Tandem Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling–Decyanation under Nickel Catalysis

Siba P. Midya, Murugan Subaramanian, Reshma Babu, Vinita Yadav, and Ekambaram Balaraman*



R¹ .CN

- HCONH₂

on abundantly available starting materials by cheap metals is always a fascinating task and marks an important transition in the chemical industry. Herein, a nickel-catalyzed acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with nitriles followed by decyanation of nitriles to access diversely substituted olefins is R* Unprecedented approach
* General & Efficient
* Wide substrate scope
* Functional group tolerance
* Gram-scale synthesis

* Pharmaceutical compounds

reported. This unprecedented C=C bond-forming methodology takes place in a tandem manner with the formation of formamide as a sole byproduct. The significant advantages of this strategy are the low-cost nickel catalyst, good functional group compatibility (ether, thioether, halo, cyano, ester, amino, N/O/S heterocycles; 43 examples), synthetic convenience, and high reaction selectivity and efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Olefins are one of the most important organic scaffolds widely found in many natural products and have been effectively utilized as fundamental building blocks in the large-scale production of plastics and pharmaceuticals.¹ The Wittig reaction is the most commonly employed synthetic methodology for the selective synthesis of *E*-alkenes from carbonyl compounds.² Equally, Julia olefination,³ the Peterson reaction,⁴ and Tebbe olefination⁵ are also broadly used approaches to access the substituted olefins. The classical approach to access linear or cyclic olefins is mainly based on a rutheniumcatalyzed metathesis reaction.⁶ A significant number of transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have paid much attention to the (*E*)-olefin synthesis, including a Pdcatalyzed Heck-type coupling.⁷

In recent times, transition-metal-catalyzed acceptorless dehydrogenation (AD) and the hydrogen autotransfer (HA) strategy have been widely explored for the formation of C-C and C-N bonds using abundantly available alcohols as feedstocks.^{8,9} Seminal works on ruthenium-catalyzed dehydrogenative Wittig and Julia-type olefination of alcohol were reported by the research group of Milstein^{10a,b} and by others with different catalytic systems (Scheme 1).^{10c} The same group reported an earth-abundant manganese-catalyzed direct condensation of alcohols into alkenes using hydrazine or hydrazone via acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling (ADC).¹¹ Of late, transition-metal-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with nitrile to lead to α -alkylated or α alkenylated arylacetonitriles with the liberation of hydrogen and/or water has been well studied via ADC and hydrogen autotransfer (HA) strategies.¹² It is noteworthy that Li and coworkers reported a rhodium-catalyzed direct coupling of arylacetonitriles and primary alcohols to α -alkylated arylacetamides.¹³ Recent years have witnessed tremendous interest by





various research groups in the use of well-defined nickel catalysts for the C–C and C–N bond formation via ADC and HA reactions.¹⁴ Herein, an unprecedented reactivity in the dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with nitriles to access diversely substituted (*E*)-olefins in contrast to the archetypical α -alkylated/alkenylated products is reported. This new C==C bond-forming methodology takes place via the ADC/ decyanation tandem with the formation of formamide as the byproduct. Notably, the removal of the cyano group from organic molecules is very challenging.¹⁵

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Initially, the reaction of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (1a) and 2-phenylacetonitrile (2a) was chosen as a model system for the Ni-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling to form (E)-1-me-

Received: March 12, 2021 **Published:** May 25, 2021





Table 1. Optimization Studies^a

| | MeO | H + Ph CN Ki Solven | (5 mol%) D ^f Bu t, Δ , 24 h | OMe OM + Ph | e |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 1a | 2a | 3a | 3a' | |
| entry | Ni catalyst | base | solvent | temp (°C) | yield ^b (%) 3a/3a′ |
| 1 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 135 | 64/22 |
| 2 | Cat. II | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 135 | 52/25 |
| 3 | NiCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 135 | 27/15 |
| 4 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | toluene | 135 | 42/20 |
| 5 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | THF | 110 | 20/8 |
| 6 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | 1,4-dioxane | 135 | 33/18 |
| 7 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 120 | 87/5 ^c |
| 8 | Cat. I | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 100 | $48/14^{c}$ |
| 9 | - | KO ^t Bu | <i>n</i> -octane | 120 | trace |
| 10 | Cat. I | - | <i>n</i> -octane | 120 | NR |

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: alcohol 1a (1.2 mmol), nitrile 2a (1.0 mmol), Cat. [Ni] (5 mol %), KO'Bu (1.0 equiv), and solvent (1.5 mL) heated at 100–135 °C (oil-bath temperature) for 24 h. ^{*b*}Yield determined by GC using 1,4-dibromobutane as an internal standard. ^{*c*}Reaction using 1.2 mmol of KO'Bu.



thoxy-4-styrylbenzene. After careful investigation of various parameters, such as the use of nickel catalysts, bases, solvents, and temperature (Table 1), the optimal reaction conditions were determined. Refluxing at 135 °C of 1a (1.2 mmol), 2a (1.0 mmol), and KO^tBu (1.0 mmol) in n-octane in the presence of complex I (5 mol %) gave a 64% yield of (E)-1methoxy-4-styrylbenzene 3a along with 22% of 1-methoxy-4phenethylbenzene 3a' (Table 1, entry 1). Under similar reaction conditions, complex II gave 3a and 3a' in 52% and 25% yields, respectively (Table 1, entry 2). Other commercially available nickel salts such as NiCl₂, Ni(acac)₂, Ni(OTf)₂, and NiCl₂(PMe₃)₂ gave the product 3a in poor yield (<32%; see the SI). Other solvents such as toluene, THF, and 1,4dioxane were found to not be suitable for this transformation, and the results are unsatisfactory (Table 1, entries 4-6). Performing the reaction at 120 °C reduces the formation of 3a' and selectively yields 87% of product 3a (Table 1, entry 7). As the temperature is further lowered, a poor result was obtained (Table 1, entry 8). Decreasing the catalyst load and replacing KO^tBu with either NaO^tBu or LiO^tBu provided considerably lower yields (see the SI). In control experiments performed by employing only base and without catalyst and base, no product formation was observed, indicating that the catalyst and base are essential for the success of the reaction.

Having optimal reaction conditions in hand, the scope of the various alcohols on dehydrogenative coupling with 2a to access diverse (*E*)-alkenes was investigated. As shown in Table 2, a range of electron-rich primary alcohols (*p*-OMe, *p*-Me, and *p*-SMe) efficiently reacted with 2a under the present Ni(II)-catalyzed conditions and afforded the corresponding alkene derivatives in excellent yields (products 3a-3c; up to 84% yield). Electron-withdrawing halogen substituents (F-, Cl-, and Br-) at the *para* position of benzyl alcohol provided the products 3e-3g in 58%, 62%, and 63% yields, respectively. A similar result was obtained in the case of *p*-CN- and *p*-CO₂Me-substituted benzyl alcohols (products 3i in 41% and 3j in 44%

yields). However, p-CF₃-substituted benzyl alcohol did not yield the desired product under standard reaction conditions. This result indicates that the electronic nature of the substituent may play an important role in the present transformation. Thus, electron-rich meta-substituted alcohols were reacted smoothly with 2a and yielded the corresponding stilbenes (3k-3n) in excellent yields. It is noteworthy that the unprotected amine group such as 3-aminobenzyl alcohol (1m) underwent the (E)-olefination reaction selectively and gave 3m as a sole product in 76% yield. Remarkably, both sterically demanding ortho-substituted benzyl alcohols and multisubstituted benzyl alcohols were smoothly reacted with 2a and afforded the desired products 3p-3u in excellent yields (up to 92% yield). The bicyclic aromatic primary alcohols (1-naphthyl and 2-naphthyl) proceeded efficiently and yielded the corresponding (E)-alkenes in good yields (products 3v in 78% and 3w in 72% isolated yields). Notably, heteroaryl aromatic primary alcohols were well tolerated, and the corresponding olefinic products 3x-3z were obtained in good yields. Interestingly, 2,6-pyridinedimethanol provided the corresponding diolefinated product 3za in moderate yield. Under our optimal reaction conditions, aliphatic alcohols (1hexanol and 1-decanol) selectively led to the saturated alkanes as the major product (3zb in 52% yield and 3zc in 63% yield). Indeed, sterically hindered secondary alcohols such as 1,1diarylmethanols (1zd-1ze) delivered the corresponding trisubstituted alkene derivatives 3zd and 3ze in good yields. However, α -methylbenzyl alcohol (1zf) and 2-pentanol (1zg) failed to yield the corresponding olefinated products 3zf (~8% only) and 3zg.

Next, the scope of this unprecedented catalytic dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with nitriles was explored using various aryl nitriles (Table 3). Initially, 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (1a) was chosen as a benchmark substrate to show the versatility of this transformation. Thus, the reaction of 1a with electron-rich aryl nitriles proceeded smoothly and led to Table 2. Nickel-Catalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling–Decyanation: Scope of Alcohols^a



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: alcohol 1 (1.2 mmol), nitrile 2a (1.0 mmol), Cat. I (5 mol %), KO^tBu (1.2 equiv), and *n*-octane (1.5 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) for 24 h (isolated yields). ^{*b*}Yield of the corresponding hydrogenated product. ^{*c*}CH₃ONa was used as a base. ^{*d*}0.5 mmol of 2a. ^{*c*}By GC and GC-MS.

the desired products 4a in 78%, 4b in 67%, 4c in 71%, 4d in 73%, and 4f in 69% yields, respectively. To our delight, direct

synthesis of DMU-212 (4e), a drug used for breast cancer treatment, has been achieved in excellent yield (83%). The

pubs.acs.org/joc

Article

Table 3. Nickel-Catalyzed Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling–Decyanation: Scope of Nitriles⁴



^aReaction conditions: alcohol 1 (1.2 mmol), nitrile 2 (1.0 mmol), Cat. I (5 mol %), KO^tBu (1.2 equiv), and *n*-octane (1.5 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) for 24 h (isolated yields). ^bYield of the corresponding hydrogenated product.

Scheme 2. Direct Synthesis of Resveratrol (6)



reaction of electron-deficient aryl nitrile such as 2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile **2g** with 4-fluorobenzyl alcohol **1g** under the optimal conditions provided **4g** in moderate yield. Moreover, heterocyclic alcohol **1h** with 4-methyl phenyl-acetonitrile resulted in the corresponding *trans*-alkene **4h** in 57% yield. Notably, the reaction of **1a** and highly electron-deficient 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl) phenylacetonitrile **2i** led to the α -alkylated nitrile product **4i** in moderate yield (54%) as the sole product.

Gratifyingly, we have successfully demonstrated the scalability of this catalytic protocol under mild conditions. In this regard, the present nickel-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling was tested for a large-scale synthesis of 3d, 3v, and 3zd (5.0 mmol scale), and it worked excellently and afforded the corresponding products in good yields. Next, we have extended our synthetic strategy for synthesis of pharmaceutically important molecules. As a result, we have established a

step-economic process to resveratrol (6), a pan-assay interference compound¹⁶ (Scheme 2).

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, several control experiments were performed (Scheme 3). GC analysis of the model reaction system (in the absence of 2a) indicated the formation of the dehydrogenated product (4-methoxybenzal-dehyde) and hydrogen gas. This result shows that the initial step is the acceptorless dehydrogenation pathway of alcohol. Deuterium-labeling experiments were conducted, and the results suggest the involvement of hydrogen autotransfer strategy in the overall process and that one of the two benzylic C-D/H bonds of alcohol needs to be cleaved in order to initiate the α -alkylation reaction (Scheme 3a). Reaction of benzyl alcohol (1d) with deuterated phenyl acetonitrile [D]-2a resulted in 52% yield of 3d without deuterium incorporation. No deuteration in the olefinic product suggests that the benzylic hydrogen of the nitrile derivative is not taking part in

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Studies^a



^aStandard conditions: Cat. I (5 mol %), KO^tBu (1.2 equiv), and *n*-octane (1.5 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature).

Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanism



the hydrogen autotransfer reaction (Scheme 3b). A control experiment showed that phenylacetonitrile undergoes the base-

catalyzed Knoevenagel condensation with the *in situ* generated aldehyde intermediate. Treatment of α -alkenylated nitrile

(7)^{12h} with benzyl alcohol (1d) resulted in 72% yield of the α alkylated nitrile (8) and 5% yield of the desired product (3d), which suggests the involvement of the hydrogen autotransfer step in the present catalysis. Furthermore, experiments aimed at identifying the possible potential intermediates were performed (Scheme 3c,d). When alcohol 1d was reacted with nitrile 2a under standard reaction conditions for 8 h, it resulted in a mixture of products. GC and GC-MS analyses of this reaction mixture exhibited the formation of 1,2-diphenylethane (3d', 2%), (E)-stilbene (3d, 17%), α -alkylated nitrile (8, 6%), and α -alkylated amide (9, 45%). This observation signifies that α -alkylated amide and/or α -alkylated nitrile are the potential intermediates. To gain insight into the final elimination step, both α -alkylated nitrile (8) and α -alkylated amide (9) were separately prepared 12e,13 and employed in the present nickel catalysis (Scheme 3e). This result clearly indicates that the final elimination step is more facile in the case of α -alkylated amide and leads to the formation of formamide as the sole byproduct. The control experiment also indicates that a base-mediated E2 elimination is operative, and nickel catalyst promotes the E2 elimination. Finally, the hydration of 8 was examined. We believe that the hydration of α -alkylated arylacetonitriles 8 with water, which results from the Knoevenagel condensation step, produces the α -alkylated arylacetamide 9 suggested by Li and coworkers.¹³ When the N³-Ni(II) complex/KO^tBu system was employed, the reaction of 8 with an equimolar amount of water was performed and yielded 9 in 74% yield (Scheme 3f).

Based on the mechanistic studies and our previous works, a plausible mechanism for the N,N,N-nickel(II)-catalyzed tandem acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling-decyanation in the olefin synthesis is depicted in Scheme 4. Initially, in the presence of alcohol and base, the N,N,N-Ni(II)Cl₂ complex I undergoes a displacement reaction with chloride ligand to give complex III. The complex III undergoes β -hydride elimination (of alkoxide) to lead to the corresponding aldehyde and with the formation of Ni-H species IV. The complex VI was generated from complex V with the liberation of H₂. Finally, the complex VI reacts with alcohol to regenerate the catalyst I. Followed by the initial dehydrogenation of alcohol under Ni catalysis, the in situ generated aldehyde undergoes the Knoevenagel condensation with nitrile and led to vinyl nitrile (7) by the elimination of a water molecule. Next, the α alkenylated intermediate 7 undergoes catalytic (transfer)hydrogenation to lead to the α -alkylated product 8 by in situ generated hydrogen from the initial dehydrogenation of alcohol. Finally, intermediate 8 undergoes hydrolysis (by water resulting from the α -alkenylation step) to produce α alkylated arylacetamide (9). Subsequent elimination of formamide from **9** eventually delivers the product (*E*)-stilbene. The formation of saturated alkanes was observed in the case of aliphatic alcohols due to the further hydrogenation (double HA) of the corresponding olefinated product.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have disclosed an unprecedented tandem acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling—decyanation in the olefin synthesis. This new C==C bond forming methodology is catalyzed by nickel and affords diversely substituted (E)-olefins (43 examples; up to 92% yield) with the formation of formamide as the only byproduct. Several control and labeling experiments provide the basis for our assertion that the reaction proceeds via the borrowing hydrogenation.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

1. General Information. All catalytic experiments were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were reagent grade or better. Deuterated solvents were used as received. Nickel salt precursors were used without additional purification. All the starting materials (alcohols (1) and nitriles (2)) are commercially available and were purified according to the standard procedure.¹⁷ The ligand 2,6-bis(morpholinomethyl)pyridine and the corresponding Ni(II) complexes (I-II) were synthesized based on the reported procedure. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel 60 F_{254} coated on an aluminum sheet purchased from Merck, which was visualized with UV light at 254 nm or under iodine. Column chromatography was performed with SiO₂ (SilicycleSilicaflash F60 (230-400 mesh)). ¹H NMR (200, 400, or 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (50, 100, or 126 MHz) spectra were recorded on the NMR spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform was used as the solvent, and chemical shift values (δ) are reported in parts per million relative to the residual signals of this solvent [δ 7.27 for ¹H (chloroform-d), δ 77.0 for ¹³C (chloroform-d)]. Abbreviations used in the NMR followup experiments: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. GC analysis was carried out using an HP-5 column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ). Mass spectra were obtained on a GCMS-QP 5000 instrument with ionization voltages of 70 eV. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained by fast atom bombardment (FAB) using a double focusing magnetic sector mass spectrometer and electron impact (EI) ionization technique (magnetic sectorelectric sector double focusing mass analyzer).

2A. General Procedure for the Ni-Catalyzed Synthesis of (*E*)-Stilbene. To an oven-dried 15 mL ace pressure tube were added alcohol 1 (1.2 mmol), nitrile 2 (1.0 mmol), Ni-complex I (5 mol %, 21 mg), and KO⁶Bu (1.2 mmol, 134 mg) in *n*-octane (1.5 mL) under a gentle stream of argon. The mixture was heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) for 24 h followed by cooling to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (4 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 5 mL). The resultant organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (230–400 mesh size) using petroleum-ether/ethyl acetate as an eluting system.

2B. Large-Scale Synthesis of 3d, 3v, and 3zd. To an ovendried 35 mL ace pressure tube were added alcohol 1d or 1v or 1zd (5.5 mmol), nitrile 2 (5.0 mmol), Ni-complex I (5 mol %, 105 mg), and KO^tBu (5.5 mmol, 616 mg) in *n*-octane (8 mL) under a gentle stream of argon. The mixture was heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) for 36 h followed by cooling to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×10 mL). The resultant organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (230–400 mesh size) using petroleum-ether/ethyl acetate as an eluting system and yielded the desired products 3d, 3v, and 3zd in 68% (612 mg), 80% (920 mg), and 87% (1.11 g) yields, respectively.

2C. Two-Step synthesis of *Resveratrol.* To an oven-dried 15 mL ace pressure tube were added alcohol **1zi** (1.2 mmol, 201 mg),



nitrile **2b** (1.0 mmol, 147 mg), Ni-complex I (5 mol %, 21 mg), and KO'Bu (1.2 mmol, 134 mg) in *n*-octane (1.5 mL) under a gentle stream of argon. The mixture was of heated at 120 °C for 24 h followed by cooling to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (4 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×5 mL). The resultant organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (230–400 mesh size) using petroleum-ether/ethyl acetate as an eluting system.



To a 15 mL clean, oven-dried screw cap reaction tube were added 5 (0.5 mmol, 135 mg) and samarium(II) iodide (THF solution, 8 equiv, 1.6 g) followed by Et_3N (72 equiv, 0.5 mL) and H_2O (72 equiv, 0.65 mL) under argon atmosphere, resulting in the formation of a dark brown color of the $SmI_2-Et_3N-H_2O$ complex. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and NaOH (1 N) solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 30 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (230–400 mesh size) using $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ as an eluting system.

3. Characterization Data of Compounds. (E)-1-Methoxy-4styrylbenzene (3a).^{18a} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 84%, 176 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.51–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27– 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (50 MHz, CHLOROFORM-d) δ = 159.2, 137.6, 130.1, 128.6, 128.1, 127.7, 127.1, 126.6, 126.2, 114.1, 55.3.

(E)-1-Methyl-4-styrylbenzene (3b).^{18a} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 72%, 139.7 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.24–7.17 (m, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (s, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (101 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 137.5, 134.5, 129.4, 128.7, 128.6, 127.7, 127.4, 126.4, 21.2. (E)-Methyl-(4-styrylphenyl)sulfane (3c).^{18c} The title compound

(E)-Methyl-(4-styrylphenyl)sulfane (3c).^{16C} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 79%, 178.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.52–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.42–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.27– 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 137.8, 137.3, 134.3, 128.6, 128.0, 127.5, 126.8, 126.6, 126.3, 15.7.

(E)-1,2-Diphenylethene (3d).^{18a} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 71%, 127.8 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.52 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 7.36 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.11 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 137.3, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 127.6, 126.5. (E)-1-Fluoro-4-styrylbenzene (3e).^{18a} The title compound was

(E)-1-Fluoro-4-styrylbenzene (3e).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 58%, 115 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.52–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.09–7.00 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 164.8, 159.9, 137.2, 133.4, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 127.4, 126.4, 115.8, 115.4.

(E)-1-Chloro-4-styrylbenzene (3f).^{18a} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 62%, 132 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.52 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.41–7.30 (m, 5H), 7.12

(s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 136.9, 136.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.6, 127.6, 126.5.

(E)-1-Bromo-4-styrylbenzene (3g).^{18b} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 63%, 154 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.65–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.49–7.30 (m, 6H), 7.11 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 136.9, 136.3, 131.8, 129.4, 128.7, 127.9, 127.9, 127.4, 126.5, 121.3. (E)-4-Styryl-1,1'-biphenyl (3h).^{18b} The title compound was

(E)-4-Styryl-1,1'-biphenyl (3h).¹⁸⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 70%, 179 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.58–7.18 (m, 14H), 7.08 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 140.7, 140.3, 137.3, 136.4, 128.8, 128.7, 128.2, 127.6, 127.3, 126.9, 126.5. (E)-4-Styrylbenzonitrile (3i).^{18a} The title compound was synthe-

(*E*)-4-Styrylbenzonitrile (**3i**).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 41%, 84 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 7.59–7.44 (m, 6H), 7.35–7.24 (m, 3H), 7.15 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 141.8, 136.2, 132.4, 128.8, 128.6, 126.9, 126.8, 126.7, 118.9, 110.5.

(E)-Methyl 4-styrylbenzoate (3j).^{18a} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 44%, 104 mg. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.95 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.28 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.23–7.20 (m, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (126 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 166.9, 141.8, 136.7, 131.2, 130.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 127.5, 126.7, 126.3, 52.0.

(E)-1-Methoxy-3-styrylbenzene (**3k**).^{18c} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 83%, 174 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.52 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.06 (m, 4H), 6.83 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 159.9, 138.8, 137.2, 129.6, 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 126.5, 119.2, 113.3, 111.7, 55.2.

(E)-1-Methyl-3-styrylbenzene (31).^{18c} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 75%, 145 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.41 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.20 (m, 4H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 3H), 6.99 (s, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 138.1, 137.4, 137.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.5, 127.2, 126.4, 123.7, 21.4. (E)-3-Styrylaniline (3m).^{18b} The title compound was synthesized

(E)-3-Styrylaniline (3m).¹⁸⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Brown solid. Yield: 76%, 148 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.43 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 146.6, 138.4, 137.4, 129.6, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 127.5, 126.5, 117.3, 114.7, 112.9. (E)-N-Methyl-3-styrylaniline (3n).^{18d} The title compound was

(E)-N-Methyl-3-styrylaniline (3n).¹⁵⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc

as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 86%, 179 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 148.8, 138.3, 137.4, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 128.5, 127.5, 126.5, 116.6, 112.7, 110.8, 31.2. *(E)-1-Chloro-3-styrylbenzene (30.*). ¹⁸*a* The title compound was

(E)-1-Chloro-3-styrylbenzene (30).¹⁶⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 57%, 112.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.53–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.40–7.20 (m, 6H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 139.2, 136.8, 134.6, 130.1, 129.7, 128.7, 128.0, 127.5, 127.2, 126.6, 126.3, 124.7.

(E)-1-Methoxy-2-styry/benzene (**3p**).^{18c} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 69%, 145 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.54–7.38 (m, 4H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.20–7.12 (m, 2H), 7.03 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 6.93–6.80 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 156.9, 137.9, 129.1, 128.6, 128.6, 127.3, 126.5, 126.4, 123.5, 120.7, 110.9, 55.5. (E)-1-Methyl-2-styrylbenzene (**3q**).^{18a} The title compound was

(E)-1-Methyl-2-styrylbenzene (3q).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 62%, 120 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.61–7.51 (m, 3H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.14 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 136.5, 135.8, 130.4, 129.9, 128.6, 127.6, 126.5, 126.2, 125.3, 19.9.

(E)-1,3-Dimethyl-5-styrylbenzene (3r).^{18e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 68%, 141 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.51 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.14 (m, 2H), 7.07 (s, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 138.1, 129.5, 128.8, 128.6, 128.3, 127.4, 126.4, 124.4, 21.3. (E)-1,2-Dimethoxy-4-styrylbenzene (3s).^{10e} The title compound

(E)-1,2-Dimethoxy-4-styrylbenzene (35).¹⁰⁶ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 89%, 213.6 mg. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.52 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.05 (m, 3H), 7.04–6.95 (m, 1H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (100 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 149.0, 148.9, 137.5, 130.4, 128.6, 128.4, 127.2, 126.7, 126.2, 119.8, 111.1, 108.7, 55.9, 55.8.

(E)-5-Styrylbenzo[d][1,3]dioxole (**3t**).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Yellowish solid. Yield: 90%, 201.6 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.50–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.07 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.93 (m, 3H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 148.1, 147.3, 137.4, 131.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.3, 127.0, 126.3, 121.5, 108.4, 105.5, 101.1.

(E)-1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-styrylbenzene (**3u**).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/ EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 92%, 248 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.45–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.24–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.02 (s, 2H), 6.74 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 6H), 3.87 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 153.4, 138.0, 137.2, 133.1, 128.7, 128.2, 127.6, 126.4, 103.6, 61.0, 56.1.

(E)-1-Styrylnaphthalene (3v).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230-400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 78%, 179 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) $\delta = 8.15$ (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83-7.67 (m, 4H), 7.55-7.41 (m, 4H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28-7.22 (m, 1H), 7.18-7.01 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (126 MHz, chloroform-d) $\delta =$ 137.6, 135.0, 133.7, 131.7, 131.4, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 128.0, 127.8, 126.7, 126.1, 125.8, 125.7, 123.8, 123.6. (E)-2-Styrylnaphthalene (**3w**).^{10e} The title compound was

(E)-2-StyryInaphthalene (**3w**).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 72%, 165.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.77–7.68 (m, 5H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 2H), 7.24–7.17 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 137.37, 134.8, 133.7, 133.0, 129.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 125.9, 123.5.

(E)-2-Styrylpyridine (3x).^{18e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Light brown solid. Yield: 58%, 105 mg. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d): $\delta = 8.59-8.61$ (m, 1H), 7.61–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.19 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (100 MHz, chloroform-d): $\delta = 155.7$, 149.8, 136.7, 136.7, 132.8, 128.8, 128.4, 128.0, 127.2, 122.2. (E)-2-Styrylfuran (3y).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized

(*E*)-2-Styrylfuran (**3y**).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 61%, 103.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.51 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 6.59–6.43 (m, 1H), 6.40 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (100 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 153.3, 142.1, 137.0, 128.7, 127.6, 127.1, 126.3, 116.5, 111.6, 108.5.

(*E*)-2-Styrylthiophene (3z).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Yellow solid. Yield: 53%, 98.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.51–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.26–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 7.04–7.00 (m, 1H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 142.9, 136.9, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 126.3, 126.1, 124.3, 121.8.

2,6-Distyrylpyridine (**3za**).^{18e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 49%, 138.7 mg. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.71 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H), 7.39 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.32–7.26 (m, SH), 7.22 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (100 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 155.4, 136.9, 136.8, 132.9, 128.7, 128.3, 128.3, 127.1, 120.4. Heptylbenzene (**3zb**).^{18f} The title compound was synthesized

Heptylbenzene (**3zb**).^{18f} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Sticky liquid. Yield: 52%, 91.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.26–7.09 (m, 5H), 2.53 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.20 (m, 8H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 142.9, 128.4, 128.2, 125.9, 125.5, 36.0, 31.9, 31.5, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 22.7, 14.1. *Undecylbenzene* (**3zc**).^{18f} The title compound was synthesized

Undecylbenzene (**3zc**).¹⁸⁷ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The

product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Sticky liquid. Yield: 63%, 144 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.24–7.08 (m, 5H), 2.52 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.56–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.22–1.16 (m, 16H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 3H).

Ethene-1,1,2-triyltribenzene (**3zd**).^{18f} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 81%, 207 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.32–7.29 (m, 8H), 7.23–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.11 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 3H), 7.05–7.00 (m, 2H), 6.96 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 143.4, 142.6, 140.3, 137.4, 130.4, 129.5, 128.6, 128.2, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 126.7.

4,4'-(2-Phenylethene-1,1-diyl)bis(methoxybenzene) (**3ze**).^{18f} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 82%, 259 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.33–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 6H), 6.87–6.80 (m, 5H), 3.78 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 157.9, 133.7, 131.6, 129.7, 129.2, 128.8, 128.5, 127.9, 127.0, 113.8, 55.2.

(E)-1,2-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethene (4a).^{10e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 78%, 187 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.44 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 4H), 6.94 (s, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 4H), 3.84 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 159.0, 130.5, 127.4, 126.2, 114.1, 55.3.

(E)-1-Methoxy-4-(4-methylstyryl)benzene (4b).^{18e} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/ EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 67%, 150 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.45 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 159.1, 137.0, 134.8, 130.3, 129.3, 127.6, 127.2, 126.6, 126.1, 114.1, 55.3, 21.2. (E)-1-(4-Methoxystyryl)-3-methylbenzene (4c).^{18h} The title com-

(E)-1-(4-Methoxystyryl)-3-methylbenzene (4c).¹⁶¹ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/ EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 71%, 159 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.45 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 159.2, 138.1, 137.5, 130.2, 128.5, 128.0, 128.0, 127.6, 126.9, 126.7, 123.4, 114.1, 55.3, 21.4.

(E)-2-(4-Methoxystyryl)naphthalene (4d).¹⁸¹ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 73%, 190 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.84–7.72 (m, 5H), 7.54–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.18 (s, 2H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 152.4, 133.8, 132.8, 130.2, 128.6, 127.9, 127.7, 126.7, 126.3, 126.1, 125.7, 123.5, 114.2, 55.3.

(E)-1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(4-methoxystyryl)benzene (4e).^{10d} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 83%, 249 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.46 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.00–6.91 (m, 3H), 6.73 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 6H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 159.3, 153.4, 137.7, 133.4, 130.0, 127.7, 127.6, 126.5, 114.1, 103.4, 60.9, 56.1, 55.3. (E)-1,2-Dip-tolylethene (4f).^{18e} The title compound was synthe-

(E)-1,2-Dip-tolylethene (4f).¹⁰⁰ The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The

product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 69%, 143.5 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.23 (s, 4H), 7.13 (s, 2H), 2.45 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 137.2, 134.7, 129.3, 127.6, 126.3, 21.2.

(E)-1,2-Bis(4-fluorophenyl)ethane (4g).^{18j} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 61%, 132 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.51–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.11–7.02 (m, 4H), 6.99 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 164.8, 159.9, 133.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.3, 115.8, 115.4.

(E)-2-(4-Methylstyryl)quinoline (4h).^{18k} The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. Brown solid. Yield: 57%, 139.5 mg. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 8.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.65 (m, 3H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (125 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 156.1, 148.2, 138.7, 136.3, 134.4, 133.7, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.2, 126.0, 119.1, 21.3.

(*S*)-2-(3,5-*B*is(*trifluoromethyl*)*phenyl*)-3-(4-*methoxyphenyl*)*propanenitrile* (*4i*). The title compound was synthesized according to the general procedure described in section 2A. The product was purified using silica gel (230–400 mesh) column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/EtOAc as an eluent. White solid. Yield: 54%, 201 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 2H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.26–3.05 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ = 159.3, 137.6, 132.7, 130.3, 127.9, 126.6, 122.3, 118.9, 114.2, 55.2, 41.0, 39.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₈H₁₄F₆NO 374.0974. Found: 374.0908.

(E)-1,3-Dimethoxy-5-(4-methoxystyryl)benzene (5).¹⁹ White solid. Yield: 93%, 251 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 7.55–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.98–6.91 (m, 2H), 6.91–6.87 (m, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.39 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, chloroform-d) δ = 161.0, 159.4, 139.7, 129.9, 128.7, 127.8, 126.6, 114.1, 104.3, 99.6, 55.3. (E)-5-(4-Hydroxystyryl)benzene-1,3-diol (6).¹⁹ Colorless liquid.

(E)-5-(4-Hydroxystyryl)benzene-1,3-diol (6).¹⁹ Colorless liquid. Yield: 74%, 169 mg. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ = 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.25 (s, 2H), 6.32 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.89–5.61 (m, 4H), 5.33 (s, 2H), 5.17–4.95 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR{¹H} (50 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ = 158.6, 157.3, 139.5, 128.3, 128.0, 125.8, 115.7, 104.5, 102.0.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00592.

Experimental and spectroscopic data, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and HRMS (PDF)

FAIR data, including the primary NMR FID files, for compounds 3a-3d, 3f, 3k-3n, 3p-3r, 3w, 3y, 3za-3ze, 4a-4d, 4i, and 7-8 (ZIP)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Ekambaram Balaraman – Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)— Tirupati, Tirupati 517507, India; orcid.org/0000-0001-9248-2809; Email: eb.raman@iisertirupati.ac.in

Authors

- Siba P. Midya Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)—Tirupati, Tirupati 517507, India
- Murugan Subaramanian Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)— Tirupati, Tirupati 517507, India
- Reshma Babu Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER)—Tirupati, Tirupati 517507, India
- Vinita Yadav Organic Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory (CSIR-NCL), Pune 411008, India

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.1c00592

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the SERB, India (No. CRG/2018/002480), and IISER-Tirupati. E.B. acknowledges the Swarna-Jayanti Fellowship grant (DST/SJF/CSA-04/2019-2020 and SERB/F/5892/2020-2021). R.B. thanks SERB-PMRF, India, for a fellowship. M.S. and V.Y. acknowledge the UGC, India, for their fellowships.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Rychnovsky, S. D. Oxo Polyene Macrolide Antibiotics. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 95, 2021–2040. (b) Dumeunie, R.; Marko, I. E. *Modern Carbonyl Olefination*; Takeda, T., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; p 104.

(2) Wittig, G.; Schollkopf, U. About Triphenylphosphine Methylene as an Olefin-forming Reagent (I. Part). *Chem. Ber.* **1954**, *87*, 1318–1330.

(3) Julia, M.; Paris, J. M. Synthesis Using v (+) Sulfones - General Synthesis Method of Double Bonds. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *14*, 4833–4836.

(4) Peterson, D. J. Carbonyl Olefination Reaction using Silylsubstituted Organometallic Compounds. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 780-784.

(5) Tebbe, F. N.; Parshall, G. W.; Reddy, G. S. Olefin Homologation with Titanium Methylene Compounds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 3611–3613.

(6) Grubbs, R. H. In *Handbook of Metathesis*; Grubbs, R. H., Eds.; Wiley: Weinheim, 2003; Vol. 2, pp 1–4.

(7) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. The Heck Reaction as a Sharpening Stone of Palladium Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 3009–3066.

(8) For selected examples of HA strategy, see: (a) Obora, Y. Recent Advances in α -Alkylation Reactions using Alcohols with Hydrogen Borrowing Methodologies. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3972-3981. (b) Huang, F.; Liu, Z.; Yu, Z. C-Alkylation of Ketones and Related Compounds by Alcohols: Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 862-875. (c) Chelucci, G. Ruthenium and Osmium Complexes in C-C Bond-forming Reactions by Borrowing Hydrogen Catalysis. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2017, 331, 1-36. (d) Leonard, J.; Blacker, A. J.; Marsden, S. P.; Jones, M. F.; Mulholland, K. R.; Newton, R. A Survey of the Borrowing Hydrogen Approach to the Synthesis of some Pharmaceutically Relevant Intermediates. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 1400-1410. (e) Avelino, C.; Javier, N.; Maria, J.; Corma, S.; Navas, J.; Sabater, M. Advances in One-Pot Synthesis through Borrowing Hydrogen Catalysis. Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 1410-1459. (f) Gunanathan, C.; Milstein, D. Bond Activation and Catalysis by Ruthenium Pincer Complexes. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 12024-12087. (g) Guillena, G.; Ramon, D. J.; Yus, M. Hydrogen Autotransfer in the N-alkylation of Amines and Related Compounds using Alcohols and Amines as Electrophiles. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 1611–1641.

(9) For selected reviews of AD strategy, see: (a) Gorgas, N.; Kirchner, K. Isoelectronic Manganese and Iron Hydrogenation/ Dehydrogenation Catalysts: Similarities and Divergences. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 1558-1569. (b) Sordakis, K.; Tang, C.; Vogt, L. K.; Junge, H.; Dyson, P. J.; Beller, M.; Laurenczy, G. Homogeneous Catalysis for Sustainable Hydrogen Storage in Formic Acid and Alcohols. Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 372-382. (c) Crabtree, R. H. Homogeneous Transition Metal Catalysis of Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Alcohol Oxidation: Applications in Hydrogen Storage and to Heterocycle Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 9228-9246. (d) Gunanathan, C.; Milstein, D. Applications of Acceptorless Dehydrogenation and Related Transformations in Chemical Synthesis. Science 2013, 341, 1229712. (e) Werkmeister, S.; Neumann, J.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Pincer-Type Complexes for Catalytic (De)Hydrogenation and Transfer (De)Hydrogenation Reactions: Recent Progress. Chem. -Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12226-12250. (f) Balaraman, E.; Nandakumar, A.; Jaiswal, G.; Sahoo, M. K. Iron-Catalyzed Dehydrogenation Reactions and their Applications in Sustainable Energy and Catalysis. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2017, 7, 3177-3195. (g) Maji, B.; Barman, M. K. Recent Developments of Manganese Complexes for Catalytic Hydrogenation and Dehydrogenation Reactions. Synthesis 2017, 49, 3377-3393. (h) Kallmeier, F.; Kempe, R. Manganese Complexes for (De)-Hydrogenation Catalysis: A Comparison to Cobalt and Iron Catalysts. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 46-60.

(10) (a) Srimani, D.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Direct Catalytic Olefination of Alcohols with Sulfones. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11092–11095. (b) Khaskin, E.; Milstein, D. Catalytic, Oxidant-free, Direct Olefination of Alcohols using Wittig Reagents. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 9002–9005. (c) Siddiki, S. M. A. H.; Touchy, A. S.; Kon, K.; Shimizu, K.-I. Direct Olefination of Alcohols with Sulfones by Using Heterogeneous Platinum Catalysts. *Chem. -Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 6111–6119. (d) Yao, C.-Z.; Li, Q.-Q.; Wang, M.-M.; Ning, X.-S.; Kang, Y.-B. (E)-Specific Direct Julia-Olefination of Aryl Alcohols without Extra Reducing Agents Promoted by Bases. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 7729–7732. (e) Landge, V. G.; Yadav, V.; Subaramanian, M.; Dangarh, P.; Balaraman, E. Nickel(II)-Catalyzed Direct Olefination of Benzyl Alcohols with Sulfones with the Liberation of H₂. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 6130–6133.

(11) Das, U. K.; Chakraborty, S.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Milstein, D. Direct Conversion of Alcohols into Alkenes by Dehydrogenative Coupling with Hydrazine/Hydrazone Catalyzed by Manganese. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 13444–13448.

(12) For α -alkylation of nitriles, see: (a) Grigg, R.; Mitchell, T. R. B.; Sutthivaiyakit, S.; Tongpenyai, N. Oxidation of Alcohols by Transition Metal Complexes Part V. Selective Catalytic Monoalkylation of Arylacetonitriles by Alcohols. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4107-4110. (b) Anxionnat, B.; Gomez Pardo, D.; Ricci, G.; Cossy, J. Monoalkylation of Acetonitrile by Primary Alcohols Catalyzed by Iridium Complexes. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4084-4087. (c) Sawaguchi, T.; Obora, Y. Iridium-catalyzed α -Alkylation of Acetonitrile with Primary and Secondary Alcohols. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1055-1057. (d) Morita, M.; Obora, Y.; Ishii, Y. Alkylation of Active Methylene Compounds with Alcohols Catalyzed by an Iridium Complex. Chem. Commun. 2007, 2850-2852. (e) Thiyagarajan, S.; Gunanathan, C. Facile Ruthenium(II)-Catalyzed *a*-Alkylation of Arylmethyl Nitriles using Alcohols Enabled by Metal-Ligand Cooperation. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 5483-5490. (f) Borghs, J. C.; Tran, M. A.; Sklyaruk, J.; Rueping, M.; El-Sepelgy, O. Sustainable Alkylation of Nitriles with Alcohols by Manganese Catalysis. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 7927-7935. For α -alkenylation of nitriles, see: (g) Thiyagarajan, S.; Gunanathan, C. Ruthenium-Catalyzed α -Olefination of Nitriles using Secondary Alcohols. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2473-2478. (h) Chakraborty, S.; Das, U. K.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Manganese Catalyzed α -Olefination of Nitriles by Primary Alcohols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11710-11713. (i) Yadav, V.; Landge, V. G.; Subaramanian, M.; Balaraman, E. Manganese-Catalyzed α -Olefination of Nitriles with Secondary Alcohols. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 947-954.

(13) Li, F.; Zou, X.; Wang, N. Direct Coupling of Arylacetonitriles and Primary Alcohols to α -Alkylated Arylacetamides with Complete Atom Economy Catalyzed by a Rhodium Complex-Triphenylphosphine-Potassium Hydroxide System. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, 357, 1405–141.

(14) For a recent review on nickel-catalyzed ADC and HA reactions, see: Subaramanian, M.; Sivakumar, G.; Balaraman, E. Recent Advances in Nickel-Catalyzed C-C and C-N Bond Formation via ADC and HA Reactions. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2021**, *19*, 4213–4277 and references cited therein..

(15) For decyanation reactions, see: (a) Ding, Y.; Luo, S.; Ma, L.; An, J. Reductive Cleavage of Unactivated Carbon-Cyano Bonds under Ammonia-Free Birch Conditions. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 15827– 15833. (b) Mattalia, J-M. R. The Reductive Decyanation Reaction: An Overview and Recent Developments. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 13, 267–284.

(16) Maeda, K.; Morioka, K.; Yashima, E. Synthesis and Chirality Sensing Properties of Poly[(phenyleneethynylene)-alt-(carboxybiphenyleneethynylene)]s. *Macromolecules* **2007**, *40*, 1349–1352.

(17) Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. D. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, ed. 3; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1988.

(18) (a) Fu, S.; Chen, N. Y.; Liu, X.; Shao, Z.; Luo, S. P.; Liu, Q. Ligand-Controlled Cobalt-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation of Alkynes: Stereodivergent Synthesis of Z- and E-Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8588-8594. (b) Luo, X.; Chen, X.; Chen, L.; Zhang, K.; Li, Y. Xanthate-mediated Synthesis of (E)-Alkenes by Semi-hydrogenation of Alkynes using Water as the Hydrogen Donor. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 2170-2173. (c) Keesara, S.; Parvathaneni, S.; Mandapati, M. R. N,N'-Mono Substituted Acyclic Thioureas: Efficient Ligands for the Palladium Catalyzed Heck Reaction of Deactivated Aryl Bromides. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6769-6772. (d) Wang, L.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Palladium-Catalyzed Methylation of Nitroarenes with Methanol. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 5417-5421. (e) Loska, R.; Volla, C. M. R.; Vogel, P. Iron-Catalyzed Mizoroki-Heck Cross-Coupling Reaction with Styrenes. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2859-2864. (f) Han, M.; Cui, Z.; Xu, C.; Chen, W.; Jin, Y. Synthesis of Linear Alkylbenzene Catalyzed by Hβ-Zeolite. Appl. Catal., A 2003, 238, 99-107. (g) Xue, F.; Zhao, J.; Hor, T. S. A. Ambient Arylmagnesiation of Alkynes Catalysed by Ligandless Nickel(II). Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 10121-10123. (h) Schmidt, B.; Elizarov, N.; Berger, R.; Hölter, F. Scope and Limitations of the Heck-Matsuda-coupling of Phenol Diazonium Salts and Styrenes: A Protecting-group Economic Synthesis of Phenolic Stilbenes. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 3674-3691. (i) Ehle, A. R.; Zhou, Q.; Watson, M. P. Nickel(0)-Catalyzed Heck Cross-Coupling via Activation of Aryl C-O Piv Bonds. Org. Lett. 2012, 14 (5), 1202-1205. (j) Huang, T.; Chen, T.; Han, L.-B. Oxidative Dephosphorylation of Benzylic Phosphonates with Dioxygen Generating Symmetrical trans-Stilbenes. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 2959-2965. (k) Zhang, G.; Irrgang, T.; Dietel, T.; Kallmeier, F.; Kempe, R. Manganese-Catalyzed Dehydrogenative Alkylation or α -Olefination of Alkyl-Substituted N-Heteroarenes with Alcohols. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 9131-9135.

(19) Yao, C.-Z.; Li, Q.-Q.; Wang, M.-M.; Ning, X.-S.; Kang, Y.-B. (E)-Specific Direct Julia-olefination of Aryl Alcohols without Extra Reducing Agents Promoted by Bases. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 7729–7732.