.; Chen, J.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6097. (e) Fusano, A.; Fukuyama, T.; Nishitani, S.; Inouye, T.; Ryu, I. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2410. (f) Tambade, P. J.; Patil, Y. P.; Nandurkar, N. S.; Bhanage, B. M. Synlett 2008, 886.

(12) Wu, X.-F.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12104.

(13) (a) Hermange, P.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Taaning, R. H.; Bjerglund, K.; Lupp, D.; Skrydstrup, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6061.
(b) Friis, S. D.; Taaning, R. H.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18114. (c) Nielsen, D. U.; Lescot, C.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Gøgsig, T. M.; Skrydstrup, T. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 17926 and references cited therein.

(14) Korsager, S.; Taaning, R. H.; Lindhardt, A. T.; Skrydstrup, T. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6120.

(15) Ward, T. R.; Turunen, B. J.; Haack, T.; Neuenswander, B.; Shadrick, W.; Georg, G. I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6494.