Asymmetric Ir^I-Catalysed Allylic Alkylation Of Monosubstituted Allylic Acetates With Phosphorus Amidites As Ligands

Björn Bartels,^[a] Cristina García-Yebra,^[a] and Günter Helmchen*^[a]

Keywords: Iridium / Allylic substitution / Asymmetric catalysis / P ligands / Chirality

Monodentate phosphorus amidites derived from 2,2'-binaphthol and a variety of chiral amines were employed as ligands in Ir¹-catalysed allylic alkylations of unsymmetrically substituted allylic acetates. The enantio- and regioselectivities of these reactions were investigated. Phosphorus amidites of bulky secondary chiral amines induced enantioselectivities of up to 94% *ee* in reactions of linear substrates. Phosphorus

Introduction

Transition-metal-catalysed asymmetric allylic substitutions are widely employed in organic synthesis.^[1] Usually, symmetrically substituted allylic derivatives are used as substrates. Synthetically more easily accessible monosubstituted allylic substrates 1 or 2 (Scheme 1) are rarely employed because, in addition to enantioselectivity, regioselectivity in favour of branched chiral products 3 must be achieved. With palladium complexes as catalysts, linear products are generally produced. Only very recently have ligands been developed that give rise to the branched products 3 in special cases ($R = CH_3$ and R = aryl).^[2] With alkyl-substituted substrates synthetically useful results have not been obtained so far. In contrast, Mo- or W-based catalysts preferentially give rise to branched products. High levels of reactivity and enantioselectivity were obtained within the limits stated for Pd complexes.^[3] With Pd catalysts reactions proceed via π -allyl complexes which can isomerize via π - σ - π rearrangement or related processes so that branched and linear substrates yield the same products. Memory effects are known but are usually small.^[4]

Memory effects for Mo- and W-catalyst are strongly dependent on the auxiliary ligand, nucleophile and substrate, and are poorely understood.^[5]

Substitutions catalysed by Rh, Fe or Ru complexes proceed with a high degree of conservation of enantiomeric excess (*cee*). Intermediates of these reactions are σ - or π -allyl complexes which isomerize slowly compared to (allyl)Pd complexes.^[6] As a consequence, enantiomerically enriched substrates **1**, even with achiral ligands, yield

 [a] Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany Fax: (internat.) +49-(0)6221/544-205 E-mail: g.helmchen@urz.uni-heidelberg.de amidites derived from chiral primary amines, which have not

been previously employed in asymmetric catalysis, furnished

improved regioselectivities. The use of LiCl as additive led

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim,

to improved regio- and enantioselectivities.

Germany, 2003)

Scheme 1. General scheme for the metal-catalysed allylic substitution of monosubstituted allylic substrates

enantiomerically enriched products **3** via double inversion processes. Because of this, these metal ions appeared not to be suitable for asymmetric synthesis. Surprisingly, asymmetric synthesis was recently nevertheless accomplished with symmetrically substituted 1,3-diarylallyl derivatives.^[7]

Ir^I-catalysed allylic substitutions, carried out with catalysts prepared by combining [IrCl(COD)]₂ with a π-acceptor ligand, usually triphenylphosphite, regioselectively furnish branched products **3** from both aryl- and alkyl-substituted allylic substrates (cf. Scheme 2).^[8] Ir-based catalysis is of particular interest because of its broad scope concerning allylic substrates. Since 1997 we and others have studied enantioselective Ir^I-catalysed allylic substritutions using bidentate chiral phosphinooxazolines^[9] and chiral phosphites and phosphorus amidites^[10] as ligands. We have recently published a full account of our studies concerning the various factors affecting the steric course of the Ir^I-catalysed allylic substitution of

(allyl)Ir^{III} complexes related to the proposed intermediate species.^[11]



Scheme 2. Substrates and typical conditions employed in the Ir^Icatalysed allylic alkylations

According to the previous work, the following aspects of the Ir^I-catalysed allylic alkylations are important: (a) There is a distinct memory effect with respect to regioselectivity, i.e., preference for the branched product 3 is higher with the branched substrate 1 than with the linear substrate 2. (b) Similarly, substitution reactions with enantiomerically enriched acetates 1 catalysed by complexes containing achiral ligands proceed with partial conservation of enantiomeric purity. Because of this, a high level of enantioselectivity with a branched racemic substrate requires conditions that promote isomerisation at the level of the substrates or intermediates of the reaction. (c) It was found that phosphorus amidite and, less effectively, phosphite ligands promote isomerization of the intermediates. Furthermore, addition of LiCl gave rise to enhanced erosion of enantiomeric purity when enantiomerically pure acetates 1 were used as substrates. (d) Intermediate octahedral Ir^{III} complexes have been proposed to contain monodentate phosphorus and π allyl ligands in mutual cis disposition due to the strong trans influence of these ligands. (e) Finally, it was demonstrated that the Ir^I-catalysed allylic alkylation proceeds via a double inversion process.[11]

Induced by the favourable properties of phosphorus amidites as ligands, we have carefully investigated their use in asymmetric allylic substitutions. Here we report results obtained with a variety of chiral phosphorus amidites. Phosphorus amidites are usually derived from secondary amines. In addition, we have investigated less bulky phosphorus amidites of primary amines.

Results and Discussion

In the previous studies, tests of substrates with various leaving groups showed that acetates are best suited for Ir^{I} -catalyzed allylic substitutions.^[11] Furthermore, aryl-substituted allylic derivatives invariably gave superior results. In order to gain a realistic assessment of the results, reactions with the alkyl-substituted compounds **1a** and **2a** (Scheme 2) were thoroughly studied and considered representative. Further studies using substrates **1b**-**f** and **2b**-**e** were then carried out in order to assess the scope of the method.

1098

Use of Phosphorus Amidites Derived from Secondary Amines as Ligands

In the first set of experiments phosphorus amidites of widely differing types were tested as ligands (Table 1): L1,^[12] L2,^[13] known from the work of Feringa, and L3, prepared by standard procedures.^[14]

Table 1. Allylic substitutions according to Scheme 2 with substrates (rac)-1a and 2a using diverse chiral phosphorus amidites as ligands^[a]



Entry	Sub- strate	Ligand	Temp. [°C]	Time [h]	Yield [%]	Ratio ^[b] 3:4	ee [%] ^[b] (Conf.)
1	1a	(<i>aR</i>)-L1	25	3	92	98:2	69 (<i>R</i>)
2	1a	(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-L2	50	5	17	84:16	5 (<i>R</i>)
3	1a	(S)-L3 ^[c]	25	72	31	96:4	9 (<i>R</i>)
4	2a	(aR)-L1	25	3	54	95:5	$ \begin{array}{c} 43 & (R) \\ 8 & (R) \\ 7 & (S) \end{array} $
5	2a	(R,R)-L2	50	96	34	21:79	
6	2a	(S)-L3 ^[c]	50	96	26	39:61	

^[a] All reactions were carried out in THF as solvent at a 0.5 mmol scale [0.125 M] of allylic substrate with 2 equiv. of NaCH(CO₂Me)₂, 2 mol % of [IrCl(COD)]₂ and 4 mol % of the ligand. ^[b] Determined by HPLC. ^[c] Mixture of diastereomers (2.5:1); for details see Exp. Sect.

The ligand L1, derived from 2,2'-binaphthol (BINOL), gave the best results. This ligand was found to promote particularly fast isomerization of isomeric (allyl)Ir^{III} complexes and, therefore, enantio- and regioselectivities for both branched and linear substrates are similar (Table 1, entries 1 and 4). Based on these results, work was concentrated on phosphorus amidites derived from BINOL using the readily available, known compounds L4,^[12b,15] L5,^[16] L6,^[15b,16] and L7^[17] as ligands (Figure 1). The results obtained in alkylations of substrates (*rac*)-1a and 2a are described in Table 2.



Figure 1. Some phosphorus amidite ligands derived from secondary amines

Reaction rates were distinctly lower with the bulky ligands L4-L7 than with the comparatively small ligand L1. Regioselectivity was generally higher for the branched substrate 1a than the linear substrate 2a. However, while the difference was small with ligand L1, it was very pronounced

Table 2. Phosphorus amidites derived from secondary amines in Ir^{I} -catalyzed allylic alkylation of substrates (*rac*)-1a and 2a ^[a]

Entry	Sub- strate	Ligand	Temp. [°C]	Time [h]	Yield [%]	Ratio ^[b] 3:4	ee [%] ^[b] (Conf.)
1	1a	(<i>aR</i>)-L1	25	3	92	98:2	69 (<i>R</i>)
2	1a	(aR)-L4	50	18	69	85:15	21(S)
3	1a	(aR,S,S)-L5	50	18	85	86:14	27(S)
4	1a	(<i>aS</i> , <i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)- L6	25	18	10	89:11	24(R)
5	1a	(S,S)-L7	25	48	97	89:11	7(R)
6	2a	(<i>aR</i>)-L1	25	3	54	95:5	43 (<i>R</i>)
7	2a	(<i>aR</i>)-L4	50	96	92	28:72	12(S)
8	2a	(aR,S,S)-L5	50	96	49	30:70	23(S)
9	2a	(<i>aS</i> , <i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)- L6	50	96	91	53:47	77 (R)
10	2a	(<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)-L7	50	72	73	53:47	61 (<i>R</i>)

^[a] All reactions were carried out in THF at a 0.5 mmol scale [0.125 M] with 2 equiv. of NaCH(CO₂Me)₂, 2 mol % [IrCl(COD)]₂ and 4 mol % of the ligand. ^[b] Determined by HPLC.

with L4–L7. There is no apparent trend with respect to enantioselectivity. Ligand L1 performed best with the branched substrate (Table 2, entry 1), ligand L6 gave an even better result with the linear substrate (entry 9), while the other bulky ligands L4 and L5 gave rise to low enantioselectivity (entries 7, 8). There is also no visible trend concerning the configurational course of the reaction. Even ligands L1 and L4, with only a stereogenic axis, yield products with the opposite configuration (cf. entries 1, 2 and 6, 7). Similarly, the diastereomeric ligands L5 and L6 show widely differing influences without apparent pattern. Ligand L7, with only a stereogenic center, gave widely differing enantioselectivities for substrates 1a and 2a so that the relative influences of the BINOL moiety and the amine moiety are not apparent.

In view of the low reactivity found for ligands with bulky substituents at nitrogen, it was of interest to test phosphorus amidites derived from chiral primary amines in the allylic alkylation. It was hoped that enhanced reactivity might not only be due to the reduced steric bulk but also due to favorable hydrogen bonds between the NH group and the substrate. In previous work, we have obtained excellent results with such ligands in asymmetric hydrogenations.^[18]

Use of Phosphorus Amidites Derived from Primary Amines as Ligands

The ligands L8 to L13 were synthesized by the standard procedure (Scheme 3) involving treatment of BINOL with phosphorus trichloride and further coupling of the product with the primary amine in the presence of two equivalents of NEt₃. Ligands L8–L13 were obtained in 35–69% yield.

The results obtained with the new ligands in catalysis are summarised in Table 3. As anticipated, significant improvement, compared to the results obtained with ligands L4-L6, with respect to reactivity as well as regioselectivity was obtained. The enantioselectivity was almost the same as that achieved with L1 (cf. entry 1 of Table 2 with entry 3 of Table 3) in the case of substrate 1a. With substrate 2a the degree of enantioselectivity was low (19-57% ee).

Table 3. Phosphorus amidites derived from primary amines as ligands in Ir^{I} -catalyzed allylic alkylation of substrates (*rac*)-1a and 2a ^[a]

Entry	Sub- strate	Ligand	Temp. [°C]	Time [h]	Yield [%]	Ratio ^[b] 3:4	ee [%] ^[b] (Conf.)
1	1a	(aR,S)-L8	25	3	98	99:1	64 (<i>R</i>)
2	1a	(aS,S)-L9	25	5	96	96:4	27 (S)
3	1a	(<i>aR</i> , <i>S</i>)-L10	25	3	65	99:1	68 (R)
4	1a	(aS,S)-L11	25	18	60	99:1	18 (S)
5	1a	(<i>aR</i> , <i>S</i>)-L12	25	18	98	98:2	31 (<i>R</i>)
6	1a	(aS,S)-L13	25	18	94	98:2	19 (S)
7	2a	(aR,S)- L8	25	3	56	83:17	57 (R)
8	2a	(aS,S)-L9	25	5	67	88:12	38 (S)
9	2a	(<i>aR</i> , <i>S</i>)-L10	25	18	15	67:33	35 (R)
10	2a	(aS,S)-L11	25	18	40	67:33	19 (S)
11	2a	(<i>aR</i> , <i>S</i>)-L12	25	18	81	90:10	24 (R)
12	2a	(<i>aS</i> , <i>S</i>)-L13	25	18	53	72:28	39 (<i>S</i>)

^[a] All reactions were carried out in THF at a 0.5 mmol scale [0.125 M] with 2 equiv. of NaCH(CO₂Me)₂, 2 mol % [IrCl(COD)]₂ and 4 mol % of the ligand. ^[b] Determined by HPLC.

Scope with Respect to Substrate

According to the results presented above, L1 is the most suitable ligand for branched acetates (1), while the phosphorus amidite L6 gives the best enantioselectivities but low regioselectivity for linear acetates (2).



Scheme 3. Phosphorus amidites derived from primary amines

FULL PAPER

Entry	R, Substrate	Additive ^[b]	Temp. [°C]	Time	Yield [%]	Ratio ^[c] 3:4	ee [%] ^[d] (<i>Conf.</i>)
1	CH ₂ CH ₂ Ph, 2a	_	50	4 d	91	53:47	77 (R)
2	CH ₂ CH ₂ Ph, 2a	LiCl	50	4 d	84	65:35	92 (R)
3	Ph, 2b	_	25	18 h	41	70:30	70(R)
4	Ph, 2b	LiCl	25	18 h	98	91:9	86 (R)
5	Me, 2c	_	25	3 d	85	58:42	56 (R)
6	Me, 2c	LiCl	25	3 d	96	75:25	82 (R)
7	<i>i</i> Pr, 2d	_	25	4 d	25	30:70	78 (R)
8	<i>i</i> Pr, 2d	LiCl	25	4 d	56	55:45	94 (R)
9	CH ₂ CH ₂ OMOM, 2e	_	50	3 d	88	50:50	77 (R)
10	CH ₂ CH ₂ OMOM, 2e	LiCl	50	3 d	79	65:35	83 (R)

Table 4. Allylic substitutions according to Scheme 2 using L6 as ligand: variation of linear substrates 2 and effect of additives^[a]

^[a] All reactions were carried out in THF at a 0.5 mmol scale [0.125 M] with 2 equiv. NaCH(CO₂Me)₂, 2 mol % [IrCl(COD)]₂ and 4 mol % (aS,S,S)-L6; a general procedure for the Ir^I-catalyzed allylic alkylation is described in the Exp. Sect. (see below). ^[b] One equivalent (relative to substrate) of additive was added before addition of the nucleophile. ^[c] Determined by GC/MS. ^[d] Determined by HPLC or GC.

L1 has previously been tested as chiral ligand in the Ir^Icatalyzed allylic alkylation of the branched aryl- and alkylallyl acetates 1a-f (Scheme 2), giving rise to high values of regioselectivity (94–99%) and enantioselectivities of up to 86% *ee* (with 1a) for the formation of branched products 3.^[11] In addition, ligand L6 has now been tested in allylic alkylations of the linear acetates 2a-e. The results are displayed in Table 4.

Enantiomeric excesses of between 56 and 78% *ee*, achieved using the standard reaction conditions, were promising (Table 4). Considering that halide ions can accelerate isomerization of allylic intermediates via σ -allyl complexes,^[11,19] LiCl was added to the reaction mixtures. Indeed, addition of one equivalent of LiCl led to marked improvement, up to 82–94% *ee*. This additive also positively affected the regioselectivity of the process. Nevertheless, the regioselectivity of the substitution still has to be considerably improved, except in the case where R is Ph (**2b**).

Conclusion

A set of 13 chiral phosphorus amidite ligands has been tested in asymmetric Ir-catalyzed allylic alkylations of allylic substrates with widely differing substituents. The comparatively small ligand L1 derived from BINOL and dimethylamine was optimal with respect to reactivity and regioselectivity. The particularly bulky ligand L6, derived from (aS)-BINOL and (S,S)-bis(1-phenylethyl)amine, gave rise to the highest enantioselectivity but relatively low degrees of regioselectivity and reactivity with linear allyl acetates. Improved results were obtained with LiCl as additive and with phosphorus amidites derived from secondary amines. With these ligands of a new type both reactivity and regioselectivity were considerably improved, although enantioselectivities were lower than with L6. Further research is required in order to fully explore the potential of chiral phosphorus amidites derived from primary amines.

Experimental Section

General: All reactions were carried out using dry solvents under an argon atmosphere. TLC: Macherey & Nagel Polygram Sil G/UV precoated sheets, treatment with I₂ or aqueous KMnO₄ solution for visualization of spots. Column chromatography: Fluka silica gel, grade 60 (0.04–0.063 mm) or aluminum oxide, activity IV. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX 300 or DRX 500 instruments. ¹H NMR chemical shifts are relative to residual non-deuterated solvent in CDCl₃ ($\delta = 7.26$ ppm) or $[D_8]$ THF ($\delta = 1.72, 3.57$ ppm). ¹³C NMR shifts are quoted relative to the solvents CDCl₃ ($\delta = 77.0$ ppm) and [D₈]THF ($\delta = 25.20$, 67.20 ppm) and ³¹P NMR shifts are relative to 85% H_3PO_4 ($\delta =$ 0.00 ppm). MS: JEOL, JMS-700. FAB: JEOL, JMS-700; matrix: 4-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) or 4-nitrophenyl octyl ether (NPOE). Optical rotation: Perkin-Elmer P 241. GC: Hewlett Packard HP 5890 with Chiraldex γ -CD TA column (30 m \times 0.25 mm). HPLC: Hewlett Packard HP 1090 with DAICEL Chiralcel ODH column (25 cm \times 0.46 cm) in combination with DAICEL Chiralcel ODH precolumn (5 cm \times 0.46 cm). Elemental analyses: Microanalytical Laboratory of the Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg. The ligands L1,^[12] L2,^[13] L4,^[12b,15] (R,S,S)-L5,^[16] (S,S,S)-L6,^[15b,16] and L7^[17] were prepared according to published procedures. Allylic esters were prepared by reaction of the corresponding alcohols with acetic anhydride {1a,^[20] (E)-2a,^[11,21] (E)-2b,^[22] (E)-2c,^[23] (*E*)-2d^[11] and (*E*)-2e^[11]. Compounds 3a^[11,24] 3b,^[22] 3c,^[6c,23] $3d^{[25]}$ and $3e^{[11,26]}$ have been described and characterised previously.

Synthesis of Phosphorus Amidites

Synthesis of L3: P(NMe₂)₃ (690 µL, 3.10 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of (*S*)-*N*-tosylvalinol (975 mg, 3.10 mmol) and NH₄Cl (7 mg, 0.10 mmol) in toluene (10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 18 h at 80 °C, then taken to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo to give a colourless oil, which gave colourless crystals of L3 (2.5:1 mixture of diastereomers) upon treatment with CH₂Cl₂. Yield: 640 mg (63%). ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C, values marked [*] belong to the minor diastereomer): $\delta = 0.46$ (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.3 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH), 0.74 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH), 0.93 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH)*, 1.04 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH)*, 1.95 (qqd, ⁴*J*_{H,P} = 3.0, ³*J*_{H,H} = 6.7, ³*J*_{H,H} = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, Me₂CH), 2.31–2.40 (m, 1 H, Me₂CH)*, 2.42 (s, 3 H, *Me*C₆H₄), 2.43 (s, 3 H, *Me*C₆H₄)*, 2.64 (d, ³*J*_{H,P} = 8.5 Hz, 6 H, NMe₂), 2.76 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 9.8$ Hz, 6 H, NMe₂)*, 2.95 (dddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 1.8$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$ Hz, 1 H, Me₂CHCHN)*, 3.73-3.80 (m, 1 H, Me₂CHCHCH₂O)*, 3.97-4.04 (m, 2 H, Me₂CHCHN and Me₂CHCHCH₂O), 4.16 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 6.7$, ${}^{2}J_{H,H} = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H, Me₂CHCH- CH_2O)*, 4.30 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$, ${}^{2}J_{H,H} = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H, Me₂CHCH-CH₂O), 7.28 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.5$ Hz, 2 H, m-C₆H₄), 7.31 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 8.5 Hz, 2 H, m-C₆ H_4)*, 7.80 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.5$ Hz, 2 H, o-C₆ H_4)*, 7.82 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.5 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H, $o-C_{6}H_{4}$) ppm. ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (75.47 MHz, [D₈]THF, values marked [*] belong to the minor diastereomer): $\delta = 14.9$ (s, Me_2 CH), 15.0 (s, Me_2 CH), 18.70 (s, MeC_6H_4), 21.4 (s, Me₂CH), 37.0 (d, ² $J_{C,P}$ = 20.1 Hz, NMe₂), 63.4 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$, Me₂CH*C*HN), 67.7 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 11.6 \text{ Hz}$, Me₂CHCHCH₂O), 127.9 (2d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 2.8$ Hz, $o-C_{6}H_{4}$), 128.6 (2d, ${}^{4}J_{C,P} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, \ o - C_{6}H_{4})^{*}, \ 130.1 \text{ (s, } m - C_{6}H_{4}), \ 130.2 \text{ (s, } m - C_{6}H_{4})^{*},$ 141.5 (s, *ipso-C*₆H₄), 143.7 (s, *p-C*₆H₄) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR $(202.47 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C}): \delta = 143.45 \text{ (s)}, 157.47 \text{ (s) ppm}. \text{ MS}$ (FAB): m/z (%) = 331 (69) [M⁺ + 1], 286 (81) [M⁺ - NMe₂]. HRMS (FAB): $M^+ = C_{14}H_{24}O_3N_2SP$ calcd. 331.1245; found 331.1270.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Ligands L8–L13: The reactions were carried out in the vessel shown in Figure 2. In flask A, a solution of PCl₃ (305 μ L, 3.50 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) was treated with triethylamine (975 μ L, 7.00 mmol) and then 2,2'-binaphthol (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature and then filtered into flask B. After washing the precipitate in flask A once with toluene (10 mL), the combined filtrates were cooled (0 °C). Triethylamine (975 μ L, 7.00 mmol) and the nixture was stirred for 18 h at room temperature, after which it was filtered under argon, in order to remove NH₄Cl, and concentrated in vacuo. Products were purified by MPLC.



Figure 2. Two-flask system for isothermal addition of sensitive compounds.^[27] The system consists of two flasks, A and B, connected by a capillary glass tube, provided with a sintered glass filter plate, and a wide-bore tube C containing a stopcock. Flask A is connected via D to an inert gas line and flask B through E to a bubbler filled with paraffin oil. Initially, stopcock C is open in order to provide equal pressure in flasks A and B. In flask A one of the reagents is prepared and transferred into flask B by closing stopcock C.

Synthesis of L8: Ligand L8 was prepared according to the general procedure from (*aR*)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (*S*)-phenyle-thylamine (450 μ L, 424 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was

crystallized from CH₂Cl₂ and further subjected to MPLC on an aluminum oxide column [activity IV; 3 cm × 28 cm, flow 50 mL/ min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)], previously deactivated by injection of NEt3 (1 mL). Yield: 69% (1.04 g), colourless crystalline solid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -264.1$ (*c* = 0.14, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 1.45$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 4.40–4.50 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 5.09 (d, ${}^{2}J_{H,P} = 10.7$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.80 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.17–7.39 (m, 11 H, arom. H), 7.49 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.82 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 8.7 Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.89 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.91 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.98 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H) ppm. $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}\,$ NMR (125.77 MHz, [D_8]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 26.0$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 7.0$ Hz, CH₃), 51.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 26.8$ Hz, CHCH₃), 122.7, 123.7 (both s, binaphthyl-CH), 124.2 (d, $J_{C,P} =$ 2.4 Hz, binaphthyl-C), 125.0 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.7$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 125.2, 125.4, 126.6, 126.7 (all s, binaphthyl-CH), 127.1 (s, Ph-CH), 127.4 (s, Ph-CH), 127.4, 127.6 (both s, binaphthyl-CH), 129.0 (2s, Ph and binaphthyl-CH), 129.1, 130.0, 130.9 (all s, binaphthyl-CH), 132.0, 132.4, 133.7, 133.8 (all s, binaphthyl-C), 147.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} =$ 1.9 Hz, Ph-C), 149.4 (d, $J_{CP} = 4.7$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 150.8 (s, binaphthyl-*C*) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202.47 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 154.42$ (s) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 435 (85) [M⁺], 315 (63) [M⁺ - NHCHCH₃Ph], 268 (48) [M⁺ - NHCHCH₃Ph - PO]. HRMS (EI) $M^+ = C_{28}H_{22}O_2NP$, calcd. 435.1388; found 435.1375. C₂₈H₂₂NO₂P (435.46): C 77.23, H 5.09, N 3.22, P 7.11; found C 76.97, H 5.35, N 3.31, P 6.86.

Synthesis of L9: Ligand L9 was prepared according to the general procedure from (aS)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (S)-phenylethylamine (450 µL, 424 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was purified by MPLC, first on a silica gel 60 column [3 cm \times 25 cm, flow 70 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). The product obtained was subjected to a second MPLC purification on an aluminum oxide column [activity IV; $3 \text{ cm} \times 28 \text{ cm}$, flow 50 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)], previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). Yield: 35% (528 mg), colorless crystalline solid. $[\alpha]_D^{23} =$ $+252.5 (c = 0.13, CHCl_3)$. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 1.46$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.7$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 4.43–4.53 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 5.09 (dd, J = 9.4, J = 9.7 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.15-7.39 (m, 12 H, arom. H), 7.49 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.82 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.90 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.92 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.98 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 9.0 Hz, 1 H, arom. H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, $[D_8]$ THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 27.1$ (d, ${}^3J_{C,P} = 3.8$ Hz, CH₃), 51.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 21.7 \text{ Hz}, CHCH_{3}, 122.8, 123.6 (both s, binaphthyl-CH),$ 124.0 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.4$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 125.0 (d, $J_{C,P} = 5.2$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 125.2, 125.4, 126.6 (all s, binaphthyl-CH), 126.7 (s, binaphthyl-CH), 126.8, 127.2 (both s, Ph-CH), 127.5, 127.6 (both s, binaphthyl-CH), 128.9 (s, Ph-CH), 129.1, 129.2, 130.2, 130.9 (all s, binaphthyl-CH), 131.9, 132.5, 133.8, 133.8 (all s, binaphthyl-C), 147.5 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 4.3 Hz, Ph-C), 149.6 (d, $J_{C,P}$ = 5.2 Hz, binaphthyl-C), 150.8 (s, binaphthyl-C) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202.47 MHz, $[D_8]$ THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 152.39$ (s) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 435 (79) [M⁺], 315 (66) [M⁺ - NHCHCH₃Ph], 268 (46) [M⁺ NHCHCH₃Ph - PO]. HMS (EI) $M^+ = C_{28}H_{22}O_2NP$ calcd. 435.1388; found 435.1378. C28H22NO2P (435.46): C 77.23, H 5.09, N 3.22, P 7.11; found C 77.14, H 5.23, N 3.36, P 6.86.

Synthesis of L10: Ligand L10 was prepared according to the general procedure from (aR)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (S)-1-(2-naphthyl)ethylamine (600 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was purified twice by MPLC on a silica gel 60 column [3 cm \times 25 cm, flow 70 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)] previ-

ously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). Yield: 40% (679 mg), colorless crystals. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -203.71$ (c = 0.17, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 1.60$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.35$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 3.54 (d, ${}^{2}J_{H,P} = 10.0$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 4.66–4.78 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 6.85 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.6$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.20–7.30 (m, 2 H, arom. H), 7.36 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.37–7.44 (m, 3 H, arom. H), 7.44–7.51 (m, 3 H, arom. H), 7.55 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 8.7 Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.73 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 2 H, arom. H), 7.82–7.93 (m, 6 H, arom. H), 7.95 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 25.9$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, CH_{3}$, 51.4 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 26.4 \text{ Hz}, CHCH_{3}$), 121.8 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.0$ Hz, binaphthyl-CH), 122.5 (s, binaphthyl-CH), 123.6 (d, $J_{C,P} = 1.9$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 124.1 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.7$ Hz, binaphthyl-C), 124.2, 124.7, 124.8, 124.9, 125.8, 126.0, 126.1, 126.2, 126.8, 126.9, 127.7, 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 128.3, 129.3, 130.2 (all s, aromatic-CH), 130.9, 131.4, 132.7, 132.75, 132.8, 133.4 (all s, aromatic-C), 143.6 (d, $J_{C,P} = 1.9$ Hz, naphthyl-C), 147.8 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.1$ Hz, binaphthyl-*C*), 149.7 (d, $J_{C,P} = 1.9$ Hz, binaphthyl-*C*) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 152.52$ (s) ppm. MS FAB m/z (%) = 486 (55) [M⁺ + 1], 485 (30) [M⁺]. HMS (FAB) [M⁺ + 1] = $C_{32}H_{25}NO_2P$ calcd. 486.1623; found 486.1629.

Synthesis of L11: Ligand L11 was prepared according to the general procedure from (aS)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (S)-1-(2naphthyl)ethylamine (600 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was purified by MPLC on a silica gel 60 column [3 cm \times 25 cm, flow 70 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (95:5)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). The product obtained was submitted to a second MPLC purification on an aluminum oxide column [activity IV; 3 cm \times 28 cm, flow 50 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (95:5)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). Yield: 60% (1.00 g), colorless crystals. $[\alpha]_D^{19} = +221.66$ (c = 0.15, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 1.62$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_{3}$, 3.56 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.6, {}^{2}J_{H,P} = 9.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1 H, NH), 4.60-4.90 (m, 1 H, CHCH₃), 7.15-7.33 (m, 3 H, arom. H), 7.33–7.52 (m, 7 H, arom. H), 7.55 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.8$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.71-8.02 (m, 8 H, arom. H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 26.6$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 4.1$ Hz, CH₃), 50.5 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 21.4 \text{ Hz}$, CHCH₃), 121.8 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.0 \text{ Hz}$, binaphthyl-CH) 122.4 (s, binaphthyl-CH), 123.4 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.7$ Hz, binaphthyl-*C*), 124.0 (d, *J*_{C,P} = 4.8 Hz, binaphthyl-*C*), 124.1, 124.5, 124.7, 124.8, 125.7, 126.0, 126.1, 126.1, 126.8, 126.9, 127.6, 127.9, 128.2, 128.2, 128.3, 129.4, 130.2 (all s, aromatic-CH), 130.8, 131.4, 132.5, 132.7, 132.7, 133.3 (all s, aromatic-C) 142.9 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.1$ Hz, naphthyl-C), 147.6 (d, J_{C,P} = 4.8 Hz, binaphthyl-C), 149.3 (d, $J_{CP} = 1.4 \text{ Hz}$, binaphthyl-C) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.50 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 150.66$ (s) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 485 (83) $[M^+], \ 315 \ (33) \ [M^+ \ - \ NHCHCH_3(C_{10}H_7)], \ 268 \ (23) \ [M^+$ NHCHCH₃($C_{10}H_7$) – PO]. HMS (EI) M⁺ = $C_{32}H_{24}O_2NP$ calcd. 485.1545; found 485.1555.

Synthesis of L12: Ligand **L12** was prepared according to the general procedure with (*aR*)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (*S*)-valine methyl ester (458 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was purified by MPLC on a silica gel 60 column [3 cm × 25 cm, flow 70 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). The product thus isolated was submitted to a second MPLC purification on a aluminum oxide column [activity IV; 3 cm × 28 cm, flow 50 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). Yield: 44% (690 mg), colorless crystalline solid. [α]_D² = -390 (*c* = 0.13, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): δ = 0.86 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH), 0.94 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, *Me*₂CH), 2.00 (dqq, ³J_{H,H} = 6.4, ³J_{H,H} = 6.7, ³J_{H,H} = 6.7 Hz, 1 H,

Me₂CH), 3.69 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.4$, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 11.7$, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 11.7$ Hz, 1 H, CHCO₂Me), 3.75 (s, 3 H, CO₂Me), 4.91 (d, ${}^{2}J_{H,P} = 11.7$ Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.21 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.23 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 7.0 Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.30-7.36 (m, 3 H, arom. H), 7.37 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.39 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.50 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.91–7.95 (m, 2 H, arom. H), 7.97 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.99 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} =$ 8.7 Hz, 1 H, arom. H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, $[D_8]$ THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 18.1$ (s, Me_2 CH), 19.5 (s, Me_2 CH), 33.0 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{Me}_{2}C\text{H}), 51.8 \text{ (s, CO}_{2}Me), 60.6 \text{ (d, } {}^{2}J_{C,P} = 28.7 \text{ Hz},$ CHCO₂Me), 122.6, 124.0 (both arom. CH), 124.2 (s, arom. C), 125.1 (d, J_{C,P} = 4.7 Hz, arom. C), 125.3, 125.5, 126.6, 126.8, 127.4, 127.7, 129.1, 129.2, 130.1, 130.9 (all s, arom. CH), 132.2, 132.5, 133.7, 133.8 (all s, arom. C), 149.1 (d, $J_{C,P} = 4.7$ Hz, arom. C), 150.5 (s, arom. C), 174.0 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 2.8 \text{ Hz}$, COOMe) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202.47 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): δ = 155.09 (s) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 445 (38) [M⁺], 402 (73) [M⁺ - CH(CH₃)₂], $386 (62) [M^+ - CO_2CH_3], 315 (100) [M^+ - HNC(iPr)CO_2CH_3],$ 268 (98) $[M^+ - HNC(iPr)CO_2CH_3 - PO]$. HMS (EI) $M^+ =$ C₂₆H₂₄O₄NP calcd. 445.1443; found 445.1439. C₂₆H₂₄NO₄P (445.45): C 70.11, H 5.43, N 3.14, P 6.95; found C 70.29, H 5.61, N 3.06, P 6.88.

Synthesis of L13: Ligand L13 was prepared according to the general procedure with (aS)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.50 mmol) and (S)-valine methyl ester (458 mg, 3.50 mmol). The crude product was purified by MPLC, first on a silica gel 60 column [3 cm \times 25 cm, flow 70 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). The resultant product was submitted to a second MPLC purification on an aluminum oxide column [activity IV; 3 cm \times 28 cm, flow 50 mL/min; eluent: petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (9:1)] previously deactivated with NEt₃ (1 mL). Yield: 67% (1.05 g), colorless crystalline solid. $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = +428$ (c = 0.15, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 0.82$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}, Me_2\text{CH}), 0.94 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H},$ Me_2 CH), 1.88–1.98 (m, 1 H, Me_2CH), 3.63 (ddd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 4.7$, ${}^{3}J_{H,P} = 8.7, {}^{3}J_{H,H} = 13.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ CHCO}_{2}\text{Me}), 3.65 \text{ (s, 3 H,}$ CO_2Me), 4.85 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 11.4$, ${}^{2}J_{H,P} = 18.4$ Hz 1 H, NH), 7.18–7.40 (m, 7 H, arom. H), 7.49 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H), 7.90–7.95 (m, 3 H, arom. H), 7.99 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. H) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.77 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): δ = 17.5 (s, Me_2 CH), 19.6 (s, Me_2 CH), 33.2 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 2.8$ Hz, Me₂*C*H), 51.6 (s, CO₂*Me*), 59.4 (d, ${}^{2}J_{C,P} = 13.2$ Hz, *C*HCO₂Me), 122.6, 123.0 (both arom. CH), 123.8 (d, $J_{C,P} = 2.4$ Hz, arom. C), 124.9 (d, J_{C,P} = 4.7 Hz, arom. C), 125.3, 125.5, 126.7, 126.8, 127.5, 127.6, 129.1, 129.2, 130.3, 131.0 (all s, arom. CH), 131.9, 132.5, 133.7, 133.7 (all s, arom. C), 149.8 (d, $J_{C,P} = 5.6$ Hz, arom. C), 150.6 (s, arom. C), 173.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C,P} = 3.3 \text{ Hz}$, COOMe) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202.47 MHz, [D₈]THF, 25 °C): $\delta = 149.23$ (s) ppm. MS (EI): m/z (%) = 445 (61) [M⁺], 402 (32) [M⁺ - CH(CH₃)₂], 386 (60) $[M^+ - CO_2CH_3]$, 315 (100) $[M^+ - HNC(iPr)CO_2CH_3]$, 268 (51) $[M^+ - HNC(iPr)CO_2CH_3 - PO]$. HMS (EI) $M^+ =$ C₂₆H₂₄O₄NP calcd. 445.1443; found. 445.1449. C₂₆H₂₄NO₄P (445.45): C 70.11, H 5.43, N 3.14, P 6.95; found C 70.28, H 5.68, N 3.10, P 6.94.

General Procedure for the Ir^I-Catalysed Allylic Alkylation: A solution of $[IrCl(COD)]_2$ (6.7 mg, 0.01 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was treated with substrate (0.50 mmol) and then ligand (0.02 mmol). The resultant solution was stirred for 5 min. If the reaction was run with additive, this was added at this point (0.50 mmol) and the solution stirred for a further 5 min. After that, a freshly prepared solution of dimethyl 2-sodiomalonate was added. This solution was prepared by suspending sodium hydride (24.0 mg, 1.00 mmol) in

THF (2 mL) and the dropwise addition of dimethyl malonate (115 μ L, 1.00 mmol). The mixture was stirred under the stated reaction conditions, then water (4 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with satd. NH₄Cl solution (10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to give a mixture of substitution products **3** and **4** as colourless oils. For analytical data see above.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. C. G.-Y. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Postdoctoral Fellowship and the European Community for a Marie Curie Fellowship, program "Improving Human Research Potential and the Socio-economic Knowledge Base" under contract number HPMF-CT-2000-00905. We thank T. Leßmann and A. Spieß for preparation of substrates, and Degussa AG for iridium salts.

- ^[1] [^{1a]} B. M. Trost, C. Lee, in *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, 2nd ed. (Ed.: I. Ojima) Wiley-VCH, New York, 2000, pp. 593-649.
 ^[1b] A. Pfaltz, M. Lautens, in *Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis I-III* (Eds.: E. N. Jacobsen, A. Pfaltz, H. Yamamoto), Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 833-884.
- ^[2] [^{2a]} S.-L. You, X.-Z. Zhu, Y.-M. Luo, X.-L. Hou, L.-X. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7471-7472. [^{2b]} R. Prétôt, A. Pfaltz, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 337-339; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 323-325. [^{2c]} B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 9074-9075. [^{2d]} T. Hayashi, M. Kawatsura, Y. Uozumi, Chem. Commun. 1997, 561-562. [^{2e]} T. Hayashi, A. Ohno, S.-J. Lu, Y. Matsumoto, E. Fukuyo, K. Yanagi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4221-4226. [^{2t]} T. Hayashi, K. Kishi, A. Yamamoto, V. Ito, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1743-1746.
- [3] Mo: ^[3a] B. M. Trost, K. Droga, I. Hachiya, T. Emura, D. L. Hughes, S. Krska, R. A. Reamer, M. Palucki, N. Yasuda, P. J. Reider, *Angew. Chem.* 2002, *114*, 2009–2012; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002, *41*, 1929–1932. ^[3b] O. Belda, N.-F. Kaiser, U. Bremberg, M. Larhed, A. Hallberg, C. Moberg, *J. Org. Chem.* 2000, *65*, 5868–5870. ^[3c] B. M. Trost, I. Hachiya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, *120*, 1104–1105. ^[3d] F. Glorius, A. Pfaltz, *Org. Lett.* 1999, *1*, 141–144. W: ^[3e] G. C. Lloyd–Jones, A. Pfaltz, *Angew. Chem.* 1995, *107*, 534–536; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1995, *34*, 462–464.
- [4] Pd: ^[4a] G. C. Lloyd-Jones, S. C. Stephen, M. Murray, C. P. Butts, Š. Vyskočil, P. Kočovský, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000, 6, 4348-4357. ^[4b] G. C. Lloyd-Jones, S. C. Stephen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1998, 4, 2539-2547.
- Mo: ^[5a] M. P. T. Sjögren, H. Frisell, B. Åkermark, P. O. Norrby, L. Eriksson, A. Vitagliano, *Organometallics* 1997, *16*, 942–950.
 W: ^[5b] J. Lehmann, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *Tetrahedron* 1995, *51*, 8863–8874. ^[5c] H. Frisell, B. Åkermark, *Organometallics* 1995, *14*, 561–563.
- [6] Fe: [^{6a]} Y. Xu, B. Zhou, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 974–977. [^{6b]}
 U. Eberhardt, G. Mattern, Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 1531–1534.
 Rh: [^{6c]} P. A. Evans, J. D. Nelson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5581–5582. Ru: [^{6d]} Y. Morisaki, T. Kondo, T.-A. Mitsudo, Y. Watanabe, Organometallics 1999, 18, 4742–4746. [^{6e]} B. M. Trost, P. L. Fraisse, Z. T. Ball, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1101–1103; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1059–1061.
- [7] Y. Matsushima, K. Onitsuka, T. Kondo, T.-a. Mitsudo, S. Takahashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10405–10406.
- ^[8] [^{8a]} R. Takeuchi, M. Kashio, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 8647–8655.
 ^[8b] R. Takeuchi, K. Tanabe, Angew. Chem. **2000**, 112, 2051–2054; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 1975–1978.
- [9] J. P. Janssen, G. Helmchen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 8025–8026.

- [¹⁰] ^[10a] B. Bartels, G. Helmchen, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 741–742.
 ^[10b] K. Fuji, N. Kinoshita, K. Tanaka, T. Kawabata, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 2289–2290. Note added in proof (February 10, 2003): After submission of our work, Ir^I-catalysed allylic aminations using ligand L6 were reported: T. Ohmura, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 15164–15165.
- ^[11] B. Bartels, C. García-Yebra, F. Rominger, G. Helmchen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 2569–2586.
- [12] [12a] R. Hulst, N. Koen de Vries, B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron:* Asymmetry 1994, 5, 699-708. ^[12b] J. F. Jensen, B. Y. Svendsen, T. V. la Cour, H. L. Pedersen, M. Johannsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4558-4559.
- ^[13] E. Keller, J. Mauer, R. Naasz, T. Schrader, A. Meetsma, B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1998**, *9*, 2409–2413.
- ^[14] Ligand L3 was isolated as a 2.5:1 mixture of diastereomers and used as such in catalysis.
- ^[15] ^[15a] A. H. M. de Vries, A. Meetsma, B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem.* **1996**, *108*, 2526–2528; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 2374–2376.
 ^[15b] L. A. Arnold, R. Imbos, A. Mandoli, A. H. M. de Vries, R. Naasz, B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 2865–2878.
- ^[16] B. L. Feringa, M. Poneschi, L. A. Arnold, R. Imbos, A. H. M. de Vries, *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 2733–2736; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2620–2623.
- ^[17] A. Alexakis, S. Rosset, J. Allamand, S. March, F. Guillen, C. Benhaim, *Synlett* **2001**, *9*, 1375–1378.
- ^[18] M. Ostermeier, PhD Dissertation, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, **2002**. Note added in proof (February 10, 2003): The particular effectiveness of phosphorus amidites is also described in recens work of other authors, cf.: D. Peña, A. J. Minnaard, A. H. M. de Vries, J. G. de Vries, B. L. Feringa, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 475–478.
- [^{19]} For a recent review see: K. Fagnou, M. Lautens, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 26–47.
- ^[20] E. Keinan, E. Bosh, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4006-4016.
- [21] Z. Rappoport, S. Winstein, W. G. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2320-2329.
- ^[22] J. L. Lehmann, G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 8863–8874; enantiomer analysis of **3b** by HPLC: Chiracel ODH column, length: 25 cm + 5 cm precolumn, flow: 0.5 mL min⁻¹, eluent: *n*hexane/*i*PrOH (99.5:0.5), **3b**: $t_{\rm R}(R) = 28.9 \text{ min}, t_{\rm R}(S) = 31.6 \text{ min}; (E)-$ **4b** $: <math>t_{\rm R} = 45.1 \text{ min}, [\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24} = 27.2$ (*c* = 0.85, CHCl₃) for **3b** with 86% *ee* (*R*).
- ^[23] A. L. J. Beckwith, A. A. Zavitas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 8230-8234; enantiomer analysis of **3c** by GC: Chiraldex γ -CD TA column, length: 30 m, 100 kPa helium, flow 80 mL min⁻¹, 50-100 °C with 1 °C min⁻¹, then 20 min at 100 °C, **3c**: $t_{\rm R}(R) = 35.7$ min, $t_{\rm R}(S) = 36.7$ min; (E)-**4c**: $t_{\rm R} = 43.8$ min $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{27} = 12.1$ (c = 1.23, CH₂Cl₂) for **3c** with 85% ee (R).
- ^[24] Enantiomer analysis of **3a** by HPLC: Chiracel ODH column, length: 25 cm + 5 cm precolumn, flow: 0.5 mL min⁻¹, eluent: *n*hexane/ *i*PrOH (99.5:0.5), **3a**: $t_{\rm R}(R) = 32.2$ min, $t_{\rm R}(S) =$ 34.3 min; (*E*)-**4a**: $t_{\rm R} = 49.6$ min $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} = 11.4$ (c = 0.63, CHCl₃) for **3a** with 93% *ee* (*R*).
- ^[25] Enantiomer analysis of **3d** by GC: Chiraldex γ-CD TA column, length: 30 m, 100 kPa helium, flow 80 mL min⁻¹, 50–100 °C with 1 °C min⁻¹, then 20 min at 100 °C, **3d**: $t_{\rm R}(R) = 44.8$ min, $t_{\rm R}(S) = 46.3$ min; (*E*)-**4d**: $t_{\rm R} = 56.7$ min. [α]_D²⁰ = 0.07 (*c* = 0.54, CHCl₃) for **3d** with 66% *ee* (*R*).
- ^[26] Enantiomer analysis of **3e** by HPLC: Chiracel ODH column, length: 25 cm + 5 cm precolumn, flow: 0.5 mL min⁻¹, eluent: *n*hexane/ *i*PrOH (95:5), **3e**: $t_{\rm R}(R) = 23.2$ min, $t_{\rm R}(S) =$ 24.6 min; (*E*)-**4e**: $t_{\rm R} = 28.9$ min. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{24} = -1.4$ (c = 0.76, CHCl₃) for **3e** with 70% *ee* (*R*).
- ^[27] This apparatus was invented in the senior author's group in the 1980s, cf. G. Wegner, PhD Dissertation, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany, **1987**. In the meantime, it has become widely known in the chemical community by oral communication.

Received November 6, 2002 [O02610]