

Catalytic Alkene Difunctionalization via Imidate Radicals

Kohki M. Nakafuku,[†] Stacy C. Fosu,[†] and David A. Nagib*[®]

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The first catalytic strategy to harness imidate radicals has been developed. This approach enables alkene difunctionalization of allyl alcohols by photocatalytic reduction of their oxime imidates. The ensuing imidate radicals undergo consecutive intra- and intermolecular reactions to afford (i) hydroamination, (ii) aminoalkylation, or (iii) aminoarylation, via three distinct radical mechanisms. The broad scope and utility of this catalytic method for imidate radical reactivity is presented, along with comparisons to other N-centered radicals and complementary, closed-shell imidate pathways.

In pursuit of a new hydroamination reaction, Overman serendipitously discovered a synthetically valuable reaction wherein allyl imidates are rapidly converted to allyl amines by sigmatropic rearrangement.^{1–3} Nonetheless, the original goal of converting allyl imidates to 1,2-amino alcohols via hydroamination remains unsolved. This is likely because the Brønsted, Lewis, and π -acids that could activate alkenes toward amination, efficiently promote rearrangement instead. To solve this ongoing challenge, we proposed an N-centered radical mechanism⁴ may bypass the two-electron pathway and afford the long-sought hydroamination⁵ to access valuable β -amino alcohols (Figure 1a). Moreover, we anticipated imidate radicals may enable several new classes of reactivity for the synthesis of functionalized amino alcohols.

In developing a catalytic strategy to harness the reactivity of imidate radicals,^{6,7} we proposed allyl imidate A may be selectively reduced by a single-electron via photocatalysis⁸ (Figure 1b). We envisioned that such oxime imidates are readily accessed by the combination of alcohols and imidoyl chlorides in a modular and tunable manner (to access radical precursors with variable N-OR bond strengths). In analogy, the incorporation of weak N–OR bonds within analogs of ketones and amides has afforded other N-centered radicals (e.g., iminyl, amidyl).⁹⁻¹² We thus proposed this tunability may enable us to discover a catalytic method to access imidate radicals. In our proposed mechanism, excitation of a photocatalyst $(M^n \text{ to } *M^n)$ precedes reductive quenching by an amine to provide a strong reductant (M^{n-1}) . We postulated single-electron reduction of allyl imidate A to its radical anion, and subsequent mesolytic cleavage, could then afford imidate radical B and regenerated photocatalyst (Mⁿ). Next, rapid, 5-exo-trig cyclization¹³ of the N-centered radical would provide C, which contains a C · adjacent to the new C-N bond. Upon combination with a variety of intermolecular radical traps, a resulting α -substituted oxazoline D may be hydrolyzed under acidic conditions to afford a family of 1,2amino alcohols. Importantly, we postulated that three distinct

a. Imidate radicals enable new reactivity



via Overman rearrangment







Figure 1. New reactivity modes enabled by imidate radicals.

mechanisms could be employed to intercept the C-centered radical (Figure 1c). For instance, homolytic substitution $(S_H 2)$ of a radical trap containing a weak C-H bond¹⁴ may provide hydroamination. Alternatively, intermolecular radical π -addition of alkenes would afford aminoalkylation.¹⁵ Lastly, a rare, radical-radical coupling mechanism¹⁶ could incorporate γarenes in a net, aminoarylation. Crucially, each of these three radical termination mechanisms affords reactivity that is complementary to classic, two-electron strategies.

With this hypothesis in mind, we combined an allyl alcohol with a pair of imidoyl chlorides (Figure 2). The resulting allyl imidates were then subjected to reductive, photoredox catalytic conditions in the presence of a reductant, ⁱPr₂NEt, an H atom donor, 1,4-cyclohexadiene (CHD), and a blue LED light.

Received: July 18, 2018



Figure 2. Hydroamination of allyl alcohols via imidate radicals.

Unsurprisingly, the N-OMe oxime 1a, which has a large reduction potential $(E_{\rm red} > -2 \text{ V})$, ^{17,18} affords minimal hydroamination, yielding 10% oxazoline 2', only with a highly reducing catalyst (III). Alternatively, the N-OPh oxime 1b is more easily reduced (-1.6 V) and efficiently provides 2' (up to 80% yield) with commercially available Ir photocatalysts (II or III). Notably, subsequent hydrolysis of oxazoline 2' with HCl (aq) unveils the privileged 1,2-amino alcohol pharmacophore 2. Interestingly, when imidate 1b is combined with a Pd catalyst, rearrangement to an allyl amide is observed exclusively, instead (97% yield),¹⁹ demonstrating the divergent reactivity of one-and two-electron pathways for imidates.

We were also intrigued by the complementarity of imidate radical reactivity with other N-centered radicals, such as those of imines and amides.^{9,10} First, they are synthetically orthogonal, as these imidate-based radicals are accessed from alcohols, whereas iminyl and amidyl radicals are derived from ketones or acid chlorides, respectively (Figure 3). Moreover, we noted each of



Figure 3. Comparison of N-centered radical precursors.

these N-centered radical precursors reacts differently. For example, when a simple N-OPh is incorporated, a competition between all three radical precursors exclusively results in cyclization of the imidate radical. In this case, only oxazoline 2' is formed among all three possible hydroamination products, with both the imine and amide radical precursors remaining. This competitive hydroamination of the imidate (versus iminyl or amidyl) precursor is also observed with strongly reducing catalyst III (-2.2 V).

Having developed the first catalytic reaction of an imidate radical, we sought to investigate the generality and utility of this hydroamination on a range of allyl imidates (Figure 4). To this



Figure 4. Hydroamination of allyl imidates. Isolated yields of hydroamination (and hydrolysis) are indicated.

end, we found that allyl alcohols with both terminal and internal olefins are hydroaminated smoothly (2-4), as well as trisubstituted olefins (5). These results illustrate that primary, secondary, benzylic, and tertiary radicals are all viable intermediates in the translocation of an imidate N-centered radical to a γ C-radical. If chloro- or silvl-substituted olefins are employed (gray circles), products bearing heteroatom functionality at three adjacent carbons are obtained (6-7). Several natural products are also hydroaminated (8-10), including those containing multiple alkenes-demonstrating chemoselectivity of this protocol for allyl alcohols. In the case of secondary alcohols, exclusive syn-diastereoselectivity (>20:1) is observed in all cases (11-15), likely due to geometric constraints of the five-membered oxazoline intermediate. Interestingly, and complementary to our previous studies on H atom abstraction by imidate radicals,⁷ hydroamination outcompetes β C–H abstraction–even of weak, allyl or benzyl C-H bonds (13-14, highlighted with gray circles). Additionally, 5-exo-trig (vs 6-exo-trig) cyclization is solely observed. The imidate of gibberellic ester is also efficiently converted to its β amino-alcohol (15), illustrating chemoselectivity in the presence of esters, lactones, and unprotected allyl alcohols, as

well as orthogonal selectivity to other radical-mediated hydro-amination methods. $^{\rm 10d}$

The robust reactivity observed for these imidate radicals in the case of hydroamination via S_{H2} led us to question if other trapping mechanisms might also be viable by this catalytic pathway. Replacing CHD with various olefins, we investigated the amino-alkylation of imidates by radical π -addition (Figure 5). Notably, both acrylates (16–18) and styrenes (19–23)



Figure 5. Aminoalkylation and aminoarylation of imidates.

function as capable partners to effect a three-component radical coupling of imidates, alkenes, and an H atom. In these cases, both 1,1- and 1,2- disubstitution is tolerated, as well as incorporation of heteroarenes, such as 2- and 4- vinylpyridines. Although tertiary radicals (from trisubstituted allyl alcohols) afford greater efficiency in this radical π -addition, simple allyl alcohols (that incorporate a primary radical intermediate) are also suitable for this aminoalkylation (e.g., **20** vs **21**).

In the hopes of incorporating an aryl trap within this cascade, we sought to develop an aminoarylation by a radical-radical coupling mechanism (Figure 5). Although such a mechanism is rare, especially in the absence of persistent radicals as traps,¹⁶ we proposed cyanoarenes may selectively combine with the transient, imidate-derived tertiary radicals. This hypothesis was realized by aminoarylation with various aryl precursors, such as dicyano-benzene (DCB; 24-25), its perfluorinated analog (26), and 4-cyano-pyridine (27). In these unique couplings, we propose that a radical anion of the arene is photocatalytically generated in parallel with formation of the imidate radicals, both by reductive quenching mechanisms. The ensuing cyclohexadienyl radical and the translocated alkyl radical selectively combine with one another to afford the observed products in preference to dimerization of either radical.

Given the scarcity of such a cascade (radical cyclization terminated by radical-radical coupling), we further probed this mechanism by employing several regioisomers of dicyanobenzene in the reaction (Figure 6). We proposed if a conjugate



Figure 6. Mechanistic support for radical-radical coupling.

addition mechanism is operative, then an arene with reinforcing 1,3-disubstituted electron-withdrawing groups (e.g., CN) should be an especially efficient radical trap. However, no aminoarylation is observed with 1,3-dicyanobenzene. Instead, 1,2- or 1,4- dicyano-benzene, which are more easily reduced (by up to 200 mV),²⁰ affords significant product formation, likely as a result of a greater concentration of cyclohexadienyl radical anion formed by the Ir photoreductant. Moreover, *ortho-* and *para-* CN disubstitution are not as conducive to conjugate addition as the *meta* isomer, further supporting a radical–radical coupling mechanism.

To further investigate the different radical-trapping mechanisms employed in this study, we appended a 1,6-diene on the allyl imidate to serve as a radical clock (Figure 7). In the



Figure 7. Radical clock experiments for each mechanism indicate the following rates: acrylate addition > cyclization > S_H2 .

presence of CHD, rapid cyclization $(k_{\rm cyc} > 1 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1})^{13}$ precedes trapping, thereby interrupting the hydroamination with an intermediary radical cyclization cascade. However, in the presence of an acrylate trap, aminoalkylation is uninterrupted, indicating intermolecular radical π -addition is faster than intramolecular cyclization.

In summary, a series of catalytic reactions have been developed that harness imidate radicals. Oxime imidates, readily

Journal of the American Chemical Society

prepared from alcohols, are mildly and selectively reduced by an Ir photocatalyst in the presence of visible light to generate imidate radicals. Subsequent cyclization and trapping with (a) an H atom, (b) an electronically diverse range of olefins (e.g., acrylates, styrenes), or (c) a cyanoarene enables access to the following transformations: hydroamination, aminoalkylation, and aminoarylation. We expect this strategy will facilitate further development of radical mechanisms that are complementary to the classic, two-electron reactivity of imidates.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b07578.

Experimental procedures and characterization for all new compounds (PDF) ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*nagib.1@osu.edu

ORCID 0

David A. Nagib: 0000-0002-2275-6381

Author Contributions

[†]K.M.N. and S.C.F. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank The Ohio State University, National Institutes of Health (NIH R35 GM119812), National Science Foundation (NSF CAREER 1654656), and American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for financial support. S.C.F. is supported by an HHMI Gilliam Fellowship. We are grateful to Dr. Xin Yang and Andrew Chen for assistance with CV and DFT experiments.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1974**, 96, 597. (b) Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, 98, 2901.

(2) Overman, L. E. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 6432.

(3) Fernandes, R. A.; Kattanguru, P.; Gholap, S. P.; Chaudhari, D. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 2672.

(4) N-centered radical reviews: (a) Zard, S. Z. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1603. (b) Chiba, S.; Chen, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4051. (c) Xiong, T.; Zhang, Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 3069. (d) Zhao, Y.;

Xia, W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 2591.

(5) Müller, T. E.; Hultzsch, K. C.; Yus, M.; Foubelo, F.; Tada, M. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3795.

(6) Pioneering examples of imidate radical reactivity: (a) Glover, S. A.; Beckwith, A. L. J. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1987**, *40*, 701. (b) Glover, S. A.; Hammond, G. P.; Harman, D. G.; Mills, J. G.; Rowbottom, C. A. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1993**, *46*, 1213.

(7) Recent examples of imidate radical reactivity: (a) Wappes, E. A.; Nakafuku, K. M.; Nagib, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 10204.
(b) Mou, X.-Q.; Chen, X.-Y.; Chen, G.; He, G. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 515. (c) Wappes, E. A.; Vanitcha, A.; Nagib, D. A. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 4500.

(8) Photoredox catalysis reviews: (a) Narayanam, J. M. R.; Stephenson, C. R. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, 40, 102. (b) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, 113, 5322. (c) Skubi, K. L.; Blum, T. R.; Yoon, T. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, 116, 10035. (d) Romero, N. A.; Nicewicz, D. A. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 10075.
(e) Silvi, M.; Melchiorre, P. Nature 2018, 554, 41.

(9) Iminyl radical reactivity: (a) Forrester, A. R.; Gill, M.; Meyer, C. J.;
Sadd, J. S.; Thomson, R. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 1, 606.
(b) Boivin, J.; Fouquet, E.; Zard, S. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1055. (c) Faulkner, A.; Race, N. J.; Scott, J. S.; Bower, J. F. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2416. For photoredox catalyzed examples, see: (d) Davies, J.; Booth, S. G.; Essafi, S.; Dryfe, R. A. W.; Leonori, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14017. (e) Shu, W.; Nevado, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1881. (g) Davies, J.; Sheikh, N. S.; Leonori, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13361. (h) Jiang, H.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12273. (i) Dauncey, E. M.; Morcillo, S. P.; Douglas, J. J.; Sheikh, N. S.; Leonori, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 744. (j) Jiang, H.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1692.

(10) Amidyl radical reactivity: (a) Liu, G.-S.; Zhang, Y.-Q.; Yuan, Y.-A.; Xu, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3343. (b) Lu, D.-F.; Zhu, C.-L.; Jia, Z.-X.; Xu, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13186. (c) Choi, G. J.; Knowles, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9226. (d) Miller, D. C.; Choi, G. J.; Orbe, H. S.; Knowles, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13492. (e) Davies, J.; Svejstrup, T. D.; Fernandez Reina, D.; Sheikh, N. S.; Leonori, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8092. (f) Choi, G. J.; Zhu, Q.; Miller, D. C.; Gu, C. J.; Knowles, R. R. Nature 2016, 539, 268. (g) Chu, J. C. K.; Rovis, T. Nature 2016, 539, 272. (h) Jiang, H.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 10707.

(11) Notably, refs 10c-e contain examples of carbamate radicals, which can be prepared from alcohols, albeit via a complementary synthesis, activation, and deprotection manifold.

(12) For other relevant examples of N-centered radical reactivity, see: (a) Wang, Y.-F.; Chen, H.; Zhu, X.; Chiba, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11980. (b) Wappes, E. A.; Fosu, S. C.; Chopko, T. C.; Nagib, D. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9974. (c) Becker, P.; Duhamel, T.; Stein, C. J.; Reiher, M.; Muñiz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 8004. (13) (a) Horner, J. H.; Musa, O. M.; Bouvier, A.; Newcomb, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7738. (b) Newcomb, M. Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; pp 317–336. (c) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3925.

(14) (a) Binmore, G.; Walton, J. C.; Cardellini, L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1995**, 27, 27. (b) Guin, J.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Grimme, S.; Studer, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, 129, 4498.

(15) Radical π -addition. Reviews: (a) Giese, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1983**, 22, 753. (b) Crossley, S. W. M.; Obradors, C.; Martinez, R. M.; Shenvi, R. A. Chem. Rev. **2016**, 116, 8912. (c) Margrey, K. A.; Nicewicz, D. A. Acc. Chem. Res. **2016**, 49, 1997. (d) Ravelli, D.; Protti, S.; Fagnoni, M. Chem. Rev. **2016**, 116, 9850. Recent examples: (e) Ma, X.; Dang, H.; Rose, J. A.; Rablen, P.; Herzon, S. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 5998. (f) Lo, J. C.; Kim, D.; Pan, C.-M.; Edwards, J. T.; Yabe, Y.; Gui, J.; Qin, T.; Gutiérrez, S.; Giacoboni, J.; Smith, M. W.; Holland, P. L.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 2484.

(16) Radical-radical coupling with DCB; pioneering examples:
(a) Mangion, D.; Arnold, D. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 297.
(b) McNally, A.; Prier, C. K.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science 2011, 334, 1114.
(c) Pirnot, M. T.; Rankic, D. A.; Martin, D. B. C.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Science 2013, 339, 1593.
(d) Qvortrup, K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 626.

(17) All redox potentials are reported vs SCE.

(18) All CV data are measured experimentally, with supporting calculation and analysis according to the following method: Roth, H. G.; Romero, N. A.; Nicewicz, D. A. *Synlett* **2016**, *27*, 714. (19)



(20) Borg, R. M.; Arnold, D. R.; Cameron, T. S. *Can. J. Chem.* **1984**, 62, 1785.